Review Article

Genetics of obesity and its measures in India

Simmi Saini[1], Gagandeep Kaur Walia[2], Mohinder Pal Sachdeva[1], Vipin Gupta[1]
[1]*Department of Anthropology, University of Delhi, India*
[2]*Public Health Foundation of India, New Delhi, India*

Simmi Saini
Research Scholar
Department of Anthropology
University of Delhi, India
Email: simmi110692@gmail.com

Gagandeep Kaur Walia
Assistant Professor
Public Health Foundation of India, India
Email: gkaurw@gmail.com

Mohinder Pal Sachdeva
Professor
Department of Anthropology
University of Delhi, India
Email: mpsachdeva@rediff.com

Dr. Vipin Gupta
Assistant Professor
Department of Anthropology
University of Delhi, India
Email: udaiig@gmail.com

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Vipin Gupta
Assistant Professor
Department of Anthropology
University of Delhi, India
Email: udaiig@gmail.com

Abstract

Obesity is one of the largest global health problem associated with increased morbidity and mortality mediated by its association with several other metabolic disorders. The interaction between genes and environment play an important role in the manifestation of obesity. Despite a high heritability (40–70%) of obesity, the search of genetic variants associated with obesity susceptibility has been a challenging task. To date, limited studies have been conducted in India, restricted to the validation of few genetic variants identified by genome-wide association studies. In this critical review, we seek to examine the current knowledge of genetic basis of obesity and its measures in Indian population. A comprehensive literature search was done using 'PubMed' 'Medline' and 'IndMed' databases to search for citations published till 31st May 2017, using the key terms as 'Genetics' AND 'obesity' AND 'India'. We have identified 48 potential studies fulfilled the eligibility criteria. The findings indicate that, *FTO, MC4R, TNF-α, PPAR-γ, UCP1, UCP2, LPL, LEPR, AMD1, IL6, APOE, ADIPOQ, DOK5, INSIG2, PBEF1, IL6R, Myostatin, CXCR4, HHEX, IRX3, POMC, NGN3, FOXA2, MTR, TCN* and *CHDH* are some of the important genes studied among Indian population. Importantly, the role of sexual dimorphism in the genetic regulation of obesity and body fat distribution was also reported in few studies. Further, seven biological pathways have been identified, contributing to obesity pathogenesis in India. In conclusion, further exploration of pathway based research on genetics of obesity can be useful for better understanding the pathophysiology of obesity in India.

Keywords: obesity, body fat distribution, genetics, genome-wide association study, India

Introduction

Obesity is one of the common public-health conditions that has a huge epidemiological burden across the low, middle and high income countries (WHO, 2000). The global burden of overweight/obesity increased to 36.9% in men and 38% in women in 2013 (Ng *et al.* 2014) Rising prevalence of overweight and obesity is the major driver of non-communicable chronic diseases (NCDs), accounting for 44% of the diabetes, 23% of the ischaemic heart disease and between 7% and 41% of certain cancer burden (WHO, 2013). The overall prevalence of overweight/obesity in India was estimated to be 19.5% in 2013 (Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, 2014).

Childhood obesity is another rapidly growing public health concern worldwide (Lobstein *et al.* 2004) and is one of the major determinants of onset of NCDs in adulthood (Biro *et al.* 2010). It has been

estimated that 10% of school-aged children world-wide, between 5 to17 years of age, are either overweight or obese (Kalra *et al.* 2012). A recent systematic review, has reported a combined prevalence of 19.3% of childhood overweight and obesity in India which is a significant increase from the earlier prevalence of 16.3% reported in 2001-2005 (Ranjani *et al.* 2016).

Obesity is broadly measured through body mass index (BMI), a composite parameter of weight–height that indicates the amount of body fat and is used to classify overweight and obesity in adults (Chris *et al.* 2004). In comparison to western countries, a lower cut-off for BMI ($\geq$23 kg/m^2 instead of $\geq$25kg/m^2) has been proposed to define overweight/obesity in south Asians including Indians (Misra and Khurana, 2011). The risks for type 2 diabetes (T2D) and cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) are associated with a lower BMI among south Asians as they have a higher total and central adiposity for a given body weight when compared with matched white populations (Misra and Khurana, 2011; Sniderman *et al.* 2007). Further, higher rate of metabolic syndrome in South Asians is mostly attributed to the raised prevalence of central adiposity (Ramachandran and Snehalatha, 2010). Waist circumference, hip circumference and waist hip ratio (WC, HC and WHR) are the other indicators which measure regional fat distribution (Avenell *et al.* 2004). A higher prevalence of T2D and CVDs in Asian populations, especially in women could be attributed to the higher WC (Sniderman *et al.* 2007; Kaur *et al.* 2012).

Obesity is an outcome of complex, heritable and multi-factorial interactions of multiple genes, environmental factors and behavioural traits that makes the management and prevention challenging in human populations (Rao *et al.* 2014). Globally, the changing patterns of food intake both in quality and quantity ("nutrition transition") and an increase in physical inactivity due to the increasing sedentary lifestyle, changing modes of transportation, and increasing urbanization (WHO, 2013) are contributing to the rising burden of obesity. Biologically, obesity is an adverse consequence of energy imbalance between calories consumed and calories expended. Family studies including twin and adoption studies indicated that adiposity is highly heritable with the estimated genetic contribution ranging from 65–80% for body weight (Stunkard *et al.* 1986; Malis *et al.* 2005), 40–77% for BMI (Maes *et al.* 1997; Atwood *et al.* 2002; Schousboe *et al.* 2003; Wardle *et al.* 2008) and 31%–76% for WC and WHR (Selby *et al.* 1990; Nelson *et al.* 1999; Souren *et al.* 2007) even after accounting for BMI (Rose *et al.* 1998; Nelson *et al.* 2002).

Genome-wide association studies (GWASs) have changed the genetic landscape of common traits which were earlier restricted to the linkage and candidate gene based association studies. Over ~80 genome wide linkage studies have been carried out so far, identifying more than 300 chromosomal loci showing some evidence of linkage with obesity (Loos, 2012). On the other hand, since 2007, several

waves of GWASs have been conducted in western countries, and discovered more than 100 loci associated with obesity and related traits (Fraying *et al.* 2007; Scuteri *et al.* 2007; Chambers *et al.* 2008; Heid *et al.* 2010; Speliotes *et al.* 2010; Locke *et al.* 2015; Shungin *et al.* 2015). India specific GWAS related to obesity and its measures is absent and till date no published findings are available. In order to address the clinical and public health implications of the alarming obesity epidemic in India, demands comprehensive understanding of genetic architecture of obesity and related traits. Therefore, the purpose of this review is to review the current knowledge and understanding of genetics of obesity and its measures in Indian population.

Materials and Methods

Search strategy

A comprehensive search was conducted using 'PubMed' 'Medline' and 'IndMed' databases. We used a combination of relevant search terms such as: 'genetics' OR 'genetic association studies' OR 'single nucleotide polymorphisms' AND 'obesity' OR 'adiposity' OR 'body fat' OR 'segmental body fat' OR 'central obesity' AND 'measures' OR 'body mass index' OR 'waist circumference' OR 'hip circumference' OR 'waist hip ratio' OR 'skinfolds' AND 'risk' AND 'India'. We have included all the studies published till 31st May 2017. Bibliographies and citation sections of retrieved articles were also reviewed for additional related studies.

Selection strategy

Following inclusion criteria were followed: (a) studies published in English language journals, (b) studies related to humans, (c) original research studies, (d) studies conducted exclusively in India. Studies were excluded if they were (a) duplicated studies, (b) review studies, (c) based on gene expression, (d) methylation and (e) case only studies (in case of other metabolic phenotypes such as T2D, insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia).

As a result of the initial search, we have identified 919 potential articles for inclusion. After deleting articles not related to humans, 628 papers were left for examination. Further, screening for duplicates, leaving a total of 133 studies. Preliminary assessment of titles and abstracts was carried out to determine the objectives and relevance of studies, which resulted into the exclusion of 70 articles. The full texts of 63 articles were read to extract information on the topic of interest, out of which 15 articles were excluded. The excluded articles did not fit the inclusion criteria. All 48 remaining articles fulfilled eligibility criteria.

Data Extraction

After the final inclusion, identifying information (such as research setting, study design, phenotype, gene, genetic variant and effect size) was extracted from each article and presented in Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4.

Results and Discussion

Genetic associations with obesity

Out of 25 genetic studies exclusively on obesity in Indian population, only 15 studies had observed significant associations of genetic variants with obesity on pooled sample size of 22,383 participants (Table 2). Similar to the studies on western populations (Frayling *et al.* 2007; Loos *et al.* 2008; Lindgren *et al.* 2009), *FTO* and *MC4R* loci are widely studied in India (Table 2) as these are the major obesity-determining genes even in younger age groups (Zhao *et al.* 2011; Melka *et al.* 2012). Both the genes are highly expressed in the central nervous system that has shown to play a significant role in control of the well-known regulatory pathways of energy homeostasis (Beckers *et al.* 2009).

The associations of genetic variants of *FTO* (rs9939609 and rs8050136) and *MC4R* (rs17782313 and rs12970134) with obesity are relatively well studied in Indian population. Fourteen studies have made an attempt to validate these genes in Indian populations. Of these, ten studies have found significant associations of *FTO* variants (OR range: 1.17–4.2) (Chauhan *et al.* 2011; Prakash *et al.* 2011; Ramya *et al.* 2011; Dwivedi *et al.* 2012; Janipalli *et al.* 2012; Moore *et al.* 2012; Vasan *et al.* 2012; Vasan *et al.* 2013; Prakash *et al.* 2016; Srivastava *et al.* 2016a), and comparatively, only four studies have been identified associations of *MC4R* variants (OR range: 1.19–2.9) with obesity (Been *et al.* 2010; Taylor *et al.* 2011; Dwivedi *et al.* 2013; Srivastava *et al.* 2016b) (Table 2).

Taylor *et al.* (2011) had conducted the largest population based study on obesity and related traits on 3390 sib pairs from four Indian cities. They had reported weak evidence of association of *MC4R* (rs17782313) with obesity (OR = 1.19, p = 0.05) and no association was observed for *FTO* (rs9939609). Sib-pair design was the major strength of this study due to its resistance from population stratification and reduces the possibility of false positive associations (Taylor *et al.* 2011). Further, Chauhan *et al.* (2011), had reported association of two variants of *FTO* (rs1421085 and rs8050136)

with obesity after evaluating eight genetic variants of *FTO* (rs1421085, rs8050136, rs9939609, rs9930506, rs1861867, rs9926180, rs2540769 and rs708277) in 2854 non-diabetic control subjects from North India (Table 2).

The studies with largest effect sizes for *FTO* and *MC4R* were primarily based on obesity and have used case-control study design with relatively small sample size (N range: 600–696) (Table 2) (Srivastava *et al.* 2016a; 2016b), indicating the need of larger sample size to observe an unbiased true effect size.

Indian genetic studies (N = 4) with relatively large sample size (N range: 3126–3390) are actually related to childhood obesity (Dwivedi *et al.* 2012; Dwivedi *et al.* 2013; Tabassum *et al.* 2012a; 2012b). An attempt was made by Tabassum *et al.* (2012a) in assessing the association of 155 common variants from 21 genes, encoding adipocytokines and inflammatory markers in 1,223 urban Indian children. They had replicated the top four loci in 1,843 Indian children, and finally showed association of four variants: *PBEF1* (rs3801266, OR = 1.35), *IL6* (rs2069845, OR = 1.37), *LEPR* (rs1137100, OR = 1.39) and *IL6R* (rs7514452, OR = 1.19) after correction for multiple testing (Tabassum *et al.* 2012a). These loci are known to play an important role in energy homeostasis, metabolic processes, and regulation of body (Tilg and Moschen, 2006). They had also examined the contribution of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in homocysteine pathway genes in relation to obesity susceptibility (Tabassum *et al.* 2012b) and identified association of *AMD1* variant (rs2796749, OR = 1.35) with obesity in urban Indian children (Table 2). It was proposed that *AMD1* influences the susceptibility to obesity by modulating either the polyamines metabolism or DNA (Park *et al.* 2011). In both studies, samples were collected from multiple ethnicities and using them for combined analysis was the major limitation.

Furthermore, Dwivedi *et al.* (2012) had found the association of *FTO* (rs9939609, OR = 1.21 and rs8050136, OR = 1.19) with childhood obesity in urban India. They had also found age dependent influence of *MC4R* (rs17782313 and rs12970134) with higher effect size in children as compared to adults (Dwivedi *et al.* 2013) (Table 2). The reported risk of obesity in Indian children for *MC4R* (rs17782313, OR = 1.73) was higher in comparison to European children (OR range: 1.20–1.40), in spite of similar mean BMI in obese category in both studies (29.5–33.0 kg/m^2 in European vs 30.14 kg/m^2 in Indians) (Loos *et al.* 2008; Dwivedi *et al.* 2013). Altogether, nine genetic variants in seven genes have shown associations with childhood obesity in India.

All the genetic studies have been conducted so far in relation with childhood obesity have used case-control design and restricted to a single geographical location (i.e. Delhi) which may be biased given

the high level of cultural and biological diversity in India. For better understanding, these loci need to be validated on children from different ethnic groups representing socio-cultural diversity of India.

The obesity-associated SNPs within *FTO* are functionally connected with regulation of *IRX3* expression which is an important determinant of body mass and composition (Ragvina *et al.* 2010; Smemo *et al.* 2014). Recently, Srivastava *et al.* (2016a) have explored the associations of *FTO* (rs8050136, rs9939609, rs1421085 and rs17817449) and *IRX3* (rs3751723) variants with obesity in the North Indian population (Table 2). They have found that these variants were associated with obesity risk and were in high linkage disequilibrium (r^2 = 0.81–0.91) with each other, supporting the concept of genetic connectivity between *FTO* and *IRX3* loci (Srivastava *et al.* 2016a). Further studies with fairly large sample sizes are necessary to confirm these findings.

In India, research on genetics of obesity is generally studied along with other metabolic disorders. For example, eight studies have reported significant associations of SNPs with obesity when studied in samples primarily collected for T2D, hyperinsulinemia and insulin resistance (Radha *et al.* 2007; Vimaleswaran *et al.* 2008; Been *et al.* 2010; Mahajan *et al.* 2010; Srivastava *et al.* 2010; Tabassum *et al.* 2010; Chauhan *et al.* 2011; Ramya *et al.* 2011; Chauhan *et al.* 2012; Ramya *et al.* 2013). Radha *et al.* (2007) had examined the association of *LPL* variant (–T93G, OR = 1.77, 95% CI: 1.19–2.63, p = 0.005) with obesity, whereas the other variant (–G53C) of same gene appears to be protective (OR = 0.561, 95% CI: 0.03–0.9, p = 0.05) against obesity in Chennai Urban Rural Epidemiology Study. Another study from Chennai showed association of a novel variant (+10211T/G, OR=1.57, 95%CI: 1.34–1.84, $p = 10^{-7}$) in the first exon of *ADIPOQ* (Vimaleswaran *et al.* 2008). It was proposed that *ADIPOQ* gene enhances insulin sensitivity and functions in regulating homeostatic control of glucose, lipids and energy metabolism (Hu *et al.* 1996; Diez *et al.* 2003) (Table 2). Further, Chauhan *et al.* (2012) had observed nominal associations of *CHDH* (rs4563403, OR = 0.69 (95% CI: 0.52–0.92), p = 0.01), *TCN2* (rs1801198, OR = 1.24 (95% CI: 1.04–1.48), p = 0.02), and *MTR* (rs1683391, OR = 0.82 (95% CI: 0.68–0.99), p = 0.04) in the discovery phase, but no association was observed after meta-analyses (Table 2).

The associations of several other genes such as *PPARG*, *TNF-α, Myostatin, DOK5*, *UCP2*, *LMNA*, *IRX3*, *POMC*, *APOE* and *INSIG2* with obesity have been reported in studies with relatively small sample size (N range: 335–1006) with OR range 1.3–5.6 in different Indian population groups (Bhagat *et al.* 2010; Mahajan *et al.* 2010; Srivastava *et al.* 2010; Tabassum *et al.* 2010; Sharma *et al.* 2011; Bhatt *et al.* 2012a; Bhatt *et al.* 2012b; Srivastava *et al.* 2016a; Srivastava *et al.* 2016b; Prakash *et al.* 2017) (Table 2). More comprehensive studies are needed before ruling out the role of these candidate genes in predisposition of obesity.

Genetic associations with BMI

The categories of BMI are widely used for assessing obesity status. Elevated BMI increases the risk of mortality and associated with several adverse health outcomes, like T2D, cardiovascular disease, and continues to remain a significant public health problem (Misra and Shrivastava, 2013). A total of 32 studies have made an attempt to examine the roles of previously known genetic polymorphisms in relation to BMI among Indians. Of these, 21 studies showed significant associations with BMI (Table 3).

Taylor *et al.* (2011) had studied the effects of *FTO* and *MC4R* variants in 3,390 sib-pairs recruited from four Indian cities, and showed associations of *FTO* (*Z score* = 0.08, 95% CI: 0.02 – 0.14, p = 0.009) with BMI, and no such association was observed for *MC4R*. They had also performed an interaction analysis between *FTO* and *MC4R* loci and rural/urban dwelling in association with BMI, but no strong evidence was detected (Taylor *et al.* 2011). In a population based cross-sectional study from rural and urban regions of South India, *FTO* (rs9939609) was associated with BMI only in adulthood, and not at younger ages (Vasan *et al.* 2012). On comparing the effect sizes of two SNPs of *FTO* (rs9939609 and rs17782313) on BMI in rural and urban groups, the carriers of *FTO* risk allele was associated with 1% increase in BMI (β = 0.020 SD/allele, p = 0.026) in urban group than in rural group, and were suggested to be influenced by urban living (Vasan *et al.* 2012). Interestingly, in a school based case-control study, *FTO* variant (rs9939609) explained 0.88% of BMI variance in urban Indian children (Dwivedi *et al.* 2012) which is almost four times higher than that reported for adult BMI variance (0.20%) in South Asians (Li *et al.* 2012). These findings have clearly indicated higher impact of *FTO* variants in children than adults.

The association of *MC4R* variant (rs17782313) with BMI is relatively well studied in Indian children and had shown ~0.5 kg/m^2 increased BMI in comparison to wild genotype (Dwivedi *et al.* 2013). Similar association has been reported in adults i.e. ~0.8 kg/m^2 increased BMI among homozygous for effect allele in comparison to common allele (Dwivedi *et al.* 2013). Indian children with risk allele of *MC4R* have ~2 fold higher BMI (*Z score* = 0.24) when compared with European children (*Z score* = 0.01–0.13) (Dwivedi *et al.* 2013; Loos *et al.* 2008). The risk alleles of *MC4R* variants (rs17782313 and rs12970134) are more prevalent in Indians (~36–40%) compared with Europeans (~27–31%), Asians (~18–24%) and Africans (~13–31%) (HapMap release no. 27), suggesting possibly higher population attributable risk for obesity in Indians. Further, a school based case-control study have evaluated the associations of variants in *PBEF1* (rs3801266, β = 0.17), *IL6* (rs2069845, β = 0.12), and *LEPR*

(rs1137100, β = 0.15) with BMI in urban Indian children, suggesting the role of inflammatory genes in predisposition to obesity in childhood (Tabassum *et al.* 2012a) (Table 3).

Gupta *et al.* (2013) conducted the second largest population based study on obesity related traits on 2528 sib-pairs recruited from four Indian cities. They had examined the influence of 25 T2D associated loci on obesity risk using sib-pair design which is resistant to population stratification and decreases the likelihood of false positive associations. They had found associations of *CXCR4* (rs932206, β = 0.13) and *HHEX* (rs5015480, β = 0.09) with higher BMI suggesting the role of T2D associated loci in influencing the measures of obesity in Indian population (Gupta *et al.* 2013) (Table 3).

In studies primarily based on T2D samples, analysis for obesity traits was conducted on control samples. For instance, seven Indian studies have reported significant associations of SNPs with BMI in control subjects. The association of *FTO* with T2D is mediated through BMI, is well-known among Europeans (Frayling *et al.* 2007). Yajnik *et al.* (2009) had reported weaker association between *FTO* variant (rs9939609) and BMI (*Z score* = 0.06, 95% CI: 0.01–0.10) among controls of Indo-European and Dravidian ancestry than the previously reported effect in Europeans (*Z score* = 0.1, 95%CI: 0.09–0.12) (Frayling *et al.* 2007). Similarly, associations of SNPs near *MC4R* (rs12970134 and rs17782313) with BMI ($p = 4.1 \times 10^{-4}$ and 2.1×10^{-4} respectively) was reported in 1549 control subjects of Indo-European ethnicity (Janipalli *et al.* (2012). Further, two studies with relatively large sample size (N = 1006) had reported significant associations of variants in *DOX5* (rs6064099, $p = 7.0 \times 10^{-3}$) (Tabassum *et al.* 2010) and *TNF-α* (rs2229094, $p = 0.008$ and rs1800630, $p = 0.01$) (Mahajan *et al.* 2010) with BMI among controls of north India belonging to Indo-European ethnicity (Table 3).

In addition, several studies with relatively small sample size (N range: 255–642) had reported the associations of genetic variants of *UCP2, LPL, LMNA, Myostatin, PPARγ*[2] and *INSIG2* (Cassell *et al.* 1999; Radha *et al.* 2007; Sharma *et al.* 2011; Bhatt *et al.* 2012a; Bhatt *et al.* 2012b; Prakash *et al.* 2017) with BMI in different population groups of India. A total of 23 variants in 16 genes have reported associations with BMI in Indian population (Table 3). More studies with large sample size are needed to validate these loci on anthropologically well-defined populations of India.

GWASs conducted in European populations have identified more than 100 genetic variants that influence BMI (Locke *et al.* 2015). Of these, very few have been validated in Indian populations. Since body fat distribution in India is different from Europeans (Rush *et al.* 2009), the identification of genetic variants related to BMI at genome-wide scale is required for Indian population with emphasis on exploring gene environmental interactions in predisposing increased adiposity levels.

Genetics of body fat distribution

Genetics of body fat distribution is relatively less investigated, around the world, in comparison to obesity and BMI, and generally restricted to two measures i.e. WC and WHR and only few attempts have been made to study genetic variants of HC and body composition measures. A total of 23 genetic studies in India had reported the association of studied markers with WC or WHR (Table 4).

Association of *FTO* locus has been studied in different Indian populations, not only with BMI, but also with other measures of adiposity. The observed effect sizes of *FTO* variants (rs9939609 and rs8050136) and their contribution in variance of adiposity traits (WC, HC and WHR) in Indian children are higher than adults (Li *et al.* 2012). For adiposity parameters (WC and WHR), age dependent effects of *FTO* have been suggested with higher contribution to the variance in children (0.54–0.65%) than South Asian adults (0.03–0.10%) (Dwivedi *et al.* 2012). The effect of rs9939609 was also examined on Indian adolescents and it was found that carriers of homozygous risk allele displayed a 0.007 unit increase in WHR with each copy of the *FTO* risk allele (Vasan *et al.* 2013) even after adjusting for BMI ($\beta = 0.006$, 95% CI 0.001–0.012, $p = 0.01$) which may predispose to future metabolic risk in adulthood (Vasan *et al.* 2013) (Table 4). Waist hip ratio also correlates strongly with insulin resistance and dyslipidemia among Indians and other ethnic groups independent of overall obesity (Dhawan *et al.* 1994).

The widely studied *FTO* variant (rs9939609) is not only associated with WC and WHR but evidences of its association were also found with skin fold measures: abdomen ($p = 0.014$), triceps ($p = 0.003$) biceps ($p = 0.004$), subscapular ($p = 0.023$), thigh ($p = 0.042$) and body fat percentage (BF%) ($p = 0.005$) in individuals recruited from Pune birth cohort (Vasan *et al.* 2012). Other variants of *FTO* (rs1421085, rs9930506 and rs3751812) are not well studied in Indian population, only few studies have shown significant associations of these variants with WC (Chauhan *et al.* 2011; Moore *et al.* 2012) (Table 4).

Further, association of *MC4R* (rs17782313 and rs12970134) with adiposity measures has been indicated that these variants might mediate susceptibility to obesity through overall body size (Hardy *et al.* 2010). In comparison to wild genotype, both children and adults homozygous for effect allele (rs17782313) had ~6.4 cm and ~1.5 cm increased WC respectively (Dwivedi *et al.* 2013). In contrast, Vasan *et al.* (2012) failed to detect any association of variants near *MC4R* with adiposity measures (WC and HC) after adjusting for height, suggesting an association with larger body frame than obesity related traits in younger age groups (Vasan *et al.* 2012). In comparison to WC and WHR, very few studies (N = 11) have shown genetic associations with HC (Yajnik *et al.* 2009; Been *et al.* 2010; Sanghera *et al.* 2010; Sharma *et al.* 2011; Taylor *et al.* 2011; Dwivedi *et al.* 2012; Bhatt *et al.* 2012b;

Janipalli *et al.* 2012; Tabassum *et al.* 2012b; Vasan *et al.* 2012; Ramya *et al.* 2013). It was reported that each additional copy of the risk allele at the rs17782313 of *MC4R* gene was associated with a 0.06 *Z score* increase in HC among the individuals recruited from four Indian cities (Taylor *et al.* 2011) (Table 4).

In addition, some studies have used more precise method for assessing regional deposition of fat such as Computed tomography scan (Vimaleswaran *et al.* 2006a), Dual-Energy X-ray absorptiometry scan (Vimaleswaran *et al.* 2006a; Sharma *et al.* 2011; Vikram *et al.* 2011; Bhatt *et al.* 2012a; Sharma *et al.* 2013), Magnetic resonance imaging (Sharma *et al.* 2011; Vikram *et al.* 2011; Sharma *et al.* 2013) and Bioelectric impedance (Bhagat *et al.* 2010; Dhall *et al.* 2012; Prakash *et al.* 2012). The first study quantifying regional fat deposition had reported the association of *PPARGC1A* variant (Thr394Thr) with visceral fat (p = 0.001), subcutaneous fat (p = 0.001), abdominal fat (p = 0.004), central abdominal fat ($p < 0.0001$) and non-abdominal fat ($p < 0.0001$) among the normal glucose tolerant (NGT) in South Indian population (Vimaleswaran *et al.* 2006a). Vikram *et al.* (2011) had explored the association of *TNF-α* with body fat distribution among North Indians and failed to detect any relationship.

Variants in several other genes such as *FOXA2* (Tabassum *et al.* 2008), *UCP2* (Srivastava *et al.* 2010), *ADIPOQ* (Sanghera *et al.* 2010), *IL6* (Gupta *et al.* 2011), *LMNA* (Sharma *et al.* 2011), *Myostatin* (Bhatt *et al.* 2012a), *AMD1* (Tabassum *et al.* 2012b), and *NGN3* (Gupta *et al.* 2013) were also associated with measures of body fat distribution (Table 4).

Since body fat distribution reflects regional adiposity and its pattern is different in Indian population when compared to Europeans (Snidervan *et al.* 2007), there is a need for genetic studies on body fat distribution measured in detail using advance imaging techniques in India.

Sexual dimorphism

To dissect the genetic architecture of sexual dimorphism in obesity, very few studies with obesity as a primary outcome of interest, have performed sex stratified analysis and showed different effect sizes in males and females. Gupta *et al.* (2013) had made an attempt to understand the genetics associated with sexual dimorphism in sib pairs (males = 436 pairs and females = 331 pairs). They have identified a variant in *CXCR4* (rs932206) showing association with overweight in both sexes (OR = 1.80), but the effect was observed only in males, which may be due to smaller sample size of females. Other variants in *TCF2* (rs757210, OR = 0.57) and *LOC646279* (rs1256517, OR = 0.29) were shown to be associated with protective effects against overweight in females with twice the effect size compared to males (Gupta *et al.* 2013).

Sexual dimorphism is a well-marked feature of body fat distribution. Both males and females have different patterns of body fat distribution which defines their body shape (Wells, 2007). Genetic variants associated with sexual dimorphism play a vital role in regulation of body fat distribution traits (Heid *et al.* 2010; Shungin *et al.* 2015). Sexual dimorphism in body fat distribution is not well studied in Indian population. Vikram *et al.* (2011) had investigated the association of variant in *TNF-α* (–308G > A) with subscapular skinfold in males and total BF% in females. It was examined that the females with at least one single effect allele of *TNF-α* (–308G > A) had significantly high BF% and total skinfold, whereas higher values of WHR were observed in males, suggesting a gender specific role of this polymorphism in body fat distribution (Sharma *et al.* 2013). Low statistical power due to small sample size make these studies inconclusive, and demands more research attempts with large sample sizes to confirm these associations.

For better understanding of adiposity, research exclusively based on sexual dimorphism in body fat distribution among Indians is needed as it can yield insights into the gender specific risk factors and causes of overall obesity.

Lifestyle factors

Interplay between genetic and environmental factors play a vital role to modulate predisposition to obesity. In India, limited studies (N = 2) have performed gene-environment (GxE) interaction. For instance, Taylor *et al.* (2011) had studied GxE interaction, among rural/urban dwellers, of Indian Migration Study to investigate whether urban or rural environment modify genetic risk of obesity. They had found stronger association of *FTO* with weight in urban dwellers (*Z scores* = 0.15, 95% CI: 0.01–0.29) as they were found to be physically inactive, and consuming higher levels of dietary fat intake than rural dwellers. Bhagat *et al.* (2010) have also reported that subjects with *PPARG AB* allele were less physically active and had a greater intake of calories and fats.

Large-scale studies with detailed information on lifestyle and dietary intake are needed for identifying GxE interactions as this may facilitate the choice of more effective measures in prevention of obesity based on the individualized genetic make-up.

Biological Pathways

The biological pathways related to genes studied in Indian population supported strongly for the role of central nervous system in obesity susceptibility. Several genes have been identified but functional role could be assigned to handful of them involved in central neuronal signalling pathway (*NPY* and *MC4R*) (Bhaskar *et al.* 2010; Dwivedi *et al.* 2013), energy metabolism and thermogenesis (*UCP1* and

UCP2) (Srivastava *et al.* 2010; Dhall *et al.* 2012), homocystein metabolism/one carbon metabolism (*AMD1*) (Tabassum *et al.* 2012b), adipogenesis (*LPL, LMNA, PPAR-γ2, ADIPOQ, APOE, INSIG2)* (Radha *et al.* 2007; Sharma *et al.* 2011; Bhatt *et al.* 2012b; Ramya *et al.* 2013; Srivastava *et al.* 2016b; Prakash *et al.* 2017), insulin signaling pathway (*PPARGC1A*) (Vimaleswaran *et al.* 2006a), leptin insulin signaling pathway (*LEPR, Resistin*) (Gupta *et al.* 2011; Tabassum *et al.* 2012b; Dasgupta *et al.* 2014) and inflammatory cytokine (*TNF-α* and *IL6)* (Vikram *et al.* 2011; Tabassum *et al.* 2012a). To understand the biological processes controlled by other identified genes leading to obesity, there is a need for pathway based validation of genetic polymorphisms, identified by GWASs related to obesity in Indian population.

Limitations of the studies

Most of the studies conducted in India lack information on lifestyle risk factors like unhealthy dietary intake and physical inactivity which can influence the effects of genetic variants on obesity and its measures. A large number of studies have used case-control design, even for studying quantitative traits like BMI and WHR, where cases were defined as T2D or related metabolic disorders. For studying obesity, very few studies have taken cases defined by obesity which is why majority of findings from Indian studies are based on control samples only, and may be considered as drastically biased. Two studies have not reported whether the investigated polymorphisms were in Hardy Weinberg Equilibrium or not, which is an important quality control measure (Cassell *et al.* 1999; Gupta *et al.* 2012). The availability of limited number of large population based resources in India is one of the reasons that very few studies have explored genetics of sexual dimorphism with body fat distribution. Moreover, validations of findings of GWAS conducted on western populations are limited to few genetic variants in India. So far, there is only a single meta-analysis related to obesity and its measures published from India (Vasan *et al.* 2014). Differences in sample size, study design and SNPs studied made it difficult to perform meta-analyses for *FTO* gene.

Conclusion

The compilation of genetic studies related to obesity and its measures, summarized in our critical review provides a comprehensive update on the current knowledge for genetic basis of obesity and related measures among Indian population. There are some research gaps which pose a challenge in understanding general pathways underlying obesity susceptibility such as small sample size,

differences in methodology used across studies, limited information on obesity susceptibility loci and lack of validation studies that may reflect differences in the genetic background. Moreover, given the high heritability estimates for obesity and its measures, only a small proportion of variance can be explained by the existing knowledge of identified genetic variants associated with adiposity across the globe. We emphasizes on the need of more genetic studies to explore the missing heritability and aetiology of obesity in Indian sub-continent.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to acknowledge Science and Engineering Research Board (SB/YS/ LS-173/2014) for financial assistance.

References

Atwood L. D., Heard-Costa N. L., Cupples L. A., Jaquish C. E., Wilson P. W. and D'Agostino R. B. 2002 Genome-wide linkage analysis of body mass index across 28 years of the Framingham Heart Study. *Am J Hum Genet.* **71**, 1044-50.

Avenell A., Broom J., Brown T. J., Poobalan A., Aucott L., Stearns S. C. *et al.* 2004 Systematic review of the long term effects and economic consequences of treatments for obesity and implications for health improvement. *Health Technol Assess.* **8**, 1-182.

Beckers S., Zegers D., Van Gaal L. F. and Van Hul W. 2009 The role of the leptin-melanocortin signalling pathway in the control of food intake. *Crit Rev Eukaryot Gene Expr.* **19**, 267-87.

Been L. F., Hatfield J. L., Shankar A., Aston C. E., Ralhan S., Wander G. S. *et al.* 2012 A low frequency variant within the GWAS locus of MTNR1B affects fasting glucose concentrations: Genetic risk is modulated by obesity. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* **22**, 944-51.

Been L. F., Nath S. K., Ralhan S. K., Wander G. S., Mehra N. K., Singh J. *et al.* 2010 Replication of association between a common variant near melanocortin-4 receptor gene and obesity related traits in Asian Sikhs. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* **18**, 425-9.

Bhagat N., Agrawal M., Luthra K., Vikram N. K., Misra A. and Gupta R. 2010 Evaluation of single nucleotide polymorphisms of Pro12Ala in peroxisome proliferator activated receptor-γ and gly308Ala in tumor necrosis factor-α genes in obese Asian Indians: a population-based study. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes.* **3**, 349-56.

Bhaskar L. V., Thangaraj K., Pardhasaradhi G., Kumar K. P., Singh L. and Rao V. R. 2010 Neuropeptide Y gene polymorphisms are not associated with obesity in a South Indian population. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* **64**, 868-72.

Bhatt S. P., Nigam P., Misra A., Guleria R., Luthra K., Jain S. K. *et al.* 2012 (a) Association of the Myostatin gene with obesity, abdominal obesity and fat free lean body mass and in non-diabetic Asian Indians in north India. *PLoS One.* **7**, e40977.

Bhatt S. P., Misra A., Sharma M., Luthra K., Guleria R., Pandey R. M. *et al.* 2012 (b) Ala/Ala Genotype of Pro12Ala Polymorphism in the Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor-γ2 Gene Is Associated with Obesity and Insulin Resistance in Asian Indians. *Diabetes Technol Ther.* **14**, 828-34.

Biro F. M. and Wien M. 2010 Childhood obesity and adult morbidities. *Am J Clin Nutr.* **91**, 1499S-1505S.

Cassell P. G., Neverova M., Janmohamed S., Uwakwe N., Qureshi A., McCarthy M. I. *et al.* 1999 An uncoupling protein 2 gene variant is associated with a raised body mass index but not Type II diabetes. *Diabetologia.* **42**, 688-92.

Chambers J. C., Elliott P., Zabaneh D., Zhang W., Li Y., Y., Froguel P. *et al.* 2008 Common genetic variation near MC4R is associated with waist circumference and insulin resistance. *Nat Genet.* **40**, 716-8.

Chauhan G., Tabassum R., Mahajan A., Dwivedi O. P., Mahendran Y., Kaur I. *et al.* 2011 Common variants of FTO and the risk of obesity and type 2 diabetes in Indians. *J Hum Genet.* **56**, 720-6.

Chauhan G., Kaur I., Tabassum R., Dwivedi O. P., Ghosh S., Tandon N. *et al.* 2012 Common Variants of Homocysteine Metabolism Pathway Genes and Risk of Type 2 Diabetes and Related Traits in Indians. *Exp Diabetes Res.* **2012**, 960318.

Chris B. 2004 The Changing Face of Malnutrition. IFPRI Forum, International Food Policy Research Institute: Washington, D.C.

Cole T. J., Bellizzi M. C., Flegal K. M. and Dietz W. H. 2000 Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. *British Medical Journal.* **320**, 1240-1243.

Dasgupta S., Salman M., Siddalingaiah L. B., Lakshmi G. L., Xaviour D. and Sreenath J. 2014 Genetic variants in leptin: Determinants of obesity and leptin levels in South Indian population. *Adipocyte.* **4**, 135-40.

Dhall M., Chaturvedi M. M., Rai U. and Kapoor S. 2012 Sex dependent effects of UCP1 -3826 A/G polymorphism on obesity and blood pressure. *Ethn Dis.* **22**, 181-4.

Dhawan J., Bray C. L., Warburton R., Ghambhir D. S. and Morris J. 1994 Insulin resistance, high prevalence of diabetes, and cardiovascular risk in immigrant Asians. Genetic or environmental effect? *Br Heart J.* **72**, 413-21.

Díez J. J. and Iglesias P. 2003 The role of the novel adipocyte-derived hormone adiponectin in human disease. *Eur J Endocrinol.* **148**, 293-300.

Dwivedi O. P., Tabassum R., Chauhan G., Ghosh S., Marwaha R. K., Tandon N. *et al.* 2012 Common variants of FTO are associated with childhood obesity in a cross sectional study of 3,126 urban Indian children. *PLoS One.* **7**, e47772.

Dwivedi O. P., Tabassum R., Chauhan G., Kaur I., Ghosh S., Marwaha R. K. *et al.* 2013 Strong influence of variants near MC4R on adiposity in children and adults: a cross-sectional study in Indian population. *J Hum Genet.* **58**, 27-32.

Frayling T. M., Timpson N. J., Weedon M. N., Zeggini E., Freathy R. M., Lindgren C. M. *et al.* 2007 A common variant in the FTO gene is associated with body mass Index and predisposes to childhood and adult obesity. *Science.* **316**, 889-94.

Gupta A., Gupta V., Singh A. K., Tiwari S., Agrawal S., Natu S. M. *et al.* 2011 Interleukin-6 G-174C gene polymorphism and serum resistin levels in North Indian women: Potential risk of metabolic syndrome. *Hum Exp Toxicol.* **30**, 1445-53.

Gupta V., Gupta A., Jafar T., Gupta V., Agrawal S., Srivastava N. *et al.* 2012 Association of TNFα promoter gene G-308A polymorphism with metabolic syndrome, insulin resistance, serum TNF-α and leptin levels in Indian adult women. *Cytokine.* **57**, 32-6.

Gupta V., Vinay D. G., Sovio U., Rafiq S., Kranthi Kumar M. V., Janipalli C. S. *et al.* 2013 Association study of 25 type 2 diabetes related Loci with measures of obesity in Indian sib pairs. *PLoS One.* **8**, e58944.

Hardy R., Wills A. K., Wong A., Elks C. E., Wareham N. J., Loos R. J. *et al.* 2010 Life course variations in the associations between FTO and MC4R gene variants and body size. *Hum Mol Genet.* **19**, 545–552.

Heid I. M., Jackson A. U., Randall J. C., Winkler T. W., Qi L., Steinthorsdottir V. *et al.* 2010 Meta-analysis identifies 13 new loci associated with waist-hip ratio and reveals sexual dimorphism in the genetic basis of fat distribution. *Nat Genet.* **42**, 949-60.

Hu E., Liang P. and Spiegelman B. M. 1996 AdipoQ is a novel adipose-specific gene dysregulated in obesity. *J Biol Chem.* **271**, 10697-703.

Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME). Overweight and Obesity Viz. Seattle, WA: IHME, University of Washington; 2014. http://vizhub.healthdata.org/obesity. Accessed 21 May 2017.

Janipalli C. S., Kumar M. V., Vinay D. G., Sandeep M. N., Bhaskar S., Kulkarni S. R. *et al.* 2012 Analysis of 32 common susceptibility genetic variants and their combined effect in predicting risk of Type 2 diabetes and related traits in Indians. *Diabet Med.* **29**, 121-7.

Kalra S. and Unnikrishnan A. G. 2012 Obesity in India: The weight of the nation. *J Med Nutr Nutraceut.* **1**, 37-41.

Kaur P., Rao S. R., Radhakrishnan L., Rajasekar D. and Gupte M. D. 2012 Prevalence, awareness, treatment, control and risk factors for hypertension in a rural population in South India. *Int. J. Public Health.* **57**, 87-94.

Kumar J., Sunkishala R. R., Karthikeyan G. and Sengupta S. 2007 The common genetic variant upstream of INSIG2 gene is not associated with obesity in Indian population. *Clin Genet.* **71**, 415-8.

Kumar S., Gupta V., Srivastava N., Gupta V., Mishra S., Mishra S. *et al.* 2014 Resistin 420C/G gene polymorphism on circulating resistin, metabolic risk factors and insulin resistance in adult women. *Immunol Lett.* **162**, 287-91.

Li H., Kilpeläinen T. O., Liu C., Zhu J., Liu Y., Hu C. *et al.* 2012 Association of genetic variation in FTO with risk of obesity and type 2 diabetes with data from 96,551 East and South Asians. *Diabetologia.* **55**, 981-95.

Lindgren C. M., Heid I. M., Randall J. C., Lamina C., Steinthorsdottir V., Qi L. *et al.* 2009 Genome-wide associations can meta-analysis identifies three loci influencing adiposity and fat distribution. *PLoS Genet.* **5**, e1000508.

Lobstein T. Baur L. and Uauy R. 2004 IASO International Obesity Task Force. Obesity in children and young people: a crisis in public health. *Obes Rev.* **1**, 4-104.

Locke A. E., Kahali B., Berndt S. I., Justice A. E., Pers T. H., Day F. R. *et al.* 2015 Genetic studies of body mass index yield new insights for obesity biology. *Nature.* **518**, 197-206.

Loos R. J. 2012 Genetic determinants of common obesity and their value in prediction. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab.* **26**, 211-26.

Loos R. J., Lindgren C. M., Li S., Wheeler E., Zhao J. H., Prokopenko I. *et al.* 2008 Common variants near MC4R are associated with fat mass, weight and risk of obesity. *Nat Genet.* **40**, 768-75.

Madeshiya A. K., Singh S., Dwivedi S., Saini K. S., Singh R., Tiwari S. *et al.* 2015 Monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 gene polymorphism and its serum level have an impact on anthropometric and biochemical risk factors of metabolic syndrome in Indian population. *Int J Immunogenet.* **42**, 78-86.

Maes H. H., Neale M. C. and Eaves L. J. 1997 Genetic and environmental factors in relative body weight and human adiposity. *Behav Genet.* **27**, 325-51.

Mahajan A., Tabassum R., Chavali S., Dwivedi O. P., Chauhan G., Tandon N. *et al.* 2010 Obesity-dependent association of TNF-LTA locus with type 2 diabetes in North Indians. *J Mol Med (Berl).* **88**, 515-22.

Malis C., Rasmussen E. L., Poulsen P., Petersen I., Christensen K., Beck-Nielsen H. *et al.* 2005 Total and regional fat distribution is strongly influenced by genetic factors in young and elderly twins. *Obes Res.* **13**, 2139-45.

Melka M. G., Bernard M., Mahboubi A., Abrahamowicz M., Paterson A. D., Syme C. *et al.* 2012 Genome wide scan for loci of adolescent obesity and their relationship with blood pressure. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* **97**, E145-50.

Misra A. and Khurana L. 2011 Obesity related non-communicable diseases: South Asians vs White Caucasians. *Int J Obes (Lond).* **35**, 167-87.

Misra A. and Shrivastava U. 2013 Obesity and dyslipidemia in South Asians. *Nutrients.* **5**, 2708-33.

Moore S. C., Gunter M. J., Daniel C. R., Reddy K. S., George P. S., Yurgalevitch S. *et al.* 2012 Common genetic variants and central adiposity among Asian-Indians. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* **20**, 1902-8.

Nelson T. L., Brandon D. T., Wiggins S. A. and Whitfield K. E. 2002 Genetic and environmental influences on body-fat measures among African-American twins. *Obes Res.* **10**, 733-9.

Nelson T. L, Vogler G. P, Pedersen N. L. and Miles T. P. 1999 Genetic and environmental influences on waist-to-hip ratio and waist circumference in an older Swedish twin population. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.* **23**, 449-55.

Ng M., Fleming T., Robinson M., Thomson B., Graetz N., Margono C. *et al.* 2014 Global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and adults during 1980-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet.* **384**, 766-81.

Obesity and overweight. http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs311/en/index.html. Accessed 21 May 2017.

Park L. K., Friso S. and Choi S. W. 2011 Nutritional influences on epigenetics and age related disease. *Proc Nutr Soc.* **4**, 1-9.

Prakash J., Srivastava N., Awasthi S., Agarwal C. G., Natu S. M., Rajpal N. *et al.* 2011 Association of FTO rs17817449 SNP with obesity and associated physiological parameters in a north Indian population. *Ann Hum Biol.* **38**, 760-3.

Prakash J., Srivastava N., Awasthi S., Agarwal C., Natu S., Rajpal N. *et al.* 2012 Association of PPAR-γ gene polymorphisms with obesity and obesity- associated phenotypes in North Indian population. *Am J Hum Biol.* **24**, 454-9.

Prakash J., Mittal B., Apurva S., Shally A., Pranjal S. and Neena S. 2017 Common Genetic Variant of INSIG2 Gene rs7566605 Polymorphism Is Associated with Severe Obesity in North India. *Iran Biomed J.* **21**, 261-269.

Prakash J., Mittal B., Srivastava A., Awasthi S. and Srivastava N. 2016 Association of FTO rs9939609 SNP with Obesity and Obesity- Associated Phenotypes in a North Indian Population. *Oman Med J.* **31**, 99-106.

Radha V., Vimaleswaran K. S., Ayyappa K. A. and Mohan V. 2007 Association of lipoprotein lipase gene polymorphisms with obesity and type 2 diabetes in an Asian Indian population. *Int J Obes (Lond).* **31**, 913-8.

Ramachandran A. and Snehalatha C. 2010 Rising burden of obesity in Asia. *J Obes.* **2010**, pii:868573.

Ramya K., Ayyappa K. A., Ghosh S., Mohan V. and Radha V. 2013 Genetic association of ADIPOQ gene variants with type 2 diabetes, obesity and serum adiponectin levels in south Indian population. *Gene.* **532**, 253-62.

Ramya K., Radha V., Ghosh S., Majumder P. P. and Mohan V. 2011 Genetic Variations in the FTO Gene Are Associated with Type 2 Diabetes and Obesity in South Indians (CURES-79). *Diabetes Technol Ther.* **13**, 33-42.

Ranjani H., Mehreen T. S., Pradeepa R., Anjana R. M., Garg R., Anand K. *et al.* 2016 Epidemiology of childhood overweight & obesity in India: A systematic review. *Indian J Med Res.* **143**, 160-174.

Rao K. R., Lal N. and Giridharan N. V. 2014 Genetic & epigenetic approach to human obesity. *Indian J Med Res.* **140**, 589-603.

Rose K. M., Newman B., Mayer-Davis E. J. and Selby J. V. 1998 Genetic and behavioral determinants of waist-hip ratio and waist circumference in women twins. *Obes Res.* **6**, 383-92.

Rush E. C., Freitas I. and Plank L. D. 2009 Body size, body composition and fat distribution: comparative analysis of European, Maori, Pacific Island and Asian Indian adults. *Br J Nutr.* **102**, 632–641.

Sanghera D. K., Demirci F. Y., Been L., Ortega L., Ralhan S., Wander G. S. *et al.* 2010 PPARG and ADIPOQ gene polymorphisms increase type 2 diabetes risk in Asian Indian Sikhs: Pro12Ala still remains the strongest predictor. *Metabolism.* **59**, 492-501.

Schousboe K., Willemsen G., Kyvik K. O., Mortensen J., Boomsma D. I., Cornes B. K. *et al.* 2003 Sex differences in heritability of BMI: a comparative study of results from twin studies in eight countries. *Twin Res.* **6**, 409-21.

Selby J. V., Newman B., Quesenberry CP Jr., Fabsitz R. R., Carmelli D., Meaney F. J. *et al.* 1990 Genetic and behavioral influences on body fat distribution. *Int J Obes.* **14**, 593-602.

Sharma M., Vikram N. K., Misra A., Bhatt S., Tarique M., Parray H. A. *et al.* 2013 Assessment of 11-β hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (11-βHSD1) 4478T>G and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α)-308G>A polymorphisms with obesity and insulin resistance in Asian Indians in North India. *Mol Biol Rep.* **40**, 6261-70.

Sharma M., Misra A., Vikram N., Suryaprakash B., Chhabra S., Garg N., Pandey R. M. *et al.* 2011 Genotype of the LMNA 1908C>T variant is associated with generalized obesity in Asian Indians in North India. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf).* **75**, 642-9.

Shungin D., Winkler T. W., Croteau-Chonka D. C., Ferreira T., Locke A. E., Mägi R. *et al.* 2015 New genetic loci link adipose and insulin biology to body fat distribution. *Nature.* **518**, 187-96.

Smemo S., Tena J. J., Kim K. H., Gamazon E. R., Sakabe N. J., Gómez-Marín C. *et al.* 2014 Obesity-associated variants within FTO form long-range functional connections with IRX3. *Nature.* **507**, 371-5.

Sniderman A. D., Bhopal R., Prabhakaran D., Sarrafzadegan N. and Tchernof A. 2007 Why might South Asians be so susceptible to central obesity and its atherogenic consequences? The adipose tissue overflow hypothesis. *Int J Epidemiol.* **36**, 220-5.

Souren N. Y., Paulussen A. D., Loos R. J., Gielen M., Beunen G., Fagard R. *et al.* 2007 Anthropometry, carbohydrate and lipid metabolism in the East Flanders Prospective Twin Survey: heritabilities. *Diabetologia.* **50**, 2107-16.

Speliotes E. K., Willer C. J., Berndt S. I., Monda K. L., Thorleifsson G., Jackson A. U. *et al.* 2010 Association analyses of 249,796 individuals reveal 18 new loci associated with body mass index. *Nat Genet.* **42**, 937-48.

Srivastava A., Mittal B., Prakash J., Srivastava P., Srivastava N. and Srivastava N. 2016 (a) Association of FTO and IRX3 genetic variants to obesity risk in north India. *Ann Hum Biol.* **43**, 451-6.

Srivastava A., Mittal B., Prakash J., Srivastava P. and Srivastava N. 2016 (b) Analysis of MC4R rs17782313, POMC rs1042571, APOE-Hha1 and AGRP rs3412352 genetic variants with susceptibility to obesity risk in North Indians. *Ann Hum Biol.* **43**, 285-8.

Srivastava N., Achyut B. R., Prakash J., Agarwal C. G., Pant D. C. and Mittal B. 2008 Association of cholesteryl ester transfer protein (TaqIB) and apolipoprotein E (HhaI) gene variants with obesity. *Mol Cell Biochem.* **314**, 171-7.

Srivastava N., Prakash J., Lakhan R., Agarwal C. G., Pant D. C. and Mittal B. 2010 A common polymorphism in the promoter of UCP2 is associated with obesity and hyperinsulenemia in northern Indians. *Mol Cell Biochem.* **337**, 293-8.

Stunkard A. J., Foch T. T., Hrubec Z. 1986 A twin study of human obesity. *JAMA.* **256**, 51-4.

Tabassum R., Chavali S., Dwivedi O. P., Tandon N. and Bharadwaj D. 2008 Genetic variants of FOXA2: risk of type 2 diabetes and effect on metabolic traits in North Indians. *J Hum Genet.* **53**, 957-65.

Tabassum R., Mahendran Y., Dwivedi O. P., Chauhan G., Ghosh S., Marwaha R. K., *et al.* 2012 (a) Common variants of IL6, LEPR, and PBEF are associated with obesity in Indian children. *Diabetes.* **61**, 626-31.

Tabassum R., Jaiswal A., Chauhan G., Dwivedi O. P., Ghosh S., Marwaha R. K. *et al.* 2012 (b) Genetic Variant of AMD1 Is Associated with Obesity in Urban Indian Children. *PLoS One.* **7**, e33162.

Tabassum R., Mahajan A., Chauhan G., Dwivedi O. P., Ghosh S., Tandon N. *et al.* 2010 Evaluation of DOK5 as a susceptibility gene for type 2 diabetes and obesity in North Indian population. *BMC Med Genet.* **11**, 35.

Taylor A. E., Sandeep M. N., Janipalli C. S., Giambartolomei C., Evans D. M., Kranthi Kumar M. V. *et al.* 2011 Associations of FTO and MC4R Variants with obesity Traits in Indians and the Role of Rural/Urban Environment as a possible effect modifier. *J Obes.* **2011**, 307542.

Tilg H. and Moschen A. R. 2006 Adipocytokines: mediators linking adipose tissue, inflammation and immunity. *Nat Rev Immunol.* **6**, 772-83.

Vasan S. K., Karpe F., Gu H. F., Brismar K., Fall C. H., Ingelsson E. *et al.* 2014 FTO genetic variants and risk of obesity and type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of 28,394 Indians. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* **22**, 964-70.

Vasan S. K., Fall T., Job V., Gu H. F., Ingelsson E., Brismar K. *et al.* 2013 A common variant in the FTO locus is associated with waist–hip ratio in Indian adolescents. *Pediatr Obes.* **8**, e45-9.

Vasan S. K., Fall T., Neville M. J., Antonisamy B., Fall C. H., Geethanjali F. S. *et al.* 2012 Associations of Variants in FTO and Near MC4R with Obesity Traits in South Asian Indians. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* **20**, 2268-77.

Vikram N. K., Bhatt S. P., Bhushan B., Luthra K., Misra A., Poddar P. K. *et al.* 2011 Associations of -308G/A polymorphism of tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α gene and serum TNF-α levels with measures of obesity, intra-abdominal and subcutaneous abdominal fat, subclinical inflammation and insulin resistance in Asian Indians in north India. *Dis Markers.* **31**, 39-46.

Vimaleswaran K. S. Radha V., Anjana M., Deepa R., Ghosh S., Majumder P. P. *et al.* 2006 (a) Effect of polymorphisms in the PPARGC1A gene on body fat in Asian Indians. *Int J Obes (Lond).* **30**, 884-91.

Vimaleswaran K. S., Radha V., Ramya K., Babu H. N., Savitha N., Roopa V. *et al.* 2008 A novel association of a polymorphism in the first intron of adiponectin gene with type 2 diabetes, obesity and hypoadiponectinemia in Asian Indians. *Hum Genet.* **123**, 599-605.

Vimaleswaran K. S., Radha V. and Mohan V. 2006 Thr54 allele carriers of the Ala54Thr variant of FABP2 gene have associations with metabolic syndrome and hypertriglyceridemia in urban South Indians. *Metabolism.* **55**, 1222-6.

Wardle J., Carnell S., Haworth C. M. and Plomin R. 2008 Evidence for a strong genetic influence on childhood adiposity despite the force of the obesogenic environment. *Am J Clin Nutr.* **87**, 398-404.

Wells J. C. 2007 Sexual dimorphism of body composition. Best practice & research. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab.* **21**, 415-30.

World Health Organization (WHO). 2000 Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report of a WHO consultation. *World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser.* **894**, 1-253.

Yajnik C. S., Janipalli C. S., Bhaskar S., Kulkarni S. R., Freathy R. M., Prakash S. *et al.* 2009 FTO gene variants are strongly associated with type 2 diabetes in South Asian Indians. *Diabetologia.* **52**, 247-52.

Zhao J., Bradfield J. P., Zhang H., Sleiman P. M., Kim C. E., Glessner J. T. *et al.* 2011 Role of BMI associated loci identified in GWAS meta-analyses in the context of common childhood obesity in European Americans. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* **19**, 2436-9.

Recevied 13 September 2017; revised 14 September 2017; accepted 27 February 2018

.

Table 1. Study Designs adopted for studying obesity and its measures in India

Study Design	No. of studies (N = 48)	Areas covered	References
Population based cross-sectional	2	Chennai	Cassell *et al.* 19[illegible] Vasan *et al.* 2012
	1	Delhi & Trivandrum	Moore *et al.* 2012
	2	Lucknow, Nagpur, Hyderabad, and Bangalore (Indian Migration study sites)	Gaylo[illegible] *al.* 2011; Gupta *et al.* 2013
	7	New Delhi	Kumar *et al.* 2007; Bhatt *et al.* 2012a; 2012b Sharma *et al.* 2013; Dhall *et al.* 2012; Sharma *et al.* 2011; Vikram *et al.* 2011
	1	South India (area not specified)	Vasan *et al.* 2013
School based case control	3	Delhi	Dwivedi *et al.* 2012; Tabassum *et al.* 2012a; 2012b
Population based case control	1	South India (Kota tribe)	Bhaskar *et al.* 2010
	1	Pune	Janipalli *et al.* 2012
	2	Lucknow	Gupta *et al.* 2012; Kumar *et al.* 2014
		North India (area not specified)	Gupta *et al.* 2011
	1	Punjab	Bhagat *et al.* 2010
	1	Mysore, Karnataka	Dasgupta *et al.* 2014
	5	Delhi, Haryana, Jammu and Kashmir Himachal Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Punjab and Uttarakhand	Dwivedi *et al.* 2013; Prakash *et al.* 2016; Srivastava *et al.* 2016a; 2016b; Prakash *et al.* 2017
	6	Chennai Urban and Rural Epidemiology Study (CURES)	Vimaleswaran *et al.* 2006a; 2006b; Radha *et al.* 2007; Ramya *et al.* 2011; Ramya *et al.* 2013; Vimaleswaran *et al.* 2008
	3	Punjab (Sikh Diabetes Study)	Been *et al.* 2012; Sanghera *et al.* 2010; Been *et al.* 2010
Hospital based case control	1	Lucknow	Madeshiya *et al.* 2015
	1	Pune and Mysore	Yajnik *et al.* 2009
Hospital based cases and population based controls	5	Delhi	Tabassum *et al.* 2010; Chauhan *et al.* 2011; Mahajan *et al.* 2010; Chauhan *et al.* 2012; Tabassum *et al.* 2008
	4	Lucknow	Srivastava *et al.* 2008; Prakash *et al.* 2011; Srivastava *et al.* 2010; Prakash *et al.* 2012

Table 2. Genetic variants associated with obesity in India

Authors (year)	Study design	Location	Sample size Total (M/F)	Age in years (range/ mean/ mean ± SD)	Phenotype (obesity cut-off)	Genes	SNPs studied	OR (95% CI)	*p* value
Radha *et al.* (2007)	*Population based case control	Chennai	731	49±12	Obesity/T2D (≥25 kg/m^2)	*LPL*	–T93G	1.77 (1.19–2.63)	0.005
							–53 G-C	0.561 (0.03–0.99)	0.05
Vimaleswaran *et al.* (2008)	*Population based case control	Chennai	2000 (843/1157)	39±12	Obesity/T2D (≥25 kg/m^2)	*ADIPOQ*	(+10211) T/G	1.57 (1.34–1.84)	10^{-7}
Been *et al.* (2010)	*Population based case control	North India	783 (392/391)	51.5±14.0	Obesity/T2D (≥23 kg/m^2)	*MC4R*	rs12970134	1.24	0.012
Tabassum *et al.* (2010)	*Hospital based cases and population based controls	Delhi	1006 (606/400)	[illegible]	Obesity/T2D (≥23 kg/m^2)	*DOK5*	rs6064099	NR	9.8 x 10^{-3}
Srivastava *et al.* (2010)	*Hospital based cases and population based controls	Lucknow	440 obese: 200 non-obese: 240	Not specified	Obesity/ hyperinsulin-emia (>25 kg/m^2)	*UCP2*	-866 G/A	2.84 (1.55–5.19)	0.001
Mahajan *et al.* (2010)	*Hospital based cases and population based controls	Delhi	1006	50	T2D/obesity (≥25 kg/m^2)	*TNF-α*	rs2229094	1.3 (1.1–1.6)	0.005
							rs1800630	1.3 (1.1–1.6)	0.004
Bhagat *et al.* (2010)	Population based case control	Punjab	344 obese: 201 thin: 143	Cases: 48.1±12.9 controls: 39.5±17.7	Obesity (≥30 kg/m^2)	*TNFA*	Gly318Ala	1.46 (1.05–2.03)	0.024
						PPARG	Pro12Ala	1.74 (1.03–2.93)	0.038
Prakash *et al.* (2011)	Hospital based cases and population based controls	Lucknow	642 non-obese subjects: 333 obese subjects: 309	Not specified	Obesity (>30kg/m^2)	*FTO*	rs17817449 (G > T)	1.75 (1.16–2.64)	0.008

Sharma *et al.* (2011)	Population based cross-sectional	New Delhi	529 (269/260)	Obese: 40.2±8.7 Non obese: 38.9±8.7	Obesity (≥25 kg/m^2)	*LMNA*	1908 C > T	5.6 (2.5–12.2)	0.001
Taylor *et al.* (2011)	Population based cross-sectional	Lucknow, Nagpur, Hyderabad, and Bangalore	6780 (4301/2479)	40.7±0.13	Obesity (>25 kg/m^2)	*MC4R*	rs17782313	1.19 (1.00–1.40)	0.05
Ramya *et al.* (2011)	*Population based case control	Chennai	1001 (418/583)	43±14	Obesity/T2D (≥25 kg/m^2)	*FTO*	rs8050136	NR	0.0001
							rs1588413	1.3 (1.05–1.22)	0.002

Table 2. Genetic variants associated with obesity in India *(continued)*

Chauhan *et al.* (2011)	*Hospital based cases and population based controls	North India (areas around Delhi)	2854 Stage 1: 1006 (606/400) Stage 2: 1848 (1017/831)	Stage 1:50 Stage 2: 52	Obesity/T2D (≥25 kg/m^2)	*FTO*	rs1421085	1.22 (1.05–1.41)	0.009
							rs8050136	1.17 (1.01–1.36)	0.04
Bhatt *et al.* (2012a)	Population based cross-sectional	New Delhi	335 (238/ 97)	Male: 38.2±7.0 Females: 38.[illegible]9	Obesity (≥23 kg/m^2)	*Myostatin*	K153R	3.2 (1.2–12.9)	NR
Bhatt *et al.* (2012b)	Population based cross-sectional	New Delhi	495 (279/ 21[illegible]	Obese: 38.4±9.1 Non-obese: 40.8±8.3	Obesity (≥25 kg/m^2)	*PPAR-γ2*	Pro12Ala	3.2 (1.2–12.9)	NR
Dwivedi *et al.* (2012)	School based case control	Delhi	3126 (NW: (789/1441) OW & OB: (305/591))	13.50	Obesity (IOTF criteria, Cole *et al.* 2000)	*FTO*	rs9939609	1.21 (1.07–1.37)	2.5 x 10^{-3}
							rs8050136	1.19 (1.05–1.35)	5.0 x 10^{-3}
Chauhan *et al.* 2012	Hospital based case control	[illegible]w Delhi	998 Obese : 562 Normal weight: 436	Obese and normal weight: 50	Obesity/T2D (≥23 kg/m^2)	*TCN2*	rs1801198	1.24 (1.04–1.48)	0.02
						MTR	rs16834521	0.82 (0.68–0.99)	0.04
						CHDH	rs4563403	0.69 (0.52 – 0.92)	0.01
Tabassum *et al.* (2012a)	School based case control	Delhi	3168 Stage 1: NW: (370/464) OW & OB: (173/279) Stage 2: NW: (420/979), OW & OB: (132/312)	Stage 1: NW: 14.00 OW/OB children 13.00 Stage 2: NW: 13.00 OW/OB children: 13.2	Obesity (IOTF criteria, Cole *et al.* 2000)	*IL6R*	rs7514452	1.19	0.011
						IL6	rs2069845	1.37	2.3 x 10^{-5}
						LEPR	rs1137100	1.39	3.9 x 10^{-5}
						PBEF1	rs3801266	1.35	4.3 x 10^{-4}

Tabassum *et al.* (2012b)	School based case control	Delhi	3168 Stage 1: NW children: (370/464) OW & OB: (173/279) Stage 2: NW: (420/979) OW & OB: (132/312)	Stage 1: NW: 14.00. OW/OB: 13.00 Stage 2: NW: 13.00 OW/OB: 13.2	Obesity (IOTF criteria, Cole *et al*. 2000)	*AMD1*	rs2796749	1.35 (1.19–1.52)	1.9×10^{-6}
Prakash *et al.* (2012)	Hospital based cases and population based controls	Lucknow	642 obese: 309 non-obese: 333	19-60	Obesity (>30 kg/m^2)	*PPAR-γ*	Pro12Ala rs1801282	1.65 (1.155–2.360)	0.006

Table 2. Genetic variants associated with obesity in India *(continued)*

Dwivedi *et al.* (2013)	*Population based case control	Delhi	Children: 1362 (620/742) Non diabetic patient (adults): 2028 (1111/917)	Children: 13.96±1.8 Non diabetic patient (adults): 52.[illegible]±10[illegible]	Obesity/T2D (≥25 kg/m^2)	*MC4R*	rs17782313 (in children)	1.73 (1.36–2.19)	6.9×10^{-6}
							rs12970134 (in children)	1.62 (1.27–2.05)	7.6×10^{-5}
							rs17782313 (in adults)	1.27 (1.09–1.48)	0.003
							rs12970134 (in adults)	1.24 (1.07–1.44)	0.005
Dasgupta *et al.* 2014	Population based case control	Mysore, Karnataka	613 obese: 304 non-obese: 309	Obese: 46.37±11 .96 Non-obese 46.88±16.03	Obesity (≥27.5 kg/m^2)	*LEPR*	rs7799039	1.837 (1.035–3.261)	0.03775
							rs2167270	3.243 (1.352–7.78)	0.008391
							rs4731426	5.63 (2.701–11.74)	4.016e – 006
Prakash *et al.* (2016)	Population based case control	Delhi, Haryana, Jammu and Kashmir, Himachal Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, and Uttarakhand.	642 obese cases: 309 non-obese controls: 333 (347/295)	Obese: 36.8±2.4 Non-obese: 35.4±2.2	Obesity (≥30 kg/m^2)	*FTO*	rs9939609	1.71 (1.11–2.65)	0.015
Srivastava *et al.* (2016a)	Population based case control	Delhi, Haryana, Jammu & Kashmir, Himachal Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Punjab &	600 (350/ 250)	20-42	Obesity (≥30kg/m^2)	*FTO*	rs8050136	3.1 (1.9–5.2)	0.0001
							rs1421085	3.0 (1.8–5.0)	0.0001
							rs9939609	4.2 (2.5–7.3)	0.0001
						IRX3	rs17817449	3.8 (1.2–11.8)	0.021

		Uttarakhand)					rs3751723	3.3 (1.8–3.6)	0.012
Srivastava *et al.* (2016b)	Population based Case control	Delhi, Haryana, Jammu and Kashmir, Himachal Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Punjab and Uttarakhand	696 Obese cases: 396 Non-obese controls: 300 (375/321)	20-42	Obesity (≥30 kg/m^2)	*MC4R*	rs17782313	2.9 (1.8–4.7)	0.0001
						POMC	rs1042571	4.0 (1.1–14.1)	0.03
						APOE	Hha1	5.0 (1.4–17.2)	0.011
Prakash *et al.* (2017)	Population based Case control	Delhi, Haryana, Jammu and Kashmir, Himachal Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, and Uttarakhand.	642 obese cases: 309 non-obese controls: 333 (347/295)	Obese: 36.78±2.39 Non-obese: 35.44±2.15	Obesity (≥30 kg/m^2)	*INSIG2*	rs7566605	3.82 (1.95–7.48)	<0.001

F-Female, M-Male, IOTF- International Obesity Task Force, NW- Normal-Weight, NGT- Normal Glucose Tolerant, OW/OB- Overweight/Obese, OR-Odds Ratio, 95% CI - 95% Confidence Interval, SNPs- Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms, NR – Not Reported

* In case-control design based on metabolic disorders other than obesity, effect sizes noted from control participants only

Table 3. Genetic variants associated with BMI in India

Authors (year)	Study design	Location	Sample size/range (Mean age)	Primary outcome	Gene	SNPs studied	β_{BMI}	95% CI	*p* value
Cassell *et al.* (1999)	*Population based cross-sectional	Chennai	25[illegible] (45[illegible])	BMI/T2D	*UCP2*	Exon 8	NR	NR	< 0.001
Radha *et al.* (2007)	*Population based case control	Chennai	73[illegible] (49±[illegible])	Obesity/ T2D	*LPL*	-93 T to G	NR	NR	0.003
Been *et al.* (2010)	*Population based case control	Diabetes Study [illegible])	765 (51.5±14.0)	Obesity/ T2D	*MC4R*	rs12970134	NR	NR	0.002
Tabassum *et al.* (2010)	*Hospital based cases and population based controls	Delhi	1006 (50.0)	Obesity/ T2D	*DOX5*	rs6064099	NR	NR	7.0×10^{-3}
Bhagat *et al.* (2010)	Population based case control	Punjab	344 (39.5±17.7)	obesity	*PPARG*	Pro12Ala	NR	NR	0.01
					TNFA	Gly318Ala	NR	NR	0.01
Mahajan *et al.* (2010)	*Hospital based cases and population based controls	Delhi	1006 (50.0)	Obesity/ T2D	*TNF-α*	rs2229094	NR	NR	0.008
						rs1800630			0.01

Taylor *et al.* (2011)	Population based cross-sectional	Indian Migration study	6170 (40.7±0.13)	obesity	*FTO*	rs9939609	0.08	0.02–0.14	0.009
Sharma *et al.* (2011)	Population based cross-sectional	New Delhi	529 Obese: (40.2±8.7) Non obese: (38.9±8.7)	obesity	*LMNA*	1908C > T	NR	NR	0.001
Chauhan *et al.* (2011)	*Hospital based cases and population based controls	North India (areas around Delhi)	1627-1671 (52.0)	Obesity/ T2D	*FTO*	rs1421085	NR	NR	0.0002
						rs8050136	NR	NR	0.002
						rs9930506	NR	NR	0.04
Tabassum *et al.* (2012a)	School based cross-sectional	Delhi	3168 (13.0)	obesity	*IL6*	rs2069845	0.13	NR	5.7×10^{-5}
					LEPR	rs11[illegible]00	0.15	NR	3.2×10^{-6}
					PBEF1	rs38012[illegible]	0.17	NR	1.7×10^{-4}
Tabassum *et al.* (2012b)	School based case control	Delhi	3168 (13.0)	obesity	*AMD1*	rs2796749	0.13	NR	2.5×10^{-6}
Vasan *et al.* (2012)	Population based cross-sectional study	Vellore Tamil Nadu	2060 (28.3±1.1)	obesity	*FTO*	rs9939609	0.015	0.003–0.026	0.01

Table 3. Genetic variants associated with BMI in India *(continued)*

Dwivedi *et al.* (2012)	School based case control	Delhi	[illegible]26 (13.0)	obesity	*FTO*	rs9939609	0.14	0.09–0.19	1.6×10^{-7}
						rs8050136	0.14	0.08–0.19	4.2×10^{-7}
Bhatt *et al.* (2012a)	Population based cross-sectional	New Delhi	[illegible] (38.0±66.9)	Obesity	*Myostatin*	A55T	NR	NR	0.04
Bhatt *et al.* (2012b)	Population based cross-sectional	New Delhi	495 Obese: (38.4±9.1) Non-obese: (40.8±8.3)	obesity	*PPARγ*[2]	Pro12Ala	NR	NR	0.02
Moon *et al.* (2012)	Population based cross-sectional	New Delhi and Trivandrum	1129 New Delhi: 511 (47.1±9.9) Trivandrum: 618 (48.7±9.2)	obesity	*FTO*	rs3751812	0.55	0.14–0.96	0.008
Janipalli *et al.* (2012)	*Population based case control	Pune	1549 (Not specified)	T2D	*MC4R*	rs12970134	0.43	0.19–0.66	4.1×10^{-4}
						rs17782313	0.45	0.21–0.68	2.1×10^{-4}
Gupta *et al.* (2013)	Population based cross-	Indian Migration	5056 (39.6±10.3)	Obesity	*CXCR4*	rs932206	0.13	NR	0.001

	sectional	Study			*HHEX*	rs5015480	0.09	NR	0.002
Dwivedi *et al.* (2013)	Population based case control	Delhi	Children: 1362 (13.96±1.81) Non-diabetic patient (adults): 2028 (53.65±10.60)	Obesity/ T2D	*MC4R*	rs17782313 (in children)	0.24	0.17–0.32	8.5×10^{-11}
						rs12970134 (in children)	0.22	0.14–0.29	6.7×10^{-9}
						rs17782313 (in adults)	0.08	0.01–0.14	0.027
						rs12970134 (in adults)	0.08	0.01–0.14	0.018
Dasgupta *et al.* (2014)	Population based case control	Mysore, Karnataka	613 obese: 304 (46.37±11.96) non-obese: 309 (46.88±16.03)	Obesity	*LEPR*	rs7799039	0.604	NR	0.0001
						rs2167270	1.304	NR	0.0068
						rs4731426	0.46	NR	0.0001
Prakash *et al.* (2017)	Population based case control	Delhi, Haryana, Jammu and Kashmir, Himachal Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, and Uttarakhand.	642 obese cases: 309 (36.78±2.39) non-obese controls: 333 (35.44±2.15)	Obesity	*INSIG2*	rs7566605	NR	NR	<0.001

BMI – Body Mass Index, SNPs – Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms, T2D – Type 2 Diabetes, β – Beta Coefficient, NR – Not Reported
* In case-control design based on metabolic disorders other than obesity, effect sizes noted from control participants only

Table 4. Genetic variants associated with other obesity measures in India

Authors (year)	Study design	Location	Sample size/range (Mean age)	Primary outcome	gene	SNPs studied	β (95% CI), *p* value		
							WC	HC	WHR
Radha *et al.* (2007)	*Population based case control	Chennai	731 (39±12)	Obesity/ T2D	*LPL*	-93 T to G	*p* = 0.03	NR	NR
Tabassum *et al.* (2008)	*Hospital based cases and population based controls	New Delhi	625 (50.0)	T2D	*FOXA2*	rs1055080	NR	NR	*p* = 0.013
Yajnik *et al.* (2009)	*Hospital based case control	Pune and Mysore	960 (37.0±16.4)	Obesity/ T2D	*FTO*	rs9939609	NS	*p* = 0.02	NS
Been *et al.* (2010)	*Population based case control	Sikh Diabetes Study (Punjab)	765 (51.5±14.0)	Obesity/ T2D	*MC4R*	rs12970134	*p* = 0.009	*p* = 0.03	NS
Sanghera *et al.* (2010)	*Population based case control	Sikh Diabetes study	500 (51.8±15.6)	T2D	*ADIPOQ*	rs12495941	NS	*p* = 0.040	NR

		(Punjab)							
Srivastava *et al.* (2010)	*Hospital based cases and population based controls	Lucknow	240 (Not specified)	obesity & hyperinsulin-emia	*UCP2*	-866 G/A	NR	NR	$p = 0.033$
Mahajan *et al.* (2010)	*Hospital based cases and population based controls	Delhi	1006 (50.0)	Obesity/ T2D	*TNF-α*	rs2229094	$p = 4\times10^{-4}$	NR	NR
						rs1800630	$p = 4\times10^{-4}$	NR	NR
Bhagat *et al.* (2010)	Population based case control	Punjab	344 (39.5±17.7)	Obesity	*PPARG*	Pro12Ala	$p < 0.01$	NR	$p < 0.01$
					TNFA	Gly318Ala	$p < 0.05$	NR	$p < 0.01$
Taylor *et al.* (2011)	Population based cross-sectional	Indian Migration Study	6168 (40.7±0.13)	Obesity	*MC4R*	rs17782313	NS	0.06 (0.01–0.12) $p = 0.03$	NS
Gupta *et al.* (2011)	*Population based case control	North India	178 Non obese: (28.04±5.86) Obese: (29.12±6.51)	Metabolic syndrome	*IL6*	G-174C	NS	NS	$p < 0.001$
Sharma *et al.* (2011)	Population based cross-sectional	New Delhi	529 Obese: (40.2±8.7) Non obese: (38.9±8.7)	Obesity	*LMNA*	1908C>T	$p = 0.001$	$p = 0.002$	NS
Chauhan *et al.* (2011)	*Hospital based cases and population based controls	North India (places in and around Delhi)	1627-1671 (52.0)	Obesity/ T2D	*FTO*	rs1421085	$p = 0.001$	NS	NS
						rs8050136	$p = 0.05$	NS	NS
						rs9930506	$p = 0.02$	NS	NS
						rs9939609	$p = 0.02$	NS	$p = 0.03$

Table 4. Genetic variants associated with other obesity measures in India *(continued)*

Dwivedi *et al.* (2012)	School based case control	Delhi	3126 (13.50)	Obesity	*FTO*	rs9939609	0.12 (0.07–0.17) $p = 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$	0.11 (0.05–0.16) $p = 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	0.06 (0.01–0.12) $p = 0.02$
						rs8050136	0.12 (0.07–0.18) $p = 7.9 \times 10^{-6}$	0.11 (0.05–0.16) $p = 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$	0.07 (0.02–0.13) $p = 9.2 \times 10^{-3}$
Tabassum *et al.* (2012b)	School based case control	Delhi	3168 (13.0)	obesity	*AMD1*	rs2796749	0.14 $p = 3.2 \times 10^{-7}$	0.16 $p = 3.7 \times 10^{-7}$	0.06 $p = 0.05$
Moore *et al.* (2012)	Population based cross-sectional	New Delhi and Trivandrum	1129 New Delhi: 511 (47.1±9.9) Trivandrum: 618 (48.7±9.2)	Obesity	*FTO*	rs3751812	$p = 0.04$	NR	NR

Bhatt *et al.* (2012a)	Population based cross-sectional	New Delhi	335 (38.0±66.9)	Obesity	*myostatin*	K153R	$p = 0.04$	NS	NS
Bhatt *et al.* (2012b)	Population based cross-sectional	New Delhi	495 Obese: (38.4±9.1) Non-obese: (40.8±8.3)	obesity	*PPARγ*[2]	Pro12Ala	NS	$p = 0.03$	NS
Vasan *et al.* (2012)	Population based cross-sectional	Tamil Nadu (Vellore)	2065 (28.3±1.1)	Obesity	*FTO*	rs9939609	0.013 (0.005–0.021) $p = 0.002$	0.007 (0.002–0.013) $p = 0.01$	0.005 (0.001–0.0008) $p = 0.01$
					MC4R	rs17782313	NS	0.005 (0.[illegible]–0.012) $p = 0.[illegible]$	NS
Janipalli *et al.* (2012)	*Population based case control	Pune	1549 (Not specified)	T2D	*MC4R*	rs12970134	1.06 (0.38–1.74) $p = 2.0 \times 10^{-3}$	0.86 (0.31–1.41) $p = 2.0 \times 10^{-3}$	NR
						rs17782313	1.19 (0.52–1.87) $p = 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	0.89 (0.34–1.44) $p = 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	NR
Vasan *et al.* (2013)	Population based cross-sectional	South India	1230 (17.1±1.9)	obesity	*FTO*	rs9939609	NS	NS	0.006 (0.001–0.012) $p = 0.021$
Gupta *et al.* (2013)	Population based cross-sectional	Indian Migration study	5056 (39.6±10.3)	Obesity	*NGN3*	rs10823406	NS	NS	0.08 $p = 0.01$
Dwivedi *et al.* (2013)	Population based case control	Delhi	Children:1362 (13.96±1.81) Non-diabetic patient (adults): 2028 (53.65±10.60)	Obesity/T2D	*MC4R*	rs17782313 (in children)	0.26 (0.19–0.34) $p = 3.8 \times 10^{-12}$	NR	0.13 (0.06–0.20) $p = 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$
						rs12970134 (in children)	0.24 (0.16–0.31) $p = 4.3 \times 10^{-10}$	NR	0.11 (0.04–0.18) $p = 0.002$
						rs17782313 (In adults)	0.07 (0.01–0.14) $p = 0.034$	NR	NR
						rs12970134 (In adults)	$p = 0.05$	NR	NR

Table 4. Genetic variants associated with other obesity measures in India *(continued)*

Ramya *et al.* (2013)	*Population based case control	Haryana, Himachal Pradesh, Delhi, and Jammu and Kashmir	1100 (41±13)	Obesity/ T2D	*ADIPOQ*	+276G/T (rs1501299)	NS	$p = 0.001$	NR
						11365C/G (rs266729)	NS	$p = 0.01$	NR
						-3971A/G (rs822396)	$p = 0.001$	NS	NR

SNPs – Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms, T2D – Type 2 Diabetes, WC – Waist Circumference, HC – Hip Circumference, WHR – Waist Hip Ratio, β – Beta Coefficient, NS – Non-Significant, NR – Not Reported

* In case-control design based on metabolic disorders other than obesity, effect sizes noted from control participants only