

ON A PROBLEM OF ARRANGEMENTS

BY S. CHOWLA

(Government College, Lahore)

Received April 13, 1939

THE problem is to arrange the numbers $1, 2, 3, \dots$, upto $(2n + 1)$ in a circle in n different ways so that no number has the same neighbours in different arrangements. We shall call this problem P_n . My attention was directed to this problem by Dr. Vijayaraghavan.

In recent issues of these *Proceedings* the problem has been dealt with in special cases by Gul Abdulla, Lal Bahadur, and myself; it was pointed out that P_n is soluble when $(2n + 1)$ is a prime; Gupta has developed a general method for attacking the problem. We use his method to prove the THEOREM. P_{n+1} is soluble when $(2n + 1)$ is a prime.

(That the "10-21" problem is soluble is the special case $n = 10$).

Gupta shows how we can attempt to solve P_{n+1} , in case P_n is solved; he shows that P_{n+1} also can be solved (when P_n is solved) provided we can solve another problem in permutations, whose solution "seems always to exist", but he was unable to give a "formal proof of this statement".

Let $(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_i)$ denote the arrangement of these natural numbers round a circle, in the order indicated. We know that the solution of P_n is given by the n arrangements A_m ($1 \leq m \leq n$) where A_m is the arrangement

$$(1, 1 + m, 1 + 2m, 1 + 3m, \dots)$$

[the arrangement contains n numbers; numbers greater than $2n + 1$ are represented by their least positive residues mod $(2n + 1)$].

Let B_m ($1 \leq m \leq n + 1$) denote the different arrangements in the solution of P_{n+1} . We shall show that all these arrangements, except one (which we call B_{n+1}), are obtained from the A_m ($1 \leq m \leq n$) by the introduction of the 2 numbers $(2n + 2)$ and $(2n + 3)$ at suitable places in A_m (the order of the numbers in an A_m is not disturbed, only at two suitable places we insert the two new numbers between the old ones). In this way we obtain B_m from A_m for $1 \leq m \leq n$. We denote by (C) the arrangement

$$\begin{aligned} (C) &= (1, 2n, 2, 2n - 1, 3, 2n - 2, \dots, n, n + 1) \\ &= (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4, \dots, \theta_{2n-1}, \theta_{2n}) \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $(\theta_1 = 1, \text{etc.})$.

$$(1) \theta_1 + \theta_2 = \theta_3 + \theta_4 = \theta_5 + \theta_6 = \dots = \theta_{2n-1} + \theta_{2n} = 2n + 1$$

We take

$$B_{n+1} = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{2n-1}, 2n+2, \theta_{2n}, 2n+3, 2n+1),$$

i.e., as we shall put it: B_{n+1} is obtained from (C) by "inserting" $(2n+2)$ between θ_{2n-1} and θ_{2n} [in (C)], and "inserting" $(2n+3)$ and $(2n+1)$ after θ_{2n} [in (C)]. B_1 is obtained from A_1 by inserting $(2n+2)$ and $(2n+3)$ at the end of A_1 ; before proceeding further we must explain our terminology; we shall say that the pair (a, b) occurs in an arrangement like (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m) when a and b are consecutive d 's, *i.e.*, $a = d_t, b = d_{t+1}$ for some t . Further d_m is regarded as also consecutive to d_1 (since the d 's are supposed to be arranged in a circle). Now our θ 's ($\theta_1 = 1, \theta_2 = 2n, \dots$, etc., $\theta_{2n-1} = n, \theta_{2n} = n+1$) have been chosen so that each of the pairs $(\theta_1, \theta_2), (\theta_3, \theta_4), (\theta_5, \theta_6)$, etc., upto $(\theta_{2n-3}, \theta_{2n-2})$ occurs in *exactly one* of the arrangements A_m ($2 \leq m \leq n$). The same is true of the pairs $(\theta_2, \theta_3), (\theta_4, \theta_5)$, etc., upto $(\theta_{2n-2}, \theta_{2n-1})$, *i.e.*, each of these pairs "occurs" *exactly once* in the arrangements A_m ($2 \leq m \leq n$).

To get B_m from A_m , we insert $(2m+2)$ between $(\theta_{2k-1}, \theta_{2k})$ where k is chosen so that the latter pair "occurs" in A_m ; we also insert $(2m+3)$ between the numbers θ_{2l} and θ_{2l+1} , where l is chosen so that $(\theta_{2l}, \theta_{2l+1})$ is a pair which occurs in A_m . It is thus that we get B_m from A_m , for $2 \leq m \leq n$.

The proof is easy and is left to the reader: the arrangements B_m ($1 \leq m \leq n+1$) defined above are a solution of P_{n+1} .

We illustrate the theorem and method by an example, $n = 10$.

If, for example, 4 and 7 are neighbours in an arrangement, then $(1, 4, \overbrace{7}, 10, \dots)$ will mean that 20 is inserted between 4 and 7; a similar sign *below* will mean that 21 is to be inserted between the numbers thus connected.

Then (here the "bars" mean nothing; they merely help to construct the remaining B's).

$$B_{10} = (\overline{1}, \overline{18}, \overline{2}, \overline{17}, \overline{3}, \overline{16}, \overline{4}, \overline{15}, \overline{5}, \overline{14}, \overline{6}, \overline{13}, \overline{7}, \overline{12}, 8, 11, 9, 20, 10, 21, 19).$$

The B_m ($2 \leq m \leq 9$) are simply A_m ($2 \leq m \leq 9$) with the connecting signs at two places in each B.

$$B_1 = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21)$$

$$*B_2 = (3, 5, 7, 9, \overbrace{11}, 13, 15, 17, 19, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, \overbrace{18}, 1)$$

$$B_3 = (1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 19, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, \overbrace{18}, 2, 5, \overbrace{8}, 11, 14, 17)$$

$$B_4 = (1, 5, 9, 13, \widehat{17, 2}, 6, 10, 14, 18, 3, 7, 11, 15, 19, 4, \widehat{8, 12}, 16)$$

$$B_5 = (1, 6, 11, 16, 2, \widehat{7, 12}, \widehat{17, 3}, 8, 13, 18, 4, 9, 14, 19, 5, 10, 15)$$

$$B_6 = (1, \widehat{7, 13}, 19, 6, 12, 18, 5, 11, 17, 4, 10, \widehat{16, 3}, 9, 15, 2, 8, 14)$$

$$B_7 = (1, 8, 15, 3, 10, 17, 5, 12, 19, 7, 14, 2, 9, \widehat{16, 4}, 11, 18, \widehat{6, 13})$$

$$B_8 = (1, 9, 17, \widehat{6, 14}, 3, 11, 19, 8, 16, 5, 13, 2, 10, 18, 7, \widehat{15, 4}, 12)$$

$$B_9 = (1, 10, 19, 9, 18, 8, 17, 7, 16, 6, \widehat{15, 5}, \widehat{14, 4}, 13, 3, 12, 2, 11)$$

* Note, in regard to B_2 , that

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) \text{ is the same as } (a_2, a_3, \dots, a_m, a_1)$$

on account of the "circular" arrangement.

In B_2 to B_9 , note that 20 is inserted between a pair of consecutive numbers whose sum is 19; 21 is inserted between a pair of consecutive numbers whose sum is 20; this remark generalizes to the general case.

Note added May 15, 1939.—

Prof. Levi has obtained a remarkably simple solution of P_n for all n .