

ON SUMS OF POWERS.

BY S. CHOWLA,

Andhra University, Waltair.

Received January 18, 1935.

1. We denote by $N(k)$ the least value of m such that the equation
(I) $a_1^n + \dots + a_m^n = b_1^n + \dots + b_m^n$ ($1 \leq n \leq k$) has a non-trivial solution in which a_r ($1 \leq r \leq m$) and b_s ($1 \leq s \leq m$) are positive integers.

Pillai¹ has recently shown that

$$(1) \quad N(k) = O\left(\frac{2^k}{\sqrt{k}}\right),$$

and Wright² (independently) has proved the stronger result :

$$(2) \quad N(k) = O\left((160)^{k/19}\right).$$

On the other hand, it is trivial that $N(k) \geq k + 1$. It is known that

$$\begin{aligned} N(k) &= k + 1 \quad (k = 2, 3, 5, 7), \\ N(6) &\leq 8. \end{aligned}$$

I shall show here that

$$(3) \quad N(18) \leq 68.$$

From (3) and a process described by Wright³ we at once deduce that

$$(4) \quad N(k) = O\left((136)^{k/19}\right),$$

which is an improvement of (2).

In the sequel we shall frequently employ the following :

Lemma 1. If⁴

$$(5) \quad a_1, \dots, a_m \stackrel{k}{=} b_1, \dots, b_m$$

then

$$(6) \quad a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1 + x, \dots, b_m + x \stackrel{k+1}{=} b_1, \dots, b_m, a_1 + x, \dots, a_m + x.$$

Suppose that in (5) the a 's and b 's are written in ascending order of magnitude. Further let d_1 be the number of solutions of $y = a_r - a_s$ ($r > s$), d_2 the number of solutions of $y = b_r - b_s$ ($r > s$), $d = d_1 + d_2$.

¹ Pillai, 1. See also a paper by A. Moessner in the same issue.

² Wright, 1.

³ *Loc. cit.*

⁴ (5) is an abbreviated form of (I).

Then the number of terms in (6) when x is put equal to y and terms common to both sides are cancelled, is $2m-d$.

Lemma 2.

1, 5, 10, 24, 28, 42, 47, 51 $\stackrel{7}{=} 2, 3, 12, 21, 31, 40, 49, 50$.

Lemmas 1 and 2 are due to Tarry.⁵

We apply lemma 1 to lemma 2 with

$y = 9, d_1 = 2, d_2 = 4 (d = 6)$. This gives

Lemma 3.

1, 5, 11, 24, 28, 30, 42, 47, 58, 59 $\stackrel{8}{=} 2, 3, 14, 19, 31, 33, 37, 50, 56, 60$.

whence $N(8) \leq 10$.

We now apply lemma 1 in succession, starting with lemma 3.

We use

- (7) $y = 4, d_1 = 2, d_2 = 2 (d = 4)$ on lemma 3. Thus $N(9) \leq 16$.
- (8) $y = 1, d_1 = 4, d_2 = 7 (d = 11)$ on (7). Hence $N(10) \leq 21$.
- (9) $y = 6, d_1 = 6, d_2 = 6 (d = 12)$ on (8). Hence $N(11) \leq 30$.
- (10) $y = 7, d_1 = 14, d_2 = 16 (d = 30)$ on (9). Hence $N(12) \leq 30$.
- (11) $y = 5, d_1 = 13, d_2 = 13 (d = 26)$ on (10). Hence $N(13) \leq 34$.
- (12) $y = 2, d_1 = 14, d_2 = 8 (d = 22)$ on (11). Hence $N(14) \leq 46$.
- (13) $y = 3, d_1 = 24, d_2 = 24 (d = 48)$ on (12). Hence $N(15) \leq 44$.
- (14) $y = 1, d_1 = 18, d_2 = 16 (d = 34)$ on (13). Hence $N(16) \leq 54$.
- (15) $y = 19, d_1 = 19, d_2 = 19 (d = 38)$ on (14). Hence $N(17) \leq 70$.
- (16) $y = 17, d_1 = 34, d_2 = 38 (d = 72)$ on (15). Hence $N(18) \leq 68$.

Our final results expressing (15) and (16) are,

(17) 1, 3, 4, 4, 4, 8, 8, 12, 14, 14, 20, 21, 21, 21, 25, 26, 26, 31, 35, 35, 37, 37, 37, 37, 38, 38, 41, 42, 47, 51, 51, 52, 54, 54, 54, 55, 55, 55, 57, 58, 58, 62, 67, 68, 71, 71, 72, 72, 72, 72, 74, 74, 78, 83, 83, 84, 88, 88, 88, 89, 95, 95, 97,

101, 101, 105, 105, 105, 106, 108 $\stackrel{17}{=} 2, 2, 2, 6, 6, 7, 7, 10, 16, 17, 19, 19, 19, 22, 23, 28, 29, 32, 33, 33, 36, 36, 36, 39, 39, 39, 40, 44, 48, 49, 50, 53, 53, 53, 53, 56, 56, 56, 59, 60, 61, 65, 69, 70, 70, 70, 73, 73, 73, 76, 76, 77, 80, 81, 86, 87, 90, 90, 90, 92, 93, 99, 102, 102, 103, 103, 107, 107, 107$.

(18) 1, 3, 4, 4, 4, 8, 8, 12, 14, 14, 23, 24, 24, 26, 26, 27, 34, 35, 35, 37, 37, 37, 41, 45, 46, 47, 50, 51, 51, 55, 57, 57, 58, 62, 66, 67, 67, 70, 72, 73, 74, 78, 78, 82, 83, 83, 87, 87, 88, 93, 94, 95, 97, 97, 98, 101, 104, 108, 109, 110, 116,

119, 119, 120, 120, 124, 124, 124 $\stackrel{18}{=} 2, 2, 2, 6, 6, 7, 7, 10, 16, 17, 18, 22, 25, 28, 29, 29, 31, 32, 33, 38, 39, 39, 43, 43, 44, 48, 48, 52, 53, 54, 56, 59, 59, 60, 64, 68, 69, 69, 71, 75, 75, 76, 79, 80, 81, 85, 89, 89, 89, 91, 91, 92, 99, 100, 100, 102, 102, 103, 112, 112, 114, 118, 118, 122, 122, 122, 123, 125$.

⁵ See Dickson's *History of the Theory of Numbers*, II, 705-713 (710).

The latter result implies

$$(19) \quad (x+1)^{18} + \cdots + (x+124)^{18} = (x+2)^{18} + \cdots + (x+125)^{18}.$$

Integrating twice we get

$$(20) \quad \{(x+1)^{20} + \cdots + (x+124)^{20}\} - \{(x+2)^{20} + \cdots + (x+125)^{20}\} \\ = Cx + D$$

where there are 136 terms on the left side.

As with Wright (20) implies

$$(21) \quad N(k) = O\left((136)^{k/19}\right),$$

which is (4).

REFERENCES.

- Pillai, 1, *Mathematics Student* (Madras), Sept. 1934.
 Wright, 1, *Jour. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 1934, 9, 267-272.