Analysis of generalized continual-clamp and split-clamp PWM schemes for induction motor drive

SOUMITRA DAS^{1,*}, V S S PAVAN KUMAR HARI², ARUN KUMAR³ and G NARAYANAN⁴

¹Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, National Institute of Technology Goa, Goa 403401, India

²Department of Energy Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai 400076, India

³3rd floor, P'DMello Road, Wadibunder, Mumbai 400010, India

⁴Department of Electrical Engineering, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012, India
e-mail: soumitradas81@gmail.com; pavanhari@iitb.ac.in; arun620686@gmail.com; gnar@iisc.ac.in

MS received 9 May 2017; accepted 6 September 2018; published online 25 January 2019

Abstract. Continual-clamp pulse width modulation (CCPWM) clamps each phase of a three-phase inverter to one of the two dc buses continually for 60° duration in each half of the fundamental cycle. Split-clamp pulse width modulation (SCPWM) divides the 60° clamping interval into two sub-intervals, which are not necessarily equal, and falling in two different quarter cycles. Whether continual clamp or split clamp, the positioning of the clamping interval in case of CCPWM, and the ratio of splitting the clamping interval in SCPWM – all influence the waveform quality of the inverter output. This paper derives analytically closed-form expressions for the total RMS harmonic distortion factor and torque ripple factor pertaining to CCPWM with any arbitrary position of the clamping interval (i.e., generalized CCPWM) and also corresponding to SCPWM with any arbitrary ratio of splitting of the clamping interval (i.e., generalized SCPWM). The analytical results are well supported by experimental results on 3-hp and 5-hp induction motor drives.

Keywords. Analytically derived closed-form expression; bus-clamping pulse width modulation; continual-clamp PWM; discontinuous PWM; harmonic analysis; harmonic distortion; split-clamp PWM; pulsating torque; voltage source inverter; waveform quality.

1. Introduction

Research on bus-clamping pulse width modulation (BCPWM) strategies [1] for three-phase voltage source inverter (see figure 1) started a few decades back [1–6], has continued over the last two decades [7–22] and remains active even at present [23–32]. A number of pulse width modulation (PWM) schemes were proposed in the early years of research on BCPWM [1–6]. Though these PWM schemes are seemingly different, all these methods clamp each phase to the positive or negative dc bus during different intervals in a line cycle; hence the name *bus-clamping PWM* [1]. Since one of the phases is clamped and only two phases switch in any carrier cycle with these PWM methods, they are also sometimes referred to as *two-phase modulation* [8, 9]. The modulating signals used by such schemes are discontinuous functions of time, as illustrated in figure 2(a) and 2(b). Hence such methods are more commonly known as *discontinuous pulse width modulation* (DPWM) methods [10, 11].

The modulating signal in figure 2(a) is one of the early bus-clamping modulation signals [2]. As seen from figure 2(a), the clamping for a phase occurs in the middle 60° duration of each voltage half-cycle; hence the name *60° clamp PWM* for this method [17, 19]. Figure 2(b) illustrates the modulating signal corresponding to another popular BCPWM method where the clamping occurs in the middle 30° of each voltage quarter cycle. This technique is termed *30° clamp PWM* [17, 19].

More generally, the 60° clamping duration in figure 2(a) can be positioned anywhere within the middle 120° of the voltage half-cycle, i.e., $(30^\circ + \gamma)$ to $(90^\circ + \gamma)$, where $0^\circ \leq \gamma \leq 60^\circ$. This technique is referred to as *continual clamp PWM* (CCPWM) [17, 21, 22]. Similarly, the 60° clamping interval could be split into two intervals of γ and $(60^\circ - \gamma)$, positioned in the first and second quarter cycles, respectively, in each half cycle. This method is termed *split clamp PWM* (SCPWM) [17, 21, 22].

CCPWM with any general γ has been studied extensively, particularly in the context of reduction in inverter switching loss when feeding high power factor loads [11, 14]. Effect of the positioning of the clamping interval

*For correspondence

Figure 1. Power circuit diagram of a two-level inverter.

Figure 2. Popular BCPWM schemes: (a) 60° clamp PWM [2] and (b) 30° clamp PWM [6].

on total harmonic distortion (THD) in line current has been studied only for specific values of γ (i.e., 0°, 30°, 60°) [5, 8, 11, 21]. To the best of authors' knowledge, the influence of this positioning on torque ripple in an induction motor drive has not been reported. One objective here is to investigate the effect of the optimal positioning (i.e., $\gamma = \gamma_{opt}$) and/or any arbitrary positioning (i.e., $0^\circ \leq \gamma \leq 60^\circ$) of the clamping interval in CCPWM on motor current THD and pulsating torque.

Also, despite the long history of BCPWM [1–5, 10, 11, 14], the study of SCPWM (other than $\gamma = 30^\circ$) is relatively rare and recent [17, 21, 22, 24]. The focus of these papers is to investigate the reduction in switching loss due to unequal splitting of the clamping interval and to arrive at the optimal splitting to minimize switching loss.

The effects of such unequal and/or optimal splitting of the clamping interval in SCPWM on both THD and pulsating torque are studied in the present paper.

Harmonic distortion factor is widely used for comparative evaluation of line current THD from different PWM methods, regardless of machine or line-side parameters [8, 11, 16]. Closed-form expressions have been derived analytically for harmonic distortion factors pertaining to specific PWM methods [8, 11, 16, 21, 33].

This paper attempts to derive analytically closed-form expressions for two classes of PWM methods, namely, CCPWM with any arbitrary γ , and SCPWM with any arbitrary γ (i.e., $0^\circ \leq \gamma \leq 60^\circ$). The paper also derives analytically closed-form expressions for torque ripple factor [33], pertaining to the two classes of PWM methods. The torque ripple factor is a measure of torque ripple produced by the motor and is independent of motor parameters [33]. The analytical results are well supported by experimental results from 3-hp and 5-hp induction motor drives.

2. CCPWM and SCPWM schemes

Generation of common-mode and modulating signals for CCPWM and SCPWM is illustrated in figure 3. Optimal CCPWM [11, 17, 22] and optimal SCPWM [17, 22] to minimize inverter switching loss are reviewed.

2.1 Modulating waves

Three-phase sinusoidal signals m_R, m_Y and m_B are shown in figures 3(a) and (b). Based on the maximum among these signals, the common-mode signal m_{CM_P} , which can clamp one of the phases to positive dc buses, can be generated as given in eq. (1a), and shown in figure 3(a).

$$m_{CM_P} = 1 - \max(m_R, m_Y, m_B), \quad (1a)$$

$$m_{CM_N} = -1 - \min(m_R, m_Y, m_B). \quad (1b)$$

Similarly, using eq. (1b), the common-mode signal m_{CM_N} to clamp a phase to the negative dc bus can be obtained as illustrated in figure 3(b).

As known widely [11], the common-mode signal m_{CM_CC} for CCPWM can be expressed as

$$m_{CM_CC} = \begin{cases} m_{CM_P}, & (30^\circ + \gamma) < \omega t < (90^\circ + \gamma), \\ m_{CM_N}, & (90^\circ + \gamma) < \omega t < (150^\circ + \gamma). \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

The common-mode signal m_{CM_SC} for SCPWM can be obtained by swapping m_{CM_P} and m_{CM_N} in the two sets of intervals as shown:

$$m_{CM_SC} = \begin{cases} m_{CM_N}, & (30^\circ + \gamma) < \omega t < (90^\circ + \gamma), \\ m_{CM_P}, & (90^\circ + \gamma) < \omega t < (150^\circ + \gamma). \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Figure 3. (a) Positive common-mode signal, (b) negative common-mode signal, (c) modulating signal and common-mode signal for CCPWM and (d) modulating signal and common-mode signal for SCPWM.

The modulating signals for CCPWM and SCPWM, m_{R_CC} and m_{R_SC} , obtained by adding the respective common-mode signals m_{CM_CC} and m_{CM_SC} to the sinusoidal signal m_R , are shown in figures 3(c) and (d). The clamping regions of R-phase with CCPWM and SCPWM depend on the parameter γ as indicated in table 1 [21].

As will be shown in later sections, a typical modulating signal shown in figure 3(d) is better than a typical modulating signal as in figure 3(c) both in terms of harmonic distortion and pulsating torque.

2.2 Optimal CCPWM and optimal SCPWM

The optimal value of γ (i.e., γ_{opt}) to minimize the inverter switching loss with CCPWM depends on the power factor angle ϕ as shown in dotted line in figure 4(a). CCPWM with $\gamma = \gamma_{opt}$ is referred to as *optimal continual clamp PWM* (OCCPWM). Similarly, *optimal split clamp PWM*

(OSCPWM) employs $\gamma = \gamma_{opt}$, which varies with ϕ as shown by the solid line in figure 4(a).

The switching loss from different PWM methods, normalized with respect to that from the conventional space vector PWM (CSVPWM), is shown plotted against power factor angle in figure 4(b). The switching energy loss is assumed to be proportional to the fundamental current here. The BCPWM methods are compared at the same average switching frequency (or carrier frequency equal to 1.5 times that of conventional space vector PWM) [17, 22].

It can be seen from figure 4(b) that the 60° clamp PWM (curve 2) reduces the switching loss significantly at high power factors, but increases the loss at low power factors, compared with CSVPWM. The 30° clamp PWM (curve 3) results in a marginally lower switching loss than CSVPWM at high and low power factors, but there is a marginal increase in switching loss with this method over CSVPWM at medium power factors. OCCPWM (curve 4) yields lower switching loss than CSVPWM over a wide range of power factor, though still not close to that of zero power factor. OSCPWM (curve 5) results in a lower switching loss than CSVPWM over the whole range of power factor [17].

Thus, whether CCPWM or SCPWM, variation of γ in accordance with power factor is beneficial from a switching loss perspective. This paper examines the effect of such variation of γ on line current distortion and torque ripple.

Table 1. Clamping Regions of R-Phase with CCPWM and SCPWM.

Schemes	Clamping regions of R-phase
CCPWM	$(30^\circ + \gamma) < \omega t < (90^\circ + \gamma)$ $(210^\circ + \gamma) < \omega t < (270^\circ + \gamma)$
SCPWM	$30^\circ < \omega t < (30^\circ + \gamma)$; $(90^\circ + \gamma) < \omega t < 150^\circ$ $210^\circ < \omega t < (210^\circ + \gamma)$; $(270^\circ + \gamma) < \omega t < 330^\circ$

Figure 4. (a) Variation of γ_{opt} against power factor angle for optimal continual clamp PWM (OCCPWM) and optimal split-clamp PWM (OSCPWM). (b) Normalized switching loss from different BCPWM methods; normalization is with respect to CSVPWM [17].

3. Analytical evaluation of torque ripple and current ripple from CCPWM and SCPWM

Closed-form expressions for harmonic distortion factor and torque ripple factor with CCPWM and SCPWM are derived analytically in this section.

3.1 Analysis of current ripple over a sub-cycle

For a voltage reference vector V_{ref} as shown in figure 5(a) (or an equivalent set of three-phase reference voltages), the applied voltage vector at any instant in the given sub-cycle is either V_0 , V_1 or V_2 . The corresponding error voltage vectors are $V_{err,0}$, $V_{err,1}$ and $V_{err,2}$, respectively, as shown in figure 5(a). In CCPWM or SCPWM, the given V_{ref} is

realized using the switching sequence 7-2-1 (figure 5(b)) or sequence 0-1-2 (figure 5(c)). In both cases, the active vectors V_1 and V_2 are applied for durations T_1 and T_2 , respectively, where

$$T_1 = \frac{V_{ref} \sin(60^\circ - \alpha)}{V_{dc} \sin(60^\circ)} T_s, \quad T_2 = \frac{V_{ref} \sin(\alpha)}{V_{dc} \sin(60^\circ)} T_s, \quad (4a)$$

$$T_0 = T_s - (T_1 + T_2). \quad (4b)$$

The zero vector is applied for the remaining duration of T_0 , defined in eq. (4b). Here, V_{ref} and α are the magnitude and angle, respectively, of the reference vector V_{ref} ; V_{dc} is the dc bus voltage.

When this V_{ref} is realized using sequence 721, the q -axis applied voltage v_q and the d -axis applied voltage v_d vary over the sub-cycle as shown in figure 5(b). The average value of v_q over a sub-cycle equals V_{ref} (i.e., the q -axis component of V_{ref}), while the average value of v_d is zero. The q -axis stator flux ripple ψ_q and the d -axis stator flux ripple ψ_d are time integrals of $(v_q - V_{ref})$ and v_d , respectively. Variations of ψ_q and ψ_d over the sub-cycle for sequence 721 are also shown in figure 5(b). The voltages along d - and q -axes and the corresponding flux ripple for sequence 012 are illustrated in figure 5(c).

From figures 5(b) and (c), the RMS value of ψ_d (denoted by $F_{d,SEQ}$) and that of ψ_q (denoted by $F_{q,SEQ}$) corresponding to a sequence SEQ (where $SEQ = 012$ or 721) can be expressed in terms of T_s , V_{ref} and α as shown by equations (5a) and (5b), respectively:

$$F_{d,SEQ} = T_s \sqrt{A_{3d} V_{ref}^3}; \quad A_{3d} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{27} (6ab^2 - 8a^2b^3), \quad (5a)$$

$$F_{q,SEQ} = T_s \sqrt{A_{2q} V_{ref}^2 + A_{3q} V_{ref}^3 + A_{4q} V_{ref}^4}. \quad (5b)$$

The coefficients A_{2q} , A_{3q} and A_{4q} are tabulated in table 2. They are simplified versions of the coefficients reported in [22]. It can be observed that the RMS d -axis flux ripple $F_{d,SEQ}$ is the same for sequences 012 and 721, since A_{3d} is the same.

The quantities $F_{d,SEQ}$ and $F_{q,SEQ}$ are used to evaluate the harmonic distortion and torque ripple from different PWM methods as discussed in the following sections.

3.2 Analytically derived closed-form expressions for torque ripple factor

Torque ripple factor F_{TRF} is the RMS value of q -axis flux ripple over a sector, normalized with respect to the fundamental flux ψ_1 as shown [33]:

$$F_{TRF} = \frac{1}{\psi_1} \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{3}} F_{q,SEQ}^2 d\alpha}, \quad \text{where } \psi_1 = \frac{V_{ref}}{\omega}. \quad (6)$$

Figure 5. Stator flux ripple vector over a sub-cycle for $V_{ref} = 0.8V_{dc}$ and $\alpha = 10^\circ$ for sequences 012 and 721. (a) Error voltage vectors $V_{err,1}$, $V_{err,2}$ and $V_{err,0}$, (b) orthogonal components of stator flux ripple vector over a sub-cycle for sequence 721 and (c) corresponding components for sequence 012.

Table 2. Coefficients A_{2q} , A_{3q} and A_{4q} for different sequences.

SEQ	A_{2q}	$9\sqrt{3}A_{3q}$	$36A_{4q}$
0127	$\frac{1}{12}$	$-3a - 8ab^2 + 2a^2b + 8a^2b^3$	$3 - 16ab^3 + 12b^2$
012	$\frac{1}{3}$	$-12a - 14ab^2 - 4a^2b + 8a^2b^3 + 9b$	$4 - 8ab + 32a^3b$
721	$\frac{1}{3}$	$-12a - 2ab^2 + 8a^2b + 8a^2b^3 - 9b$	$4 + 8ab - 32a^2b^2$

$a = \sin(\frac{\pi}{3} + \alpha)$; $b = \sin(\frac{\pi}{3} - \alpha)$

Here, ψ_1 is the magnitude of fundamental flux, $\omega = 2\pi f_1$ and f_1 is the fundamental frequency of stator voltages.

When different sequences are used over different sub-sectors in a sector, the integration in (6) needs to be carried out over the individual sub-sectors. In case of CCPWM, sequence 721 is used in the region $0^\circ \leq \alpha < \gamma$ and sequence 012 is employed in the region $\gamma \leq \alpha < 60^\circ$ in a sector. Hence, the torque ripple factor for CCPWM, denoted by $F_{TRF,CC}$, is evaluated as follows:

$$F_{TRF,CC} = \frac{1}{\psi_1} \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi} \left[\int_0^\gamma F_{q,721}^2 d\alpha + \int_\gamma^{\frac{\pi}{3}} F_{q,012}^2 d\alpha \right]}. \quad (7)$$

Similarly, the torque ripple factor for SCPWM $F_{TRF,SC}$ with arbitrary γ is given by

$$F_{TRF,SC} = \frac{1}{\psi_1} \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi} \left[\int_0^\gamma F_{q,012}^2 d\alpha + \int_\gamma^{\frac{\pi}{3}} F_{q,721}^2 d\alpha \right]}. \quad (8)$$

Evaluation of the above integrals in (7) and (8), followed by simplification, leads to the following closed-form analytically derived expression for F_{TRF} for CCPWM and SCPWM with any general γ :

$$F_{TRF} = \omega T_s \sqrt{C_{0q} + C_{1q} V_{ref} + C_{2q} V_{ref}^2} \quad (9)$$

The coefficients C_{0q} , C_{1q} and C_{2q} for CCPWM and SCPWM are tabulated in table 3. While the coefficient C_{0q} is a constant, the coefficients C_{1q} and C_{2q} are trigonometric functions of angle γ . The corresponding coefficients for CSVPWM are also given for comparison.

3.3 Analytically derived closed-form expressions for harmonic distortion factor

The RMS value of d -axis flux ripple over a sector can be evaluated in a similar manner as that of q -axis flux ripple. The d -axis flux distortion factor F_{DIST_d} is given by eq. (10):

$$F_{DIST_d} = \frac{1}{\psi_1} \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{3}} F_{d,SEQ}^2 d\alpha}. \quad (10)$$

Table 3. Coefficients C_{0q} , C_{1q} and C_{2q} for different PWM methods.

PWM	C_{0q}	C_{1q}	C_{2q}
CCPWM	$\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{44\sqrt{3}}{135\pi} - \frac{1}{3\pi}(6e-f)$	$\frac{1}{3} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{12\pi}(2g-h)$
SCPWM	$\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{314\sqrt{3}}{135\pi} + \frac{1}{3\pi}(6e-f)$	$\frac{4\pi+3\sqrt{3}}{12\pi} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{12\pi}(2g-h)$
$e = \sin(\gamma + \frac{\pi}{3})$; $f = \sin(3\gamma)$; $g = \sin(2\gamma + \frac{\pi}{6})$; $h = \sin(4\gamma - \frac{\pi}{6})$			
CSVPM	$\frac{1}{12}$	$-\frac{44\sqrt{3}}{135\pi}$	$\frac{4\pi-3\sqrt{3}}{24\pi}$

Since $F_{d,SEQ}$ is the same for sequences 012 and 721 as mentioned in the previous section, F_{DIST_d} is independent of γ for both CCPWM and SCPWM. F_{DIST_d} and F_{TRF} are squared and added to result in the square of the harmonic distortion factor F_{DIST} , defined in (11):

$$F_{DIST} = \sqrt{F_{TRF}^2 + F_{DIST_d}^2} \quad (11a)$$

$$= \omega T_s \sqrt{C_{0q} + (C_{1q} + C_{1d})V_{ref} + C_{2q}V_{ref}^2}, \quad (11b)$$

F_{DIST} for specific PWM techniques are functions of V_{ref} , ω and T_s [16, 21, 33]. Here F_{DIST} and F_{TRF} have been derived for two classes of PWM techniques, namely, CCPWM with any general γ , and SCPWM with any general γ . Therefore, both F_{DIST} and F_{TRF} , pertaining to these two classes of PWM methods, are functions of V_{ref} , ω , T_s and γ .

3.4 Performance of CCPWM and SCPWM

The first derivatives of the analytically derived expressions of F_{TRF} for CCPWM and SCPWM are shown plotted against γ for different values of V_{ref} in figure 6(a). As seen, $\gamma = 0^\circ$ and $\gamma = 60^\circ$ are the minima of F_{TRF} for CCPWM, since the first derivative $\frac{d}{d\gamma}F_{TRF}$ is zero and the second derivative is positive.

In case of SCPWM, $\gamma = 0^\circ$ and $\gamma = 60^\circ$ are the points of maxima for F_{TRF} , as $\frac{d}{d\gamma}F_{TRF} = 0$ and the slope of $\frac{d}{d\gamma}F_{TRF}$ is negative.

Further, F_{TRF} are equal for both CCPWM and SCPWM at $\gamma = 0^\circ$ as well as $\gamma = 60^\circ$. This can be seen from the expressions for the coefficients C_{1q} and C_{2q} in table 3. This is true for F_{DIST} as well.

Moreover, one can see that $\gamma = 30^\circ$ is the maximum for F_{TRF} with CCPWM, while $\gamma = 30^\circ$ is the minimum for F_{TRF} with SCPWM. This is demonstrated for $V_{ref} = 0.866V_{dc}$ in figure 6(b). This holds good for F_{DIST} also.

Thus, CCPWM with $\gamma = 30^\circ$ (or 60° clamp PWM) is the worst among CCPWM methods in terms of F_{DIST} and F_{TRF} . On the other hand, SCPWM with $\gamma = 30^\circ$ (or 30° clamp PWM) is the best among SCPWM methods in these respects. Also, for any γ in the range $0^\circ < \gamma < 60^\circ$, SCPWM

Figure 6. Variation of (a) $\frac{d}{d\gamma}F_{TRF}$ and (b) F_{TRF} with the angle γ .

is better than CCPWM. Figure 7(a) shows the superiority of SCPWM over CCPWM in terms of F_{TRF} at any V_{ref} . Similarly, figure 7(b) demonstrates the superior performance of SCPWM in terms of F_{DIST} over CCPWM at any V_{ref} .

The best reduction in harmonic distortion and that in torque ripple are achieved with SCPWM when $\gamma = 30^\circ$. Hence, SCPWM with $\gamma = 30^\circ$ (or the 30° clamp PWM) is the optimal BCPWM method in terms of torque ripple and current ripple. However, this method is not the best in terms of inverter switching loss as discussed in the previous section. Optimal CCPWM (OCCPWM) and optimal SCPWM (OSCPWM) are designed to minimize the switching loss. THD and torque ripple with these methods are evaluated in the following section.

4. Performance of optimal CCPWM and optimal SCPWM

At any given V_{ref} , the PWM waveform changes with power factor in case of optimal CCPWM (OCCPWM) and optimal SCPWM (OSCPWM) schemes. Hence F_{TRF} and F_{DIST} vary

Figure 7. (a) Torque ripple factor F_{TRF} and (b) harmonic distortion factor F_{DIST} of CCPWM and SCPWM in the whole range of V_{ref} and γ . Average switching frequency is 1.5 kHz in both the cases.

with power factor as shown in figure 8(a) and figure 8(b), respectively, at $V_{ref} = 0.866V_{dc}$ for the two optimal PWM techniques. Here, the values of F_{TRF} and F_{DIST} from different PWM methods are normalized with respect to those from CSVPWM.

As seen from the figure, CCPWM with $\gamma = 30^\circ$ (i.e., 60° clamp PWM) leads to the highest torque ripple at this V_{ref} (roughly 10% higher than that from CSVPWM). Optimal positioning of the 60° -clamping interval (i.e., OCCPWM) not only reduces the switching loss, compared with 60° clamp PWM, as shown in figure 4(b), but also improves the torque pulsations at low and medium power factors as shown in figure 8(a).

Optimal splitting of the clamping interval (i.e., OSCPWM) leads to superior performance than OCCPWM in terms of torque ripple as shown in figure 8(a). The 30° clamp PWM (or equal splitting of the clamping interval) leads to the lowest pulsating torque, which is roughly 30% lower than that of CSVPWM.

Figure 8. Variation of (a) normalized F_{TRF} and (b) normalized F_{DIST} against power factor angle from different PWM methods. Normalization is with respect to CSVPWM. $V_{ref} = 0.866V_{dc}$ in both the cases.

Exclusively from a torque ripple perspective, 30° clamp PWM would be the best at high modulation indices. However, OSCPWM reduces the switching loss significantly, compared with both CSVPWM and 30° clamp PWM, at any power factor. Hence, OSCPWM would be a good choice from the considerations of both switching loss and pulsating torque.

The normalized F_{TRF} pertaining to OSCPWM is lower than 1, (i.e., better than that of CSVPWM) for any $V_{ref} > 0.8V_{dc}$ at any power factor, as shown in figure 9(a). OSCPWM is always better than OCCPWM as shown in the figure, except in the regions marked A and B in the figure, where the F_{TRF} pertaining to the two methods are equal.

In terms of the THD, any BCPWM method is much better than CSVPWM at $V_{ref} = 0.866V_{dc}$ as seen in figure 8(b). In fact, for any $V_{ref} > 0.65V_{dc}$, OSCPWM is superior to CSVPWM in terms of THD as shown in figure 9(b). The performance of OSCPWM is either better than or the same as that of OCCPWM under any operating condition. The 30° clamp PWM is always the best method among BCPWM methods in terms of F_{DIST} . OSCPWM is

Figure 9. (a) Normalized F_{TRF} and (b) normalized F_{DIST} of OCCPWM and OSCPWM in the range $0.5V_{dc} < V_{ref} < 0.866V_{dc}$ and $0^\circ < \gamma < 60^\circ$. Normalization is with respect to the corresponding values of CSVPWM.

very close to 30° clamp PWM in terms of THD, and is better than 30° clamp PWM in terms of switching loss at any power factor.

The machine-independent analytically derived expressions for line current distortion and torque ripple, derived in section 3, are validated experimentally in the following sections.

5. Experimental study on waveform quality

The experimental set-up consists of a 10-kVA two-level IGBT-based inverter, feeding a 2.2-kW, 415-V, 50-Hz delta-connected squirrel-cage induction motor. A TMS320LF2407A-based digital platform is used to implement the generalized CCPWM and SCPWM schemes. The voltage to frequency ratio is kept constant at its rated value.

The dc bus voltage is maintained at 600 V; the carrier frequency (f_c) and the average switching frequency [17, 22] of the inverter ($f_{sw} = \frac{2}{3}f_c$) are 2.25 and 1.5 kHz, respectively.

5.1 Quality of voltage waveform

Figures 10(a) and (b) show the measured harmonic spectra of line voltage at a fundamental frequency of 45 Hz corresponding to CCPWM ($\gamma = 30^\circ$) and SCPWM ($\gamma = 30^\circ$) schemes, respectively. In both the cases, the dominant components are found to be around nf_c , where f_c is carrier frequency and $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

As seen, the dominant components in the first side-band around f_c are closer to f_c for CCPWM and are farther from f_c for SCPWM. More importantly, there is a visible reduction in the first side-band harmonics with SCPWM; the dominant harmonic is reduced from around 120 V (RMS) with CCPWM to about 95 V (RMS) with SCPWM. Hence, the SCPWM scheme is found to be better in terms of weighted THD of line voltage (V_{WTHD}) than CCPWM scheme as indicated in figure 10. V_{WTHD} is defined as

$$V_{WTHD} = \frac{1}{V_1} \sqrt{\sum_{n \neq 1} (V_n/n)^2} \quad (12)$$

where V_1 and V_n are the RMS values of fundamental and n^{th} harmonic components, respectively, of the line voltage.

The harmonic spectrum of line voltage is measured at every 5 Hz, between 30 and 50 Hz for a few SCPWM and CCPWM schemes with $\gamma = 0^\circ, 15^\circ, 30^\circ, 45^\circ$ and 60° . Figures 11(a) and (b) show the measured V_{WTHD} corresponding to CCPWM and SCPWM schemes for these values of γ . In conformity to previous findings, 30° clamp PWM results in a lower distortion than 60° clamp PWM as seen in figure 11(a). SCPWM with $\gamma = 15^\circ$ and 45° are better than CCPWM with $\gamma = 15^\circ$ and 45° as evidenced by the results in figure 11(b). These experimental results support the analytical finding that SCPWM is better than CCPWM in terms of harmonic distortion for any $0^\circ < \gamma < 60^\circ$ at any modulation index.

5.2 Quality of current waveform

Figures 12(a) and (b) show the line current waveforms at a fundamental frequency of 45 Hz corresponding to CCPWM ($\gamma = 30^\circ$) and SCPWM ($\gamma = 30^\circ$), respectively. It can be seen that the worst-case peak-to-peak ripple in current with SCPWM is less than that with CCPWM. The peak-to-peak ripple with SCPWM is less than that with CCPWM in the regions marked 'x' and 'z', while it is more than that with CCPWM in the region 'y' as shown in figure 12. However, the intervals 'x' and 'z' add up to being much longer than the interval 'y'. Hence SCPWM results in lower value of THD in line current than CCPWM as indicated in figure 12. THD in line current I_{THD} is defined as

Figure 10. Measured harmonic spectra of line voltages at $V_{dc} = 600$ V, $f_1 = 45$ Hz and $f_{sw} = 1.5$ kHz corresponding to (a) CCPWM ($\gamma = 30^\circ$) and (b) SCPWM ($\gamma = 30^\circ$).

Figure 11. Measured V_{WTHD} at $V_{dc} = 600$ V and $f_{sw} = 1.5$ kHz corresponding to various CCPWM and SCPWM schemes: (a) $\gamma = 0^\circ, 30^\circ, 60^\circ$ and (b) $\gamma = 15^\circ, 45^\circ$.

$$I_{THD} = \frac{1}{I_1} \sqrt{\sum_{n \neq 1} I_n^2} \quad (13)$$

where I_1 and I_n are the RMS values of fundamental and n^{th} harmonic components, respectively, of the line current.

The line current spectra, corresponding to CCPWM and SCPWM schemes with $\gamma = 0^\circ, 15^\circ, 30^\circ, 45^\circ$ and 60° , are measured over the fundamental frequency range of 30–50 Hz in steps of 5 Hz. The experimental values of I_{THD} therefrom are shown plotted in figures 13(a) and (b). Once again, the experimental results confirm the superiority of SCPWM over CCPWM for any γ in the range $0^\circ < \gamma < 60^\circ$.

6. Analytical, simulation and experimental study on pulsating torque

Figures 14 and 15 present the torque ripple waveforms over a sector with CCPWM, $\gamma = 30^\circ$ (i.e., 60° clamp PWM) and SCPWM, $\gamma = 30^\circ$ (i.e., 30° clamp PWM),

respectively, on a 400-V, 5-hp, 3-phase, 4-pole, 50-Hz, squirrel-cage induction motor drive, at a fundamental frequency of 48 Hz. The average switching frequency is 1 kHz for both the methods.

The ripple in the developed torque $\tilde{m}_d$ is first evaluated analytically for both techniques using the following equations [20]:

$$\tilde{m}_d = K_{md} \psi_q \quad (14a)$$

$$K_{md} = \frac{2P}{3} \frac{V_{REF}}{2\omega_1} \frac{1}{L_o} \left[\frac{1}{(\sigma_s + \sigma_r)} - 1 \right] \quad (14b)$$

where ψ_q is the q -axis stator flux ripple, K_{md} is the torque constant and L_o is the per-phase mutual inductance between stator and rotor; σ_s and σ_r are the stator and rotor leakage coefficients, respectively. Parameters of the 5-hp induction motor are $L_o = 227$ mH, $\sigma_s = \sigma_r = 0.042$ and $K_{md} = 100.68$ N-m/V-s.

Figures 14(a) and 15(a) show the analytically evaluated torque ripple waveforms over a sector with CCPWM and

Figure 12. Measured current waveforms at $V_{dc} = 600$ V, $f_1 = 45$ Hz and $f_{sw} = 1.5$ kHz corresponding to (a) CCPWM ($\gamma = 30^\circ$) and (b) SCPWM ($\gamma = 30^\circ$).

Figure 13. Measured I_{THD} at $V_{dc} = 600$ V and $f_{sw} = 1.5$ kHz corresponding to various CCPWM and SCPWM schemes: (a) $\gamma = 0^\circ, 30^\circ, 60^\circ$ and (b) $\gamma = 15^\circ, 45^\circ$.

SCPWM, respectively, using (14). It can be observed that the peak-to-peak torque ripple with SCPWM is less than that with CCPWM.

The torque ripple waveforms are then obtained by numerical simulation using a standard dynamic model of induction motor [34], which is more detailed than the analytical model for torque ripple in (14). The numerical simulations are carried out using C and Python programming languages [35]. The simulated torque ripple waveforms with CCPWM, $\gamma = 30^\circ$ and SCPWM, $\gamma = 30^\circ$ are presented in figures 14(b) and 15(b), respectively. They confirm the analytical results in figures 14(a) and 15(a).

Figures 14(c) and 15(c) show the experimentally obtained torque ripple waveforms with CCPWM, $\gamma = 30^\circ$ and SCPWM, $\gamma = 30^\circ$, respectively. The 5-hp induction motor is fed from a 10-kVA IGBT-based two-level VSI, and the PWM methods are implemented on an ALTERA CycloneII field programmable gate array (FPGA)-based digital controller [36]. These torque ripple waveforms are obtained by feeding the measured voltages and currents to a standard machine model [37], implemented on the same

FPGA controller mentioned earlier. The experimental results in figures 14(c) and 15(c) confirm the reduction in peak-to-peak torque ripple with SCPWM over CCPWM.

For completeness, the RMS torque ripple with CCPWM, $\gamma = 30^\circ$ (i.e., 60° clamp PWM), SCPWM, $\gamma = 30^\circ$ (i.e., 30° clamp PWM) and CSVPWM are measured over the fundamental frequency range of 30–50 Hz. The values of measured RMS torque ripple from the three PWM methods are shown plotted against the fundamental frequency in figure 16. It can be seen that SCPWM is better than CCPWM in terms of torque ripple at any given speed of the drive. Further, SCPWM results in a lower torque pulsation than CSVPWM close to the rated speed.

7. Conclusions

Closed-form expressions for harmonic distortion factor and torque ripple factor are derived analytically for continual CCPWM scheme with any arbitrary position of clamping interval and for SCPWM scheme with any arbitrary

Figure 14. (a) Analytically evaluated instantaneous torque ripple, (b) simulated torque ripple and (c) experimentally obtained torque ripple from a 5-hp induction motor drive (xscale = 500 μ s/div, yscale = 1.53 N-m/div) with 60° clamp PWM at $f_1 = 48$ Hz, $V_{REF} = 0.831V_{dc}$ and $f_{sw} = 1$ kHz.

Figure 15. (a) Analytically evaluated instantaneous torque ripple, (b) simulated torque ripple and (c) experimentally obtained torque ripple from a 5-hp induction motor drive (xscale = 500 μ s/div, yscale = 1.53 N-m/div) with 30° clamp PWM at $f_1 = 48$ Hz, $V_{REF} = 0.831V_{dc}$ and $f_{sw} = 1$ kHz.

Figure 16. Experimental result: RMS torque ripple against fundamental frequency with different PWM techniques at an average switching frequency of 1 kHz.

splitting of the clamping interval. Analytical and experimental results demonstrate that splitting the 60°-clamping interval, either equally or unequally, is always superior in terms of line current distortion and torque ripple to clamping the phase continually for 60° duration, regardless of the position of the clamping interval. Optimal positioning of clamping interval in CCPWM (referred to as OCCPWM) not only reduces the switching loss compared with CCPWM but also results in a lower pulsating torque and THD in line current. OCCPWM is outperformed by

optimal split-clamp PWM (OSCPWM) in terms of both THD and torque ripple.

References

- [1] Handley P G and Boys J T 1992 Practical real-time PWM modulators: an assessment. *IEE Proc. B* 139(2): 96–102
- [2] Depenbrock M 1977 Pulse width control of a 3-phase inverter with nonsinusoidal phase voltages. In: *IEEE International Semiconductor Power Converter Conference Record*, pp. 399–403
- [3] Madhu M and De G 1987 Novel control strategy for sinusoidal PWM inverters. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.* 1A-23(3): 561–566
- [4] Katsunori T, Yasumasa O and Hisaichi I 1988 PWM technique for power MOSFET inverter. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* 3(3): 328–334
- [5] van der Broeck H W 1991 Analysis of the harmonics in voltage fed inverter drives caused by PWM schemes with discontinuous switching operation. In: *Proceedings of the EPE 91 Conference*, Firenze, Italy, pp. 261–266
- [6] Kolar J W, Ertl H and Franz C Z 1991 Influence of the modulation method on the conduction and switching losses of a PWM converter system. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.* 21(6): 1063–1075
- [7] Holmes D G 1996 The significance of zero space vector placement for carrier-based PWM schemes. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.* 32(5): 1122–1129

- [8] Fukuda S and Suzuki K 1997 Harmonic evaluation of carrier-based PWM methods using harmonic distortion determining factor. In: *Proceedings of IEEE PCC-1997*, Nagaoka, Japan, pp. 259–264
- [9] Halasz S and Zacharov A 1998 Voltage spectra of two-phase PWM techniques in inverter fed ac drives. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Industrial Electronics*, Pretoria, South Africa, pp. 202–207
- [10] Hava A M, Kerman R J and Lipo T A 1998 A high performance generalized discontinuous PWM algorithm. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.* 34(5): 1059–1071
- [11] Hava A M, Kerman R J and Lipo T A 1999 Simple analytical and graphical method for carrier based PWM-VSI drives. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* 14(1): 49–61
- [12] Narayanan G and Ranganathan V T 2002 Two novel synchronized bus-clamping PWM strategies based on space vector approach for high power drives. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* 17(1): 84–93
- [13] Narayanan G and Ranganathan V T 2002 Extension of operation of space vector PWM strategies with low switching frequencies using different over-modulation algorithms. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* 17(5): 788–798
- [14] Holmes D G and Lipo T A 2003 *Pulse width modulation for power converters – principles and practice*. Piscataway, NJ: IEEE Press
- [15] Zhao D, Narayanan G and Ayyanar R 2004 Switching loss characteristics of sequences involving active state division in space vector based PWM. In: *Proceedings of IEEE-APEC04*, pp. 479–485
- [16] Narayanan G and Ranganathan V T 2005 Analytical evaluation of harmonic distortion in PWM ac drives using the notion of stator flux ripple. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* 20(2): 466–474
- [17] Narayanan G, Krishnamurthy H K, Zhao D and Ayyanar R 2006 Advanced bus-clamping PWM techniques based on space vector approach. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* 21(4): 974–984
- [18] Asiminoaei L, Rodrguez P and Blaabjerg F Application of discontinuous PWM modulation in active power filters. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* 23(4): 1692–2006
- [19] Narayanan G, Zhao D, Krishnamurthy H K, Ayyanar R, and Ranganathan V T 2008 Space vector based hybrid PWM techniques for reduced current ripple. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* 55(4): 1614–1627
- [20] Basu K, Prasad J S S and Narayanan G 2009 Minimization of torque ripple in PWM AC drives. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* 56(2): 553–558
- [21] Bhavsar T and Narayanan G 2009 Harmonic analysis of advanced bus-clamping PWM techniques. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* 24(10): 2347–2352
- [22] Zhao D, Hari V S S P K, Narayanan G and Ayyanar R 2010 Space-vector-based hybrid pulsedwidth modulation techniques for reduced harmonic distortion and switching loss. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* 25(3): 760–774
- [23] Wu Y, Shafi M A, Knight A M and McMahon R A 2011 Comparison of the effects of continuous and discontinuous PWM schemes on power losses of voltage-sourced inverters for induction motor drives. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* 26(1): 182–191
- [24] Nguyen T G, Hobraiche J, Patin N, Friedrich G and Vilain J P 2011 A direct digital technique implementation of general discontinuous pulse width modulation strategy. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* 58(9): 4445–4454
- [25] Zhang D, Wang F, Burgos R and Boroyevich D 2012 Total flux minimization control for integrated interphase inductors in paralleled, interleaved three-phase two-level voltage-source converters with discontinuous space-vector modulation. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* 27(4): 1679–1688
- [26] Hari V S S P K and Narayanan G 2012 Space-vector-based hybrid pulse width modulation technique to reduce line current distortion in induction motor drives. *IET Trans. Power Electron.* 5(8): 1463–1471
- [27] Hou C C, Shih C C, Cheng P T and Hava A M 2013 Common-mode voltage reduction pulsedwidth modulation techniques for three-phase grid-connected converters. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* 28(4): 1971–1979
- [28] An S L, Sun X D, Zhang Q, Zhong Y R and Ren B Y 2013 Study on the novel generalized discontinuous svpwm strategies for three-phase voltage source inverters. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Inform.* 9(2): 781–789
- [29] Prasad J S S and Narayanan G 2014 Minimum switching loss pulse width modulation for reduced power conversion loss in reactive power compensators. *IET Power Electron.* 7(3): 545–551
- [30] Lee J S and Lee K B 2015 Carrier-based discontinuous PWM method for Vienna rectifiers. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* 30(6): 2896–2900
- [31] Saritha B, Binoj Kumar A C and Narayanan G 2016 Experimental comparison of conventional and bus-clamping PWM methods based on electrical and acoustic noise spectra of induction motor drives. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.* 52(5): 4061–4073
- [32] Gendrin M, Gauthier J Y and Shi X L 2017 A predictive hybrid pulse-width-modulation technique for active-front-end rectifiers. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* 32(7): 5487–5496
- [33] Das S, Binoj Kumar A C and Narayanan G 2014 Analytical evaluation of harmonic distortion factor corresponding to generalized advanced bus-clamping pulse width modulation. *IET Power Electron.* 7(12): 3072–3082
- [34] Leonhard W 2001 *Control of electrical drives*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag
- [35] Hari V S S P K and Narayanan G 2013 A quick simulation tool for induction motor drives controlled using advanced space-vector-based PWM techniques. In: *Proceedings of the National Power Electronics Conference (NPEC)*, Kanpur, India
- [36] Venugopal S and Narayanan G 2005 Design of FPGA based digital platform for control of power electronics systems. In: *Proceedings of the National Power Electronics Conference (NPEC)*, Kharagpur, India
- [37] Vas P 1998 *Sensorless vector and direct torque control*. Oxford: Oxford University Press