I immensely enjoyed reading Maor’s book! Teachers of high school mathematics, which is where students learn trigonometry, will find a great deal of usable and interesting resource material in it; and so will high school and undergraduate students – at any rate, those with an historical bent of mind.

The author states his intentions plainly in the preface. ‘[The] book is neither a textbook... nor a comprehensive history of... [trigonometry]. It is an attempt to present selected topics in trigonometry from a historic point of view and to show their relevance to other sciences. It grew out of my love affair with the subject, but also out of my frustration at the way it is being taught in our colleges’. The ‘frustration’ is at the treatment that curious invention of the 1960s, the ‘New Math’, meted out to old-fashioned geometry and trigonometry. Many definitions and explanations were cast in the language of set theory and functions, with the result that relatively simple concepts became ‘obscured in meaningless formalism’, as the author puts it. With the change in focus, formalism took over and the level and depth of the typical textbooks used in the trigonometry class steadily declined. The author has the western countries in mind when he writes this, but the situation in India, though less dismal, is far from encouraging.

Trigonometry is often regarded as a ‘glorified geometry with superimposed computational torture’, and it is the author’s intention to dispel this view. Accordingly, he has adopted a semi-historical approach, but not with a strict chronological order in mind. Topics have been selected on the basis of broad aesthetic appeal, and of course relevance to other sciences. For instance he derives a result due to Euler,

$$\frac{\sin x}{x} = \cos \frac{x}{2} \cdot \cos \frac{x}{4} \cdot \cos \frac{x}{8} \cdots$$

and the special case of this result when $x = \pi/4$, obtained by Viete in 1593 using very different ideas. This is just one of the many gems that the author offers the reader.

The author has kept the high school reader in mind and avoids for the most part topics that require a knowledge of calculus higher than what is taught in schools. Keeping the same reader in mind, he also avoids topics related to spherical trigonometry (though, as he adds, plane trigonometry originally came from spherical trigonometry, which in turn came from astronomy). Starting with material related to angles and chords and their treatment in ancient Egypt, Babylon and Greece, he traces out the familiar functions of trigonometry (the sine, cosine, tangent,
cotangent, secant and cosecant functions) took shape and name. He describes the amusing story behind the word ‘sine’ (it comes from Hindu word for half-chord, *ardha-jiyā*) and briefly mentions the contributions to trigonometry at the hands of Āryabhaṭā in India (6th century), Abūl-Wafā in Arabia (10th century), Ulugh Beg in Samarkhand (14th century), ...; then at the hands of Europeans: Muller alias Regiomontanus in Germany (15th century), Rhaeticus in Denmark and Girard in Holland (16th century), ...

The historical sidebars are among the most attractive features of the book. There are pieces on *Plimpton 322*, regarded as the earliest trigonometric table (dating from the Babylonian period), on Regiomontanus and an appealing problem in geometry that is attributed to him, on Viete, on d’Alembert, and on Maria Agnese and her famous curve – the *witch*. Some chapters are highly readable: there is one on map projections (‘A Mapmaker’s Paradise’), probably the best in the book; one titled ‘*(sin x)/x*’ (it would seem hard to write a reasonable chapter with such a title – but the author pulls it off); one on cycloidal curves (‘Epicycloids and Hypo-cycloids’); one titled ‘tan x’ in which he derives the decomposition of tan x into partial functions, then uses it to prove that \( \sum 1/n^2 = \pi^2/6 \) (first shown by Euler), but via the infinite product for sin x and

\[ 1 - 1/3 + 1/5 - 1/7 + \ldots = \pi/4 \]

(first shown by Mādhava of Kerala school and later by Gregory and Leibnitz, each in a different way); and one on surveying (‘Measuring Heaven and Earth’). What I find most appealing about the author’s treatment is the fine blend of history and mathematics throughout the book. Towards the end of the book are chapters on Lissajous figures (not generally studied at the school level) and Fourier series: once again, presented against an historical backdrop.

A review is not supposed only to praise a book, so I must look for some negative comments to make! But these are hard to come by here. I would only say that there are a few ‘missed opportunities’ in the form of gems that have aesthetic as well as pedagogic appeal but which the author has somehow missed. For instance, he could have included some material on Buffon’s needle problem. He could have shown how to construct a regular pentagon via ruler and compass using the elegant but easily proved relation \( \cos 72^\circ = (\sqrt{5} - 1)/4 \), and he could have shown how higher algebra and trigonometry join hands in tackling the ancient problem of trisecting an arbitrary angle via compass and ruler. He could also have included material on trigonometric identities that have elegant ‘proofs without words’; e.g. the identities \( \tan 15^\circ = 2 - \sqrt{3} \) and \( \tan^{-1}(1/2) + \tan^{-1}(1/3) = 45^\circ \). However his choice of historical material cannot be faulted.

Another delicate point: can the author’s assessment of the Indian contribution to trigonometry be considered accurate? Chapter 3 opens casually with the words ‘An early Hindu work ... , the *Surya Siddhanta* (ca
400 A.D.), gives a table of half-chords based on Ptolemy’s table...’ Should this be regarded as a typically Eurocentric view, in which essentially all mathematics of any significance has western and only western roots? The prevailing views on this matter are now under question. However this is not the occasion to launch forth into such matters, and we leave the question as something to be kept in mind while reading the book.

In sum, this is a book with a great deal to recommend for itself. I feel that it has considerable pedagogic value, and can be read for pleasure and profit by anyone with a serious interest in mathematics.

---

Shailesh Shirali, Rishi Valley School, Rishi Valley 517 352, India.

---

Rumford, who was a brilliant scientist, had often noticed that some cooked food kept hot longer than others, for example apple pie, and mixed apples and almonds (a favourite dish in those days), stayed hot for a very long time. He was struck by the extraordinary property of retaining heat which apples seemed to have, and wrote, ‘I never burnt my mouth with them, or saw others meet with the same misfortune, without endeavouring in vain, to find out some way of accounting in a satisfactory manner for this surprising phenomenon’.

He described what he called ‘a similar accident’ which had seemed curious to him. He was doing an experiment, which he could not leave, in a room heated by an iron stove, when some food consisting of a bowl of thick rice soup, was taken to him. He told his servant to put it on top of the stove to keep it warm. About an hour later, to quote his words,

“I took a spoonful of soup, which I found almost cold, and quite thick. Going, by accident, deeper with the spoon the second time, this second spoonful burnt my mouth. This accident recalled very forcibly to my mind the recollection of hot apples and almonds with which I had so often burnt my mouth, a dozen years before.”
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