

Flavour democracy calls for the fourth generation

AMITAVA DATTA

Physics Department, Jadavpur University, Calcutta 700 032, India

MS received 4 March 1993

Abstract. It is argued with the help of an illustrative model, that the inter species hierarchy among the fermion masses and the quark mixing angles can be accommodated naturally in the standard model with (approximate) flavor democracy provided there are three exactly massless neutrinos and four families of sequential quark-leptons with all members of the fourth family having roughly equal masses. The special problem of light neutrino masses (if any) and possible solutions are also discussed.

Keywords. Fermion mass hierarchy; CKM matrix; fourth generation; flavour democracy; neutrino mass problem.

PACS Nos 12·15; 14·80; 14·60

The neutrino counting at large electron-positron collider (LEP) has established with high statistical significance that the number of light neutrinos ($m_\nu \ll m_Z/2$) is three [1]. This has led to the widespread belief that at least within the framework of the Glashow–Salam–Weinberg minimal standard model (MSM) with sequential quark–lepton families, the number of such families has also been determined to be three [2–5]. This belief hinges on the notion that a heavy sequential Dirac neutrino ($m_\nu \gg m_Z/2$) belonging to the fourth generation necessarily implies an unnaturally large hierarchy among the Yukawa couplings of different neutrinos with the Higgs boson. Though the naturalness argument has an intuitive appeal, it is basically a philosophical outlook and, therefore, is not beyond debate. However the purpose of this note is to point out, without questioning the validity of the philosophy of naturalness, that any unnatural hierarchy is not inevitable for the existence of such a heavy neutrino. On the contrary the completely opposite scenario [6]–[8] referred to in the literature as flavour democracy, which requires that the Yukawa couplings of all fermions of a particular type with the Higgs boson before the diagonalization of the mass matrix are equal, can be implemented in a four family model with three exactly massless neutrinos and a heavy neutrino without requiring any large hierarchy among the Yukawa couplings of the fermions of *different types*. This is no longer possible in a three family model in view of the rather stringent lower bound on the top quark mass and the relatively low masses of the other fermions (see below).

Within the frame work of the MSM the elements of the $n \times n$ mass matrix M^f of the fermions of the type f (up, down, charged lepton or neutrino) in an n -generation model with approximate flavour democracy among the Yukawa couplings can be parametrized as

$$M^f = Y^f(M^0 + \lambda M'_f), \quad (1)$$

where M^0 is the fully democratic matrix with all the elements equal to unity, Y^f can be interpreted as the common Yukawa coupling of the fermions of type f (in units of the vacuum expectation value of the Higgs boson). The parameter λ is introduced purely for book keeping purposes and we take it to be 0.1. The matrix M' with elements $O(1)$ parametrises small departures of the Yukawa couplings from perfect democracy. In the limit when M' vanishes this mass matrix can be motivated by imposing a permutation symmetry [6] or by an underlying BCS like dynamics [8]. Any theoretical idea which pinpoints the origin of flavour democracy and the mechanisms for small departures from it will, of course, be an important step forward. In this phenomenological work focussed mainly on the *naturalness* of the hierarchies in the fermion masses and mixing angles within the framework of the MSM, we shall not speculate about the above points. It is well-known that $n - 1$ eigenvalues of M^0 are equal to zero while one is equal to n . This illustrates that a large hierarchy among the masses of a given species f does not necessarily require a corresponding hierarchy among the elements of the mass matrix. The problem of a three family model is apparent once the inter-species mass hierarchy is taken into account. This model predicts:

$$m_t : m_b : m_\tau : m_\nu = Y^u : Y^d : Y^l : Y^v, \quad (2)$$

where m_ν stands for the mass of the heaviest neutrino. Using the known values of m_b and m_τ and the bounds $m_t \geq 91$ GeV [9] and $m_{\nu\tau} \leq 0.035$ GeV [10], one requires $Y^u : Y^d \geq 18$, $Y^u : Y^l \geq 50$ and $Y^u : Y^v \geq 2570!$ While the first ratio is barely consistent with the philosophy of naturalness the second one is certainly not so. The third one, implying the largest hierarchy, is a reflection of the well-known neutrino mass problem. In contrast if nature indeed prefers to have four families of quark-leptons with $m_T \simeq m_B \simeq m_E \simeq m_N$ (the subscripts refer to fermions belonging to the fourth family), she may do it without hurting anybody's cravings for naturalness.

The non-zero masses of the lighter fermions within a given type f are generated by M' and at first sight it seems that there are too many parameters to fit the observed fermion spectrum and the quark mixing angles. This, however, is not the case. The requirement that the departure from the democratic structure is small i.e., the elements of M' are $O(1)$ still makes this model quite restrictive. In the following we shall illustrate with an extremely simple model that the known phenomenology of the quarks and leptons can be understood without destroying approximate democracy or without resorting to fine tuning. Since the mass hierarchy in the charged lepton sector is not very different from the down quark sector a similar model can be applied there. As long as there is no positive evidence for non-zero masses for the lighter neutrinos a fully democratic four family mass matrix predicting three exactly massless and one very heavy neutrino, rather than the omission of the right-handed neutrinos by hand as is done in the MSM seems to be more natural for this sector. In this context it is interesting to note that until recently the strongest motivation for very small but non-zero neutrino masses came from the hypothesis of neutrino oscillations which, though unobserved in terrestrial experiments, were presumed to provide a solution of the solar neutrino problem [11], [12] (for a review see, e.g., [13]). Recent results from the GALLEX experiment [14], however, indicate that the alleged disagreement between the observed solar neutrino flux and the predictions of the standard solar model may in fact be less severe than it was thought before. This may weaken the above motivation considerably.

In order to construct an illustrative simple model we obtain the approximate

Fourth generation flavour democracy

eigenvalues and eigenvectors of M^f by applying perturbation theory. Since the degenerate eigenvectors of M^0 can not be determined uniquely without specifying additional symmetries we have used instead the elements of the perturbation matrix $(\alpha, M'_f, \beta) \equiv (M'_f)_{\alpha\beta}$, where $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3$ label the three degenerate eigenvectors of M^0 while 4 refers to the eigenvector corresponding to the heavy state, as the phenomenological parameters for the subsequent analysis. It should, however, be emphasised that the above ambiguity is an artifact of using perturbation theory and no physical observable is affected by it. For simplicity we also take M' to be real symmetric. It is well-known that CP violation in a four generation model with three observable phases is less restrictive than in a three generation model with a single observable phase (for a review see, e.g., [15]). It is, therefore, not unreasonable to assume that the introduction of three small phases will adequately describe the observed CP violation in the neutral kaon system without drastically altering the pattern of quark masses and mixing angles obtained here.

The fact that $m_u, m_c \ll m_t$ gives a strong hint regarding the input values of these matrix elements for the up quark sector. It is natural to assume that u and c remain massless and degenerate upto first order in perturbation theory while the t quark picks up a mass. There are two attractive ways of achieving this without adjusting the detailed numerology of the matrix elements: i) Assume that all $(M'_q)_{\alpha\beta}$ except (M'_{33}) are zero in the subspace of the degenerate eigenvectors or ii) the perturbation matrix $(M')_{\alpha\beta}$ also has a democratic structure in this subspace. The second alternative can be reduced to case i) by diagonalising the perturbation matrix and can be analysed similarly. The mass hierarchy in the down quark sector suggests a similar scenario. Using assumption i) we have ten remaining free parameters $(M'_q)_{33}, (M'_q)_{44}$ and $(M'_q)_{i4}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3; q = u$ or d) which are to be determined phenomenologically.

Applying the standard tools of degenerate perturbation theory it is now straight forward to see that one quark of each type (up or down) remains massless to all orders in perturbation theory, which can be identified with the lightest quark of this type. The 2×2 block of the Cabibbo–Kobayashi–Maskawa (CKM) matrix involving the first two families are generated in the zeroth order. All other elements are $O(\lambda)$ and are smaller. The relevant formulae for the remaining masses are:

$$m_q^{(4)} = Y^q(4 + \lambda(M'_q)_{44}). \tag{3}$$

$$m_q^{(3)} = \lambda Y^q(M'_q)_{33}. \tag{4}$$

$$m_q^{(2)} = \frac{\lambda^2 Y^q}{4} ((M'_q)_{14}^2 + (M'_q)_{24}^2), \tag{5}$$

where $q = u$ or d and the superscripts 2, 3, 4 respectively denote $c, t, T(s, b, B)$ quarks in the up (down) sector. The elements of the CKM matrix, whose measured values are used to fix the remaining free parameters of the model, are given by (upto $O(\lambda)$)

$$V_{ud} = N_u N_d (1 + x_u x_d). \tag{6}$$

$$V_{us} = N_u N_d (x_d - x_u). \tag{7}$$

$$V_{ub} = \eta_{ub} \frac{\lambda}{4N_d} V_{us} \frac{(M'_d)_{34}(M'_d)_{24}}{(M'_d)_{33}}. \tag{8}$$

$$V_{cb} = \eta_{ub} \eta_{cb} N_u N_d \frac{|V_{ub}|}{V_{us}} \left(1 + x_u x_d - \eta_{ub} \frac{|m_c|(M'_u)_{34}|V_{ub}|}{m_t(M'_u)_{24} V_{us}} \right) \tag{9}$$

where $N_{u,d} = (1 + x_{u,d}^2)^{-1/2}$, $x_{u,d} = ((M'_{u,d})_{14}/(M'_{u,d})_{24})$ and $\eta_{ub}, \eta_{cb} = \pm 1$ refer to the signs of V_{ub} and V_{cb} . $(M'_u)_{14}$ and $(M'_d)_{24}$ also involves sign ambiguities. We choose them to be positive. It is to be noted that (6) holds to all orders in perturbation theory while the remaining three receives higher order corrections. We have computed upto second order corrections to the above formulae. The expressions are rather cumbersome and will be presented elsewhere in a longer paper. The numerical values of these corrections are however given below to demonstrate that perturbation theory makes sense. Finally we have rechecked everything by using numerical diagonalisation without appealing to perturbation theory (see below). In the absence of any experimental guidelines regarding m_T and m_B we have to assume some reasonable values for Y^u and Y^d . We take $Y^u = 150$, $Y^d = 100$.

The parameters $(M'_u)_{44}$ and $(M'_d)_{44}$ do not affect the masses of the quarks belonging to the three families or their mixing angles to the lowest order in λ . We have chosen $(M'_u)_{44} \simeq -(M'_d)_{44} \simeq -(8.0-8.5)$. The last choice is made so that the mass splitting of the fourth generation quarks do not make a large contribution to the ρ parameter [16]–[18]. We emphasize that this choice is not necessary if one takes $Y^u \simeq Y^d$. Our choices of Y^u and Y^d gives somewhat better hierarchy between the up and the down sectors but is not crucially important. Using eqs (3)–(8) we obtain (for $m_c \simeq 1.5m_t \simeq 125$, $m_s \simeq 0.150$, $m_b \simeq 5$ (all in GeV), $V_{ud} \simeq 0.9747$, $V_{us} \simeq 0.223$, $V_{ub} \simeq 0.004$ and $V_{cb} \simeq 0.04$): $x_u = 0.2$, $x_d = 0.45$, $(M'_u)_{14} \simeq 0.392$, $(M'_u)_{34} \simeq 3.76$, $(M'_u)_{33} \simeq 8.33$, $(M'_d)_{14} \simeq 0.312$, $(M'_d)_{34} \simeq -0.462$, $(M'_d)_{33} \simeq 0.5$. The remaining elements of the CKM matrix are predictions of this model and the full matrix is given by

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 0.9747(0.0) & 0.2235(-0.00008) & 0.004(-0.0009) & 0.004(-0.0009) \\ -0.2235(0.0003) & 0.9747(-0.0012) & 0.04(0.001) & -0.031(-0.017) \\ 0.005(0.002) & -0.039(-0.004) & 1.00(-0.006) & -0.105(-0.036) \\ -0.011(-0.002) & 0.03(0.01) & 0.105(0.037) & 1.00(-0.006) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10}$$

where the numbers in the parentheses give the second order corrections. While the detailed numerology of the predictions of this matrix is some-what dependent on the values of the input parameters, a key prediction independent of such details is that the diagonal and the off-diagonal elements of the 2×2 block involving the first two families should be almost identical. The present experimental values $|V_{cd}| = 0.204 \pm 0.017$ and $|V_{cs}| = 1.00 \pm 0.20$ [10] leave open the possibility that they may be considerably different from $|V_{ud}|$ and $|V_{us}|$ respectively and the above prediction can, thus, be falsified. The discovery of the fermions belonging to the fourth family by direct searches in conjunction with accurate measurements of V_{cd} and V_{cs} at a tau-charm factory may provide an interesting test of this model.

Using the phenomenologically determined $(M'_{u,d})_{\alpha\beta}$'s and a specific choice for the degenerate eigenvectors of M^0 it is an easy numerical exercise to determine the elements of $M'_{u,d}$. As has already been mentioned the physical observables are of course independent of this specific choice. As an example we present below two such matrices. One can readily diagonalise them numerically to verify that they indeed reproduce all the known phenomenology of the quarks and a close agreement with

Fourth generation flavour democracy

the CKM matrix given above.

$$M^u/Y^u = \begin{pmatrix} 1.0775 & 0.9435 & 1.0497 & 0.5287 \\ 0.9435 & 0.8095 & 0.9158 & 0.3947 \\ 1.0497 & 0.9158 & 1.0220 & 0.5010 \\ 0.5287 & 0.3947 & 0.5010 & 1.0910 \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

$$M^d/Y^d = \begin{pmatrix} 1.2505 & 1.1960 & 1.2280 & 1.2348 \\ 1.1960 & 1.1415 & 1.1735 & 1.1803 \\ 1.2280 & 1.1735 & 1.2055 & 1.2124 \\ 1.2348 & 1.1803 & 1.2124 & 1.2858 \end{pmatrix} \quad (12)$$

As expected the departure from democracy is rather small in the down sector. In the up sector the departure from democracy outside the 3×3 block is quite significant. Whether this is an artifact of our input parameters has to be checked by a detailed numerical computation. We also note that the above precise values of the elements of the mass matrices are not required to get the hierarchy in the masses qualitatively. These are required to reproduce the two very precisely determined elements V_{ud} and V_{us} .

If very small but non-zero neutrino masses are detected in future experiments, then $(M'_\nu) \ll (M_0)$ will be required. No large hierarchy among the Yukawa couplings will still be forced upon us as long as the Y^f 's for the different sectors are approximately equal. One may, however, wonder whether $(M'_\nu) \ll (M_0)$ would lead to excessive fine-tunings in the elements of the neutrino mass matrix. Unfortunately in the absence of detailed information about neutrino masses and mixing angles in the lepton sector, it is not possible to gauge the extent of this fine tuning by explicitly calculating the analogue of (11) or (12). If two neutrinos are found to be massless while ν_τ is found to have a mass close to its present upper bound, a model without fine-tuning can be obtained by setting $(M'_\nu)_{33} = 0$. Such a model would naturally lead to two massless neutrinos to all orders in perturbation theory and a light neutrino with mass

$$m_\nu^{(3)} = \frac{\lambda^2 Y^{\nu}}{4} ((M'_\nu)_{14}^2 + (M'_\nu)_{24}^2 + (M'_\nu)_{34}^2) \quad (13)$$

A tau neutrino with mass $\simeq 0.035$ GeV would then imply (assuming approximate equality of all the matrix elements) $(M'_\nu)_{i4} \simeq 0.2$ which does not seem to be unnatural.

If all the neutrino masses turn out to be non-zero, new physics with an inbuilt see-saw mechanism [19], [20] together with flavour democracy in a four generation scenario may provide an elegant model. In the work of Hill and Paschos [3] the Dirac mass matrix of the neutrinos were assumed to have three light and one heavy eigen value. But no explicit model for this matrix was proposed. It is now rather obvious that a matrix of the form given in eqn 1 will serve this purpose. The main result of Paschos and Hill would then follow naturally, i.e., see-saw mechanism would naturally lead to one light and one heavy Majorana neutrino for the first three generations, while both the neutrinos belonging to the fourth generation will be naturally heavy.

Nevertheless, since no evidence of the Majorana nature of the neutrinos which is so crucial for the see-saw mechanism has been found, it may also be worthwhile to speculate about other possible origins of very small departures from the democratic

structure in the neutrino sector. If the quarks and leptons are composites of more fundamental objects such a scenario can be motivated. In order to make the discussion as much model independent as is possible we simply summarise the essential features. We assume that the Yukawa couplings of the (composite) fermions with the Higgs boson are fully democratic. The compositeness of the fermions manifests itself through non-renormalisable interactions of the form $(g_{ij}^2/\Lambda^2)\bar{\psi}_{iL}\psi_{jR}\bar{f}_{iL}f_{jR}$ where the ψ 's and f 's stand for usual quark-leptons and preons respectively [21], g_{ij} 's are coupling constants and Λ is the compositeness scale. The formation of preonic condensates through some new interactions then generates additional mass terms for the fermions which lead to departures from exact democracy. The parameters λY^f in (1) can then be identified with $g_{ij}^2\Lambda_f^3/\Lambda^2$, where Λ_f^3 denotes the strengths of the preonic condensates. If the compositeness scale of the neutrinos is much larger than the other fermions then the departures from the democratic structure in the neutrino sector will naturally be much smaller than those in the other sectors. Assuming $\lambda Y^f \simeq 10$, $g_{ij} \simeq 1$ and assigning a typical value of 300 GeV^3 characteristic of the electroweak scale to the preon condensates one needs $\Lambda \simeq 2 \text{ TeV}$ in order to produce the above mass matrices in the quark sector. Such a low compositeness scale in a one scale model, though allowed by the present experimental data, may lead to unacceptably large flavour changing neutral currents (for a review see, e.g., [22]), unless special mechanisms are introduced to suppress them. On the other hand in two scale models [21] the above effective interactions may be generated by the exchanges of a composite scalar particle of mass in the TeV region characteristic of the lower scale. In such models flavour changing neutral currents are also naturally suppressed.

In summary, the excitement that the neutrino counting experiments have also determined the number of sequential quark lepton families seems to be rather premature. In this note we have illustrated with the help of an extremely simple model that the hierarchy among the fermion masses in the quark and charged lepton sectors can be understood naturally in models with (approximate) flavour democracy provided there are four sequential families of quark-leptons with all members of the fourth family having roughly equal masses. In the neutrino sector exact flavour democracy predicts three massless neutrinos without artificially requiring the absence of right-handed neutrinos. Departures from flavour democracy can naturally explain one massive neutrino belonging to the lighter families provided its mass is in the MeV region. If confronted with non-vanishing but extremely small neutrino masses for the first three families the model may still accommodate them without introducing any large ratios, although some fine tuning in the values of the Yukawa couplings may be needed.

The author wishes to thank Prof. Abdus Salam, IAEA and UNESCO for supporting his visit to the International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste where part of this work was done. He thanks Dr. A Joshipura for valuable discussions. This research was partly supported by a grant from the Department of Science and Technology, India.

References

- [1] J Carter, *Proc. joint lepton-photon and Int. europsychics Conf. high energy physics*, Geneva, edited by S Hegarty *et al*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1992)
- [2] See for example, the MSM is extended by allowing Majorana mass terms for the neutrinos then the four family model with two heavy Majorana neutrinos belonging to the fourth generation has been shown to be perfectly natural [3].

Fourth generation flavour democracy

- [3] C T Hill and E A Paschos, *Phys. Lett.* **B241**, 96 (1990)
- [4] C H Llewelyn Smith, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London* **A336**, 307 (1991)
- [5] C Jarlskog, *Proc. of the 25th Int. Conf. high energy physics*, Singapore (edited by K K Phua and Y Yamaguchi (World Scientific, Singapore, 1990) p. 61
- [6] H Harari, H Haut and J Weyers, *Phys. Lett.* **B78**, 459 (1978)
- [7] C Jarlskog, Stockholm pre-print (unpublished) (1986)
- [8] P Kaus and S Meskov, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A3**, 1251 (1988); err. **A4** 603 (1989)
- [9] F Abe *et al* (CDF collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**, 447 (1992)
- [10] Particle Data Group, *Phys. Rev.* **D45**, S1 (1992)
- [11] R Davis Jr *et al*, *Proc. 21st Int. cosmic ray Conf.* edited by R J Protheroe (Univ. Adelaide Press, 1990) p. 155
- [12] K S Hirata *et al*, *Phys. Rev.* **D44**, 2241 (1991)
- [13] S M Bilenky and S T Petcov, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **59**, 671 (1987)
- [14] P Anselman *et al* (GALLEX Collaboration), *Phys. Lett.* **B285**, 376 (1992)
- [15] A Datta and E A Paschos, *CP violation* edited by C Jarlskog (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989) p. 292
- [16] 2) The number of additional heavy degenerate chiral fermion doublets is also constrained by the S parameter (for a brief review and references see, e.g., sec VII p. 159 of [10]. The experimentally favoured negative value of this parameter still allows one extra generation of Heavy fermions at 95% CL (see the above references). A preliminary analysis of LEP 1991 data indicates that the bounds on S are considerably relaxed and positive values of S are allowed [17]. This may make the accommodation of additional heavy doublets easier. A more cautious approach in handling the information on S is, therefore, called for.
- [17] G Fogli, *Talk presented at the Trieste workshop on the search of new particles*, Trieste, May, 1992 (unpublished)
- [18] M Veltman, *Nucl. Phys.* **B123**, 89 (1977)
- [19] M Gell-Mann, P Ramond and R Slansky, *Supergravity* edited by P Van Nieuwenhuizen and D Friedman (North Holland, 1979)
- [20] T Yanagida, *Proc. Workshop on unified theory and baryon number of the universe* (edited by O Swada and A Sugamoto KEK, Japan, 1979)
- [21] J C Pati, *Phys. Lett.* **B144**, 375 (1984)
- [22] L Lyons, *Prog. Part Nucl. Phys.* **10**, 227 (1983)