

## Thermal conduction through porous and dispersed three-phase systems

RAMVIR SINGH, R S BENIWAL, R N PANDE and  
D R CHAUDHARY

Department of Physics, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur 302 004, India

MS received 30 April 1985

**Abstract.** A loose three-phase system made of metal, non-metal and air is considered resulting from small successive dispersions in effective continuous medium (ECM). The effective thermal conductivity of loose three-phase systems is estimated by extending the ECM approach to multi-phase systems. The unsteady state line source (needle) method is employed to determine the effective thermal conductivity of some selected three-phase materials. The calculated and observed values show good agreement suggesting that the continuous medium approach can be applied to estimate effective thermal conductivity of multi-phase systems.

**Keywords.** Continuous phase; effective continuous medium; successive dispersion; unsteady state.

**PACS No.** 44-10; 44-90; 66-70; 72-15

### 1. Introduction

A knowledge of heat-transfer in static multi-phase systems is useful for studies in thermal engineering, meteorology, soil physics and geophysics. While considering the insulating ability of a natural or fabricated system, the thermal parameters are often estimated through an expression. In this paper, we present an expression which can work on samples where a conductor is dispersed in an insulator and by varying the corresponding parameters it can be used to systems where the dispersed phase has become dispersing. One finds in literature experimental measurements (De Veries and Peck 1958; Sugawara and Yoshizawa 1961; Chaudhary *et al* 1969; Law 1971; Cheng *et al* 1972; Singh *et al* 1985) of effective thermal conductivity  $\lambda_e$  of loose and heterogeneous two and three-phase systems of different nature. Also the resistor model (Wiener 1904; De Veries 1952; Woodside and Messmer 1961; Cheng and Vachon 1969; Chaudhary and Bhandari 1968; Kumar and Chaudhary 1980; Singh *et al* 1984) and the flux concept (Maxwell 1904; Meredith and Tobias 1960; McPhedran and McKenzie 1978) used for theoretical estimation of  $\lambda_e$  of two-phase media have mostly been extended to moist soils. Influence of loose metallic and insulative dispersions on heat conduction through granular two-phase systems has been investigated here experimentally using the thermal probe method. A theoretical approach using effective continuous media (ECM) has been developed to determine  $\lambda_e$  of loose and granular three-phase systems. As most of the loose materials lie in porosity range 0.3–0.7, the ECM approach (Pande *et al* 1984) for loose three-phase systems should be suitable. Although

there is no dearth of data from literature there are insufficient information about the specimens. We have taken measurements on some composites of engineering interest which have loose metallic and non-metallic dispersions.

## 2. Theory

### 2.1 Reduction of loose two-phase systems to effective continuous media

Considering small cubic dispersions in the continuous phase, Pande *et al* (1984) derived an expression for the  $\lambda_e$  of two-phase systems when  $\lambda_g/\lambda_s \rightarrow 0$ . When one phase is solid (s) and the other is gas (g) the expressions are

$$\lambda_e = \lambda_g \{1 + 3.844 \psi_s^{2/3}\} \text{ (for } 0.5 > \psi_s > 0), \quad (1a)$$

and 
$$\lambda_e = \lambda_s \{1 - 1.545 \psi_g^{2/3}\} \text{ (for } 0.5 > \psi_g > 0), \quad (1b)$$

where  $\psi_g$  and  $\psi_s$  stand for the volume fractions of the gas and the solid phases. Expressions (1a) and (1b) are valid for small dispersions. As the loose materials have a large range of density, Pande *et al* (1984) modified (1a) and (1b) for the porosity variation in the range 0.3–0.7. In loose two-phase systems, as none of the phases provides continuous matrix, (1a) and (1b) do not correspond to actual loose two-phase systems. The continuous matrix at large porosity values ( $\psi_s = \psi_g = 0.5$ ) is contributed by both the phases. When equal solid-void value occurs we call it ECM. Thus we obtain a real loose two-phase system by making small dispersions ( $\xi$ ) of solid or gas phase in ECM. Therefore the  $\lambda_e$  of loose and granular two-phase systems for small dispersions become

$$\lambda_e = \lambda_{ec} \{1 + 3.844 \xi_s^{2/3}\} \text{ for } \xi_s > 0, \quad (2a)$$

and 
$$\lambda_e = \lambda_{ec} \{1 - 1.545 \xi_g^{2/3}\} \text{ for } \xi_g > 0, \quad (2b)$$

where  $\xi_g = \psi_g - 0.5$ ,  $\xi_s = \psi_s - 0.5$  and  $\lambda_{ec}$  is the thermal conductivity of ECM. Evaluation of  $\lambda_e$  requires the estimation of  $\lambda_{ec}$ . The resulting matrix as ECM can be obtained by considering  $n$  successive small dispersions, each of value  $\delta\psi$  in continuous solid or gas phases in the limit  $n\delta\psi_s = n\delta\psi_g = n\delta\psi = 0.5$ . The thermal conductivity of ECM has thus been evaluated (Pande *et al* 1984) for  $n\delta\psi = 0.5$  and  $n \geq 1$  as

$$\lambda_{ec} \geq 0.6132 (\lambda_s \lambda_g)^{1/2}. \quad (3)$$

### 2.2 Influence of the dispersion of another solid phase in ECM

Dispersion of solid (2) in a loose material replaces solid (1) and a few void spaces of the system. When the volume fraction of solid (2) is zero, the sample is a completely two-phase system composed by solid (1) and air. Gradual addition of solid (2) in the sample changes the thermal conductivity of solid-phase. When the volume fraction  $\psi_{s2}$  of solid phase (2) is unity, solid (1) is completely replaced by solid (2). Then the sample is again a two-phase system composed of solid (2) and air. Between porosity values of  $\psi_{s2}$ , we have three phases: solid (1), solid (2) and air. The thermal conductivity of this three-phase system lies between the thermal conductivity values of the sample at low extreme compositions ( $\lambda_{s1} \psi_{s1}$ ) and ( $\lambda_{s2} \psi_{s2}$ ). As the thermal conductivity of ECM changes with the values of  $\lambda_s$  (equation 3) and  $\lambda_s$  alters at each value of  $\psi_{s2}$ , the thermal conductivity

of ECM also varies with the amount of dispersion  $\psi_{s2}$  of solid phase (2). When the dispersion  $\psi_{s2}$  in  $\lambda_{s1}$  is small, the new thermal conductivity of solid phase  $\lambda'_s$  is given through (1a) {as  $\lambda_{s1}/\lambda_{s2}$  does not tend to zero}

$$\lambda'_s = \lambda_{s1} \left\{ 1 + 3.844 \left( \frac{\lambda_{s2} - \lambda_{s1}}{\lambda_{s2} + 2\lambda_{s1}} \right) \psi_{s2}^{2/3} \right\}, \tag{4}$$

where  $\psi_{s2}$  is the volume fraction of solid (2). Thus the thermal conductivity of the new ECM would be

$$\lambda_{nec} \geq 0.6132 (\lambda'_s \lambda_g)^{1/2}. \tag{5}$$

### 2.3 Effective thermal conductivity of loose three-phase systems

The actual loose three-phase system is formed by making small dispersions of previous solid-phase (1) or air-phase in new ECM. Therefore for  $\psi_{s1} = \xi_{s1} + 0.5$  or  $\psi_g = \xi_g + 0.5$ , (2a) and (2b) lead to  $\lambda_e$  such that

$$\lambda_e \geq \lambda_{nec} \{ 1 + 3.844 \xi_s^{2/3} \}, \tag{6a}$$

and 
$$\lambda_e \geq \lambda_{nec} \{ 1 - 1.545 \xi_g^{2/3} \}. \tag{6b}$$

Substituting the values of  $\lambda_{nec}$  from expression (5), we get

$$\lambda_e = 0.6132 (\lambda_{s1} \lambda_g)^{1/2} \{ 1 + 3.844 \xi_s^{2/3} \} \left\{ 1 + 3.844 \left( \frac{\lambda_{s2} - \lambda_{s1}}{\lambda_{s2} + 2\lambda_{s1}} \right) \psi_{s2}^{2/3} \right\}^{1/2}, \tag{7a}$$

and

$$\lambda_e = 0.6132 (\lambda_{s1} \lambda_g)^{1/2} \{ 1 - 1.545 \xi_g^{2/3} \} \left\{ 1 + 3.844 \left( \frac{\lambda_{s2} - \lambda_{s1}}{\lambda_{s2} + 2\lambda_{s1}} \right) \psi_{s2}^{2/3} \right\}^{1/2}. \tag{7b}$$

## 3. Measurements

### 3.1 Material preparation

The physical properties of the loose materials in which various combinations were made for verification are as given in table 1.

These materials were sieved and oven-dried at 50°C for 24 hr. A known amount (m) of the metal or insulative material was mixed and randomized homogeneously in the

**Table 1.** Physical properties of the materials.

Materials	Average grain size ( $\mu$ )	Bulk porosity	Solid density (g/cc)
Cement (i)	40	0.68	2.66
(ii)	100	0.514	2.66
Dune sand	170	0.485	2.67
River base sand	140	0.485	2.76
Aluminium fine	40	0.62	2.70
Iron fine	40	0.56	7.46

Table 2. Measured and calculated values of  $\lambda_e$  ( $Wm^{-1}K^{-1}$ ) of metal and insulation dispersed loose and granular three-phase systems.

System	$\lambda_e$ using							Present expression
	$\psi_{s1}$	$\psi_{s2}$	$\psi_g$	$\lambda_e$ Experimental	Lichtnecker (1926) formula	Brailsford and Major (1964) formula		
1. Loose cement dispersed with iron fine	0.315	0.018	0.667	0.091	0.129	0.064	0.095	
	0.307	0.039	0.654	0.095	0.147	0.067	0.105	
	0.295	0.064	0.641	0.103	0.169	0.069	0.116	
$\lambda_g$ (air) = 0.026	0.277	0.095	0.628	0.114	0.198	0.072	0.128	
	0.251	0.134	0.615	0.121	0.238	0.074	0.140	
$\lambda_{s1}$ (cement) = 2.60	0.227	0.171	0.602	0.135	0.284	0.077	0.152	
	0.184	0.227	0.589	0.156	0.362	0.080	0.168	
$\lambda_{s2}$ (iron) = 65.406	0.138	0.286	0.576	0.178	0.464	0.084	0.183	
	0.086	0.352	0.562	0.210	0.609	0.087	0.199	
2. Loose cement dispersed with aluminium fine	0.290	0.036	0.674	0.091	0.138	0.063	0.097	
	0.260	0.072	0.668	0.098	0.166	0.064	0.109	
	0.229	0.109	0.662	0.101	0.200	0.066	0.118	
$\lambda_g$ (air) = 0.026	0.195	0.149	0.656	0.111	0.245	0.067	0.120	
	0.163	0.187	0.650	0.120	0.298	0.068	0.135	
$\lambda_{s1}$ (cement) = 2.60	0.131	0.225	0.644	0.126	0.361	0.069	0.142	
	0.098	0.264	0.638	0.130	0.440	0.070	0.150	
$\lambda_{s2}$ (aluminium) = 204.25	0.065	0.303	0.632	0.142	0.536	0.072	0.157	
	0.0324	0.342	0.626	0.166	0.652	0.074	0.165	
3. Loose cement dispersed with river base sand	0.449	0.047	0.504	0.180	0.258	0.099	0.158	
	0.406	0.096	0.498	0.186	0.269	0.100	0.176	
	0.364	0.146	0.490	0.189	0.283	0.103	0.187	

$\lambda_g$ (air) = 0.026	0.317	0.198	0.485	0.203	0.293	0.104	0.200
	0.266	0.249	0.485	0.207	0.297	0.104	0.211
$\lambda_{s1}$ (cement) = 2.60	0.214	0.301	0.485	0.212	0.301	0.105	0.213
	0.161	0.354	0.485	0.215	0.305	0.105	0.214
$\lambda_{s2}$ (river base sand) = 3.35	0.108	0.407	0.485	0.216	0.309	0.105	0.216
	0.055	0.460	0.485	0.218	0.313	0.105	0.217
4. Loose dune sand dispersed with aluminium fine	0.472	0.043	0.485	0.227	0.379	0.105	0.269
	0.447	0.071	0.482	0.259	0.434	0.107	0.292
	0.377	0.143	0.480	0.283	0.588	0.108	0.328
$\lambda_g$ (air) = 0.0260	0.332	0.182	0.486	0.271	0.669	0.106	0.326
	0.279	0.227	0.494	0.255	0.777	0.104	0.313
$\lambda_{s1}$ (dune sand) = 3.35	0.229	0.267	0.504	0.255	0.882	0.101	0.275
	0.164	0.301	0.535	0.239	0.864	0.093	0.246
$\lambda_{s2}$ (aluminium) = 204.25	0.099	0.337	0.564	0.218	0.869	0.086	0.227
	0.042	0.360	0.598	0.202	0.812	0.078	0.205
5. Loose cement dispersed with dune sand	0.286	0.049	0.665	0.090	0.123	0.063	0.085
	0.280	0.099	0.621	0.108	0.153	0.072	0.104
	0.269	0.151	0.580	0.136	0.187	0.080	0.120
$\lambda_g$ (air) = 0.026	0.254	0.206	0.540	0.157	0.228	0.089	0.139
	0.222	0.273	0.505	0.178	0.272	0.099	0.164
$\lambda_{s1}$ (cement) = 2.60	0.189	0.346	0.465	0.224	0.334	0.111	0.245
	0.117	0.418	0.465	0.264	0.340	0.111	0.247
$\lambda_{s2}$ (dune sand) = 3.35	0.059	0.471	0.470	0.256	0.336	0.110	0.242
	0.009	0.516	0.475	0.248	0.332	0.108	0.235

given amount of loose two-phase material. Except for aluminium-dispersed dune sand and cement-dispersed dune sand systems, the grain size of the dispersed phase and loose material was nearly the same.

### 3.2 Apparatus and method

The method adopted here is the unsteady-state line source method, also called the thermal probe or needle method. The thermal conductivity of the infinite material sample surrounding the thermal probe is given by the relation (De Veries and Peck 1958; Carslaw and Jaeger 1959)

$$\lambda_e = \frac{Q}{4\pi(\theta_2 - \theta_1)} \ln(t_2/t_1), \quad (8)$$

where  $\theta_2$  and  $\theta_1$  are two values of the temperature of the probe surface at  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ .  $Q$  is the power per unit length supplied to the probe. The experimental arrangement is similar to that described by Chaudhary *et al* (1969). The various appliances employed in this investigation give an experimental error of 5% through equation (8).

## 4. Comparison and discussion

The measured and estimated values of  $\lambda_e$  for metal and insulative dispersed three-phase loose and granular systems are given in table 2. The  $\lambda_e$  values have been calculated and compared using the relations given by Lichtnecker (1926), Brailsford and Major (1964) and the present expressions (7a) and (7b). The calculated values of  $\lambda_e$  using the present expressions are much closer to the measured results. The agreement is better particularly at high and low metallic or insulative dispersions (systems 1, 2 and 3, table 2). The average deviation in this case lies between 0 and 6.3%.

For systems of dissimilar grain sizes (systems 4 and 5, table 2) the values of  $\lambda_e$  calculated through (7a) and (7b) show better agreement at low and high metallic and insulative dispersions but the average deviation is larger (10-18%). This is perhaps due to the inhomogeneity in the distribution of dispersed phase particles, developed by greater difference in the grain size.

### Acknowledgements

The authors thank Prof R C Bhandari, Dr P V Bakore, Shri V Kumar and Dr N S Saxena for discussions and useful suggestions. Financial assistance from DST, New Delhi, is gratefully acknowledged.

### References

- Brailsford A D and Major K G 1964 *Br. J. Appl. Phys.* **15** 313
- Carslaw H S and Jaeger J C 1959 *Conduction of heat in solids* (Oxford: Clarendon Press) 2nd edn pp. 261
- Chaudhary D R and Bhandari R C 1968 *Br. J. Appl. Phys. (J. Phys. D)* **1** 815
- Chaudhary D R, Agarwal M P and Bhandari R C 1969 *Indian J. Pure Appl. Phys.* **7** 252
- Cheng S C and Vachon R I 1969 *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer* **12** 249

- Cheng S C, Law Y S and Kwan C C Y 1972 *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer* **15** 355
- De Veries D A 1952 *Thesis, Meded. Landbouhogeschool, Wageningen*, Vol. 52, No. 1, pp. 1-73
- De Veries D A and Peck A J 1958 *Aust. J. Pure Appl. Phys.* **11** 255
- Kumar V and Chaudhary D R 1980 *Indian J. Pure Appl. Phys.* **18** 984
- Lichtnecker K 1926 *Physik Z.* **27** 115
- Law Y S 1971 *Thermal conductivity of two-phase and three-phase heterogeneous solid mixtures* M.Sc. thesis, Mech. Engg., Univ. of Ottawa
- Maxwell J C 1904 *A treatise on electricity and magnetism* (Oxford: Clarendon Press) III ed Vol. I, p. 440
- Meredith R E and Tobias C W 1960 *J. Appl. Phys.* **31** 1270
- McPhedran R C and McKenzie D R 1978 *Proc. R. Soc. (London)* **A259** 45
- Pande R N, Kumar V and Chaudhary D R 1984 *Pramana* **22** 63
- Sugawara A and Yoshizawa Y 1961 *Aust. J. Phys.* **14** 469
- Singh R, Beniwal R S and Kumar V 1984 *Indian J. Pure Appl. Phys.* **22** 556
- Singh R, Saxena N S and Chaudhary D R 1985 *J. Phys.* **D18** 1
- Wiener O 1904 *Phys. Z. (Germany)* **5** 332
- Woodside and Messmer J H 1961 *J. Appl. Phys.* **32** 1688