

Fractionally charged non-leaking dyons and fermions in a bag

S M ROY and VIRENDRA SINGH

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Bombay 400 005, India

MS received 1 January 1985

Abstract. We consider a fermion of charge e confined to a spherical bag with a Dirac monopole of strength g at its centre. We find that the boundary conditions making the lowest angular momentum hamiltonian self-adjoint are characterized by a unitary matrix U , and the corresponding vacuum charge has a fractional part $2|eg|\alpha/\pi$ where $\det U = -\exp(2i\alpha)$. Boundary conditions for conservation of helicity, CP , CT and PT are displayed. We demonstrate the possibility of a fractionally charged dyon whose interaction with a fermion conserves helicity. We also show that *the simultaneous validity of helicity, CP , CT and PT requires integer vacuum charge.*

Keywords. Non-leaking dyons; fermions; spherical bag; unitary matrix.

PACS No. 14·80; 11·30; 11·15

The Jackiw-Rebbi (1976) discovery of half-integral fermion number of fermion-monopole systems is further dramatised by Witten's (1979) result that in the presence of a CP -violating angle θ_0 the monopole acquires a charge $-e\theta_0/(2\pi)$ where e is the fermion charge. In an apparently different line of research Kazama *et al* (1977), Callias (1977) and Goldhaber (1977) discovered that the fermion-Dirac monopole Hamiltonian in the lowest angular momentum state is self-adjoint only when a boundary condition at the origin (monopole position) involving the CP -violating parameter θ_0 is imposed. Two major consequences are the "inevitable failure of helicity conservation" (Goldhaber 1977) (intimately related to the Rubakov-Callan effect in the non-abelian case (Rubakov 1981, 1982; Callan 1982a, b, 1983)), and the confirmation by Grossman and Yamagishi (1983) of the Witten effect with a precise connection to the $r = 0$ boundary condition. The monopole becomes a helicity leaking dyon of fractional charge, the fraction being irrational in general but a half-integer or an integer when CP is conserved.

The present work demonstrates that these conclusions get radically altered when the fermion monopole system is enclosed in a spherical bag of finite radius R . In particular it is possible to have a helicity conserving dyon of fractional charge. CP violation forces fractional charge but does not force helicity violation. Further, *the simultaneous conservation of helicity, CP , CT and PT forces the monopole charge to be integral.* For the lowest angular momentum hamiltonian we find a simple formula relating the vacuum charge to the boundary conditions. The charge eigenvalues are independent of R but depend non-trivially on boundary conditions at $r = R$ (as well as $r = 0$) and hence have non-unique $R \rightarrow \infty$ limit. A similar boundary condition dependence of the vacuum charge was obtained recently (Roy and Singh 1984a, b) for the $1 + 1$ dimensional Jackiw-Rebbi and Goldstone-Wilczek (1981) hamiltonians. We generalize here the

Witten-Grossman-Yamagishi results connecting fractional charge to violation of discrete symmetries.

We use the Wu-Yang (1975) vector potentials $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_a(\mathbf{r})$ for $\mathbf{r} \in R_a$ ($\theta \neq \pi$), and $\mathbf{A}_b(\mathbf{r})$ for $\mathbf{r} \in R_b$ ($\theta \neq 0$) where

$$\mathbf{A}_a(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{A}_b(-\mathbf{r}) = g \frac{\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{r(1 + \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}})}, \quad (1)$$

with g = monopole strength. The Dirac wave section ψ ($= \psi_a$ in R_a , ψ_b in R_b) obeys,

$$H\psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = i \frac{\partial \psi(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t}, \quad H = \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} + \beta m, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\pi} = -i\nabla - e\mathbf{A}, \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ are Pauli matrices and e and m denote charge and mass of the fermion, confined to the bag $|\mathbf{r}| \leq R$. Before defining the boundary conditions which make H self-adjoint, we note, following Goldhaber (1977) the following "formal" symmetry properties

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} H \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} &= H, \quad (CP)H(CP)^{-1} = -H, \\ (CT)H(CT)^{-1} &= -H, \quad (PT)H(PT)^{-1} = H. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Here $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}$ is related to the helicity, with $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \text{diag}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\sigma})$. The discrete transformations C , P , T are defined by

$$\psi_{a,b}(\mathbf{x}, t) \xrightarrow{P} \psi_{a,b}^P(\mathbf{x}, t) = \eta_P \beta \psi_{b,a}(-\mathbf{x}, t), \quad (5)$$

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}, t) \xrightarrow{C} \psi^C(\mathbf{x}, t) = \eta_C \beta \alpha_2 \psi^*(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad (6)$$

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}, t) \xrightarrow{T} \psi^T(\mathbf{x}, t) = \eta_T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2 \psi^*(\mathbf{x}, -t), \quad (7)$$

$$PA(\mathbf{x})P^{-1} = CA(\mathbf{x})C^{-1} = TA(\mathbf{x})T^{-1} = -A(\mathbf{x}), \quad (8)$$

where $|\eta_P| = |\eta_C| = |\eta_T| = 1$. The subscripts a, b in (5) refer to regions R_a, R_b . The corresponding subscripts in (6)–(8) are omitted, since the same subscript occurs throughout each equation. It follows that the wave sections,

$$\psi_{a,b}^{PT}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \eta_P \eta_T \beta \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2 \psi_{b,a}^*(\mathbf{x}, -t), \quad (9)$$

$$\psi^{CT}(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\eta_C \eta_T^* \beta \alpha_2 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2 \psi(\mathbf{x}, -t), \quad (10)$$

and

$$\psi_{a,b}^{CP}(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\eta_C \eta_P^* \alpha_2 \psi_{b,a}^*(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad (11)$$

obey the same Dirac equation as $\psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$. In the lowest angular momentum state $j = |q| - \frac{1}{2}$, $q \equiv eg$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \frac{1}{r} \begin{pmatrix} F(r) & \eta_{j,m}(\theta, \phi) \\ G(r) & \eta_{j,m}(\theta, \phi) \end{pmatrix} \exp(-iEt) \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{r} \chi(r) \otimes \eta_{jm}(\theta, \phi) \exp(-iEt), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where

$$H_0\chi(r) = E\chi(r), \quad H_0 \equiv -i \operatorname{sgn}(q)\sigma_1 \frac{d}{dr} + M\sigma_3. \quad (13)$$

Using the expressions (Kazama *et al* 1977) for the 2 component angular sections η_{jm} in terms of the monopole harmonics Y_{qim} , and the formulae

$$(Y_{qim}^*(-\hat{r}))_b = (-1)^{l+m} [Y_{q,i,-m}(\hat{r})]_a, \quad (14)$$

and

$$\sigma_2(\eta_{j,m}^*(-\hat{r}))_b = i(-1)^{j+m+1} (\eta_{j,-m}(\hat{r}))_a, \quad (15)$$

we have the *PT*, *CT* and *CP* transforms of (12)

$$\psi^{PT}(\mathbf{x}, t) = i(-1)^{j+m+1} \eta_T \eta_P \frac{1}{r} \chi^{PT}(r) \otimes \eta_{j,-m}(\hat{r}) \exp(-iEt), \quad (16)$$

$$\psi^{CT}(\mathbf{x}, t) = -i\eta_C \eta_T^* \frac{1}{r} \chi^{CT}(r) \otimes \eta_{j,m}(\hat{r}) \exp(+iEt), \quad (17)$$

$$\psi^{CP}(\mathbf{x}, t) = i(-1)^{j+m} \eta_C \eta_P^* \frac{1}{r} \chi^{CP}(r) \otimes \eta_{j,-m}(\hat{r}) \exp(+iEt), \quad (18)$$

where

$$(\chi^{PT}(r), \chi^{CT}(r), \chi^{CP}(r)) \equiv [\sigma_3\chi^*(r), \sigma_2\chi(r), \sigma_1\chi^*(r)]. \quad (19)$$

A consequence of $\psi^{PT,CT,CP}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ obeying the Dirac equation is

$$H_0(\chi^{PT}, \chi^{CT}, \chi^{CP}) = E(\chi^{PT}, -\chi^{CT}, -\chi^{CP}). \quad (20)$$

Similarly from the formal commutation of $\Sigma \cdot \pi$ with H , and the relation in the lowest j state

$$\Sigma \cdot \pi \psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\frac{i}{r} \operatorname{sgn}(q) \frac{d\chi(r)}{dr} \otimes \eta_{jm}(\theta, \phi), \quad (21)$$

we have the formal helicity conservation,

$$[h_0, H_0] = 0, \quad h_0 \equiv -i \frac{d}{dr} \operatorname{sgn}(q). \quad (22)$$

To go from formal symmetries to actual symmetries we discuss now the boundary conditions. For infinite space, Callias (1977) and Goldhaber (1977) deduced that whereas h_0 cannot be made self-adjoint with any boundary condition, self-adjointness of H_0 requires the boundary condition

$$F(0) + G(0) = (F(0) - G(0)) \exp \left[i \left(\theta_0 - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right]. \quad (23)$$

This does not allow even hermiticity (symmetry) of h_0 . The bag boundary conditions are essentially different.

Self-adjointness of the Hamiltonian

From the equation

$$(\chi_2, H_0\chi_1) - (H_0\chi_2, \chi_1) = 0, \quad (24)$$

the domain of self-adjointness $D(H_0)$ is calculated by requiring that if $\chi_1 \in D(H_0)$ then

(24) must imply that $\chi_2 \in D(H_0)$. We thus find that $D(H_0)$ consists of χ which apart from obeying,

$$\int_0^R dr \chi^\dagger(r) \chi(r) < \infty, \int_0^R dr (H_0 \chi)^\dagger (H_0 \chi) < \infty, \quad (25)$$

also obey the boundary conditions

$$\begin{pmatrix} F(R) + G(R) \operatorname{sgn} q \\ F(0) - G(0) \operatorname{sgn} q \end{pmatrix} = U \begin{pmatrix} F(R) - G(R) \operatorname{sgn} q \\ F(0) + G(0) \operatorname{sgn} q \end{pmatrix} \quad (26)$$

where U is the 2×2 unitary matrix

$$U \equiv \exp(i\alpha) \begin{pmatrix} \cos \lambda \exp[i(\beta + \pi/2)] & \sin \lambda \exp(i\gamma) \\ \sin \lambda \exp(-i\gamma) & -\cos \lambda \exp[-i(\beta + \pi/2)] \end{pmatrix}, \quad (27)$$

with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda$ being arbitrary real parameters. These boundary conditions define a four parameter family of self-adjoint hamiltonians similar to the Jackiw-Rebbi and Goldstone-Wilczek one space dimension cases considered previously (Roy and Singh 1984a, b).

Self-adjointness of helicity

Similarly, the boundary conditions which make h_0 self-adjoint are

$$\begin{pmatrix} F(R) + G(R) \operatorname{sgn} q \\ F(R) - G(R) \operatorname{sgn} q \end{pmatrix} = V \begin{pmatrix} F(0) + G(0) \operatorname{sgn} q \\ F(0) - G(0) \operatorname{sgn} q \end{pmatrix}, \quad (28)$$

where V is an arbitrary 2×2 unitary matrix.

Simultaneous self-adjointness of hamiltonian and helicity

For this purpose the parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda$ must be such that (26) and (28) are equivalent (i.e., they imply each other). This happens if and only if $\sin \lambda = \pm 1$. Hence the common domain of self-adjointness of the hamiltonian and helicity is specified by the simple boundary condition

$$\begin{pmatrix} F(R) \\ G(R) \operatorname{sgn} q \end{pmatrix} = \exp(i\gamma_1 + i\alpha\sigma_1) \begin{pmatrix} F(0) \\ G(0) \operatorname{sgn} q \end{pmatrix}, \quad (29)$$

where

$$\exp(i\gamma_1) \equiv \exp(i\gamma) \sin \lambda, \quad \sin \lambda = \pm 1. \quad (30)$$

Both (26) and (28) are equivalent to (29) with,

$$U = \exp(i\alpha) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \exp(i\gamma_1) \\ \exp(-i\gamma_1) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \exp(i\gamma_1) \begin{pmatrix} \exp(i\alpha) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(-i\alpha) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (31)$$

The departure of the boundary condition (29) from the quasi-periodic ones mentioned by Grossman (1983) corresponding to $\alpha = n\pi$ ($n = \text{integer}$) will be crucial for the existence of fractional Witten charge.

Discrete symmetries

For CP invariance (4) to hold if $\chi \in D(H_0)$, then $\chi^{CP} \in D(H_0)$, and vice versa. Similar conditions hold for CT and PT invariance. Thus conditions for the discrete symmetries

to hold are given by

$$PT: U = U^T; \quad CT: U = -\sigma_3 U^\dagger \sigma_3; \quad CP: U = -\sigma_3 U^* \sigma_3. \quad (32)$$

The infinite space results follow from (32) by setting $|U_{22}| = 1$, and other $U_{ij} = 0$, showing that PT invariance allows arbitrary θ_0 in (23) whereas CT and CP invariance give identical conditions $\theta_0 = n\pi$. For finite R , (32) yields

$$\begin{aligned} PT: \sin \lambda \sin \gamma &= 0 \\ CT: \text{either } \sin \alpha = \cos \lambda \sin \beta = 0 \text{ or } \cos \alpha = \cos \beta = \sin \lambda = 0 \\ CP: \text{either } \sin \alpha = \cos \lambda \sin \beta = \sin \lambda \sin \gamma = 0, \\ &\text{or } \cos \alpha = \cos \lambda \cos \beta = \sin \lambda \cos \gamma = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

For CT or CP invariance we need $\sin \alpha \cos \alpha = 0$. If $\sin \alpha = 0$, CP invariance implies CT invariance. If $\cos \alpha = 0$, CT invariance implies CP invariance. The most symmetric case, with helicity self-adjoint and conserved, and all the discrete symmetries PT , CT , CP valid corresponds to:

$$h_0, PT, CT, CP: \sin \alpha = \cos \lambda = \sin \gamma = 0, \text{ i.e. } U = \pm \sigma_1, \quad (34)$$

i.e., periodic or antiperiodic boundary conditions.

Vacuum charge eigenvalues

The Dirac field operator $\Psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ in the Heisenberg representation obeys the same differential equation as the c -no. wave function $\Psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and has the charge conjugation property

$$\Psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}, t) \xrightarrow{C} \Psi_\alpha^C(\mathbf{x}, t) = \eta_C(\beta\alpha_2)_{\alpha\beta} \Psi_\beta^\dagger(\mathbf{x}, t). \quad (34)$$

The total charge operator N (odd under C) and its eigenvalue N_0 in a vacuum state (with a convenient regularization (Goldstone and Jaffe 1983; Paranjape and Semenoff 1983; Niemi and Semenoff 1983)) are given by

$$N = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x [\Psi_\alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{x}, t), \Psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}, t)], \quad (35)$$

$$N_0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{n \\ E_n \neq 0}} (\text{sgn} E_n) \exp(-\varepsilon |E_n|) \right] + N_{0,0}, \quad (36)$$

where $N_{0,0}$ is the contribution of zero energy levels to vacuum charge. When there are ν zero energy c -no. wave functions the vacuum state is 2^ν fold degenerate and the corresponding $N_{0,0}$ vary from $-\nu/2$ to $\nu/2$ in steps of unity. In such a case we shall calculate the N_0 corresponding to the choice of the vacuum with $N_{0,0} = -\nu/2$; the other vacua will have charges differing from this by integers.

We shall only calculate the contribution to vacuum charge N_0 of the lowest angular momentum levels. The results will however give the full vacuum charge whenever the boundary conditions for the higher j levels respect CP or CT leading to $E \rightarrow -E$ symmetry of these levels and hence their zero contribution to N_0 .

For the most general boundary condition given by (26) and (27), we find that the energy levels are given by

$$\cos(kR) \cos \alpha + \frac{\sin(kR)}{k} [E \sin \alpha + M \cos \lambda \cos \beta] = \cos \zeta, \quad (37)$$

where

$$k^2 \equiv E^2 - M^2, \cos \zeta \equiv \sin \lambda \cos \alpha. \tag{38}$$

Vacuum charge for $M = 0$

In this case,

$$E(M = 0) = \frac{\alpha + \zeta + 2n\pi}{L} \text{ and } \frac{\alpha - \zeta + 2n\pi}{L}, n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \tag{39}$$

Each of these levels is $2j + 1 = 2|eg| = 2|q|$ fold degenerate. A simple calculation yields

$$N_0(M = 0) = 2|q| \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} - 1 - \text{Int} \left(\frac{\alpha + \zeta}{2\pi} \right) - \text{Int} \left(\frac{\alpha - \zeta}{2\pi} \right) \right), \tag{40}$$

with $\text{Int } x \equiv$ largest integer $\leq x$.

Vacuum charge for $M > 0$

In this case, for a given U , $E = E(M = 0) + O(1/n)$, for $n \rightarrow \infty$. We then show that $dN_0(M)/dM = 0$ except at those values $M = M_i$ where one or more eigenvalues E pass through zero leading to an integer jump in $N_0(M) \equiv N_0$ (Roy and Singh 1984a, b). We find,

$$N_0(M) = N_0(M = 0) + I, \tag{41}$$

where I is an integer given by

$$I = -2|q| \sum_{i=1}^2 \text{sgn} \left(\frac{dE}{dM} \right)_{M=M_i} \theta(M - M_i) \theta(x_i) \theta(-y_i), \tag{42}$$

with $\theta(x)$ being the step function, and

$$x_i \equiv \frac{1}{D} (\cos \lambda \cos \beta \cos \zeta + (-1)^i S \cos \alpha), \tag{43}$$

$$y_i \equiv \frac{1}{D} (\cos \alpha \cos \zeta + (-1)^i S \cos \lambda \cos \beta), \tag{44}$$

$$S \equiv + (\cos^2 \zeta + \cos^2 \lambda \cos^2 \beta - \cos^2 \alpha)^{1/2}, \tag{45}$$

$$D \equiv \cos^2 \lambda \cos^2 \beta - \cos^2 \alpha, \tag{46}$$

$$M_i \equiv \frac{1}{R} \sin h^{-1} x_i, \tag{47}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{dE}{dM} \right)_{M=M_i} \equiv - \frac{M_i R}{\sin \alpha} (\cos \alpha + \cos \lambda \cos \beta \coth (M_i R)). \tag{48}$$

Equation (41) gives the exact formula for the vacuum charge $N_0(M)$. Its fractional part is given by the simple formula

$$\text{Fractional part of } (N_0(M) - 2|eg|\alpha/\pi) = 0. \tag{49}$$

Helicity conservation allows arbitrary α and hence arbitrary fractional value for vacuum (monopole) charge. So does PT conservation. For either CP or CT to be conserved $\sin \alpha \cos \alpha = 0$, and hence the vacuum charge is $\frac{1}{2} \times$ integer, where the integer

Table 1. Dependence of fractional part of vacuum charge (equation (49)) on symmetries of the lowest angular momentum fermion-monopole bag hamiltonian assuming *CP* (or *CT*) invariance of the higher angular momentum hamiltonians.

Conserved quantities	Helicity	<i>CP</i>	<i>CT</i>	<i>PT</i>	Helicity, <i>CP, CT, PT</i>
Fractional part of vacuum charge	arbitrary	$0, \frac{1}{2}$	$0, \frac{1}{2}$	arbitrary	0

can be even or odd. This is due to the fact that the non-zero energy levels then occur in pairs due to $E \rightarrow -E$ symmetry and do not contribute to the vacuum charge. If helicity, *CP*, *CT* and *PT* are conserved then $\sin \alpha = 0$, and the vacuum charge must be integral (table 1).

Our extension of the Callias-Goldhaber and Witten-Grossman-Yamagishi results demonstrate that the change from infinite to finite space volume has interesting consequences for the vacuum charge eigenvalues and symmetry properties *e.g.*, if we consider $U = \text{diag} \exp [i\eta(\theta'_0 - \pi/2)], \exp [-i\eta(\theta_0 - \pi/2)]$ which Yamagishi used in discussing the $R \rightarrow \infty$ limit, we find that N_0 has a fractional part $2q(\theta'_0 - \theta_0 + \pi)/(2\pi)$, with a non-trivial dependence on the boundary condition parameter θ'_0 at $r = R$, no matter how large R may be. This fact escaped notice previously because N_0 was not calculated for finite R . Further, more symmetry properties are possible to satisfy for finite R than for $R = \infty$, each symmetry restricting boundary conditions and vacuum charge eigenvalues.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank A P Balachandran for a collaboration in which a different solution to the helicity problem was considered.

References

Balachandran A P, Roy S M and Singh V 1983 *Phys. Rev.* **D28** 2669
 Callan C G Jr 1982a *Phys. Rev.* **D25** 2141
 Callan C G Jr 1982b *Phys. Rev.* **D26** 2058
 Callan C G Jr 1983 *Nucl. Phys.* **B212** 391
 Callias C J 1977 *Phys. Rev.* **D16** 3068
 Goldhaber A S 1977 *Phys. Rev.* **D16** 1815
 Goldstone J and Jaffe R L 1983 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51** 1518
 Goldstone J and Wilczek F 1981 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **47** 986
 Grossman B 1983 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50** 464
 Jackiw R and Rebbi C 1976 *Phys. Rev.* **D13** 3398
 Kazama Y, Yang C N and Goldhaber A S 1977 *Phys. Rev.* **D15** 2287
 Niemi A J and Semenoff G W 1983 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51** 2077
 Paranjape M B and Semenoff G W 1983 *Phys. Lett.* **B132** 369
 Roy S M and Singh V 1984a *Pramana* **23** 333
 Roy S M and Singh V 1984b *Phys. Lett.* **B143** 179

Witten E 1979 *Phys. Lett.* **B86** 283

Wu T T and Yang C N 1975 *Phys. Rev.* **D12** 3845

Rubakov V A 1981 *Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **33** 658 (1981 *JETP Lett.* **33** 644)

Rubakov V A 1982 *Nucl. Phys.* **B203** 311

Yamagishi H 1983a *Phys. Rev.* **D27** 2383

Yamagishi H 1983b *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50** 458