



On generalized cyclotomic derivations

SAKSHI GUPTA[✉] and SURJEET KOUR*[✉]

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, Hauz Khas,
Delhi 110 016, India

*Corresponding Author.

E-mail: sakshi.gupta2693@gmail.com; koursurjeet@gmail.com

MS received 26 August 2022; revised 24 November 2022; accepted 9 December 2022

Abstract. In this article, we study the field of rational constants and Darboux polynomials of a generalized cyclotomic K -derivation d of $K[X]$. It is shown that d is without Darboux polynomials if and only if $K(X)^d = K$. The result is also studied in the tensor product of polynomial algebras.

Keywords. Darboux polynomial; Jouanolou derivation; cyclotomic derivation.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 12H05, 13N15.

1. Introduction

Throughout this article, K denotes a field of characteristic zero, $K[X] = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ is the polynomial algebra in n variables over K and $K(X)$ denotes the field of fractions of $K[X]$. Let d be a K -derivation of $K[X]$ and $K[X]^d$ denote the algebra of constants of d . The K -derivation d of $K[X]$ uniquely extends to a K -derivation of $K(X)$ and we continue to denote it by d . $K(X)^d$ represents the field of rational constants of d , that is, $K(X)^d = \{f \in K(X); d(f) = 0\}$ and $K[X]^d \subseteq K(X)^d$.

A non-constant polynomial $f \in K[X]$ is said to be a Darboux polynomial of d if $d(f) = \lambda f$, for some $\lambda \in K[X]$ and in this case λ is called the co-factor of f . We say d is without Darboux polynomials if d has no Darboux polynomials. It is easy to observe that if d is without Darboux polynomials, then $K(X)^d = K$ but the converse of the above statement is not true, in general. One can refer to [1, 2] for counter examples. In this paper, we study a class of monomial derivations for which $K(X)^d = K$ if and only if d is without Darboux polynomials. Note that a derivation d of $K[X]$ is said to be a monomial derivation if $d(x_i)$ is a monomial for every $1 \leq i \leq n$.

In [4], Nowicki and Ollagnier studied the Darboux polynomials and field of rational constants of a monomial derivation over the polynomial algebra $K[X]$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\alpha_i = (\alpha_{i1}, \dots, \alpha_{in}) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Consider the monomial derivation d given by $d(x_i) = X^{\alpha_i}$, where X^{α_i} denotes the monomial $x_1^{\alpha_{i1}} \dots x_n^{\alpha_{in}}$. Then one can associate a matrix A given by $A = [\alpha_{ij}] - I$ with the monomial derivation d . Let w_d denote the determinant of the matrix A . A monomial derivation d is said to be normal if $w_d \neq 0$ and $\alpha_{ii} = 0 \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$. In [4], Nowicki and Ollagnier proved that for a normal derivation d , $K(X)^d = K$ if and only

if d is without Darboux polynomials. In this article, they also raised a similar question in the case of $w_d = 0$. For $n = 3$, an independent proof was given to show that the result is true even if $w_d = 0$. It was also observed that the idea used to prove the result for $n = 3$ case could not be extended further. At the end of the article, they mentioned the following example of monomial derivation d on $K[x, y, z, w]$;

$$d(x) = w^2, \quad d(y) = zw, \quad d(z) = y^2, \quad d(w) = xy,$$

and raised the same question about the field of constants and Darboux polynomials. Note that for this derivation, $w_d = 0$. Our study of the field of constants of monomial derivations is motivated by the aforesaid example.

In this article, we study a large class of monomial derivations for which $K(X)^d = K$ if and only if d is without Darboux polynomial. The example mentioned above is a very particular case of our result. Further, our result is independent of the condition $w_d \neq 0$.

2. The main result

Let s be a non-negative integer. A derivation d on $K[X]$ is said to be homogeneous of degree s , if

$$d(A^m) \subseteq d(A^{m+s}) \quad \forall m \geq 1,$$

where A^m is the K -subspace of all the homogeneous polynomials of degree m . In particular, a monomial derivation d on $K[X]$ is homogeneous of degree s if for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, $d(x_i)$'s are monomials of total degree $s + 1$.

For a homogeneous K -derivation d of $K[X]$, the following is a well known result ([5] Lemma 2.1).

Lemma 2.1. Let d be a homogeneous K -derivation of $K[X]$ of degree s . If $f \in K[X]$ is a Darboux polynomial of d with the co-factor λ , then λ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree s and all the homogeneous components of f are also Darboux polynomials with the same co-factor λ .

Before proceeding further, we fix some notations and give some more definitions. Let $n \geq 2$ be a positive integer. A derivation d of $K[X]$ is called cyclotomic if, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $d(x_i) = x_{i+1}$ and $d(x_n) = x_1$. In the same line we have defined the generalized cyclotomic derivation. Let $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ denote the set of n -variables, $K[S]$ be the K -algebra generated by S and $k > 1$ be a positive integer. A monomial derivation d of $K[S]$ is said to be a generalized cyclotomic derivation if we can split S into mutually disjoint k parts (say S_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $2 \leq k \leq n$) such that $d(S_i) \subseteq K[S_{i+1}]$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ and $d(S_k) \subseteq K[S_1]$, where $K[S_i]$ denotes the K -algebra generated by S_i .

Let us redefine the variables as $S_i = \{x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,t_i}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and take $S = \cup S_i$. Then we have the following.

DEFINITION 2.2

A derivation d of $K[S]$ is said to be generalized cyclotomic derivation if

$$d(x_{i,j}) = x_{i+1,1}^{\alpha_{i,j,1}} x_{i+1,2}^{\alpha_{i,j,2}} \cdots x_{i+1,t_{i+1}}^{\alpha_{i,j,t_{i+1}}} \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq t_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k-1$$

and

$$d(x_{k,j}) = x_{1,1}^{\alpha_{k,j,1}} x_{1,2}^{\alpha_{k,j,2}} \cdots x_{1,t_1}^{\alpha_{k,j,t_1}} \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq t_k,$$

where $\alpha_{i,j,l}$ for every $1 \leq j \leq t_i, 1 \leq l \leq t_{i+1}, 1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ and $\alpha_{k,j,l}$ for every $1 \leq j \leq t_k, 1 \leq l \leq t_1$ are non negative integers.

Let s be a positive integer. We say d is homogeneous generalized cyclotomic derivation of degree $s - 1$ if $d(x_{ij})$ are monomials of total degree s . Now we state our main result.

Theorem 2.3. *Let d be a homogeneous generalized cyclotomic derivation of $K[S]$ of degree $s - 1$ as defined above. Then d is without Darboux polynomials if and only if $K(S)^d = K$.*

Proof.

(\Rightarrow) Easy to prove.

(\Leftarrow) Assume that $K(S)^d = K$. Suppose d has a Darboux polynomial f such that $d(f) = \lambda f$. Then by Lemma 2.1, we may assume that f is homogeneous and λ is a homogeneous of degree $s - 1$. Write λ as

$$\lambda = \sum_{\substack{|\beta_i|=s-1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq k}} a_\beta X_1^{\beta_1} X_2^{\beta_2} \cdots X_k^{\beta_k},$$

where $X_i^{\beta_i}$ denotes the monomial $x_{i,1}^{\beta_{i,1}} \cdots x_{i,t_i}^{\beta_{i,t_i}}$ and $|\beta_i| = \sum_{j=1}^{t_i} \beta_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Let $N = (1 + s + s^2 + \cdots + s^{k-1})$ and let ξ be the primitive N -th root of unity. For $0 \leq i \leq k - 1$, define $q_i = \sum_{j=0}^i s^j$. Observe that $q_{k-1} = N$. Consider a K -automorphism σ of $K[S]$ given by

$$\sigma(x_{k-i,j}) = \xi^{q_i} x_{k-i,j} \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq t_{k-i}.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{-1} d \sigma(x_{k-i,j}) &= \sigma^{-1} d(\xi^{q_i} x_{k-i,j}) \\ &= \xi^{q_i} \sigma^{-1} d(x_{k-i,j}) \\ &= \xi^{q_i} \sigma^{-1} \left(x_{k-i+1,1}^{\alpha^{(k-i)j,1}} x_{k-i+1,2}^{\alpha^{(k-i)j,2}} \cdots x_{k-i+1,t_{k-i+1}}^{\alpha^{(k-i)j,t_{k-i+1}}} \right) \\ &= \xi^{q_i} \xi^{-q_{i-1}(\alpha^{(k-i)j,1} + \cdots + \alpha^{(k-i)j,t_{k-i+1}})} d(x_{k-i,j}) \\ &= \xi^{q_i} \xi^{-s(q_{i-1})} d(x_{k-i,j}) \\ &= \xi d(x_{k-i,j}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $\sigma^{-1} d \sigma = \xi d$. Let $F = \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \sigma^i(f)$. Clearly, F is not a constant polynomial. Furthermore,

$$d(F) = d \left(\prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \sigma^i(f) \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sigma^0(f) \cdots d(\sigma^i(f)) \cdots \sigma^{N-1}(f) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sigma^0(f) \cdots \xi^i \sigma^i d(f) \cdots \sigma^{N-1}(f) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sigma^0(f) \cdots \xi^i \sigma^i(\lambda f) \cdots \sigma^{N-1}(f) \\
&= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \xi^i \sigma^i(\lambda) \right) \sigma^0(f) \cdots \sigma^{N-1}(f), \\
&= \Lambda F,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \xi^i \sigma^i(\lambda)$.

Now, let us do the precise calculation for Λ . If we look at the m -th term in the sum, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi^m \sigma^m(\lambda) &= \xi^m \sigma^m \left[\sum_{\substack{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} |\beta_i| = s-1}} a_\beta X_1^{\beta_1} X_2^{\beta_2} \cdots X_k^{\beta_k} \right] \\
&= \xi^m \left[\sum_{\substack{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} |\beta_i| = s-1}} a_\beta \sigma^m(X_1^{\beta_1}) \cdots \sigma^m(X_k^{\beta_k}) \right] \\
&= \xi^m \left[\sum_{\substack{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} |\beta_i| = s-1}} a_\beta \left(\prod_{l=1}^{l=k} \sigma^m(x_{l1}^{\beta_{l1}}) \cdots \sigma^m(x_{ll}^{\beta_{ll}}) \right) \right] \\
&= \xi^m \left[\sum_{\substack{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} |\beta_i| = s-1}} a_\beta \prod_{l=1}^{l=k} \xi^{m p_l q_{k-l}} X_l^{\beta_l} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where $p_l = \beta_{l1} + \cdots + \beta_{ll}$ for all $1 \leq l \leq k$. Therefore,

$$\xi^m \sigma^m(\lambda) = \xi^m \left[\sum_{\substack{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} |\beta_i| = s-1}} a_\beta \xi^{m \left(\sum_{l=1}^{l=k} p_l q_{k-l} \right)} X_1^{\beta_1} X_2^{\beta_2} \cdots X_k^{\beta_k} \right].$$

Observe that $q_{k-1} = N$ and $\xi^N = 1$, therefore the above equation reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^m \sigma^m(\lambda) &= \xi^m \left[\sum_{\substack{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} |\beta_i| = s-1 \\ l=2}^{l=k}} a_\beta \xi^{m \left(\sum_{l=2}^{l=k} p_l q_{k-l} \right)} X_1^{\beta_1} X_2^{\beta_2} \dots X_k^{\beta_k} \right] \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} |\beta_i| = s-1}} a_\beta \xi^{m(\delta+1)} X_1^{\beta_1} X_2^{\beta_2} \dots X_k^{\beta_k}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta = \sum_{l=2}^{l=k} p_l q_{k-l}$. More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \sum_{l=2}^{l=k} q_{k-l} p_l \\ &= \sum_{l=2}^{l=k} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-l} s^j \right) (\beta_{l,1} + \dots + \beta_{l,t_l}) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{l=k-2} s^l (\beta_{2,1} + \dots + \beta_{2,t_2} + \dots + \beta_{k,1} + \dots + \beta_{k-l,t_{k-l}}) \\ &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{l=k-2} s^l (s-1) = s^{k-1} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $0 < 1 + \delta \leq s^{k-1} < N$. Therefore, $\xi^{1+\delta} \neq 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \left[\sum_{\substack{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} |\beta_i| = s-1}} \xi^{m(\delta+1)} a_\beta X_1^{\beta_1} X_2^{\beta_2} \dots X_k^{\beta_k} \right] \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} |\beta_i| = s-1}} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \xi^{(\delta+1)m} \right) a_\beta X_1^{\beta_1} X_2^{\beta_2} \dots X_k^{\beta_k} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} |\beta_i| = s-1}} \left(\frac{1 - \xi^{N(\delta+1)}}{1 - \xi^{\delta+1}} \right) a_\beta X_1^{\beta_1} X_2^{\beta_2} \dots X_k^{\beta_k} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $d(F) = \Lambda F = 0$. In other words, $F \in K(S)^d$, a contradiction. □

Remark 2.4. From Theorem 2.3, we can prove that the monomial derivation d of $K[x, y, z, w]$ defined by

$$d(x) = w^2, d(y) = zw, d(z) = y^2, d(w) = xy$$

in [4] has no Darboux polynomials if and only if $K(x, y, z, w)^d = K$.

COROLLARY 2.5

The Jouanolou derivation d of $K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ defined by

$$d(x_1) = x_2^s, d(x_2) = x_3^s, \dots, d(x_{n-1}) = x_n^s, d(x_n) = x_1^s,$$

for $s \geq 1$ and $n \geq 2$ has no Darboux polynomials if and only if $K(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^d = K$.

3. Generalized cyclotomic derivation in tensor product

Let m and n be positive integers. Assume that $K[X] = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $K[Y] = K[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ are polynomial algebras. Then $K[X] \otimes_K K[Y] \cong K[X, Y] = K[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m]$ is a polynomial algebra. If d_1 and d_2 are K -derivations of $K[X]$ and $K[Y]$ respectively, then $d = d_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d_2$, denoted by $d_1 \oplus d_2$ is the K -derivation of $K[X, Y]$ such that $d|_{K[X]} = d_1$ and $d|_{K[Y]} = d_2$.

In [3], Nowicki and Ollagnier studied the Darboux polynomial of the tensor product of polynomial algebras and have proved the following result.

Lemma 3.1 ([3], Corollary 3.2). Let d_1 and d_2 be homogeneous K -derivations of $K[X]$ and $K[Y]$ of degree $s \geq 1$. If d_1 and d_2 are without Darboux polynomials, then $d_1 \oplus d_2$ is also without Darboux polynomials.

Using Lemma 3.1 and our result on generalized cyclotomic derivations, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.2. *Let d_1 and d_2 be homogeneous generalized cyclotomic derivations of $K[X]$ and $K[Y]$ of degree $s \geq 1$. Then, $d_1 \oplus d_2$ is without Darboux polynomial if and only if $K(X, Y)^{d_1 \oplus d_2} = K$.*

Proof.

(\Rightarrow) Trivial to prove.

(\Leftarrow) Let $K(X, Y)^{d_1 \oplus d_2} = K$. As $K(X)^{d_1} \subseteq K(X, Y)^{d_1 \oplus d_2} = K$, we have $K(X)^{d_1} = K$. Similarly, $K(Y)^{d_2} = K$. Therefore, from Theorem 2.3, d_1 and d_2 are without Darboux polynomials. Then by Lemma 3.1, $d_1 \oplus d_2$ is also without Darboux polynomials. \square

Acknowledgements

This research is partially supported by DST-INSPIRE Grant IFA-13/MA-30. The first author is financially supported by CSIR, India.

References

- [1] Nowicki A, Polynomial derivations and their rings of constants (1994) (Toruń: Uniwersytet Mikołaja Kopernika)

- [2] Nowicki A, On the nonexistence of rational first integrals for systems of linear differential equations, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **235** (1996) 107–120
- [3] Ollagnier J M and Nowicki A, Constants and Darboux polynomials for tensor products of polynomial algebras with derivations, *Comm. Algebra* **32(1)** (2004) 379–389
- [4] Ollagnier J M and Nowicki A, Monomial derivations, *Comm. Algebra* **39(9)** (2011) 3138–3150
- [5] Ollagnier J M, Nowicki A and Strelcyn J-M, On the non-existence of constants of derivations: The proof of a theorem of Jouanolou and its development, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **119** (1995) 195–233

COMMUNICATING EDITOR: Manoj Kumar Keshari