



Proof of a supercongruence conjectured by Sun through a q -microscope

VICTOR J W GUO

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Huaiyin Normal University, Huai'an 223300,
Jiangsu, People's Republic of China
E-mail: jwguo@hytc.edu.cn

MS received 18 December 2019; accepted 29 March 2020

Abstract. In 2011, Sun (*Sci. China Math.* **54** (2011) 2509–2535) made the following conjecture: for any odd prime p and odd integer m ,

$$\frac{1}{m^2 \binom{m-1}{(m-1)/2}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{(mp-1)/2} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{8^k} - \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{(m-1)/2} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{8^k} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

By applying the creative microscoping method introduced by Guo and Zudilin (*Adv. Math.* **346** (2019) 329–35), we confirm the above conjecture of Sun.

Keywords. Cyclotomic polynomial; q -binomial coefficient; q -congruence; super congruence; creative microscoping.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 11B65, 11A07, 33D15.

1. Introduction

During the past decade, congruences and supercongruences have been studied by quite a few authors. In 2011, Sun [14, Equation (1.6)] proved that, for any odd prime p ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{8^k} \equiv \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) + \left(\frac{-2}{p}\right) \frac{p^2}{4} E_{p-3} \pmod{p^3},$$

where $\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)$ denotes the Jacobi symbol and E_n is the n th Euler number. Later, Sun [15, Equation (1.7)] further proved that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^r-1)/2} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{8^k} \equiv \left(\frac{2}{p^r}\right) \pmod{p^2}. \quad (1.1)$$

Recently, Sun [16, Conjecture 4(ii)] proposed the following conjecture: for any odd prime p and odd integer m ,

$$\frac{1}{m^2 \binom{m-1}{(m-1)/2}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{(mp-1)/2} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{8^k} - \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{(m-1)/2} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{8^k} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}, \quad (1.2)$$

which is clearly a generalization of (1.1).

In the past few years, q -analogues of congruences and supercongruences have caught the interest of a lot of people (see [3–13, 17]). In particular, Guo and Liu [8] gave the following q -analogue of (1.1): for odd $n > 1$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} q^{k^2} \frac{(q; q^2)_k}{(q^4; q^4)_k} \equiv (-q)^{(1-n^2)/8} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}. \quad (1.3)$$

Here and in what follows, $(a; q)_n = (1-a)(1-aq) \cdots (1-aq^{n-1})$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, or $n = \infty$, is the q -shifted factorial, and $\Phi_n(q)$ is the n -th cyclotomic polynomial in q given by

$$\Phi_n(q) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ \gcd(n, k) = 1}} (q - \zeta^k),$$

where ζ is an n th primitive root of unity. Moreover, Gu and Guo [4] gave some different q -analogues of (1.1), such as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(q; q^2)_k q^{2k}}{(q^2; q^2)_k (-q; q^2)_k} \equiv \left(\frac{2}{n}\right) q^{2\lfloor (n+1)/4 \rfloor} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}, \quad (1.4)$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer not exceeding x . In order to prove Sun's conjecture (1.2), we need the following new q -analogue of (1.1).

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n > 1$ be an odd integer. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(q; q^2)_k (-1; q^4)_k}{(-q; q^2)_k (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{2k} \equiv \left(\frac{2}{n}\right) \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}. \quad (1.5)$$

Recall that the q -integer is defined by $[n]_q = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1}$ and the q -binomial coefficient $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q$ is defined as

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{cases} \frac{(q; q)_m}{(q; q)_n (q; q)_{m-n}}, & \text{if } 0 \leq n \leq m, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

On the basis of (1.5), we are able to give the following q -analogue of (1.2).

Theorem 1.2. *Let m and n be positive odd integers with $n > 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{[m]_{q^n}^2 [\frac{m-1}{(m-1)/2}]_{q^n}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{(mn-1)/2} \frac{(q; q^2)_k (-1; q^4)_k}{(-q; q^2)_k (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{2k} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left(\frac{2}{n} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{(m-1)/2} \frac{(q^n; q^{2n})_k (-1; q^{4n})_k}{(-q^n; q^{2n})_k (q^{4n}; q^{4n})_k} q^{2nk} \right) \\ & \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

Moreover, the denominator of (the reduced form of) the left-hand side of (1.6) is relatively prime to $\Phi_{n_j}(q)$ for any index $j \geq 2$.

It is well known that $\Phi_n(1) = p$ if n is a prime power p^r ($r \geq 1$) and $\Phi_n(1) = 1$ otherwise. Moreover, the denominator of (1.6) is no doubt a product of cyclotomic polynomials. This means that (1.2) immediately follows from (1.6) by letting $n = p$ and taking the limits as $q \rightarrow 1$.

We shall prove Theorem 1.1 in the next section. The proof of Theorem 1.2 will be given in Section 3 by using the method of “creative microscoping” recently introduced by Guo and Zudilin [10]. More precisely, we shall first give a generalization of Theorem 1.2 with an extra parameter a , and Theorem 1.2 then follows from this generalization by taking $a \rightarrow 1$. Finally, in Section 4, we give some hints on solving another similar conjecture of Sun.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

It is easy to check that

$$(1 - q^{n-2j+1})(1 - q^{n+2j-1}) + (1 - q^{2j-1})^2 q^{n-2j+1} = (1 - q^n)^2,$$

and so

$$(1 - q^{n-2j+1})(1 - q^{n+2j-1}) \equiv -(1 - q^{2j-1})^2 q^{n-2j+1} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}$$

since $1 - q^n \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (q^{1-n}; q^2)_k (q^{1+n}; q^2)_k &= (-1)^k q^{k^2-nk} \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - q^{n-2j+1})(1 - q^{n+2j-1}) \\ &\equiv q^{k^2-nk} \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - q^{2j-1})^2 q^{n-2j+1} \\ &= (q; q^2)_k^2 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(q; q^2)_k (-1; q^4)_k}{(-q; q^2)_k (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{2k} &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(q^{1-n}; q^2)_k (q^{1+n}; q^2)_k (-1; q^4)_k}{(q; q^2)_k (-q; q^2)_k (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{2k} \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{n} \right) \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Here the last step in (2.1) follows from a terminating q -analogue of Whipple's ${}_3F_2$ sum [2, Appendix (II.19)]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}; q)_k (q^{n+1}; q)_k (c; q)_k (-c; q)_k}{(e; q)_k (c^2 q/e; q)_k (q; q)_k (-q; q)_k} q^k \\ &= \frac{(eq^{-n}; q^2)_\infty (eq^{n+1}; q^2)_\infty (c^2 q^{1-n}/e; q^2)_\infty (c^2 q^{n+2}/e; q^2)_\infty}{(e; q)_\infty (c^2 q/e; q)_\infty} q^{n(n+1)/2} \end{aligned}$$

with $n \mapsto (n-1)/2$, $q \mapsto q^2$, $c^2 = -1$ and $e = q$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We first establish the following parametric generalization of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 3.1. *Let m and n be positive odd integers with $n > 1$. Then, modulo*

$$\prod_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} (1 - aq^{(2j+1)n})(a - q^{(2j+1)n}), \quad (3.1)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(mn-1)/2} \frac{(aq; q^2)_k (q/a; q^2)_k (-1; q^4)_k}{(q; q^2)_k (-q; q^2)_k (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{2k} \\ & \equiv \left(\frac{2}{n}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{(m-1)/2} \frac{(aq^n; q^{2n})_k (q^n/a; q^{2n})_k (-1; q^{4n})_k}{(q^n; q^{2n})_k (-q^n; q^{2n})_k (q^{4n}; q^{4n})_k} q^{2nk}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that both sides of (3.2) are identical for $a = q^{-(2j+1)n}$ and $a = q^{(2j+1)n}$ with $j = 0, 1, \dots, (m-1)/2$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(mn-1)/2} \frac{(q^{1-(2j+1)n}; q^2)_k (q^{1+(2j+1)n}; q^2)_k (-1; q^4)_k}{(q; q^2)_k (-q; q^2)_k (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{2k} \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{n}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{(m-1)/2} \frac{(q^{-2jn}; q^{2n})_k (q^{(2j+2)n}; q^{2n})_k (-1; q^{4n})_k}{(q^n; q^{2n})_k (-q^n; q^{2n})_k (q^{4n}; q^{4n})_k} q^{2nk}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Clearly, $(mn-1)/2 \geq ((2j+1)n-1)/2$ for $0 \leq j \leq (m-1)/2$, and $(q^{1-(2j+1)n}; q^2)_k = 0$ for $k > ((2j+1)n-1)/2$. By the identity in (2.1), the left-hand side of (3.3) is equal to $\left(\frac{2}{(2j+1)n}\right)$. Likewise, the right-hand side of (3.3) is equal to

$$\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) \left(\frac{2}{2j+1}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{(2j+1)n}\right),$$

where $\left(\frac{2}{1}\right)$ is understood to be 1. This establishes the identity (3.3), and so the q -congruence (3.2) holds. \square

We can now prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It is easy to see that

$$q^N - 1 = \prod_{d|N} \Phi_d(q),$$

and there are $\lfloor m/n^{j-1} \rfloor - \lfloor (m-1)/(2n^{j-1}) \rfloor$ multiples of n^{j-1} in the arithmetic progression $1, 3, \dots, m$ for any positive integer j . Thus, the limit of (3.1) as $a \rightarrow 1$ has the factor

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{n^j}(q)^{2\lfloor m/n^{j-1} \rfloor - 2\lfloor (m-1)/(2n^{j-1}) \rfloor}.$$

On the other hand, the denominator of the left-hand side of (3.2) is divisible by that of the right-hand side of (3.2). The former is equal to $(q^2; q^2)_{mn-1}$ and its factor related to $\Phi_n(q), \Phi_{n^2}(q), \dots$ is just

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{n^j}(q)^{\lfloor (mn-1)/n^j \rfloor}.$$

Moreover, writing $[m]_q = (q; q)_m / ((1-q)(q; q)_{m-1})$, the q -binomial coefficient $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} m-1 \\ (m-1)/2 \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ as a product of cyclotomic polynomials (see, for example, [1]), and then using the fact $\Phi_{n^j}(q^n) = \Phi_{n^{j+1}}(q)$, we know that the polynomial $[m]_{q^n}^2 \left[\begin{smallmatrix} m-1 \\ (m-1)/2 \end{smallmatrix} \right]_{q^n}$ only has the following factor:

$$\prod_{j=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{n^j}(q)^{2\lfloor m/n^{j-1} \rfloor - \lfloor (m-1)/n^{j-1} \rfloor - 2\lfloor (m-1)/(2n^{j-1}) \rfloor}$$

related to $\Phi_n(q), \Phi_{n^2}(q), \dots$

It is clear that

$$2\lfloor m/n^{j-1} \rfloor - 2\lfloor (m-1)/(2n^{j-1}) \rfloor - \lfloor (mn-1)/n^j \rfloor = 2, \quad \text{for } j = 1$$

and

$$\lfloor (mn-1)/n^j \rfloor = \lfloor (m-1)/n^{j-1} \rfloor, \quad \text{for } j \geq 1.$$

Therefore, letting $a \rightarrow 1$ in (3.2), we see that the q -congruence (1.6) holds, and the denominator on the left-hand side of (1.6) is relatively prime to $\Phi_{n^j}(q)$ for $j \geq 2$, as desired. \square

4. Concluding remarks

Sun [16, Conjecture 4(ii)] also made the following conjecture: for any odd prime p and odd integer m ,

$$\frac{1}{m^2 \binom{m-1}{(m-1)/2}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{(mp-1)/2} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{16^k} - \left(\frac{3}{p}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{(m-1)/2} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{16^k} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}, \quad (4.1)$$

of which the $m = 1$ case was already proved by Sun [15] himself. Gu and Guo [4] gave the following q -analogue of (4.1) for $m = 1$: for odd $n > 1$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(q; q^2)_k q^{2k}}{(q^4; q^4)_k (-q; q^2)_k} \equiv \left(\frac{3}{n}\right) q^{(n^2-1)/12} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}. \quad (4.2)$$

However, we cannot utilize (4.2) to give a q -analogue of (4.1), similar to Theorem 1.2, because $(n^2 - 1)/12$ is not a linear function of n . Anyway, we believe that such a q -analogue of (4.1) should exist, which is left to the interested reader.

Acknowledgements

The author was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11771175).

References

- [1] Chen W Y C and Hou Q-H, Factors of the Gaussian coefficients, *Discrete Math.* **306** (2006) 1446–1449
- [2] Gasper G and Rahman M, Basic Hypergeometric Series, second edition, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 96 (2004) (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [3] Gorodetsky O, q -Congruences with applications to supercongruences and the cyclic sieving phenomenon, *Int. J. Number Theory* **15** (2019) 1919–1968
- [4] Gu C-Y and Guo V J W, q -Analogues of two supercongruences of Z.-W. Sun, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, **70** (2020) 757–765
- [5] Guo V J W, q -Analogues of three Ramanujan-type formulas for $1/\pi$, *Ramanujan J.* **52** (2020) 123–132
- [6] Guo V J W, A q -analogue of the (A.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme for primes $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM* **114** (2020) Art. 123
- [7] Guo V J W, q -Analogues of Dwork-type supercongruences, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **487** (2020) Art. 124022
- [8] Guo V J W and Liu J-C, q -Analogues of two Ramanujan-type formulas for $1/\pi$, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* **24** (2018) 1368–1373
- [9] Guo V J W and Schlosser M J, Some new q -congruences for truncated basic hypergeometric series: even powers, *Results Math.* **75** (2020) Art. 1
- [10] Guo V J W and Zudilin W, A q -microscope for supercongruences, *Adv. Math.* **346** (2019) 329–358
- [11] Guo V J W and Zudilin W, A common q -analogue of two supercongruences, *Results Math.* **75** (2020) Art. 46

- [12] Ni H-X and Pan H, Some symmetric q -congruences modulo the square of a cyclotomic polynomial, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **481** (2020) Art. 123372
- [13] Straub A, Supercongruences for polynomial analogs of the Apéry numbers, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **147** (2019) 1023–1036
- [14] Sun Z-W, Super congruences and Euler numbers, *Sci. China Math.* **54** (2011) 2509–2535
- [15] Sun Z-W, Fibonacci numbers modulo cubes of primes, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **17** (2013) 1523–1543
- [16] Sun Z-W, Open conjectures on congruences, *Nanjing Univ. J. Math.* **36(1)** (2019) 1–99
- [17] Tauraso R, q -Analogues of some congruences involving Catalan numbers, *Adv. Appl. Math.* **48** (2009) 603–614

COMMUNICATING EDITOR: B Sury