Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) (2020) 130:29
https://doi.org/10.1007/s12044-020-0552-2

Berger's formulas and their applications in symplectic mean curvature flow

SHIJIN ZHANG

School of Mathematical Sciences, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, People's Republic of China
E-mail: shijinzhang@buaa.edu.cn

MS received 7 August 2018; revised 23 December 2018; accepted 30 September 2019

Abstract. In this paper, we recall some well known Berger's formulas. As their applications, we prove that if the local holomorphic pinching constant is $\lambda < 2$, then there exists a positive constant $\delta > \frac{29(\lambda-1)}{\sqrt{(48-24\lambda)^2+(29\lambda-29)^2}}$ such that $\cos\alpha \geq \delta$ is preserved along the mean curvature flow, improving Li–Yang's main theorem in Li and Yang (*Geom. Dedicata* **170** (2014) 63–69). We also prove that when $\cos\alpha$ is close enough to 1, then the symplectic mean curvature flow exists globally and converges to a holomorphic curve.

Keywords. Symplectic mean curvature flow; holomorphic curve; positive holomorphic sectional curvature.

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 53C44; Secondary: 53C21.

1. Introduction

Let $(M, J, \omega, \bar{g})$ be a Kähler surface. For a compact oriented real surface Σ which is smoothly immersed in M, the Kähler angle α of Σ in M was defined by

$$\omega|_\Sigma = \cos\alpha \, d\mu_\Sigma,$$

where $d\mu_\Sigma$ is the area element of Σ in the induced metric from g. We say that Σ is a symplectic surface if $\cos\alpha > 0$; Σ is a holomorphic curve if $\cos\alpha = 1$.

It is important to find the conditions to assure that the symplectic property is preserved along the mean curvature flow. In the case that M is a Kähler–Einstein surface, the symplectic property is preserved. Han and Li [7] proved that the symplectic property is also preserved if the ambient Kähler surface evolves along the Kähler–Ricci flow. In [10], Li and Yang found another condition to assure that the symplectic property is preserved along the mean curvature flow. In this paper, we improve Li and Yang's result in [10].

Here, we only consider the ambient Kähler surface with positive holomorphic sectional curvature. We denote the minimum and maximum of holomorphic sectional curvatures at $p \in M$ by $k_1(p)$ and $k_2(p)$, respectively, and $\lambda(p) = \frac{k_2(p)}{k_1(p)}$. We define

$$k_1 := \min_{p\in M} k_1(p) \quad \text{and} \quad k_2 := \max_{p\in M} k_2(p).$$

Published online: 19 March 2020

We also define the local holomorphic pinching constant by

$$\lambda := \max_{p\in M} \lambda(p).$$

Then we have the first main theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose M is a Kähler surface with positive holomorphic sectional curvature. If $1 \le \lambda < 2$ and $\cos\alpha(\cdot, 0) \ge \delta > \frac{29(\lambda-1)}{\sqrt{(48-24\lambda)^2+(29\lambda-29)^2}}$, then along the flow*

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right)\cos\alpha \ge |\bar\nabla J_{\Sigma_t}|^2 \cos\alpha + C\sin^2\alpha, \tag{1}$$

where $\bar\nabla$ is the Levi–Civita connection with metric $\bar g$ on M and $|\bar\nabla J_{\Sigma_t}|^2$ is defined by (9) *in subsection* 2.1, *and C is a positive constant depending only on k_1, k_2 and δ. As a corollary,* $\min_{\Sigma_t}\cos\alpha$ *is increasing with respect to t. In particular, at each time t, Σ_t is symplectic.*

Remark 1.1. Li–Yang's main theorem in [10], i.e., the lower bound of δ is $\frac{53(\lambda-1)}{\sqrt{(53\lambda-53)^2+(48-24\lambda)^2}}$ for $\lambda \in [1, \frac{11}{7})$ and $\frac{8\lambda-5}{\sqrt{(8\lambda-5)^2+(12-6\lambda)^2}}$ for $\lambda \in [\frac{11}{7}, 2)$. It is easy to check that for each $\lambda \in [1, 2)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{29(\lambda-1)}{\sqrt{(48-24\lambda)^2+(29\lambda-29)^2}}\\ &\quad\le \min\left\{\frac{53(\lambda-1)}{\sqrt{(53\lambda-53)^2+(48-24\lambda)^2}}, \frac{8\lambda-5}{\sqrt{(8\lambda-5)^2+(12-6\lambda)^2}}\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we improve Li–Yang's main result in [10].

Similar to Han–Li's main theorem in [6], we also prove the following theorem for a Kähler surface with positive holomorphic sectional curvature and $1 \le \lambda < 2$.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that M is a Kähler surface with positive holomorphic sectional curvature and $1 \le \lambda < 2$. Let α be the Kähler angle of the surface Σ_t which evolves by the mean curvature flow. Suppose that $\cos\alpha(\cdot, 0) > \frac{58(\lambda-1)}{\sqrt{(48-24)^2+(58\lambda-58)^2}}$. r_0 is defined in Remark* 4.2 *and ϵ_0 is the constant in Theorem* 4.1, *and define ϵ_1 as*

$$\epsilon_1 = \frac{\pi^2\epsilon_0^2 r_0^6 (1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{3}{8}(2-\lambda)k_1})^2}{4\mathrm{Area}(\Sigma_0)}.$$

Then if $\int_{\Sigma_0} \frac{\sin^2\alpha(x,0)}{\cos\alpha(x,0)} \mathrm{d}\mu_0 \le \epsilon_1$, the mean curvature flow with initial surface Σ_0 exists globally and it converges to a holomorphic curve.

By Theorem 1.2, it is easy to get the following corollary.

COROLLARY 1.1

Under the same conditions and same notations as in Theorem 1.2, except $\int_{\Sigma_0} \frac{\sin^2\alpha(x,0)}{\cos\alpha(x,0)} \mathrm{d}\mu_0 \leq \epsilon_1$, *there exists a constant* ϵ_2 *depending only on* ϵ_1 *and* $\mathrm{Area}(\Sigma_0)$, *such that if*

$$1 - \cos\alpha(\cdot, 0) \leq \epsilon_2,$$

then the mean curvature flow with initial surface exists globally and it converges to a holomorphic curve.

Remark 1.2. By Corollary 2.3, if $\lambda < \frac{3}{2}$, then the sectional curvature of M is positive, which implies that the bisectional curvature is positive. By Frankel conjecture, which was proved by Siu and Yau [12] and by Mori [11] independently, the Kähler surface is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^2$. Recently, Yang and Zheng (see Proposition 2.6 in [16]) proved that the Kähler manifold M^n with $\lambda < 2$ must be biholomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^n$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some preliminaries about the curvature and the evolution equations of the mean curvature flow.

2.1 *Evolution equations for the mean curvature flow*

In this subsection, we recall some evolution equations for the mean curvature flow.

Suppose that Σ is a sub manifold in a Riemannian manifold M. We choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}$ for $T\Sigma$ and $\{e_\alpha\}$ for $N\Sigma$. Given an immersed $F_0 : \Sigma \to M$, we consider a one parameter family of smooth maps $F_t = F(\cdot, t) : \Sigma \to M$ with corresponding images $\Sigma_t = F_t(\Sigma)$ immersed in M and F which satisfies the mean curvature flow equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F(x,t) = H(x,t) \\ F(x,0) = F_0(x). \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

Recall the evolution equation for the second fundamental form h^α_{ij} and $|A|^2$ along the mean curvature flow (see [4,9,13,14]).

Lemma 2.1.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h^\alpha_{ij} &= \Delta h^\alpha_{ij} + (\bar{\nabla}_k Rm)_{\alpha ijk} + (\bar{\nabla}_j Rm)_{\alpha kik} - 2R_{lijk} h^\alpha_{lk} \\ &\quad + 2R_{\alpha\beta jk} h^\beta_{ik} + 2R_{\alpha\beta ik} h^\beta_{jk} - R_{lkik} h^\alpha_{lj} - R_{lkjk} h^\alpha_{il} \\ &\quad + R_{\alpha k\beta k} h^\beta_{ij} - H^\beta (h^\beta_{ik} h^\alpha_{jk} + h^\beta_{jk} h^\alpha_{ik}) + h^\alpha_{im} h^\beta_{mk} h^\beta_{kj} \\ &\quad - 2h^\beta_{im} h^\alpha_{mk} h^\beta_{kj} + h^\beta_{ik} h^\beta_{km} h^\alpha_{mj} + h^\alpha_{km} h^\beta_{mk} h^\beta_{ij} + h^\beta_{ij} \langle e_\beta, \bar{\nabla}_H e_\alpha \rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where R_{ABCD} *is the curvature tensor of* M *and* $\bar{\nabla}$ *is the covariant derivative of* M. *Therefore,*

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|A|^2 = \Delta|A|^2 - 2|\nabla A|^2 \\
&+ [(\bar{\nabla}_k Rm)_{\alpha ijk} + (\bar{\nabla}_j Rm)_{\alpha kik}]h^{\alpha}_{ij} - 4R_{lijk}h^{\alpha}_{lk}h^{\alpha}_{ij} \\
&+ 8R_{\alpha\beta jk}h^{\beta}_{ik}h^{\alpha}_{ij} - 4R_{lkik}h^{\alpha}_{lj}h^{\alpha}_{ij} + 2R_{\alpha k\beta k}h^{\beta}_{ij}h^{\alpha}_{ij} + 2P_1 + 2P_2,
\end{aligned}
\tag{4}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_1 &= \Sigma_{\alpha,\beta,i,j}\left(\Sigma_k\left(h^{\alpha}_{ik}h^{\beta}_{jk} - h^{\alpha}_{jk}h^{\beta}_{ik}\right)\right)^2, \\
P_2 &= \Sigma_{\alpha,\beta}(\Sigma_{i,j}h^{\alpha}_{ij}h^{\beta}_{ij})^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|H|^2 = \Delta|H|^2 - 2|\nabla H|^2 + 2R_{\alpha k\beta k}H^{\alpha}H^{\beta} + 2P_3, \tag{5}
$$

where

$$
P_3 = \Sigma_{i,j}(\Sigma_{\alpha}H^{\alpha}h^{\alpha}_{ij})^2.
$$

Choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ on $(M, \bar{g})$ along Σ_t such that $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is the frame of the tangent bundle $T\Sigma_t$ and $\{e_3, e_4\}$ is the frame of the normal bundle $N\Sigma_t$. Then along the surface Σ_t, we can take the complex structure on M as the form (cf. [9])

$$
J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cos\alpha & y & z \\ -\cos\alpha & 0 & -z & y \\ -y & z & 0 & -\cos\alpha \\ -z & -y & \cos\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{6}
$$

or

$$
J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cos\alpha & y & z \\ -\cos\alpha & 0 & z & -y \\ -y & -z & 0 & \cos\alpha \\ -z & y & -\cos\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7}
$$

Since Kähler form is self-dual, then J must be of the form (7).

Remark 2.1. In fact, the above argument also shows that the Kähler form is self-dual. If J is of the form (6), then the Kähler form is anti-self-dual, i.e., $*\omega = -\omega$, and hence it is impossible to obtain Kähler form. Hence J must be of the form (7), then the Kähler form ω must be self-dual.

Recall the evolution equation of the Kähler angle $\cos\alpha$ (cf. [4,8]).

Lemma 2.2. *The evolution equation for* $\cos\alpha$ *along* Σ_t *is*

$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right)\cos\alpha = |\bar{\nabla}J_{\Sigma_t}|^2\cos\alpha + \sin^2\alpha\,\mathrm{Ric}(Je_1, e_2). \tag{8}
$$

Here

$$
|\bar{\nabla}J_{\Sigma_t}|^2 = |h^4_{1k} + h^3_{2k}|^2 + |h^4_{2k} - h^3_{1k}|^2. \tag{9}
$$

Then $|\bar{\nabla} J_{\Sigma_t}|^2$ is independent of the choice of the frame and depend only on the orientation of the frame. It is proved in [4,7] that

$$|\bar{\nabla} J_{\Sigma_t}|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}|H|^2 \tag{10}$$

and

$$|\nabla \cos\alpha|^2 \leq \sin^2\alpha |\bar{\nabla} J_{\Sigma_t}|^2. \tag{11}$$

2.2 *Berger's formulas*

In this subsection, we recall some well known identities, which are called Berger's formulas. We first recall the definitions of the Riemannian curvature and the holomorphic sectional curvature; secondly, we recall some Berger's formulas, which are the relations between Riemannian curvatures and the holomorphic sectional curvature.

The Riemann curvature tensor R of (M, g) is defined by

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = -g(\nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z, W)$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z, W.

Set $R(X, Y) = R(X, Y, X, Y)$ and $R(X) = R(X, JX)$. Fix a point $p \in M$ and a two-dimensional plane $\Pi \subset T_p M$. The sectional curvature of Π is defined by

$$K(\Pi) = \frac{R(X, Y)}{g(X, X)g(Y, Y) - g(X, Y)^2},$$

where $\{X, Y\}$ is a basis of Π. We also denote it by $K(X, Y)$. For a Kähler manifold (M, g, J), if the two-dimensional plane Π is spanned by $\{X, JX\}$, i.e., Π is a holomorphic plane, then the sectional curvature of Π is called a holomorphic sectional curvature of Π. We denote it by $H(X)$, where $\{X, JX\}$ is a basis of Π. Then

$$H(X) = \frac{R(X)}{g(X, X)^2}.$$

For any orthogonal four-frames $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\} \subset T_p M$, we have for any index $A, B, C, D \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ the below lemma.

Lemma 2.3 [1].

$$\begin{aligned}
&24 R_{ABCD} \\
&\quad = R(e_A + e_C, e_B + e_D) - R(eS_A + e_C, e_B - e_D) \\
&\qquad - R(e_A - e_C, e_B + e_D) \\
&\qquad + R(e_A - e_C, e_B - e_D) - R(e_A + e_D, e_B + e_C) \\
&\qquad + R(e_A + e_D, e_B - e_C) \\
&\qquad + R(e_A - e_D, e_B + e_C) - R(e_A - e_D, e_B - e_C)
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

For the proof, see the proof of Proposition 1.9 in [3]. Then we get the following property.

COROLLARY 2.1 [1]

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold, and let p be an arbitrary point in M. Suppose that $\underline{\kappa} \leq K(\pi) \leq \bar{\kappa}$ for all two-dimensional planes $\pi \subset T_pM$. Then

$$R(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) \leq \frac{2}{3}(\bar{\kappa} - \underline{\kappa}) \tag{13}$$

for all orthonormal four-frames $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\} \subset T_pM$.

If we take $e_A = e_C = X, e_B = Y, e_D = Z$ in the equality (12), then we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *For any vector fields X, Y and Z on M,*

$$R(X, Y, X, Z) = \frac{1}{4}(R(X, Y + Z) - R(X, Y - Z)). \tag{14}$$

It is well known that we can express the sectional curvatures by the holomorphic sectional curvatures (see Proposition 2.1 in [2]).

Lemma 2.5. *Let (M, ω, J) be a Kähler manifold. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y) = \frac{1}{32}[&3R(X + JY) + 3R(X - JY) - R(X + Y) - R(X - Y) \\ &- 4R(X) - 4R(Y)]. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Then we have the following corollary, also see Corollary 2.1 in [2].

COROLLARY 2.2

For any two orthonormal vectors X and Y, if $\langle X, JY\rangle = x$, then

$$\begin{aligned} K(X, Y) = \frac{1}{8}[&3(1 + x)^2 H(X + JY) \\ &+ 3(1 - x)^2 H(X - JY) - H(X + Y) - H(X - Y) \\ &- H(X) - H(Y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the following Corollary.

COROLLARY 2.3

For any two orthonormal vectors X and Y, if $\langle X, JY\rangle = x$, then

$$\frac{1}{4}[(3(1 + x^2)k_1 - 2k_2] \leq K(X, Y) \leq \frac{1}{4}[(3(1 + x^2)k_2 - 2k_1] \tag{16}$$

Remark 2.2. In fact, Bishop and Goldberg (see Proposition 3.1 in [2]) also obtained the following interesting formula.

Lemma 2.6. *Let X, Y be the orthonormal vectors, if $\langle X, JY\rangle = \cos\alpha$. Denote*

$$H(X,Y) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{\pi} H(X\cos\alpha + Y\sin\alpha)\mathrm{d}\alpha,$$
$$A(X,Y) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{\pi} K(X\cos\alpha + Y\sin\alpha, -JX\sin\alpha + JY\cos\alpha)\mathrm{d}\alpha.$$

Then

$$K(X,Y) = H(X,Y) - 3A(X,Y)\sin^2\alpha.$$

If $\cos\alpha = 0$, by the Corollary 2.3, we have

$$\frac{1}{4}(3k_1 - 2k_2) \le K(X,Y) \le \frac{1}{4}(3k_2 - 2k_1).$$

On the other hand, for any orthonormal vectors X, Y with $\langle X, JY\rangle \neq 0$ and $|\langle X, JY\rangle| \neq 1$, let $\tilde{Y} = \langle X, JY\rangle X - JY$. Then $\langle X, \tilde{Y}\rangle = \langle X, J\tilde{Y}\rangle = 0$ and $\mathrm{Span}\{X, JY\} = \mathrm{Span}\{X, \tilde{Y}\}$. Hence we obtain

$$\frac{3k_1 - 2k_2}{4} \le K(X\cos\theta + Y\sin\theta, -JX\sin\theta + JY\cos\theta) \le \frac{3k_2 - 2k_1}{4}$$

for every $\theta \in [0, \pi]$. Hence for any orthonormal vectors X, Y, we have

$$\frac{3k_1 - 2k_2}{4} \le A(X,Y) \le \frac{3k_2 - 2k_1}{4}.$$

On the other hand, $K(X,Y)$ also can be expressed as follows:

$$K(X,Y) = \frac{1}{4}[(1+\cos\alpha)^2 H(X+JY) + (1-\cos\alpha)^2 H(X-JY)]$$
$$-A(X,Y)\sin^2\alpha.$$

Then Bishop and Goldberg (Proposition 4.2 in [2]) established the following estimate.

PROPOSITION 2.1 [2]

Let X, Y be the orthonormal vectors with $\langle X, JY\rangle = \cos\alpha$. Then

$$k_1 - \frac{3k_2}{4}\sin^2\alpha \le K(X,Y) \le k_2 - \frac{3k_1}{4}\sin^2\alpha.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\frac{1}{4}[(3+3\cos^2\alpha)k_2 - 2k_1] \ge (or \le) k_2 - \frac{3k_1}{4}\sin^2\alpha, \quad if\ \cos^2\alpha \ge (or \le) 1/3.$$

Lemma 2.7. *For the orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ on (M, g) along Σ_t, it takes the form J as (7). Hence $\cos\alpha, y, z$ are defined by (7). Then we have the following estimates*:

(1) $\frac{1}{4}(3+3\cos^2\alpha)k_1 - \frac{1}{2}k_2 \le R_{1212} \le \frac{1}{4}(3+3\cos^2\alpha)k_2 - \frac{1}{2}k_1$;
(2) $\frac{1}{4}(3+3y^2)k_1 - \frac{1}{2}k_2 \le R_{2424} \le \frac{1}{4}(3+3y^2)k_2 - \frac{1}{2}k_1$;

(3) $\frac{1}{4}(3+3z^2)k_1 - \frac{1}{2}k_2 \le R_{2323} \le \frac{1}{4}(3+3z^2)k_2 - \frac{1}{2}k_1$;
(4) $\frac{1}{32}[(23+6(\cos\alpha+y)^2)k_1 - (23+6(\cos\alpha-y)^2)k_2] \le R_{2131} \le \frac{1}{32}[(23+6(\cos\alpha+y)^2)k_2 - (23+6(\cos\alpha-y)^2)k_1]$;
(5) $\frac{1}{32}[(23+6(\cos\alpha-y)^2)k_1 - (23+6(\cos\alpha+y)^2)k_2] \le R_{2434} \le \frac{1}{32}[(23+6(\cos\alpha-y)^2)k_2 - (23+6(\cos\alpha+y)^2)k_1]$.

Proof. By (7), we have

- $Je_1 = \cos\alpha e_2 + ye_3 + ze_4$,
- $Je_2 = -\cos\alpha e_1 + ze_3 - ye_4$,
- $Je_3 = -ye_1 - ze_2 + \cos\alpha e_4$,
- $Je_4 = -ze_1 + ye_2 - \cos\alpha e_3$.

Hence $\langle Je_1, e_2\rangle = \cos\alpha$, $\langle Je_4, e_2\rangle = y$, $\langle Je_2, e_3\rangle = z$. Then by Corollary 2.3, we get (1)–(3).

By Lemma 2.4,

$$R_{1213} = \frac{1}{4}(R(e_1, e_2+e_3) - R(e_1, e_2-e_3)). \tag{17}$$

Hence $Je_1 = \cos\alpha e_2 + ye_3 + ze_4$, $\langle Je_1, e_2+e_3\rangle = \cos\alpha + y$ and $\langle Je_1, e_2-e_3\rangle = \cos\alpha - y$. Then by Corollary 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{16}[(27+12(\cos\alpha+y)^2)k_1 - 19k_2]\\ &\quad\le R(e_1, e_2+e_3) \le \frac{1}{16}[(27+12(\cos\alpha+y)^2)k_2 - 19k_1]\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{16}[(27+12(\cos\alpha-y)^2)k_1 - 19k_2] \le R(e_1, e_2-e_3)\\ &\quad\le \frac{1}{16}[(27+12(\cos\alpha-y)^2)k_2 - 19k_1].\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}R_{1213} &\le \frac{1}{64}[(46+12(\cos\alpha+y)^2)k_2 - (46+12(\cos\alpha-y)^2)k_1]\\ &= \frac{1}{32}[(23+6(\cos\alpha+y)^2)k_2 - (23+6(\cos\alpha-y)^2)k_1]\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

and

$$R_{1213} \ge \frac{1}{32}[(23+6(\cos\alpha+y)^2)k_1 - (23+6(\cos\alpha-y)^2)k_2]. \tag{21}$$

Hence we obtain (4).

Using Lemma 2.4, Corollary 2.3 and the same argument as in the proof of (4) , we can obtain (5). □

3. Lower bound along the mean curvature flow

In this section, we follow the argument in [10] to prove the first main theorem of this paper, which improves the main theorem in [10].

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose M is a Kähler surface with positive holomorphic sectional curvatures. If $1 \leq \lambda < 2$ and $\cos\alpha(\cdot, 0) \geq \delta > \frac{29(\lambda-1)}{\sqrt{(48-24\lambda)^2+(29\lambda-29)^2}}$, then along the flow*

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right)\cos\alpha \geq |\bar{\nabla} J_{\Sigma_t}|^2 \cos\alpha + C\sin^2\alpha, \tag{22}$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on k_1, k_2 and δ. As a corollary, $\min_{\Sigma_t}\cos\alpha$ is increasing with respect to t. In particular, at each time t, Σ_t is symplectic.

Proof. For simplicity, we can take $y = \sin\alpha$, $z = 0$ in the form of J. Due to the evolution of $\cos\alpha$ (see Lemma 2.2),

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right)\cos\alpha = |\bar{\nabla} J_{\Sigma_t}|^2 \cos\alpha + \mathrm{Ric}(Je_1, e_2)\sin^2\alpha. \tag{23}$$

In order to prove this theorem, we need to estimate $\mathrm{Ric}(Je_1, e_2)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ric}(Je_1, e_2) &= \sum_{i=1}^{4} R(Je_1, e_i, e_2, e_i)\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{4} R(\cos\alpha e_2 + \sin\alpha e_3, e_i, e_2, e_i)\\ &= \cos\alpha R_{22} + \sin\alpha(R_{1213} + R_{4243})\\ &= \cos\alpha R_{22} + \sin\alpha R_{23}.\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

By Lemma 2.7, we have

$$R_{22} = R_{1212} + R_{3232} + R_{4242} \geq 3k_1 - \frac{3}{2}k_2 \tag{25}$$

and

$$|R_{23}| = |R_{1213} + R_{4243}| \leq \frac{29}{16}(k_2 - k_1). \tag{26}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Ric}(Je_1, e_2)\\ &\geq \cos\alpha\left(3k_1 - \frac{3}{2}k_2\right) - \sqrt{1-\cos^2\alpha}\,\frac{29}{16}(k_2 - k_1)\\ &= \left(3\cos\alpha + \frac{29}{16}\sqrt{1-\cos^2\alpha}\right)k_1 - \left(\frac{3}{2}\cos\alpha + \frac{29}{16}\sqrt{1-\cos^2\alpha}\right)k_2\\ &= k_1\left\{\frac{3}{2}\cos\alpha(2-\lambda) + \frac{29}{16}\sqrt{1-\cos^2\alpha}(1-\lambda)\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

We set

$$f_\lambda(x) = \frac{3}{2}(2-\lambda)x + \frac{29}{16}(1-\lambda)\sqrt{1-x^2}. \tag{28}$$

When $1 \le \lambda < 2$, $f_\lambda(x) > 0$ is equivalent to

$$\frac{3}{2}(2-\lambda)x > \frac{29}{16}(\lambda-1)\sqrt{1-x^2}.$$

Furthermore, if $x > 0$, $f_\lambda(x) > 0$ is equivalent to

$$\left(\frac{3}{2}(2-\lambda)x\right)^2 > \left(\frac{29}{16}(\lambda-1)(1-x^2)\right),$$

it is equivalent to

$$\left\{\left(\frac{3}{2}(2-\lambda)\right)^2 + \left(\frac{29}{16}(\lambda-1)\right)^2\right\}x^2 > \left(\frac{29}{16}(\lambda-1)\right)^2,$$

which is equivalent to

$$x^2 > \frac{29^2(\lambda-1)^2}{24^2(2-\lambda)^2 + 29^2(\lambda-1)^2}.$$

Hence if $1 \le \lambda < 2$ and $\cos\alpha > \frac{29(\lambda-1)}{\sqrt{(48-24\lambda)^2+(29\lambda-29)^2}}$, we have $f_\lambda(\cos\alpha) > 0$, that is, $\mathrm{Ric}(Je_1, e_2) > 0$. Furthermore, if $\cos\alpha \ge \delta > \frac{29(\lambda-1)}{\sqrt{(48-24\lambda)^2+(29\lambda-29)^2}}$, then $f_\lambda(\cos\alpha) \ge f_\lambda(\delta) > 0$. Then by the maximum principle, the condition $\cos\alpha \ge \delta > \frac{29(\lambda-1)}{\sqrt{(48-24\lambda)^2+(29\lambda-29)^2}}$ is preserved by the mean curvature flow. Hence we obtain the theorem. □

Remark 3.1. For the estimate of the term $R_{1213} + R_{4243}$, we use more better estimate of R_{1213} and R_{4243} than the Li–Yang's estimate [10], which is the key point to improve the Li–Yang's main result.

We also have the following corollary and theorem as Corollary 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 in [10]. Using the same argument as in [5], we have the following.

COROLLARY 3.1

Suppose M is a Kähler surface with positive holomorphic sectional curvatures and $1 \le \lambda < 2$. Then every symplectic minimal surface satisfying

$$\cos\alpha > \frac{29(\lambda-1)}{\sqrt{(48-24\lambda)^2 + (29\lambda-29)^2}}$$

in M is a holomorphic curve.

Using the same argument as in [4] or [14], we have as follows.

Theorem 3.2. *Under the same condition of Theorem 3.1, the symplectic mean curvature flow has no type I singularity at any* $T > 0$.

4. When $\cos\alpha$ is close to 1

In this section, we use the same argument of Han and Li [6]. We prove Kähler manifold M with positive holomorphic sectional curvature and $1 \le \lambda < 2$, when $\cos\alpha$ is close enough to 1. Then the mean curvature flow exists globally and converges to a holomorphic curve.

PROPOSITION 4.1

Suppose that M is a Kähler surface with positive holomorphic sectional curvature and $1 \le \lambda < 2$. Let α be the Kähler angle of the surface Σ_t which evolves by the mean curvature flow. Suppose that $\cos\alpha(\cdot,0) > \frac{58(\lambda-1)}{\sqrt{(48-24)^2+(58\lambda-58)^2}}$. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{\sin^2\alpha}{\cos\alpha}\mathrm{d}\mu_t \le C_0 \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{3}{4}(2-\lambda)k_1 t},\\
&\int_t^{t+1}\int_{\Sigma_t} |H|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_t \mathrm{d}t \le C_0 \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{3}{4}(2-\lambda)k_1 t},
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where C_0 is defined by $C_0 = \int_{\Sigma_0} \frac{\sin^2\alpha(x,0)}{\cos\alpha(x,0)}\mathrm{d}\mu_0$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, we know $\cos\alpha(\cdot,t) > \frac{58(\lambda-1)}{\sqrt{(48-24)^2+(58\lambda-58)^2}}$ is preserved along the mean curvature flow. Since $\cos\alpha > \frac{58(\lambda-1)}{\sqrt{(48-24)^2+(58\lambda-58)^2}}$, then by (27), we have

$$\mathrm{Ric}(Je_1, e_2) > \frac{3}{4}(2-\lambda)k_1 \cos\alpha.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right)\cos\alpha &> |\bar{\nabla} J_{\Sigma_t}|^2 \cos\alpha + \frac{3}{4}(2-\lambda)k_1 \cos\alpha \sin^2\alpha\\
&\ge \frac{3}{4}(2-\lambda)k_1 \cos\alpha\sin^2\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Then using the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.1 in [6], we get the proposition. □

Using the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.2 in [6], we also get the following.

PROPOSITION 4.2

Suppose that M is a Kähler surface with positive holomorphic sectional curvature and $1 \leq \lambda < 2$. Let α be the Kähler angle of the surface Σ_t which evolves by the mean curvature flow. Suppose that $\cos\alpha(\cdot, 0) > \frac{29(\lambda-1)}{\sqrt{(48-24)^2+(29\lambda-29)^2}}$. *Then*

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Sigma_t} |H| \mathrm{d}\mu_t \mathrm{d}t \leq (C_0)^{1/2} \frac{\mathrm{Area}(\Sigma_0)^{1/2}}{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{3}{8}(2-\lambda)k_1}}, \tag{30}$$

where the constant C_0 is defined in Proposition 4.1.

Remark 4.1. Han and Li [6] proved the above propositions in the case of Kähler–Einstein manifold M with positive scalar curvature R. They obtained

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{\sin^2\alpha}{\cos\alpha} \mathrm{d}\mu_t \leq C_0 \mathrm{e}^{-Rt},$$
$$\int_t^{t+1} \int_{\Sigma_t} |H|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_t \mathrm{d}t \leq C_0 \mathrm{e}^{-Rt},$$
$$\int_0^T \int_{\Sigma_t} |H| \mathrm{d}\mu_t \mathrm{d}t \leq (C_0)^{1/2} \frac{\mathrm{Area}(\Sigma_0)^{1/2}}{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-R/2}}.$$

We recall White's local regularity theorem. Let $H(X, X_0, t)$ be the backward heat kernel on $\mathbb{R}^4$. Define

$$\rho(X, t) = 4\pi(t_0 - t) H(X, X_0, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi(t_0 - t)} \exp\left(-\frac{|X - X_0|^2}{4(t_0 - t)}\right) \tag{31}$$

for $t < t_0$. Let i_M be the injective radius of M^4. We choose a cutoff function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(B_{2r}(X_0))$ with $\phi \equiv 1$ in $B_r(X_0)$, where $X_0 \in M, 0 < 2r < i_M$. Choose normal coordinates in $B_{2r}(X_0)$ and express F using the coordinates (F^1, F^2, F^3, F^4) as a surface in $\mathbb{R}^4$. The parabolic density of the mean curvature flow is defined by

$$\Phi(X_0, t_0, t) = \int_{\Sigma_t} \phi(F) \rho(F, t) \mathrm{d}\mu_t. \tag{32}$$

The following local regularity theorem was proved by White (see Theorems 3.1 and 4.1 in [15]).

Theorem 4.1. *There is a positive constant $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that if*

$$\Phi(X_0, t_0, t_0 - r^2) \leq 1 + \epsilon_0, \tag{33}$$

then the second fundamental form $A(t)$ of Σ_t in M is bounded in $B_{r/2}(X_0)$, that is,

$$\sup_{B_{r/2} \times (t_0 - r^2/4, t_0]} |A| \leq C, \tag{34}$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on M.

Remark 4.2. Since Σ_0 is smooth, it is well known that

$$\lim_{r\to 0}\int_{\Sigma_0}\phi(F)\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-(|F-X_0|^2/4r^2)}}{4\pi r^2}\mathrm{d}\mu_0 = 1$$

for any $X_0 \in \Sigma_0$. So we can find a sufficiently small r_0 such that

$$\int_{\Sigma_0}\phi(F)\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-(|F-X_0|^2/4r_0^2)}}{4\pi r_0^2}\mathrm{d}\mu_0 \leq 1+\frac{\epsilon_0}{2},$$

i.e.,

$$\Phi(X_0, r_0^2, 0) \leq 1+\frac{\epsilon_0}{2}$$

for all $X_0 \in M$, where ϵ_0 is the constant in White's theorem.

Using the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.5 in [6], we get the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that M is a Kähler surface with positive holomorphic sectional curvature and $1 \leq \lambda < 2$. Let α be the Kähler angle of the surface Σ_t which evolves by the mean curvature flow. Suppose that* $\cos\alpha(\cdot, 0) > \frac{29(\lambda-1)}{\sqrt{(48-24)^2+(29\lambda-29)^2}}$. *$C_0, r_0, \epsilon_0$ are defined as in Proposition 4.1, Remark 4.2 and Theorem 4.1, respectively. We denote*

$$\epsilon_1 = \frac{\pi^2\epsilon_0^2 r_0^6(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{3}{8}(2-\lambda)k_1})^2}{4\,\mathrm{Area}(\Sigma_0)}.$$

Then if $C_0 \leq \epsilon_1$, the mean curvature flow with initial surface Σ_0 exists globally and it converges to a holomorphic curve.

Acknowledgements

The author is partially supported by NSFC No. 11301017, Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities. He thanks Professor Jiayu Li, Xiaoli Han, Jun Sun and Liuqing Yang for many useful discussions. He also thanks the referees for useful suggestions.

References

[1] Berger M, Sur quelques variétés riemanniennes suffisamment pincées. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **88** (1960) 57–71

[2] Bishop R L and Goldberg S I, On the topology of positively curved Kähler manifolds, *Tohoku Math. J.* **15(2)** (1963) 359–364

[3] Brendle S, Ricci flow and the sphere Theorem, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, *Amer. Math. Soc.* **111** (2010)

[4] Chen J and Li J, Mean curvature flow of surface in 4-manifolds, *Adv. Math.* **163** (2001) 287–309

[5] Chern S S and Wolfson J, Minimal surfaces by moving frames, *Am. J. Math.* **105** (1983) 59–83
[6] Han X and Li J, The mean curvature flow approach to the symplectic isotopy problem, *IMRN.* **26** (2005) 1611–1620
[7] Han X and Li J, Symplectic critical surfaces in Kähler surfaces, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **12(2)** (2010) 505–527
[8] Han X, Li J and Zhao L, The mean curvature flow along a Kähler-Ricci flow, *Int. J. Math.* **29(1)** (2018)
[9] Han X, Li J and Yang L, Symplectic mean curvature flow in CP^2, *Calc. Var. PDE* **48** (2013) 111–129
[10] Li J and Yang L, Symplectic mean curvature flows in Kähler surfaces with positive holomorphic sectional curvatures, *Geom. Dedicata* **170** (2014) 63–69
[11] Mori S, Projective manifolds with ample tangent bundles, *Ann. Math.* **76(2)** (1979) 213–234
[12] Siu Y T and Yau S T, Compact Kähler manifolds of positive bisectional curvature, *Invent. Math.* **59(2)** (1980) 189–204
[13] Smoczyk K, Angle theorems for the Lagrangian mean curvature flow, *Math. Z.* **240** (2002) 849–883
[14] Wang M T, Mean curvature flow of surfaces in Einstein four manifolds, *J. Diff. Geom.* **57** (2001) 301–338
[15] White B, A local regularity theorem for classical mean curvature flow, *Ann. Math.* **161** (2005) 1487–1519
[16] Yang B and Zheng F, Hirzebruch manifolds ans positive holomorphic sectional curvature, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **69(6)** (2019) 2589–2634

Communicating Editor: Mj Mahan