

## Property of reflexivity for multiplication operators on Banach function spaces

BAHMANN YOUSEFI and FATEMEH ZANGENEH\*

Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University, P.O. Box 19395-3697,  
Tehran, Iran

\*Corresponding author.

E-mail: b\_yousefi@pnu.ac.ir; f.zangeneh88@yahoo.com

MS received 7 January 2016; revised 17 January 2017; accepted 10 August 2017;  
published online 15 June 2018

**Abstract.** In this paper, we give conditions under which the powers of the multiplication operator  $M_z$  are reflexive on a Banach space of functions analytic on a plane domain.

**Keywords.** Banach spaces of analytic functions; multiplication operators; reflexive operator; multipliers; Caratheodory hull; bounded point evaluation; spectral set.

**Mathematics Subject Classification.** 47B37, 47A25.

### 1. Introduction

For any set  $E$  and any function  $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , define  $\|f\|_E$  by

$$\|f\|_E = \sup\{|f(z)| : z \in E\}.$$

If  $B$  is a bounded domain in the plane, then the Caratheodory hull (or  $\mathbb{C}$ -hull) of  $B$  is the complement of the closure of the unbounded component of the complement of the closure of  $B$ . The  $\mathbb{C}$ -hull of  $B$  is denoted by  $B^*$ . Intuitively,  $B^*$  can be described as the interior of the outer boundary of  $B$ , and in analytic terms it can be defined as the interior of the set of all points  $z_0$  in the plane such that  $|p(z_0)| \leq \sup\{|p(z)| : z \in B\}$  for all polynomials  $p$ . The components of  $B^*$  are simply connected; in fact, one can easily see that each of these components has a connected complement. The component of  $B^*$  that contains  $B$  is denoted by  $B_1$ . Note that for all polynomials  $p$ ,  $\|p\|_B = \|p\|_{B_1}$ . Since  $B_1$  is a Caratheodory domain, so by the Farrell–Rubel–Shields theorem [2, Theorem 5.1, p. 151], each bounded analytic function on  $B_1$  can be approximated by a sequence of polynomials pointwise boundedly.

For the algebra  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$  of all bounded linear operators on a Banach space  $\mathcal{X}$ , the weak operator topology (WOT) is the one in which a net  $A_\alpha$  converges to  $A$  if  $A_\alpha x \rightarrow Ax$  weakly,  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Also, the strong operator topology (SOT) is the one in which a net  $A_\alpha$  converges to  $A$  if  $A_\alpha x \rightarrow Ax$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

Recall that if  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ , then  $\text{Lat}(A)$  is by definition the lattice of all invariant subspaces of  $A$ , and  $\text{AlgLat}(A)$  is the algebra of all operators  $B$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$  such that  $\text{Lat}(A) \subset \text{Lat}(B)$ . An operator  $A$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$  is said to be *reflexive* if  $\text{AlgLat}(A) = W(A)$ , where  $W(A)$  is

the smallest subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$  that contains  $A$  and the identity  $I$  is closed in the weak operator topology.

In [8], it is shown that any powers of the operator  $M_z$  is reflexive on Banach spaces of formal Laurent series. Also, reflexivity of the operator  $M_z$  on Hilbert function spaces has been investigated in [3,6] and for the case of Banach function spaces, see [7]. Here we give some sufficient conditions so that the powers of the operator  $M_z$ , acting on Banach function spaces becomes reflexive. As usual, for a good basic source of reflexivity we refer to [1, Chapter 8].

Consider a Banach space  $\mathcal{X}$  of functions analytic on a plane domain  $G$ , such that for each  $\lambda \in G$ , the linear functional  $e_\lambda$  of evaluation at  $\lambda$  (defined by  $e_\lambda(f) = f(\lambda)$ ) is bounded on  $\mathcal{X}$ . A complex-valued function  $\varphi$  on  $G$  for which  $\varphi f \in \mathcal{X}$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{X}$  is called a *multiplier* of  $\mathcal{X}$  and the collection of all these multipliers is denoted by  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ . Each multiplier  $\varphi$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  determines a multiplication operator  $M_\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  by  $M_\varphi f = \varphi f$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{X}$ . It is well-known that each multiplier is a bounded analytic function on  $G$ , in fact  $\|\varphi\|_G \leq \|M_\varphi\|$ . The notation  $\|\varphi\|_\infty = \|M_\varphi\|$  is usually used for the norm of the operator  $M_\varphi$ .

By  $H(G)$  and  $H^\infty(G)$  we will mean respectively the set of analytic functions on a plane domain  $G$  and the set of bounded analytic functions on  $G$ . Also, by  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  we mean the uniform closure in  $C(G, \mathbb{C})$  (the space of continuous functions from  $G$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ ) of the polynomials. Note that  $f \in \mathcal{P}(G)$  if and only if there exists a sequence of polynomials  $\{p_n\}_n$  that converges uniformly to  $f$  on every compact subset of  $G$ .

## 2. Main results

We investigate the reflexivity of the powers of the multiplication operator  $M_z$  acting on a Banach function space.

Recall that a sequence  $\{x_n\}_n$  in a Banach space  $\mathcal{X}$  is called a Schauder basis of  $\mathcal{X}$  if for every  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  there is a unique sequence of scalars  $\{a_n\}_n$  so that  $x = \sum_n a_n x_n$ . In this case, the closed linear span of  $\{x_n\}_n$  is all of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Also, for every integer  $n$ , the linear functional  $x_n^*$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  defined by  $x_n^*(\sum_i a_i x_i) = a_i$  is a bounded linear functional. These functionals  $\{x_n^*\}_n$ , which are characterized by the relation  $x_n^*(x_m) = \delta_m(n)$ , are called the biorthogonal functionals associated to the basis  $\{x_n\}$ . In the weak\* topology,  $x^* = \sum_n x^*(x_n) x_n^*$  for every  $x^* \in \mathcal{X}^*$ , and we have convergence in norm for every  $x^* \in \mathcal{X}^*$  if and only if the sequence  $\{x_n^*\}_n$  is a Schauder basis of  $\mathcal{X}^*$ . For this to happen,  $\mathcal{X}^*$  must, in particular, be separable. On the other hand, this is always the case if  $\mathcal{X}$  is reflexive.

From now on, let  $\Omega$  be a domain in the complex plane such that  $\Omega_1$  is equal to the open unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$ . Also, suppose that the Banach space  $\mathcal{X}$  under consideration satisfy the following axioms:

*Axiom 1.*  $\mathcal{X}$  is a subspace of the space of all analytic functions on  $\Omega$  that are continuous on  $\bar{\Omega}$ .

*Axiom 2.* For each  $\lambda \in \bar{\Omega}$ , the linear functional of evaluation at  $\lambda$ ,  $e_\lambda$ , is bounded on  $\mathcal{X}$ .

*Axiom 3.* The sequences  $\{f_k\}_k$  and  $\{f_k^*\}_k$  are Schauder basis for  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{X}^*$  respectively, where  $f_k(z) = z^k$  for all integers  $k$  and  $\{f_k^*\}_k$  is also the biorthogonal functionals associated to  $\{f_k\}_k$ .

For  $h = \sum_n \hat{h}(n)z^n \in H(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$  and  $w \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ , define  $h_w$  by  $h_w(z) = h(wz)$ . Then  $h_w = \sum_n \hat{h}_w(n)z^n$  where  $\hat{h}_w(n) = w^n \hat{h}(n)$  for all  $n$ . Note that  $H(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$  is nonempty since  $1, z \in H(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ .

#### DEFINITION 2.1

We say that  $H(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$  is bi-isometrically rotation invariant whenever  $\varphi \in H(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ , then  $\varphi_{e^{-i\theta}} \in H(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ ,  $\|\varphi\|_\infty = \|\varphi_{e^{-i\theta}}\|_\infty$  and  $\|\varphi\| = \|\varphi_{e^{-i\theta}}\|$  for all  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Furthermore, we assume that  $\mathcal{X}$  holds in the following axiom:

*Axiom 4.*  $z \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$  and  $H(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$  is bi-isometrically rotation invariant.

In the following, we give an example of a Banach space which holds in the Axioms 1 through 4.

*Example 2.2.* Let  $\{\beta(n)\}_{n=-\infty}^\infty$  be a sequence of positive numbers satisfying  $\beta(0) = 1$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ . The space  $L^p(\beta)$  consists of all formal Laurent series

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n)z^n$$

such that the norm

$$\|f\| = \|f\|_\beta = \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n)|^p \beta(n)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

is finite. Note that when  $n$  ranges on  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , they are called formal power series and are denoted by  $H^p(\beta)$ . It is well-known that these are Banach spaces with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\beta$ . Let  $\hat{f}_k(n) = \delta_k(n)$ , so  $f_k(z) = z^k$  and  $\|f_k\| = \beta(k)$ . We denote the set of multipliers

$$\{\varphi \in L^p(\beta) : \varphi L^p(\beta) \subseteq L^p(\beta)\}$$

by  $L_\infty^p(\beta)$  and the linear operator of multiplication by  $\varphi$  on  $L^p(\beta)$  by  $M_\varphi$ .

We say that a complex number  $\lambda$  is a *bounded point evaluation* on  $L^p(\beta)$  if the functional  $e_\lambda : L^p(\beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $e_\lambda(f) = f(\lambda)$  is bounded. We note that

$$\|e_\lambda\|^q = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda|^{nq}}{\beta(n)^q}.$$

Let  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Then it is well-known that  $L^p(\beta)^* = L^q(\beta^{\frac{p}{q}})$  and if  $f(z) = \sum_n \hat{f}(n)z^n \in L^p(\beta)$ ,  $g(z) = \sum_n \hat{g}(n)z^n \in L^q(\beta^{\frac{p}{q}})$ , then

$$g(f) = \sum_n \hat{f}(n) \overline{\hat{g}(n)} \beta(n)^p$$

[14, 16, 17]. Let  $M_z$  be bounded on  $L^p(\beta)$  and consider the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} r_0 &= \overline{\lim} \beta(-n)^{\frac{-1}{n}}; \Omega_0 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| > r_0\} \\ r_1 &= \underline{\lim} \beta(n)^{\frac{1}{n}}; \Omega_1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r_1\} \\ \Omega &= \Omega_0 \cap \Omega_1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_0 < |z| < r_1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that  $r_0 < r_1 = 1$ . Then,  $\Omega$  is an annulus with  $\Omega_1 = \mathbb{D}$  and  $L^p(\beta) \subset H(\Omega)$ . If

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} r_0^{nq} / \beta(n)^q < \infty; \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 1 / \beta(n)^q < \infty,$$

then each  $\lambda \in \bar{\Omega}$  is a bounded point evaluation and we have  $L^p(\beta) \subset H(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$  [9]. So Axioms 1 and 2 are consistent. Clearly,  $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a basis for both  $L^p(\beta)$  and it's dual  $L^q(\beta^{p/q})$ . Put  $f_j^* = f_j$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $f_j^*(f_k) = \delta_j(k)$ , where  $f_j^* \in L^p(\beta)^* = L^q(\beta^{p/q})$  and  $f_k \in L^p(\beta)$ , so Axiom 3 holds. By a similar method used in the proof of Proposition 28 in [5, page 88], we can see that  $H(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$  is bi-isometrically rotation invariant. Thus Axiom 4 also holds.

The following Lemma extends a result obtained by Allen Shields [5, page 88] that have been proved only for the special case where  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $H^2(\beta)$ , the Hilbert space of formal power series.

*Lemma 2.3.* *Let  $\varphi \in H(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ . Then for the sequence  $\{r_n = \sum_j \hat{r}_n(j)z^j\}$  such that  $\hat{r}_n(j) = (1 - \frac{j}{n+1})\hat{\varphi}(j)$  whenever  $j = 0, \dots, n$  and is 0 otherwise, we have  $M_{r_n} \rightarrow M_\varphi$  in the weak operator topology.*

*Proof.* First note that by Axiom 4,  $H(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$  is bi-isometrically rotation invariant. Let  $\varphi \in H(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ . Since  $\Omega_1 = \mathbb{D}$ , we can represent  $\varphi$  by a power series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{\varphi}(k)z^k$ . Put

$$P_n(\varphi) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(1 - \frac{k}{n+1}\right) \hat{\varphi}(k)z^k, \quad n \geq 0$$

and

$$K_n(w) = \sum_{|k| \leq n} \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n+1}\right) w^k, \quad w \in \partial U, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Then

$$\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \varphi_w K_n(\bar{w})d\lambda = M_{P_n(\varphi)}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Note that  $K_n \geq 0$  and

$$\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} K_n d\lambda = 1.$$

Clearly, for all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $P_n(\varphi) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ . Since  $H(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$  is bi-isometrically rotation invariant, by the same method used by Shields in [5, page 88], we can see that

$$\|M_{P_n(\varphi)}\| \leq \|M_\varphi\| \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} K_n d\lambda = \|M_\varphi\|.$$

Put  $r_n = P_n(\varphi)$ . Note that  $M_{r_n}$  is represented by the matrix whose  $(i, j)$ -th entry with respect to the base elements  $\{f_k\}_k$  and  $\{f_k^*\}_k$  (respectively in  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{X}^*$ ) is

$$\begin{aligned} f_i^*(M_{r_n} f_j) &= f_i^* \left( \sum_{k=0}^n \left(1 - \frac{k}{n+1}\right) \hat{\varphi}(k) f_{k+j}(z) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \left(1 - \frac{k}{n+1}\right) \hat{\varphi}(k) f_i^*(f_{k+j}) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{i-j}{n}\right) \hat{\varphi}(i-j). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lim_n f_i^*(M_{r_n} f_j) = f_i^*(M_\varphi f_j)$$

for all base elements  $f_j$  and  $f_i^*$ , respectively in  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{X}^*$ . By the boundedness of the sequence  $\{M_{r_n}\}$ , we have  $M_{r_n} \rightarrow M_\varphi$  in the weak operator topology. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *If  $\mathcal{P}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ , then  $M_{z^k}$  is reflexive on  $\mathcal{X}$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* The boundedness of point evaluations and the closed graph theorem ensure that multiplication by  $z$ ,  $M_z$  is a bounded operator on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and note that  $W(M_{z^k}) \subset \text{AlgLat}(M_{z^k})$ . On the other hand, let  $A \in \text{AlgLat}(M_{z^k})$ . Since  $\text{Lat}(M_z) \subset \text{Lat}(M_{z^k})$ , thus we have  $\text{Lat}(M_z) \subset \text{Lat}(A)$ . This implies that  $A \in \text{AlgLat}(M_z)$ . Now by a similar method used in the proof of Theorem 3 in [4], we can see that  $A = M_\varphi$  where  $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ , hence  $\varphi \in H^\infty(\Omega)$ .

Now put  $\mathcal{N} = H^\infty(\Omega_1) \cap \mathcal{X}$ . Then  $\mathcal{N} \neq \emptyset$ , because  $1 \in \mathcal{N}$ . Note that since by the assumption  $\mathcal{P}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ , we can see that  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ . To see this, let  $f \in H^\infty(\Omega_1)$ . Since  $\Omega_1$  is a Caratheodory domain, by the Farrel–Rubel–Shields theorem, there is a sequence  $\{p_n\}$  of polynomials converging to  $f$  such that for all  $n$ ,  $\|p_n\|_\Omega = \|p_n\|_{\Omega_1} \leq c$  for some  $c > 0$ . So  $\{p_n\}_n$  is a normal family in  $H^\infty(\Omega)$  and by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may suppose that for some function  $g$ ,  $p_n \rightarrow g$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\Omega$ . This implies that indeed  $g = f$ . Hence by our assumption,  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$  and so  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ . Also, it is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{X}$ , since if  $\{h_n\}_n \subset \mathcal{N}$  and  $h_n \rightarrow f$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ , then for all  $n$ ,  $\|h_n\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq c_1$  for some  $c_1 > 0$ . By Axiom 2, the functionals of point evaluations, at each point of  $\bar{\Omega}$  are bounded. So for all  $\lambda$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ , we have

$$h_n(\lambda) = e_\lambda(h_n) \rightarrow e_\lambda(f) = f(\lambda).$$

Also, we note that for all  $\lambda$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ ,

$$|h_n(\lambda)| = |e_\lambda(h_n)| \leq \|h_n\|_{\mathcal{X}} \|e_\lambda\|.$$

Note that if  $f \in \mathcal{X}$ , then

$$\sup\{|e_\lambda(f)| : \lambda \in \Omega\} = \sup\{|f(\lambda)| : \lambda \in \Omega\} = \|f\|_\Omega = \|f\|_{\tilde{\Omega}} < \infty.$$

So by the principle of boundedness theorem,  $\{e_\lambda : \lambda \in \Omega\}$  is bounded. Put  $c_2 = \sup\{\|e_\lambda\| : \lambda \in \Omega\}$ , thus we get  $|h_n(\lambda)| \leq c_2 \|h_n\|_{\mathcal{X}}$  and so

$$\|h_n\|_\Omega \leq c_2 \|h_n\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq c_1 c_2$$

for all  $n$ . Since  $h_n \in H^\infty(\Omega_1)$ ,  $\|h_n\|_{\Omega_1} = \|h_n\|_\Omega$  and so  $\|h_n\|_{\Omega_1} \leq c_1 c_2$  for all  $n$ . This implies that  $\{h_n\}_n$  is a normal family in  $H^\infty(\Omega_1)$  and we may assume that for some function  $g$ ,  $h_n \rightarrow g$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\Omega_1$ . Thus  $g \in H^\infty(\Omega_1)$ . But by pointwise convergence  $f = g$  on  $\Omega$  and so  $f$  can be extended to a bounded analytic function on  $\Omega_1$ , i.e.,  $f \in H^\infty(\Omega_1)$  and so  $\mathcal{N}$  is indeed a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Now, clearly  $\mathcal{N} \in \text{Lat}(M_z)$ , thus  $A\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{N}$ . Since  $1 \in \mathcal{N}$ , we get

$$A1 = \varphi \in \mathcal{N} \subset H^\infty(\Omega_1).$$

But  $\Omega_1 = \mathbb{D}$ , thus  $\varphi \in H(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$  and so by the same method used in the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [8], we can see that there exists a sequence of polynomials  $\{r_n\}$  (indeed  $r_n = P_n(\varphi)$ ) such that  $M_{r_n} \rightarrow M_\varphi$  in the weak operator topology. Now let  $\mathcal{M}_k$  be the closed linear span of the set  $\{f_{nk} : n \geq 0\}$  (recall that by Axiom 3,  $f_i(z) = z^i$  for all  $i$ ). We have

$$M_{z^k} f_{nk} = f_{(n+1)k} \in \mathcal{M}_k$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ . Thus  $\mathcal{M}_k \in \text{Lat}(M_{z^k})$  and so  $\mathcal{M}_k \in \text{Lat}(M_\varphi)$ . Let

$$\varphi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{\varphi}(n) z^n.$$

Since  $1 \in \mathcal{M}_k$ ,  $M_\varphi 1 = \varphi \in \mathcal{M}_k$ . Hence  $\hat{\varphi}(i) = 0$  for all  $i \neq nk, n \geq 0$ . Now, by a consequence of the particular construction of  $r_n$  used in Lemma 2.3, each  $r_n$  should be a polynomial in  $z^k$ , i.e.,  $r_n(z) = q_n(z^k)$  for some polynomial  $q_n$ . Thus

$$M_{r_n} = r_n(M_z) = q_n(M_{z^k}) \rightarrow A$$

in the weak operator topology. Hence  $A \in W(M_{z^k})$ . Thus  $M_{z^k}$  is reflexive and this completes the proof.  $\square$

In the proof of Theorem 2.4, we used the assumption  $\mathcal{P}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$  to show that  $H^\infty(\Omega_1) \cap \mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ . So the following corollary is an immediate consequence of the proof of Theorem 2.4.

#### COROLLARY 2.5

If  $z \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$  and  $H^\infty(\Omega_1) \cap \mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ , then  $M_{z^k}$  is reflexive on  $\mathcal{X}$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .

Recall that  $M_z$  is called polynomially bounded on  $\mathcal{X} \subset H(\Omega)$  if there exists  $c > 0$  such that  $\|p(M_z)\| \leq c\|p\|_\Omega$  for all polynomials  $p$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *If  $M_z$  is polynomially bounded on  $\mathcal{X}$ , then  $M_{z^k}$  is reflexive on  $\mathcal{X}$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $M_z$  is polynomially bounded, there exists  $c > 0$  such that  $\|p(M_z)\| \leq c\|p\|_\Omega$  for all polynomials  $p$ . By Corollary 2.5, it is sufficient to show that  $H^\infty(\Omega_1) \cap \mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ . For this, let  $f \in H^\infty(\Omega_1) \cap \mathcal{X}$ . By the Farrel–Rubel–Shields theorem, there exists a sequence  $\{p_n\}$  of polynomials converging to  $f$  such that for all  $n$ ,  $\|p_n\|_\Omega = \|p_n\|_{\Omega_1} \leq d$  for some  $d > 0$ . So we obtain

$$\|M_{p_n}\| \leq c\|p_n\|_{\Omega_1} \leq cd$$

for all  $n$ . Since  $\mathcal{X}$  is reflexive, the unit ball of  $\mathcal{X}$  is weakly compact. Therefore ball  $B(\mathcal{X})$  is compact in the weak operator topology and so by passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we may assume that for some  $A \in B(\mathcal{X})$ ,  $M_{p_n} \rightarrow A$  in the weak operator topology. Using the fact that  $M_{p_n}^* \rightarrow A^*$  in the weak operator topology and acting these operators on  $e_\lambda$  we obtain

$$p_n(\lambda)e_\lambda = M_{p_n}^*e_\lambda \rightarrow A^*e_\lambda$$

weakly. Since  $p_n(\lambda) \rightarrow f(\lambda)$ , we see that

$$A^*e_\lambda = f(\lambda)e_\lambda.$$

Because the closed linear span of  $\{e_\lambda : \lambda \in \Omega\}$  is weak star dense in  $\mathcal{X}^*$ , we conclude that  $A = M_f$  and so  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ . Thus indeed  $H^\infty(\Omega_1) \cap \mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.7.* We used Axioms 1, 2 in the proof of Theorem 2.4 to show that  $\{e_\lambda : \lambda \in \Omega\}$  is bounded. So Theorems 2.4, 2.6 and Corollary 2.5 remain true if we substitute Axioms 1, 2 by the following axioms:

*Axiom (i).*  $\mathcal{X}$  is a subspace of the space of all analytic functions on  $\Omega$ .

*Axiom (ii).* The set  $\{e_\lambda : \lambda \in \Omega\}$  is norm bounded.

In the following, we give examples satisfying Axioms (i) and (ii).

*Example 2.8.* Let  $\{\beta(n)\}_{n=-\infty}^\infty$  be a sequence of positive numbers satisfying  $\beta(0) = 1$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ . Consider the space  $L^p(\beta)$  and let the notations  $r_0$ ,  $r_1$  and  $\Omega$  are defined as in Example 2.2 and  $r_0 < r_1 = 1$ . Then clearly  $L^p(\beta) \subset H(\Omega)$ . Also, note that if  $\lambda \in \Omega$ , then

$$\|e_\lambda\|^q = \sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty \frac{|\lambda|^{nq}}{\beta(n)^q}.$$

So, if

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} r_0^{nq} / \beta(n)^q < \infty; \quad \sum_{n=0}^\infty 1 / \beta(n)^q < \infty,$$

then each  $\lambda \in \bar{\Omega}$  is a bounded point evaluation and clearly  $\{e_\lambda : \lambda \in \Omega\}$  is norm bounded.

*Example 2.9.* Let  $\{\beta(n)\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of positive numbers satisfying  $\beta(0) = 1$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ . Consider the space  $L^p(\beta)$  and let the notations  $r_0$ ,  $r_1$  and  $\Omega$  be defined as in Example 2.2 and  $r_0 < r_1 = 1$ . Then clearly  $L^p(\beta) \subset H(\Omega)$ . If  $L^p(\beta) = L^\infty(\beta)$ , then  $L^p(\beta)$  is a Banach algebra and since for each  $\lambda \in \Omega$ ,  $e_\lambda$  is a homomorphism on  $L^p(\beta)$ . Thus it should be  $\|e_\lambda\| = 1$  for all  $\lambda \in \Omega$ . Hence Axiom (ii) holds.

#### COROLLARY 2.10

*If  $L^p(\beta) = L^\infty(\beta)$ , then  $M_{z^k}$  is reflexive on  $L^p(\beta)$  for all positive integers  $k$ .*

*Proof.* It is sufficient only to show that  $M_z$  is polynomially bounded. Put  $\mathcal{M} = H^\infty(\Omega_1) \cap L^\infty(\beta)$ . Then  $\mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$ , since  $1 \in \mathcal{M}$ . By the same method used earlier in Theorem 2.4, we can see that  $\mathcal{M}$  is closed. Now we show that

$$L : (\mathcal{M}, \|\cdot\|_{\Omega_1}) \longrightarrow B(L^p(\beta))$$

be given by  $L(\varphi) = M_\varphi$  is continuous. Suppose that the sequence  $\{\varphi_n\}_n$  converges to  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $L(\varphi_n) = M_{\varphi_n}$  converges to  $A$  in  $B(L^p(\beta))$ . Then for each  $f$  in  $L^p(\beta)$ ,

$$Af = \lim_n M_{\varphi_n} f = \lim_n \varphi_n f$$

and so  $\{\varphi_n f\}_n$  is convergent in  $L^p(\beta)$ . Note that by the continuity of point evaluations  $\varphi_n f$  converges pointwise to  $\varphi f$ . Thus  $Af$  is analytic and agree with  $\varphi f$  on  $\Omega$ . Hence  $A = M_\varphi$  and so  $L$  is continuous. This implies that there is a constant  $d > 0$  such that  $\|M_\varphi\| \leq d\|\varphi\|_\Omega$  for all  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ . In the special case, we get  $\|M_p\| \leq d\|p\|_\Omega$  for all polynomials  $p$  and so  $M_z$  is polynomially bounded. Thus indeed  $M_{z^k}$  is reflexive on  $L^p(\beta)$  for all positive integers  $k$ .

#### COROLLARY 2.11

*If  $M_z$  is invertible and  $M_{z^k}$  is reflexive on  $L^p(\beta)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $M_{z^k}$  is reflexive for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M_z$  be invertible on  $L^p(\beta)$  and note that since  $M_z f_m = f_{m+1}$ , we have  $M_z^{-1} f_m = f_{m-1}$  for all  $m$ . Let  $f'_m = f_{-m}$ . Then  $M_z^{-1} f'_m = f'_{m+1}$  for all  $m$ . So  $\{f'_m\}$  is shifted (forward) by  $M_z^{-1}$ . Hence  $M_z^{-k}$  is reflexive for all  $k \geq 1$ . But the identity operator is also reflexive, thus indeed  $M_{z^k}$  is reflexive for all integers  $k$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.12.* We have proved the main theorem under some restrictions on  $\Omega$ . So it is interesting to ask about conditions under which any power of the multiplication operator  $M_z$  is reflexive as an operator acting on a Banach space  $\mathcal{X} \subset H(\Omega)$ , where  $\Omega$  is an arbitrary complex plane domain.



*Remark 2.13.* A norm-closed subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$  is called hereditary reflexive if, for every weakly closed subspace  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{A}$ , every  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ , we have  $T \in \mathcal{L}$  whenever  $Tx$  belongs to the norm closure of the set  $\{Lx : L \in \mathcal{L}\}$ . Now since in the main theorem, reflexivity of  $M_z^k$  is considered for any positive integer  $k$  and since an operator  $M_z^m$  belongs to the algebra generated by  $M_z^k$  if  $k$  divides  $m$ , it is natural and interesting to investigate about conditions for hereditary reflexivity.

### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to referees for helpful suggestions. This paper is a part of the second author's Doctoral thesis, written at Payame Noor University under the direction of the first author.

### References

- [1] Conway J B, A Course in Operator Theory, Amer. Math. Soc. (2000)
- [2] Gamelin T, Uniform Algebra (1984) (NY: Chelsea)
- [3] Jahedi K, On the multiplication operators on analytic function spaces, *Iranian J Sci. Technol.*, **37(4)** (2013) 449–452
- [4] Seddighi K and Yousefi B, On the reflexivity of operators on function spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **116** (1992) 45–52
- [5] Shields A L, Weighted shift operators and analytic functions theory, Math. Surveys, A.M.S. Providence 13 (1974) 49–128
- [6] Yousefi B, Multiplication operators on Hilbert spaces of analytic functions, *Archiv der Mathematik*, **83(6)** (2004) 536–539
- [7] Yousefi B and Khaksari A, Multiplication operators on analytic functional spaces, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, **13(4)** (2009) 1159–1165
- [8] Yousefi B and Khoshdel Sh, Reflexivity of powers of the multiplication operator on special function spaces, *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, **32B(6)** (2012) 2279–2284
- [9] Zorboska N, Cyclic composition operators on smooth weighted Hardy spaces, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, **29(2)** (1999) 725–740

COMMUNICATING EDITOR: Gadadhar Misra