

On (m, n) -absorbing ideals of commutative rings

BATOOL ZAREI JALAL ABADI* and
HOSEIN FAZAELI MOGHIMI

Department of Mathematics, University of Birjand, P. O. Box 97175-615, Birjand, Iran

*Corresponding author.

E-mail: hfazaeli@birjand.ac.ir; zareijalalabadi@birjand.ac.ir

MS received 16 August 2015

Abstract. Let R be a commutative ring with $1 \neq 0$ and $U(R)$ be the set of all unit elements of R . Let m, n be positive integers such that $m > n$. In this article, we study a generalization of n -absorbing ideals. A proper ideal I of R is called an (m, n) -absorbing ideal if whenever $a_1 \cdots a_m \in I$ for $a_1, \dots, a_m \in R \setminus U(R)$, then there are n of the a_i 's whose product is in I . We investigate the stability of (m, n) -absorbing ideals with respect to various ring theoretic constructions and study (m, n) -absorbing ideals in several commutative rings. For example, in a Bézout ring or a Boolean ring, an ideal is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal if and only if it is an n -absorbing ideal, and in an almost Dedekind domain every (m, n) -absorbing ideal is a product of at most $m - 1$ maximal ideals.

Keywords. (m, n) -absorbing ideal; n -absorbing ideal; idealization of a module; prime ideal.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 13A15, 13F05, 13G05.

1. Introduction

We assume, throughout, that R is a commutative with $1 \neq 0$ and $U(R)$ is the set of all unit elements of R . The concept of 2-absorbing ideal of a ring, which is a generalization of a prime ideal, was defined in [4]. In recent years, 2-absorbing ideals have been generalized and studied in several directions (see, for example, [5–7, 9–11]). As in [1], for a positive integer n , a proper ideal I of a ring R is called an n -absorbing ideal if whenever $a_1 \cdots a_{n+1} \in I$ for $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$, then there are n of the a_i 's whose product is in I . In this article, we study (m, n) -absorbing ideals in commutative rings with identity, which are a generalization of n -absorbing ideals. Let m, n be positive integers such that $m > n$. A proper ideal I of a commutative ring R is called an (m, n) -absorbing ideal if whenever $a_1 \cdots a_m \in I$ for $a_1, \dots, a_m \in R \setminus U(R)$, then there are n of the a_i 's whose product is in I . Equivalently, a proper ideal I of R is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal if and only if whenever $a_1 \cdots a_s \in I$ for $a_1, \dots, a_s \in R \setminus U(R)$ with $s \geq m$, then there are n of the a_i 's whose product is in I . Thus an $(n + 1, n)$ -absorbing ideal is just an n -absorbing ideal and, in particular, a $(2, 1)$ -absorbing ideal is just a prime ideal. Also, every (m, n) -absorbing ideal is an $m - 1$ -absorbing ideal.

In §2, we give some basic properties of (m, n) -absorbing ideals. For example, we show that for all positive integers $m > n$, every ring R has a minimal (m, n) -absorbing ideal

(Theorem 2.4). Let s, t be positive integers such that $0 \leq s \leq t$. We use the notation $C(t, s)$ to represent $\frac{t!}{s!(t-s)!}$, where $t!$ is the product of positive integers less than or equal to t and $0! = 1$. We prove that if I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R with t minimal prime ideal and s is a positive integer such that $2 \leq s \leq t \leq m - 1$, then I has at least $C(t, s)$ minimal s -absorbing ideals (Theorem 2.6), and that if an (m, n) -absorbing ideal I has exactly n minimal prime ideals P_1, \dots, P_n , then $P_1 \cdots P_n \subseteq I$ (Theorem 2.9). In this section, we also investigate when $(I :_R x)$ is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R for a proper ideal I of R .

In §3, we study the stability of (m, n) -absorbing ideals with respect to various ring-theoretic constructions. For example, we show that I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of a ring R if and only if $I(+M)$ is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of the idealization $R(+M)$ (Theorem 3.5), and that if m, n are two positive integers such that $n \leq m - 2$ and I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R , then $I(+I)$ is an $(m+n-1, 2n)$ -absorbing ideal of $R(+R)$ (Theorem 3.5), and if R is a domain, then $0(+I)$ is an $(m+1, n+1)$ -absorbing ideal of $R(+R)$. We also determine the (m, n) -absorbing ideals in the direct product of two rings (Theorem 3.4).

In the final section, we study (m, n) -absorbing ideals in several classes of commutative rings. In Theorem 5.3 of [1], it has been shown that every proper ideal of a Noetherian ring is an n -absorbing ideal for some positive integer n . Thus every proper ideal is an $(n+1, n)$ -absorbing for some positive integer n (Theorem 4.6). We show that an ideal I of a Bézout ring R is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal if and only if it is an n -absorbing ideal of R (Theorem 4.3). More generally, an ideal of a Prüfer domain is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal for some positive integers m, n if and only if it is a product of prime ideals (Theorem 4.8).

2. Basic properties of (m, n) -absorbing ideals

Let m, n be two positive integers. Recall that a proper ideal I of a ring R is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal if whenever $a_1 \cdots a_m \in I$ for $a_1, \dots, a_m \in R \setminus U(R)$, then there are n of the a_i 's whose products are in I . In this section, we give some basic properties of (m, n) -absorbing ideals. We start with several basic results.

Theorem 2.1. *Let R be a ring and let m, n, s, t be positive integers.*

- (1) *A proper ideal I of R is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R if and only if whenever $a_1 \cdots a_s \in I$ for $a_1, \dots, a_s \in R \setminus U(R)$ with $s \geq m$, then there are n of the a_i 's whose product is in I .*
- (2) *If I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R , then I is an (s, t) -absorbing ideal of R for all $s \geq m, t \geq n$. In particular, I is an $(m-1)$ -absorbing ideal of R .*
- (3) *If I_i is an (m_i, n_i) -absorbing ideal of R for each $(1 \leq i \leq s)$, then $I_1 \cap \cdots \cap I_s$ is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R for $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_s$ and $m = \max\{m_1, \dots, m_s, n+1\}$. In particular, if I_i is an m_i -absorbing ideal of R for each $1 \leq i \leq s$, then $I_1 \cap \cdots \cap I_s$ is an m -absorbing ideal of R for $m = m_1 + \cdots + m_s$.*
- (4) *If I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R , then $\sqrt{I} = \{x \in R \mid x^n \in I\}$. Moreover, if $\lceil \frac{m}{n} \rceil$ is the smallest integer not less than $\frac{m}{n}$ and $s = \max\{\lceil \frac{m}{n} \rceil, n+1\}$, then \sqrt{I} is an (s, n) -absorbing ideal of R .*

Proof.

- (1) and (2). The proofs are routine, and thus they are omitted.

(3) Let I_i ($1 \leq i \leq s$) be an (m_i, n_i) -absorbing ideal of R . Then by (2), I_i is an (m, n_i) -absorbing ideal for $m = \max\{m_1, \dots, m_s, n+1\}$ and $n = n_1 + \dots + n_s$. Let $I = I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_s$ and $a_1 \cdots a_m \in I$ for $a_1, \dots, a_m \in R \setminus U(R)$; so there are $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_s \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma_i} a_\gamma \in I_i$ and $|\Gamma_i| = n_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. Let $\Gamma = \bigcup_{i=1}^s \Gamma_i$; so $|\Gamma| \leq n$. Hence I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R , since $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_\gamma \in I$. The ‘in particular’ statement is clear.

(4) Let I be an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R and $x \in \sqrt{I}$. Then there exists a smallest positive integer t such that $x^t \in I$. Suppose on the contrary, $t > n$. By (2), I is an $(m-1)$ -absorbing ideal of R and so, by Theorem 2.1 of [1], $t \leq m-1$. Thus $x^m \in I$. Now since I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R , $x^n \in I$, which is a contradiction. Hence $t \leq n$ and we have $x^n \in I$.

For the ‘moreover’ part, let $s = \max\{\lceil \frac{m}{n} \rceil, n+1\}$ and $a_1 \cdots a_s \in \sqrt{I}$ for $a_1, \dots, a_s \in R \setminus U(R)$. Then $a_1^n \cdots a_s^n \in I$. Since $s \geq \frac{m}{n}$, I is an (sn, n) -absorbing ideal of R . Thus there is $\{i_1, \dots, i_n\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}$ such that $a_{i_1}^{t_1} \cdots a_{i_n}^{t_n} \in I$ for some positive integers t_1, \dots, t_n with each $0 \leq t_i \leq n$ and $t_1 + \dots + t_n = n$. Therefore $a_{i_1}^n \cdots a_{i_n}^n \in I$. This implies that $a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_n} \in \sqrt{I}$ and hence \sqrt{I} is an (s, n) -absorbing ideal of R . \square

Let I be a proper ideal of a ring R . If I is an m -absorbing ideal of R for some positive integer m , then define $\nu(I) = \min\{n \mid I \text{ is an } (\omega(I) + 1, n)\text{-absorbing ideal of } R\}$, where $\omega(I) = \min\{n \mid I \text{ is an } n\text{-absorbing ideal of } R\}$; otherwise, set $\nu(I) = \omega(I) = \infty$. It is evident from the definition that $\nu(I) \leq \omega(I)$.

Remark 2.2. Several of the results in Theorem 2.1 may be recast using the ν function. For example, Theorem 2.1(3) becomes $\omega(I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_s) \leq \max\{\omega(I_1), \dots, \omega(I_s), \nu(I_1) + \dots + \nu(I_s)\}$ and $\nu(I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_s) \leq \nu(I_1) + \dots + \nu(I_s)$. Theorem 2.1(4) becomes $\omega(\sqrt{I}) \leq \max\{\lceil \frac{\omega(I)+1}{\nu(I)} \rceil - 1, \nu(I)\}$ and $\nu(\sqrt{I}) \leq \nu(I)$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let P be a principal prime ideal of a domain R such that $\omega(P^n) = n$ for some positive integer n . Then $\nu(P^n) = n$. In particular, if M is a principal maximal ideal of a domain R , then $\nu(M^n) = \omega(M^n) = n$ for all positive integer n .*

Proof. Let $P = (x)$. Then $P^{n+1} \subset P^n$. Since $\omega(P^n) = n$, $\nu(P^n) \leq n$ and there are $a_1, \dots, a_n \in P \setminus P^2$ such that $a_1 \cdots a_n \in P^n$ and no product of $n-1$ of the a_i ’s is in P^n . If $\nu(P^n) < n$, we must have $a_1^2 a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_{n-2}} \in P^n$ for some $2 \leq i_j \leq n$. Thus there are $s, r_j \in R$ such that $a_j = r_j x$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $a_1^2 a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_{n-2}} = r_1^2 r_{i_1} \cdots r_{i_{n-2}} x^{n-1} = s x^n$. Therefore $x^{n-1}(r_1^2 r_{i_1} \cdots r_{i_{n-2}} - s x) = 0$. Hence $r_1^2 r_{i_1} \cdots r_{i_{n-2}} = s x \in P$. Thus $r_j \in P$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n$, a contradiction. Hence $\nu(P^n) = \omega(P^n) = n$.

The ‘in particular’ statement follows by Lemma 2.8 of [1]. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let I be an ideal of a ring R and m, n be two positive integers such that $m > n$. Then there is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R which is minimal among all (m, n) -absorbing ideals of R containing I . In particular, R has a minimal (m, n) -absorbing ideal.*

Proof. Let S be the set of all (m, n) -absorbing ideals of R containing I . Since every maximal ideal of R containing I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal for all positive integers $m > n$, S is non-empty. It is clear that (S, \leq) is a partially ordered set in which $I_1 \leq I_2$ if and only if $I_1 \supseteq I_2$, for all $I_1, I_2 \in S$. Let $C = \{I_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be an arbitrary non-empty chain

of elements of S and set $J = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda$. We show that J is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R . Since C is non-empty, $J \neq R$. Let $a_1 \cdots a_m \in J$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_m \in R \setminus U(R)$. Assume that any n -subproduct of the a_i 's is not in J . Since C is a chain, there exists $I_\lambda \in C$ such that no n -subproduct of the a_i 's is in I_λ , a contradiction. Thus there is an n -subproduct of the a_i 's in J . Hence by Zorn's lemma, (S, \leq) has a maximal element, i.e., there is a minimal (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R containing I . \square

COROLLARY 2.5

Let I be an ideal of a ring R and n a positive integer. Then there exists a minimal n -absorbing ideal of R containing I .

Theorem 2.6. Let I be an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R and s, t be two positive integers such that $2 \leq s \leq t \leq m - 1$. If I has t minimal prime ideals, then I has at least $C(t, s)$ minimal s -absorbing ideals.

Proof. Let $s = 2$. Suppose that P_1, \dots, P_t are distinct prime ideals of R minimal over I . Then by Theorem 2.1 of [1], $P_i \cap P_j$ is a 2-absorbing ideal of R containing I , for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq t$. Thus by Corollary 2.5, there exists a 2-absorbing ideal I_{ij} of R minimal over I and contained in $P_i \cap P_j$ for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq t$. Since $I \subseteq I_{ij} \subseteq P_i, P_j$ and P_i, P_j are minimal over I , by Theorem 2.3 of [4], P_i and P_j are only minimal prime ideals of I_{ij} . Now if $I_{ij} = I_{i'j'}$ for some $1 \leq i, j, i', j' \leq t$ with $\{i, j\} \neq \{i', j'\}$, then $P_i, P_j, P_{i'}$ and $P_{j'}$ are distinct minimal prime ideals of I_{ij} . This is a contradiction with Theorem 2.3 of [4]. Therefore, I_{ij} 's are distinct. Hence there are at least $C(t, 2)$ 2-absorbing ideals of R minimal over I .

The proof for $s > 2$ is similar. \square

Lemma 2.7. Let P_1, \dots, P_n be incomparable primes ideals of a ring R , and let I be an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R contained in $P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_n$. If $a_1^{s_1} \cdots a_n^{s_n} \in I$ for positive integers s_1, \dots, s_n and $a_i \in P_i \setminus (\bigcup_{j \neq i} P_j)$, then $a_1 \cdots a_n \in I$.

Proof. Let $s = s_1 + \dots + s_n$. We can assume that $s \geq m$. By Theorem 2.1(2), I is an (s, n) -absorbing ideal of R . Then we have $a_1^{t_1} \cdots a_n^{t_n} \in I$ for integers t_1, \dots, t_n with each $0 \leq t_i \leq s_i$ and $t_1 + \dots + t_n = n$. If $t_i = 0$, say $t_1 = 0$, then $a_2^{t_2} \cdots a_n^{t_n} \in I \subseteq P_1$, a contradiction since $a_i \notin P_1$ for each $2 \leq i \leq n$. Thus $a_1 \cdots a_n \in I$. \square

In the following results, we use the notation $P_j \prod_{i \neq j} c_i$ to represent the set of all products of the form $a \prod_{i \neq j} c_i$, where $a \in P_j$.

Lemma 2.8. Let $n \geq 2$ and I be an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of a ring R such that I has exactly n minimal prime ideals, say P_1, \dots, P_n . If $1 \leq j \leq n$, and for every $i \neq j$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$, $c_i \in P_i \setminus (\bigcup_{j \neq i} P_j)$, then $P_j \prod_{i \neq j} c_i \in I$.

Proof. Let $a \in P_j$. If $a \in P_j \setminus (\bigcup_{i \neq j} P_i)$, then $a \prod_{i \neq j} c_i \in \sqrt{I}$ and by Theorem 2.1(4) and Lemma 2.7, $a \prod_{i \neq j} c_i \in I$. Now suppose that $a \in P_j \cap (\bigcup_{i \neq j} P_i)$. Thus by Corollary 2.13 of [1], there is an element $d \in P_j \setminus (\bigcup_{i \neq j} P_i)$ and $b \in R$ such that $bd + a \in P_j \setminus (\bigcup_{i \neq j} P_i)$. Therefore $(bd + a) \prod_{i \neq j} c_i \in I$. Hence $a \prod_{i \neq j} c_i \in I$. Thus $P_j \prod_{i \neq j} c_i \in I$. \square

In the following theorem, we give a sort of consequences whose proofs are similar to those of n -absorbing ideals (see Theorem 2.14, Corollary 2.15, Corollary 2.16 of [1]).

Theorem 2.9. *Let $n \geq 2$ and I be an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of a ring R such that I has exactly n minimal prime ideals, say P_1, \dots, P_n . Then*

- (1) $P_1 \cdots P_n \subseteq I$. Moreover, $v(I) = n$.
- (2) If the P_i 's are comaximal, then $I = P_1 \cdots P_n$. Moreover, $v(I) = n$. In particular, this holds if either each P_i is maximal, $\dim(R) = 0$, or R is an integral domain with $\dim(R) \leq 1$.
- (3) $I_{P_i} = P_{iP_i}$ in R_{P_i} for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Recall that a prime ideal P of a ring R is said to be a divided prime ideal if $P \subset xR$ for every $x \in R \setminus P$ [3].

Theorem 2.10.

- (1) Let P be a prime ideal of a ring R , and let I be a P -primary ideal of R such that $P^n \subseteq I$ for some positive integer n (for example, if R is a Noetherian ring). Then I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R for any integer $m \geq n + 1$. Moreover, $v(I) \leq n$. In particular, if P^n is a P -primary ideal of R , then P^n is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R for any integer $m \geq n + 1$ and $v(P^n) = n$.
- (2) Let P be a divided prime ideal of a ring R , and let I be an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R with $\sqrt{I} = P$. Then I is a P -primary ideal of R .
- (3) Let $\text{Nil}(R) \subset P$ be divided prime ideals of a ring R . Then P^n is a P -primary ideal of R , and thus P^n is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R with $v(P^n) \leq n$, for every positive integers m, n such that $m > n$.

Proof.

- (1) By Theorem 3.1 of [1].
- (2) If I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R , then I is an $(m - 1)$ -absorbing ideal of R . Now by Theorem 3.2 of [1], I is a P -primary ideal of R .
- (3) By Theorem 3.3 of [1], P^n is a P -primary ideal of R for every positive integer n . Thus P^n is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R with $v(P^n) \leq n$, for every positive integer $m > n$, by (1). □

Let I be a proper ideal of a ring R . For $x \in R$, let $I_x = \{y \in R \mid yx \in I\} = (I :_R x)$.

Theorem 2.11. *Let I be an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of a ring R , $s = \max\{m - 1, n + 1\}$ and $x \in R \setminus (U(R) \cup I)$. Then I_x is an (s, n) -absorbing ideal of R containing I . Moreover, $v(I_x) \leq v(I)$.*

Proof. The proof is routine. □

PROPOSITION 2.12

Let $n \geq 2$ and $I \subset \sqrt{I}$ be an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of a ring R . Suppose that $x \in \sqrt{I} \setminus I$ and let $s \geq 2$ be the least positive integer such that $x^s \in I$. Then $I_{x^{s-1}} = (I :_R x^{s-1})$ is an $(m - s + 1, t)$ -absorbing ideal of R containing I , where $t = \min\{n, m - s\}$.

Proof. Since I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R , I is an $(m - 1)$ -absorbing ideal of R . Then by Theorem 3.5 of [1], $I_{x^{s-1}}$ is an $(m - s)$ -absorbing ideal of R containing I , i.e., $I_{x^{s-1}}$ is an $(m - s + 1, m - s)$ -absorbing ideal of R . Now let $n \leq m - s$ and $a_1 \cdots a_{m-s+1} \in I_{x^{s-1}}$ for $a_1, \dots, a_{m-s+1} \in R \setminus U(R)$. Since $x^{s-1}a_1 \cdots a_{m-s+1} \in I$ and I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R , there are l of the a_i 's whose product with a power of x is in I for some $1 \leq l \leq n$. For example, $x^{n-l}a_1 \cdots a_l \in I$. Thus $a_1 \cdots a_l \in I_{x^{s-1}}$ and hence $a_1 \cdots a_n \in I_{x^{s-1}}$. Then $I_{x^{s-1}}$ is an $(m - s + 1, n)$ -absorbing ideal of R . \square

COROLLARY 2.13

Let $m \geq 4$ and $I \subset \sqrt{I}$ be an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of a ring R . Suppose that $x \in \sqrt{I} \setminus I$ and $m - 2$ is the least positive integer such that $x^{m-2} \in I$, then $I_{x^{m-3}}$ is a 2-absorbing ideal of R .

3. Extensions of (m, n) -absorbing ideals

The first two theorems below generalize the well-known results about n -absorbing ideals and follow directly from the definitions; so their proofs are omitted.

Theorem 3.1. Let I be an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of a ring R , and let S be a multiplicatively closed subset of R with $I \cap S = \emptyset$. Then I_S is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R_S . Moreover, $v(I_S) \leq v(I)$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $f : R \rightarrow T$ be a homomorphism of rings.

- (1) Let J be an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of T . If $f(U(R)) = U(T)$, then $f^{-1}(J)$ is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R and $v(f^{-1}(J)) \leq v(J)$.
- (2) If J is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of T , then $f^{-1}(J)$ is an $(m - 1)$ -absorbing ideal of R .
- (3) Let f be surjective and I be an ideal of R containing $\ker(f)$. If I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R , then $f(I)$ is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of T . Moreover, $v(f(I)) \leq v(I)$. The converse is true if $f(U(R)) = U(T)$.
- (4) Let f be surjective and I be an ideal of R containing $\ker(f)$. If $f(I)$ is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of T , then I is an $(m - 1)$ -absorbing ideal of T .

COROLLARY 3.3

- (1) Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of rings and J an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of T . If $U(R) = U(T)$, then $J \cap R$ is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R . Moreover, $v(J \cap R) \leq v(J)$.
- (2) Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of rings and J an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of T . Then $J \cap R$ is an $(m - 1)$ -absorbing ideal of R .
- (3) Let $I \subseteq J$ be ideals of a ring R and J an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R . Then J/I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R/I . Moreover, $v(J/I) \leq v(J)$. The converse is true if $U(R/I) = \{x + I \mid x \in U(R)\}$. In this case $v(J/I) = v(J)$.
- (4) Let $I \subseteq J$ be ideals of a ring R and J/I an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R . Then J is an $(m - 1)$ -absorbing ideal of R .

Theorem 3.4. Let I_1 be an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of a ring R_1 and I_2 an (s, t) -absorbing ideal of a ring R_2 . Then $I_1 \times I_2$ is an (u, w) -absorbing ideal of the ring $R_1 \times R_2$ for

$u = m + s - 1$ and $w = \max\{m + t - 1, s + n - 1\}$. Moreover, $v(I_1 \times I_2) = \max\{\omega(I_1) + v(I_2), \omega(I_2) + v(I_1)\}$.

Proof. Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$; so, by Theorem 4.7 of [1], $\omega(I_1 \times I_2) = \omega(I_1) + \omega(I_2)$. We show that $v(I_1 \times I_2) = \max\{\omega(I_1) + v(I_2), \omega(I_2) + v(I_1)\}$. First suppose that $\omega(I_1) = m$, $v(I_1) = n < \infty$ and $\omega(I_2) = s$, $v(I_2) = t < \infty$. Let $s + n \leq m + t$, $w = m + t$. Then there are $a_1, \dots, a_m \in R_1 \setminus U(R_1)$ and $b_1, \dots, b_{s+1} \in R_2 \setminus U(R_2)$ such that $a_1 \cdots a_m \in I_1$ and $b_1 \cdots b_{s+1} \in I_2$, but no proper subproduct of a_i 's is in I_1 and no $(t - 1)$ -subproduct of b_i 's is in I_2 . Then $(a_1, 1) \cdots (a_m, 1)(1, b_1) \cdots (1, b_{s+1}) = (a_1 \cdots a_m, b_1 \cdots b_{s+1}) \in I_1 \times I_2$, but no $(u - 1)$ -subproduct of them is in $I_1 \times I_2$. Hence $v(I_1 \times I_2) \geq u = \max\{\omega(I_1) + v(I_2), \omega(I_2) + v(I_1)\}$. Next, let $u = m + s - 1$ and $(a_i, b_i) \cdots (a_u, b_u) \in I_1 \times I_2$ for $(a_i, b_i) \in R \setminus U(R)$. Then $a_1 \cdots a_u \in I_1$ and $b_1 \cdots b_u \in I_2$. We have the following cases:

Case 1. If at least s of the a_i 's are unit, then at least s of the b_i 's are non-unit. Thus there are at most $m - 1$ of the a_i 's whose product is in I_1 and there are at most t of the b_i 's whose product is in I_2 . Hence there are at most $m + t - 1$ of the (a_i, b_i) 's whose product is in $I_1 \times I_2$.

Case 2. If at most $s - 1$ of the a_i 's are unit, then there are n of the a_i 's whose product is in I_1 . On the other hand, at most there are $s - 1$ of the b_i 's whose product is in I_2 . Hence there are at most $s + n - 1$ of the (a_i, b_i) 's whose product is in $I_1 \times I_2$; so $v(I_1 \times I_2) \leq u = \max\{\omega(I_1) + v(I_2), \omega(I_2) + v(I_1)\}$. The above proof also shows that $v(I_1 \times I_2)$ is infinite if and only if either $v(I_1)$ or $v(I_2)$ is infinite. Hence $v(I_1 \times I_2) = \max\{\omega(I_1) + v(I_2), \omega(I_2) + v(I_1)\}$. \square

Let R be a ring and M an R -module. Then $R(+M) = R \times M$ is a ring with identity $(1, 0)$ under addition defined by $(r, m) + (s, n) = (r + s, m + n)$ and multiplication defined by $(r, m)(s, n) = (rs, rn + sm)$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let R be a ring, I be an ideal of R and M be an R -module. Then I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R if and only if $I(+M)$ is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of $R(+M)$; so $v(I(+M)) = v(I)$.*

Proof. Let $T = R(+M)$ and $(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_m, b_m) \in T \setminus U(T)$ such that $(a_1, b_1) \cdots (a_m, b_m) \in I(+M)$. Since $U(T) = U(R(+M)) = U(R)(+M)$, by Theorem 3.7 of [2], $a_1 \cdots a_m \in I \setminus U(R)$. Thus there are n of the a_i 's whose product is in I . Therefore there are n of the (a_i, b_i) 's whose product is in $I(+M)$. Hence $I(+M)$ is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of T . The converse is clear. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let R be a ring and $T = R(+R)$. Let m, n be two positive integers such that $n \leq m - 2$ and I be an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R . Then $I(+I)$ is an $(m + n - 1, 2n)$ -absorbing ideal of T . Moreover, if $v(I) < \omega(I)$, then $\omega(I(+I)) \leq \omega(I) + v(I) - 1$ and $v(I(+I)) \leq 2v(I)$.*

Proof. Let $c_1 = (a_1, b_1), \dots, c_{m+n-1} = (a_{m+n-1}, b_{m+n-1}) \in T \setminus U(T)$ such that $c_1 \cdots c_{m+n-1} \in I(+I)$. Then $a_1 \cdots a_{m+n-1} \in I \setminus U(R)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m+n-1} \hat{a}_i b_i \in I$, where $\hat{a}_i = a_1 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_{m+n-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m + n - 1$. Thus there are n of a_i 's whose product is in I , say $a_1 \cdots a_n \in I$. Therefore $\hat{a}_i \in I$ for $n + 1 \leq i \leq m + n - 1$ and hence

$(b_1a_2 \cdots a_n + \cdots + a_1 \cdots a_{j-1}b_j a_{j+1} \cdots a_n + \cdots + a_1 \cdots a_{n-1}b_n)a_{n+1} \cdots a_{m+n-1} \in I$.
 Since I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R , either the product of

$$b_1a_2 \cdots a_n + \cdots + a_1 \cdots a_{j-1}b_j a_{j+1} \cdots a_n + \cdots + a_1 \cdots a_{n-1}b_n$$

with $n - 1$ of the a_i 's ($n + 1 \leq i \leq m + n - 1$) is in I or the product of n of the a_i 's ($n + 1 \leq i \leq m + n - 1$) is in I . In both cases, the product of $c_1 \cdots c_n$ with n of the c_i 's ($n + 1 \leq i \leq m + n - 1$) is in $I(+)$ I . Hence $I(+)$ I is an $(m + n - 1, 2n)$ -absorbing ideal of T .

For the 'moreover' statement, since I is an $(\omega(I) + 1, \nu(I))$ -absorbing ideal of R , $I(+)$ I is an $(\omega(I) + \nu(I), 2\nu(I))$ -absorbing ideal of T . □

Theorem 3.7. *Let D be an integral domain, $R = D(+)$ D . Let m, n be two positive integers such that $n \leq m - 2$ and I be an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of D that is not an $(m, n - 1)$ -absorbing ideal of D . Then $0(+)$ I is an $(m + 1, n + 1)$ -absorbing ideal of R that is not an $(m + 1, n)$ -absorbing ideal of R ; so $\nu(0(+))I = \nu(I) + 1$.*

Proof. Since I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of D that is not an $(m, n - 1)$ -absorbing ideal of D , there are $d_1, \dots, d_m \in D \setminus U(D)$ such that $d_1 \cdots d_m \in I$ and no product of $n - 1$ of the d_i 's is in I . Let $b_1 = (d_1, 0), \dots, b_m = (d_m, 0)$ and $b_{m+1} = (0, 1)$. Then

$$b_1 \cdots b_{m+1} = (0, d_1 \cdots d_m) \in 0(+)$$
 I ,

and it is clear that the no n -subproduct of the b_i 's is in $0(+)$ I . Thus $0(+)$ I is not an $(m + 1, n)$ -absorbing ideal of R . Next we show that $0(+)$ I is an $(m + 1, n + 1)$ -absorbing ideal of R . Let

$$c_1 = (a_1, e_1), \dots, c_{m+1} = (a_{m+1}, e_{m+1}) \in R \setminus U(R)$$

be such that $c_1 \cdots c_{m+1} \in 0(+)$ I . Then $a_1 \cdots a_{m+1} = 0$. It follows that $a_j = 0$, for some $1 \leq j \leq m + 1$, say $a_1 = 0$. Hence $c_1 \cdots c_{m+1} = (0, e_1a_2 \cdots a_{m+1}) \in 0(+)$ I and thus $e_1a_2 \cdots a_{m+1} \in I$. Since $U(R) = U(D)(+)$ D by Theorem 3.7 of [2], $a_i \in D \setminus U(D)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m + 1$. If $e_1 \in U(D)$, then $a_2 \cdots a_{m+1} \in I$. Hence there are n of a_i 's whose product is in I ; so the product of c_1 with n of the c_i 's ($i \neq 1$) is in $0(+)$ I . If $e_1 \in D \setminus U(D)$, then either the product of e_1 with $n - 1$ of the a_i 's is in I or the product of n of the a_i 's is in I ; so either the product of c_1 with $n - 1$ of the c_i 's ($i \neq 1$) is in $0(+)$ I or the product of c_1 with n of the c_i 's ($i \neq 1$) is in $0(+)$ I . Thus $0(+)$ I is an $(m + 1, n + 1)$ -absorbing ideal of R , and hence $\nu(0(+))I = \nu(I) + 1$. □

Theorem 3.8. *Let I be an ideal of a ring R . Then*

- (1) *If (I, X) is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of $R[X]$, then I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R . Moreover, $\nu(I) \leq \nu((I, X))$.*
- (2) *If I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R , then (I, X) is an $(m - 1)$ -absorbing ideal of $R[X]$.*

Proof. These follow directly from Corollary 3.3(3), (4) respectively, since $(I, X)/(X) \cong I$ in $R[X]/(X) \cong R$. The 'moreover' statement is clear. □

Theorem 3.9. *Let $T = K + M$ be an integral domain, where K is a field which is a subring of T and M is a nonzero maximal ideal of T . Let D be a subring of K and*

$R = D + M$. Let I be an ideal of D . If $I + M$ is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R , then I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of D . Moreover, $v(I) \leq v(I + M)$.

Proof. This follows directly from Corollary 3.3(3) since $(I + M)/M \cong I$ in $R/M \cong D$. The ‘moreover’ statement is clear. \square

4. (m, n) -absorbing ideals in specific rings

In this section, we study (m, n) -absorbing ideals in several special classes of commutative rings. If I is an n -absorbing ideal of R , then I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R for each $m \geq n + 1$, by Theorem 2.1(2).

Theorem 4.1. *Let I be a radical ideal of R . Then I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R if and only if I is an n -absorbing ideal of R . Moreover, $v(I) = \omega(I)$. In particular, $I = P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_n = P_1 \cdots P_n$ where P_1, \dots, P_n are prime ideals of a ring R that are pairwise comaximal.*

Proof. Let I be an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R . It suffices to show that I is an $(m - 1, n)$ -absorbing ideal of R .

Let I be a radical ideal of R and $a_1 \cdots a_{m-1} \in I$ for $a_1, \dots, a_{m-1} \in R \setminus U(R)$. Since I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R and $a_1^2 a_2 \cdots a_{m-1} \in I$, either there are n of a_i 's whose product is in I or there are $n - 2$ of a_2, \dots, a_{m-1} whose product with a_1^2 is in I . If there are n of a_i 's whose product is in I , then we are done. In the other case, there are $n - 2$ of a_2, \dots, a_{m-1} whose product with a_1^2 is in I , for example, $a_1^2 a_2 \cdots a_{n-2} \in I$, then $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-2} \in \sqrt{I} = I$. Hence I is an $(m - 1, n)$ -absorbing ideal of R . The ‘in particular’ statement is clear. \square

COROLLARY 4.2

Let R be a Boolean ring. Then an ideal I of R is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R if and only if I is an n -absorbing ideal of R . Moreover, $v(I) = \omega(I)$.

An integral domain R is said to be a valuation domain if either $x \mid y$ or $y \mid x$ (in R) for all $0 \neq x, y \in R$. Every valuation domain R is a Bézout domain, i.e., a domain in which every finitely generated ideal of R is principal. Moreover, every local Bézout domain is a valuation domain, by Proposition 1.5 of [8].

Theorem 4.3. *Let R be a Bézout ring. Then an ideal I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal if and only if I is an n -absorbing ideal of R . Moreover, $v(I) = \omega(I)$. In particular, this holds if either R is a valuation domain or R is a PID.*

Proof. Let I be an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R . Now, we show that I is an $(m - 1, n)$ -absorbing ideal of R . Let $a_1 \cdots a_{m-1} \in I$ for $a_1, \dots, a_{m-1} \in R \setminus U(R)$. Since R is a Bézout ring, we have $(a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}) = xR$ for some $x \in R$. Thus for each $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$, there exists $y_i \in R$ such that $a_i = xy_i$. Therefore, $a_1 \cdots a_{m-1} = x^{m-1} y_1 \cdots y_{m-1} \in I$. Then either $x^n \in I$ or $y_{i_1} \cdots y_{i_n} \in I$ for some $\{i_1, \dots, i_n\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, m - 1\}$ or $x^t y_{i_1} \cdots y_{i_{n-t}} \in I$ for some $\{i_1, \dots, i_{n-t}\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, m - 1\}$. If $x^n \in I$ or $y_{i_1} \cdots y_{i_n} \in I$,

then $a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_n} = x^n y_{i_1} \cdots y_{i_n} \in I$ and we are done. If $x^t y_{i_1} \cdots y_{i_{n-t}} \in I$, then $a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_n} \in I$. This implies that I is an $(m-1, n)$ -absorbing ideal of R . The ‘in particular’ statement follows from Proposition 1.5 of [8]. \square

If I is a nonzero fractional ideal of a ring R , then $I^{-1} = \{x \in T(R) \mid xI \subseteq R\}$. An integral domain R is called a Dedekind domain if $II^{-1} = R$ for every nonzero fractional ideal I of R .

Theorem 4.4. *Let R be a Noetherian integral domain. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) R is a Dedekind domain;
- (2) If I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R , then $I = M_1 \cdots M_t$ for maximal ideals M_1, \dots, M_t of R with $1 \leq t \leq m-1$.

Proof.

(1) \Rightarrow (2). Let I be an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of a Dedekind domain R . Then I is an $(m-1)$ -absorbing ideal of R . Thus by Theorem 5.1 of [1], $I = M_1 \cdots M_t$ for some maximal ideals M_1, \dots, M_t of R with $1 \leq t \leq m-1$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Let I be an n -absorbing ideal of R . Then I is an $(n+1, n)$ -absorbing ideal of R . Thus by the hypothesis, $I = M_1 \cdots M_t$ for maximal ideals M_1, \dots, M_t of R with $1 \leq t \leq n$. Hence by Theorem 5.1 of [1], R is a Dedekind domain. \square

An integral domain R is called an almost Dedekind domain if R_M is a Noetherian valuation domain (DVR) for every maximal ideal M of R .

Theorem 4.5. *Let R be an almost Dedekind domain and m, n be two positive integers such that $m > n$. If a non-zero ideal I of R is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R , then $I = M_1 \cdots M_t$ for maximal ideals M_1, \dots, M_t of R with $1 \leq t \leq m-1$. Conversely, if $I = M_1 \cdots M_t$ for maximal ideals M_1, \dots, M_t of R with $1 \leq t \leq m-1$, then I is an $(s, m-1)$ -absorbing ideal of R for all $s \geq m$.*

Proof. Let I be an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R . Then I is an $(m-1)$ -absorbing ideal of R . Thus by Theorem 5.2 of [1], $I = M_1 \cdots M_t$ for maximal ideals M_1, \dots, M_t of R with $1 \leq t \leq m-1$. Conversely, if $I = M_1 \cdots M_t$ for maximal ideals M_1, \dots, M_t of R with $1 \leq t \leq m-1$, then by Theorem 5.2 of [1], I is an $m-1$ -absorbing ideal of R and hence I is an $(s, m-1)$ -absorbing ideal of R for all $s \geq m$. \square

Theorem 4.6. *Let R be a Noetherian ring. Then every proper ideal of R is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R for some positive integers m, n .*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 5.3 of [1] and the fact that every (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R is an $(m-1)$ -absorbing ideal of R . \square

Theorem 4.7. *Let R be a valuation domain, and m, n be two positive integers such that $m > n$ and I be an ideal of R . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R ;
- (2) I is a P -primary ideal of R for some prime ideal P of R and $P^n \subseteq I$;

(3) $I = P^t$ for some prime ideal $P (= \text{Rad}(I))$ of R and integer t with $1 \leq t \leq n$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.3, I is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R if and only if I is an n -absorbing ideal of R . Now use Theorem 5.5 of [1]. \square

An integral domain R is called a Prüfer domain if $II^{-1} = R$ for every nonzero finitely generated fractional ideal I of R . An integral domain R is a Prüfer domain if and only if R_M is a valuation domain for every maximal ideal M of R .

Theorem 4.8. *Let R be a Prüfer domain. Then an ideal I of R is an (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R for some positive integers $m > n$ if and only if I is a product of prime ideals of R .*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 5.7 of [1]. \square

References

- [1] Anderson D F and Badawi A, On n -absorbing ideal in commutative rings, *Comm. Algebra* **39** (2011) 1646–1672
- [2] Anderson D D and Winders M, Idealization of a module, *Comm. Algebra* **1** (2009) 3–56
- [3] Badawi A, On divided commutative rings, *Comm. Algebra* **27** (1999) 1465–1474
- [4] Badawi A, On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **75** (2007) 417–429
- [5] Badawi A and Darani A Y, On weakly 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings, *Houston J. Math.* **39** (2013) 441–452
- [6] Badawi A, Tekir U and Yetkin E, On 2-absorbing primary ideals of commutative rings, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **51** (2014) 1163–1173
- [7] Badawi A, Tekir U and Yetkin E, On weakly 2-absorbing primary ideals of commutative rings, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **52** (2015) 97–111
- [8] Cohn P M, Bézout rings and their subrings, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **64** (1968) 251–264
- [9] Darani A Y and Mostafanasab H, On 2-absorbing preradicals, *J. Algebra Appl.* **14** (2015) 22
- [10] Darani A Y and Mostafanasab H, Co-2-absorbing preradicals and submodules, *J. Algebra Appl.* **14** (2015) 23
- [11] Darani A Y and Puczyłowski E R, On 2-absorbing commutative semigroups and their applications to rings, *Semigroup Forum* **86** (2013) 83–91

COMMUNICATING EDITOR: B Sury