

## Combinatorics of tenth-order mock theta functions

J K SAREEN and M RANA\*

School of Mathematics, Thapar University, Patiala, Punjab 147 001, India

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: mrana@thapar.edu.

MS received 2 May 2014; revised 16 March 2016

**Abstract.** In this paper, we provide the combinatorial interpretations of two tenth-order mock theta functions which appeared in some identities given in Ramanujan's lost notebook ((1988) Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi).

**Keywords.** Mock theta functions; colored partitions; Frobenius partitions.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications.** 11P81, 82, 05A17, 19.

### 1. Introduction

In his last letter to G. H. Hardy, S. Ramanujan listed 17 functions of 'third', 'fifth' and 'seventh' order, which he called mock theta functions [9]. Mock theta function is a function  $f(q)$  defined by a  $q$ -series which converges for  $|q| < 1$  and which satisfies the following two conditions:

- (1) For every root of unity  $\zeta$ , there is a theta function  $\theta_\zeta(q)$  such that the difference  $f(q) - \theta_\zeta(q)$  is bounded as  $q \rightarrow \zeta$  rapidly.
- (2) There is no single theta function which works for all  $\zeta$ : i.e., for every theta function  $\theta(q)$  there is some root of unity  $\zeta$  for which  $f(q) - \theta(q)$  is unbounded as  $q \rightarrow \zeta$  rapidly.

In the long list of 17 mock theta functions given by Ramanujan, few have been interpreted combinatorially. For example,  $\Psi(q)$  defined by (1.1) below, has been interpreted by Fine [8] as a generating function for partitions into odd parts without gaps. Agarwal [2] gave the combinatorial interpretations of the following four mock theta functions using  $n$ -color partitions:

$$\Psi(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(q; q^2)_n}, \quad (1.1)$$

$$F_0(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n^2}}{(q; q^2)_n}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\Phi_0(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n^2} (-q; q^2)_n. \quad (1.3)$$

$$\Phi_1(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{(n+1)^2} (-q; q^2)_n, \tag{1.4}$$

where  $\Psi(q)$  is of order 3, while the remaining three are of order 5. Agarwal [3] translated his results using lattice paths and then Agarwal and Narang [5] extended the results using Frobenius partitions. Agarwal and Rana [4] gave combinatorial interpretations of the following fifth order mock theta function using ‘ $n + 2$  copies of  $n$ ’ and lattice paths:

$$F_1(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n(n+1)}}{(q; q^2)_{n+1}}. \tag{1.5}$$

They further extended the result using Frobenius partitions in [11]. Together with these seventeen mock theta functions Ramanujan [10] also gave a list of eight identities involving four tenth order mock theta functions given below:

$$\phi_R(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(n+1)/2}}{(q : q^2)_{n+1}}, \tag{1.6}$$

$$\psi_R(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(n+1)(n+2)/2}}{(q : q^2)_{n+1}}, \tag{1.7}$$

$$X_R(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n^2}}{(-q : q)_{2n}}, \tag{1.8}$$

$$\chi_R(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{(n+1)^2}}{(-q : q)_{2n+1}}. \tag{1.9}$$

These functions have also been studied analytically by Choi [7].

In this paper, we provide the combinatorial interpretations for the two tenth order mock theta functions given by (1.6) and (1.7).

## 2. Definitions and notations

### DEFINITION 2.1 [1]

A partition with ‘ $(n + t)$ -copies of  $n$ ’,  $t \geq 0$  is a partition in which a part of size  $n$ ,  $n \geq 0$  can come in  $(n + t)$  different colors denoted by subscripts  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{n+t}$ .

Thus, for example, the partitions of 2 with ‘ $n + 1$  copies of  $n$ ’ are

$$\begin{aligned} 2_1, & \quad 2_1 + 0_1, & 1_1 + 1_1, & \quad 1_1 + 1_1 + 0_1, \\ 2_2, & \quad 2_2 + 0_1, & 1_2 + 1_1, & \quad 1_2 + 1_1 + 0_1, \\ 2_3, & \quad 2_3 + 0_1, & 1_2 + 1_2, & \quad 1_2 + 1_2 + 0_1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that zeros are permitted if and only if  $t \geq 1$  and in no partition zeros are permitted to repeat. The weighted difference of any pair of parts  $m_i, n_j$  is defined by  $m - n - i - j$  and is denoted by  $((m_i - n_j))$ .

DEFINITION 2.2 [6]

A two rowed array of non-negative integers

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_r \\ b_1 & b_2 & \cdots & b_r \end{pmatrix}, a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_r \geq 0, b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \cdots \geq b_r \geq 0,$$

is known as a generalized Frobenius partition of  $n$  if

$$n = r + \sum_{i=1}^r a_i + \sum_{i=1}^r b_i.$$

For example,  $n = 32 = 4 + (7 + 6 + 3 + 0) + (5 + 4 + 2 + 1)$  and the corresponding Frobenius notation is  $\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 6 & 3 & 0 \\ 5 & 4 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

3. Combinatorial interpretations of (1.6) and (1.7) using ‘ $(n + t)$ -color partitions’

**Theorem 3.1.** For  $v \geq 1$ , let  $A_1(v)$  denote the number of  $n$ -color partitions of  $v$  such that for some  $k$ ,  $k_k$  is a part, and the weighted difference of any two consecutive parts is  $-1$ . Then,

$$\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} A_1(v)q^v = \psi_R(q). \tag{3.1}$$

For example, for  $v = 6$ ,  $A_1(6) = 4$  and the relevant  $n$ -color partitions are

$$6_6, 5_4 + 1_1, 4_1 + 2_2, 3_1 + 2_1 + 1_1.$$

**Theorem 3.2.** For  $v \geq 0$ , let  $A_2(v)$  denote the number of  $(n + 1)$ -color partitions of  $v$  such that for some  $k$ ,  $k_{k+1}$  appears as a part, and the weighted difference of any two consecutive parts is  $-1$ . Then,

$$\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} A_2(v)q^v = \phi_R(q). \tag{3.2}$$

For example, for  $v = 6$ ,  $A_2(6) = 6$ . The relevant  $n$ -color partitions are

$$6_7, 6_6 + 0_1, 4_1 + 2_2 + 0_1, 5_3 + 1_2, 3_1 + 2_1 + 1_1 + 0_1, 5_4 + 1_1 + 0_1.$$

*Note.* Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 are the combinatorial interpretations of (1.7) and (1.6), respectively.

*Remark.* In the sequel  $A_i(m, v)$ , ( $1 \leq i \leq 2$ ) will denote the number of partitions of  $v$  enumerated by  $A_i(v)$  into  $m$  parts, and we shall write

$$f_i(z, q) = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_i(m, v)z^m q^v. \tag{3.3}$$

*Proof.* Splitting the partitions enumerated by  $A_1(m, \nu)$  into two classes, class (1) have  $1_1$  as a part, and class (2) have  $k_k$ , ( $k > 1$ ) as a part. Now transformed partitions of class (1), enumerated by  $A_1(m-1, \nu-m)$ , will be obtained by deleting the part  $1_1$  and then subtracting 1 from all the remaining parts ignoring the subscripts and the transformed partitions of class (2), enumerated by  $A_1(m, \nu-2m+1)$ , will be obtained by first replacing  $(k)_k$  by  $(k-1)_{k-1}$  and then subtracting 2 from all of remaining parts ignoring the subscripts. The transformations are clearly reversible and leads to the following identity:

$$A_1(m, \nu) = A_1(m-1, \nu-m) + A_1(m, \nu-2m+1). \quad (3.4)$$

From (3.3), we have

$$f_1(z, q) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_1(m, \nu) z^m q^{\nu}. \quad (3.5)$$

Substituting for  $A_1(m, \nu)$  from (3.4) in (3.5) and then simplifying, we get

$$f_1(z, q) = zqf_1(zq, q) + q^{-1}f_1(zq^2, q) \quad (3.6)$$

which is a  $q$ -functional equation. Setting

$$f_1(z, q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n(q) z^n \quad (3.7)$$

and then comparing the coefficients of  $z^n$  on both sides of (3.6), we see that

$$\alpha_n(q) = \frac{q^n}{1 - q^{2n-1}} \alpha_{n-1}(q). \quad (3.8)$$

Iterating (3.8)  $n$  times and noting  $\alpha_0(q) = 1$ , we get

$$\alpha_n(q) = \frac{q^{n(n+1)/2}}{(q; q^2)_n}. \quad (3.9)$$

Therefore,

$$f_1(z, q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(n+1)/2}}{(q; q^2)_n} z^n. \quad (3.10)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} A_1(\nu) q^{\nu} &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_1(m, \nu) \right) q^{\nu} \\ &= f_1(1, q) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(n+1)/2}}{(q; q^2)_n} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(n+1)(n+2)/2}}{(q; q^2)_{n+1}} \\ &= 1 + \psi_R(q) \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

Sketch of proof of Theorem 3.2. Proceeding as above, one can easily obtain the following recurrence relation:

$$A_2(m, v) = A_1(m - 1, v) + A_2(m, v - 2m + 1). \tag{3.11}$$

Corresponding  $q$ -functional equation is

$$f_2(z, q) = zf_1(z, q) + q^{-1}f_2(zq^2, q). \tag{3.12}$$

Using the above  $q$ -functional equation and proceeding as in Theorem 3.1, we get

$$\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} A_2(v)q^v = \phi_R(q).$$

**4. Combinatorial interpretation of (1.7) using generalized Frobenius partitions**

**Theorem 4.1.** For  $v \geq 1$ , let  $B_1(v)$  denote the number of Frobenius partitions of  $v$  such that

(a) For any two adjacent columns  $\begin{pmatrix} a_i \\ b_i \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} a_{i+1} \\ b_{i+1} \end{pmatrix}$ , we have

- (i) If  $a_i \leq b_i$ , then  $a_{i+1} > b_{i+1}$  and  $a_i = a_{i+1}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq (r - 2)$ .
- (ii) If  $a_i > b_i$ , then  $a_{i+1} \leq b_{i+1}$  and  $b_i = b_{i+1}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq (r - 1)$ .

(b)  $a_r = 0$ .

Let  $A_1(v)$  denote the number of  $n$ -color partitions of  $v$  such that

- (c) The weighted difference of any two consecutive parts is  $-1$ .
- (d) For some  $i$ ,  $i_i$  is a part.

Then  $A_1(v) = B_1(v)$ , for all  $v$ .

*Remark.* Note that  $A_1(v)$  is same as that defined in Theorem 3.1.

*Proof.* We establish a 1–1 correspondence between the generalized Frobenius partitions enumerated by  $B_1(v)$  and the  $n$ -color partitions enumerated by  $A_1(v)$ . We do this by mapping each column  $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$  of the Frobenius partition to a single part  $m_i$  of an  $n$ -color partition enumerated by  $A_1(v)$ . The mapping  $\phi$  is

$$\phi : \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{cases} (a + b + 1)_{b-a+1}, & a \leq b, \\ (a + b + 1)_{a-b}, & a > b. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

and the inverse mapping  $\phi^{-1}$  is given by

$$\phi^{-1} : m_i \rightarrow \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} (m - i)/2 \\ (m + i - 2)/2 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } m \equiv i \pmod{2}; \\ \begin{pmatrix} (m + i - 1)/2 \\ (m - i - 1)/2 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } m \equiv i + 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

Now suppose we have any two adjacent columns  $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix}$  in a generalized Frobenius partition enumerated by  $B_1(v)$  with

$$\phi : \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = m_i \quad \text{and} \quad \phi : \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = n_j.$$

Then since  $a \geq c$  and  $b \geq d$ , we have

$$((m_i - n_j)) = \begin{cases} 2(b - d) - 1, & b < a, d \geq c, \\ 2(a - c) - 1, & b \geq a, d < c. \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

Therefore equation (4.3) and Theorem 4.1(a) imply Theorem 4.1(c). Now if  $a_r = 0$ , then using equation (4.1) we have

$$\phi : \begin{pmatrix} a_r \\ b_r \end{pmatrix} = (b_r + 1)_{b_r+1}, \quad \text{for } a \leq b \tag{4.4}$$

which is of the form  $i_i$ . Hence Theorem 4.1(b) and equation (4.1) imply Theorem 4.1(d).

To see the reverse implication, we consider the inverse images of two consecutive parts  $m_i, n_j$  of  $n$ -color partition enumerated by  $A_1(v)$  such that

$$\phi^{-1} : m_i = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^{-1} : n_j = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $((m_i - n_j)) = -1$ , we see that if  $m$  and  $i$  have the same parity then  $n$  and  $j$  will have the opposite parity and vice-versa. Then

$$a - c = \begin{cases} \frac{((m_i - n_j)) + 1}{2}, & m \equiv i \pmod{2}, n \not\equiv j \pmod{2}, \\ \frac{((m_i - n_j)) - 1}{2} + i + j, & m \not\equiv i \pmod{2}, n \equiv j \pmod{2}. \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

$$b - d = \begin{cases} \frac{((m_i - n_j)) - 1}{2} + i + j, & m \equiv i \pmod{2}, n \not\equiv j \pmod{2}, \\ \frac{((m_i - n_j)) + 1}{2}, & m \not\equiv i \pmod{2}, n \equiv j \pmod{2}. \end{cases} \tag{4.6}$$

Also,

$$a - b = \begin{cases} -i + 1, & m \equiv i \pmod{2}, \\ i, & m \not\equiv i \pmod{2}. \end{cases} \tag{4.7}$$

Similarly,

$$c - d = \begin{cases} -j + 1, & n \equiv j \pmod{2}, \\ j, & n \not\equiv j \pmod{2}. \end{cases} \tag{4.8}$$

Now (4.5), (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8) imply Theorem 4.1(a).

Finally from Theorem 4.1(d), i.e.  $i_i$  must appear as a part, and it is the smallest part of the partition. Therefore using (4.2), we have

$$\phi^{-1} : i_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ i - 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

hence  $a_r = 0$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1. □

**Table 1.** An example of direct bijection between F-partitions and colored partitions.

Generalized Frobenius partitions enumerated by $B_1(6)$	Image under $\phi$
$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}$	$6_6$
$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$5_4 + 1_1$
$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$4_1 + 2_2$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$3_1 + 2_1 + 1_1$

To illustrate the bijection we have constructed, we give the example for  $\nu = 6$  shown in Table 1.

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have provided the combinatorial interpretation of two tenth order mock theta functions by using  $(n + t)$ -color partitions and we have also obtained the bijection between  $n$ -color partitions and Frobenius partitions for one of tenth order mock theta function given by (1.7). We are curious to know if it is possible to obtain a similar bijection for (1.6).

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the University Grant Commission, No. F. 2-16/2011(SA-1).

## References

- [1] Agarwal A K and Andrews G E, Rogers–Ramanujan identities for partitions with  $N$  copies of  $N$ , *J. Comb. Theory Ser. A* **45(1)** (1987) 40–49
- [2] Agarwal A K,  $n$ -Color partition theoretic interpretations of some mock theta functions, *Electron. J. Comb.* **11(1)** (2004) Note 14, 6pp (electronic)
- [3] Agarwal A K, Lattice paths and mock theta functions, *Proceedings of the 6<sup>th</sup> Int. Conf. SSFA* **6** (2005) 95–102
- [4] Agarwal A K and Rana M, Two new combinatorial interpretations of a fifth order mock theta function, *IMS Special Centenary Volume (1907–2007)* pp. 11–24
- [5] Agarwal A K and Narang G, Generalized Frobenius partitions and mock-theta functions, *Ars Comb.* **99** (2011) 439–444
- [6] Andrews G E, Generalized Frobenius partitions, *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* **49(301)** (1984) IV+44pp
- [7] Choi Y S, Tenth order mock theta functions in Ramanujan’s lost notebook, *Invent Math.* **136** (1999) 497–569

- [8] Fine N J, Basic hypergeometric series and applications, in: *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, No. 27. AMS (1988)
- [9] Hardy G H, Seshu Aiyer P V and Wilson B M, *Collected papers of Srinivasa Ramanujan* (Cambridge University Press) (1927)
- [10] Ramanujan S, *The lost notebook and other unpublished papers* (1988) (New Delhi: Narosa Publishing House)
- [11] Rana M and Agarwal A K, Frobenius partition theoretic interpretation of a fifth order mock theta function, *Canad. J. Pure Appl. Sci. British Columbia* **2(3)** (2009) 859–863

COMMUNICATING EDITOR: S D Adhikari