

## Functional equations in matrix normed spaces

JUNG RYE LEE<sup>1</sup>, CHOONKIL PARK<sup>2,\*</sup> and DONG YUN SHIN<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Daejin University, Kyeonggi 487-711, Korea

<sup>2</sup>Research Institute for Natural Sciences, Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, Korea

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Seoul, Seoul 130-743, Korea

\*Corresponding Author.

E-mail: jrlee@daejin.ac.kr; baak@hanyang.ac.kr; dyshin@uos.ac.kr

MS received 22 December 2013; revised 21 February 2014

**Abstract.** Using the fixed point method, we prove the Hyers–Ulam stability of the Cauchy additive functional equation and the quadratic functional equation in matrix normed spaces.

**Keywords.** Operator space; fixed point; Hyers–Ulam stability; Cauchy additive functional equation; quadratic functional equation.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.** 47L25, 47H10, 39B82, 46L07, 39B52.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

The abstract characterization given for linear spaces of bounded Hilbert space operators in terms of *matrixially normed spaces* [43] implies that quotients, mapping spaces and various tensor products of operator spaces may again be regarded as operator spaces. Owing in part to this result, the theory of operator spaces is having an increasingly significant effect on operator algebra theory (see [12]).

The proof given in [43] appealed to the theory of ordered operator spaces [6]. Effros and Ruan [13] showed that one can give a purely metric proof of this important theorem by using a technique of Pisier [33] and Haagerup [18] (as modified in [11]).

The stability problem of functional equations originated from a question of Ulam [46] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms.

The functional equation

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

is called the *Cauchy additive functional equation*. In particular, every solution of the Cauchy additive functional equation is said to be an *additive mapping*. Hyers [19] gave a first affirmative partial answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. Hyers' theorem was generalized by Aoki [2] for additive mappings and by Rassias [37] for linear mappings by considering an unbounded Cauchy difference. A generalization of the Rassias theorem was obtained by Găvruta [16] by replacing the unbounded Cauchy difference by a general control function in the spirit of Rassias' approach.

In 1990, Rassias [38] during the 27th International Symposium on Functional Equations asked the question whether such a theorem can also be proved for  $p \geq 1$ . In 1991,

Gajda [15] following the same approach as in Rassias [37], gave an affirmative solution to this question for  $p > 1$ . It was shown by Gajda [15], as well as by Rassias and Šemrl [42] that one cannot prove a Rassias' type theorem when  $p = 1$  (cf. the books of Czerwik [9] and Hyers *et al.* [20]).

In 1982, Rassias [35] followed the innovative approach of the Rassias' theorem [37] in which he replaced the factor  $\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$  by  $\|x\|^p \cdot \|y\|^q$  for  $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $p + q \neq 1$ .

The functional equation

$$f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$$

is called a *quadratic functional equation*. In particular, every solution of the quadratic functional equation is said to be a *quadratic mapping*. A Hyers–Ulam stability problem for the quadratic functional equation was proved by Skof [45] for mappings  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , where  $X$  is a normed space and  $Y$  is a Banach space. Cholewa [7] noticed that the theorem of Skof is still true if the relevant domain  $X$  is replaced by an abelian group. Czerwik [8] proved the Hyers–Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation. The stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors and there are many interesting results concerning this problem (see [1, 14, 17, 21, 23–26, 30, 36, 39–41]).

We will use the following notations:

$M_n(X)$  is the set of all  $n \times n$ -matrices in  $X$ ;

$e_j \in M_{1,n}(\mathbb{C})$  such that the  $j$ -th component is 1 and the other components are zero;

$E_{ij} \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  such that the  $(i, j)$ -component is 1 and the other components are zero;

$E_{ij} \otimes x \in M_n(X)$  such that the  $(i, j)$ -component is  $x$  and the other components are zero;

For  $x \in M_n(X)$ ,  $y \in M_k(X)$ ,

$$x \oplus y = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that  $(X, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$  is a matrix normed space if and only if  $(M_n(X), \|\cdot\|_n)$  is a normed space for each positive integer  $n$  and  $\|Ax\|_k \leq \|A\| \|x\|_n$  holds for  $A \in M_{k,n}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $x = (x_{ij}) \in M_n(X)$  and  $B \in M_{n,k}(\mathbb{C})$ , and that  $(X, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$  is a matrix Banach space if and only if  $X$  is a Banach space and  $(X, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$  is a matrix normed space.

A matrix normed space  $(X, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$  is called an  $L^\infty$ -matrix normed space if  $\|x \oplus y\|_{n+k} = \max\{\|x\|_n, \|y\|_k\}$  holds for all  $x \in M_n(X)$  and all  $y \in M_k(X)$ .

Let  $E, F$  be vector spaces. For a given mapping  $h : E \rightarrow F$  and a given positive integer  $n$ , define  $h_n : M_n(E) \rightarrow M_n(F)$  by

$$h_n([x_{ij}]) = [h(x_{ij})]$$

for all  $[x_{ij}] \in M_n(E)$ .

Let  $X$  be a set. A function  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is called a *generalized metric* on  $X$  if  $d$  satisfies

- (1)  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ;
- (2)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (3)  $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

We recall a fundamental result in fixed point theory.

**Theorem 1.1 [3, 10].** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete generalized metric space and let  $J : X \rightarrow X$  be a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant  $\alpha < 1$ . Then for each given element  $x \in X$ , either*

$$d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) = \infty$$

for all nonnegative integers  $n$  or there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that

- (1)  $d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) < \infty, \forall n \geq n_0$ ;
- (2) the sequence  $\{J^n x\}$  converges to a fixed point  $y^*$  of  $J$ ;
- (3)  $y^*$  is the unique fixed point of  $J$  in the set  $Y = \{y \in X \mid d(J^{n_0} x, y) < \infty\}$ ;
- (4)  $d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} d(y, Jy)$  for all  $y \in Y$ .

In 1996, Isac and Rassias [22] were the first to provide applications of stability theory of functional equations for the proof of new fixed point theorems with applications. By using fixed point methods, the stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors (see [4, 5, 27, 29, 31, 32, 34]).

Throughout this paper, let  $(X, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$  be a matrix normed space and  $(Y, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$  a matrix Banach space.

In §2, we prove the Hyers–Ulam stability of the Cauchy additive functional equation in matrix normed spaces by using the fixed point method. In §3, we prove the Hyers–Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation in matrix normed spaces by using the fixed point method.

## 2. Hyers–Ulam stability of the Cauchy additive functional equation in matrix normed spaces: A fixed point approach

In this section, we prove the Hyers–Ulam stability of the Cauchy additive functional equation in matrix normed spaces.

*Lemma 2.1.* *Let  $(X, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$  be a matrix normed space.*

- (1)  $\|E_{kl} \otimes x\|_n = \|x\|$  for  $x \in X$ .
- (2)  $\|x_{kl}\| \leq \|[x_{ij}]\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|x_{ij}\|$  for  $[x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ .
- (3)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{nij} = x_{ij}$  for  $x_n = [x_{nij}], x = [x_{ij}] \in M_k(X)$ .

*Proof.*

- (1) Since  $E_{kl} \otimes x = e_k^* x e_l$  and  $\|e_k^*\| = \|e_l\| = 1, \|E_{kl} \otimes x\|_n \leq \|x\|$ . Since  $e_k(E_{kl} \otimes x)e_l^* = x, \|x\| \leq \|E_{kl} \otimes x\|_n$ . So  $\|E_{kl} \otimes x\|_n = \|x\|$ .
- (2) Since  $e_k x e_l^* = x_{kl}$  and  $\|e_k\| = \|e_l^*\| = 1, \|x_{kl}\| \leq \|[x_{ij}]\|_n$ . Since  $[x_{ij}] = \sum_{i,j=1}^n E_{ij} \otimes x_{ij}$ ,

$$\|[x_{ij}]\|_n = \left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^n E_{ij} \otimes x_{ij} \right\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|E_{ij} \otimes x_{ij}\|_n = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|x_{ij}\|.$$

(3) By (2), we have

$$\|x_{nkl} - x_{kl}\| \leq \|[x_{nij} - x_{ij}]\|_n = \|[x_{nij}] - [x_{ij}]\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|x_{nij} - x_{ij}\|.$$

So we get the result.  $\square$

For a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , define  $Df : X^2 \rightarrow Y$  and  $Df_n : M_n(X^2) \rightarrow M_n(Y)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} Df(a, b) &= f(a + b) - f(a) - f(b), \\ Df_n([x_{ij}], [y_{ij}]) &:= f_n([x_{ij} + y_{ij}]) - f_n([x_{ij}]) - f_n([y_{ij}]) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a, b \in X$  and all  $x = [x_{ij}], y = [y_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that there exists an  $\alpha < 1$  with

$$\varphi(a, b) \leq 2\alpha\varphi\left(\frac{a}{2}, \frac{b}{2}\right) \quad (2.1)$$

for all  $a, b \in X$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying

$$\|Df_n([x_{ij}], [y_{ij}])\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \varphi(x_{ij}, y_{ij}) \quad (2.2)$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}], y = [y_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ . Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f_n([x_{ij}]) - A_n([x_{ij}])\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{1}{2 - 2\alpha} \varphi(x_{ij}, x_{ij}) \quad (2.3)$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n = 1$  in (2.2). Then (2.2) is equivalent to

$$\|f(a + b) - f(a) - f(b)\| \leq \varphi(a, b)$$

for all  $a, b \in X$ .

Putting  $b = a$  in the above inequality, we get

$$\|f(2a) - 2f(a)\| \leq \varphi(a, a) \quad (2.4)$$

for all  $a \in X$ . So

$$\left\| f(a) - \frac{1}{2}f(2a) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(a, a) \quad (2.5)$$

for all  $a \in X$ .

Consider the set

$$S := \{h : X \rightarrow Y\}$$

and introduce the generalized metric on  $S$ :

$$d(g, h) = \inf \{ \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \|g(a) - h(a)\| \leq \mu \varphi(a, a), \forall a \in X \},$$

where, as usual,  $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$ . It is easy to show that  $(S, d)$  is complete (see [28]).

Now we consider the linear mapping  $J : S \rightarrow S$  such that

$$Jg(a) := \frac{1}{2}g(2a)$$

for all  $a \in X$ .

Let  $g, h \in S$  be given such that  $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$ . Then

$$\|g(a) - h(a)\| \leq \varepsilon \varphi(a, a)$$

for all  $a \in X$ . Hence

$$\|Jg(a) - Jh(a)\| = \left\| \frac{1}{2}g(2a) - \frac{1}{2}h(2a) \right\| \leq \alpha \varepsilon \varphi(a, a)$$

for all  $a \in X$ . So  $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$  implies that  $d(Jg, Jh) \leq \alpha \varepsilon$ . This means that

$$d(Jg, Jh) \leq \alpha d(g, h)$$

for all  $g, h \in S$ .

It follows from (2.5) that  $d(f, Jf) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . By Theorem 1.1, there exists a mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying the following:

(1)  $A$  is a fixed point of  $J$ , i.e.,

$$A(2a) = 2A(a) \tag{2.6}$$

for all  $a \in X$ . The mapping  $A$  is a unique fixed point of  $J$  in the set

$$M = \{g \in S : d(f, g) < \infty\}.$$

This implies that  $A$  is a unique mapping satisfying (2.6) such that there exists a  $\mu \in (0, \infty)$  satisfying

$$\|f(a) - A(a)\| \leq \mu \varphi(a, a)$$

for all  $a \in X$ ;

(2)  $d(J^l f, A) \rightarrow 0$  as  $l \rightarrow \infty$ . This implies the equality

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^l} f(2^l a) = A(a)$$

for all  $a \in X$ ;

(3)  $d(f, A) \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} d(f, Jf)$ , which implies the inequality

$$d(f, A) \leq \frac{1}{2 - 2\alpha}.$$

So

$$\|f(a) - A(a)\| \leq \frac{1}{2 - 2\alpha} \varphi(a, a) \quad (2.7)$$

for all  $a \in X$ .

It follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|DA(a, b)\| &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^l} \|Df(2^l a, 2^l b)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^l} \varphi(2^l a, 2^l b) \leq \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^l \alpha^l}{2^l} \varphi(a, b) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a, b \in X$ . Hence

$$A(a + b) = A(a) + A(b)$$

for all  $a, b$ . So  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  is Cauchy.

By Lemma 2.1 and (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n([x_{ij}]) - A_n([x_{ij}])\|_n &\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|f(x_{ij}) - A(x_{ij})\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{1}{2 - 2\alpha} \varphi(x_{ij}, x_{ij}) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ . Thus  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  is a unique additive mapping satisfying (2.3), as desired.  $\square$

### COROLLARY 2.3

Let  $r, \theta$  be positive real numbers with  $r < 1$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping such that

$$\|Df_n([x_{ij}], [y_{ij}])\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \theta (\|x_{ij}\|^r + \|y_{ij}\|^r) \quad (2.8)$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}], y = [y_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ . Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f_n([x_{ij}]) - A_n([x_{ij}])\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{2\theta}{2 - 2^r} \|x_{ij}\|^r$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 2.2 by taking  $\varphi(a, b) = \theta(\|a\|^r + \|b\|^r)$  for all  $a, b \in X$ . Then we can choose  $\alpha = 2^{r-1}$  and we get the desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying (2.2) for which there exists a function  $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that there exists an  $\alpha < 1$  with

$$\varphi(a, b) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \varphi(2a, 2b) \quad (2.9)$$

for all  $a, b \in X$ . Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f_n([x_{ij}]) - A_n([x_{ij}])\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{2-2\alpha} \varphi(x_{ij}, x_{ij})$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(S, d)$  be the generalized metric space defined in the proof of Theorem 2.2. Now we consider the linear mapping  $J : S \rightarrow S$  such that

$$Jg(a) := 2g\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)$$

for all  $a \in X$ .

It follows from (2.4) that

$$\left\|f(a) - 2f\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)\right\| \leq \varphi\left(\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}\right) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \varphi(a, a)$$

for all  $a \in X$ . Thus  $d(f, Jf) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}$ . So

$$d(f, A) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2-2\alpha}.$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2. □

#### COROLLARY 2.5

Let  $r, \theta$  be positive real numbers with  $r > 1$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying (2.8). Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f_n([x_{ij}]) - A_n([x_{ij}])\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{2\theta}{2^r-2} \|x_{ij}\|^r$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 2.4 by taking  $\varphi(a, b) = \theta(\|a\|^r + \|b\|^r)$  for all  $a, b \in X$ . Then we can choose  $\alpha = 2^{1-r}$  and we get the desired result. □

We need the following result.

*Lemma 2.6* [44]. *If  $E$  is an  $L^\infty$ -matrix normed space, then  $\|[x_{ij}]\|_n \leq \|[\|x_{ij}\|]\|$  for all  $[x_{ij}] \in M_n(E)$ .*

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $Y$  be an  $L^\infty$ -normed Banach space. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping and let  $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function satisfying (2.1) and*

$$\|Df_n([x_{ij}], [y_{ij}])\|_n \leq \|[\varphi(x_{ij}, y_{ij})]\|_n \tag{2.10}$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}], y = [y_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ . Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\| [f(x_{ij}) - A(x_{ij})] \|_n \leq \left\| \left[ \frac{1}{2-2\alpha} \varphi(x_{ij}, x_{ij}) \right] \right\|_n \tag{2.11}$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ .

*Proof.* By the same reasoning as in the the proof of Theorem 2.2, there exists a unique additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x_{ij}) - A(x_{ij})\| \leq \frac{1}{2 - 2\alpha} \varphi(x_{ij}, x_{ij})$$

for all  $x_{ij} \in X$ .

It is easy to show that if  $0 \leq a_{ij} \leq b_{ij}$  for all  $i, j$ , then

$$\|[a_{ij}]\|_n \leq \|[b_{ij}]\|_n. \quad (2.12)$$

By Lemma 2.6 and (2.12),

$$\|[f(x_{ij}) - A(x_{ij})]\|_n \leq \|[\|f(x_{ij}) - A(x_{ij})\|]\|_n \leq \left\| \left[ \frac{1}{2 - 2\alpha} \varphi(x_{ij}, x_{ij}) \right] \right\|_n$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ . So we obtain the inequality (2.11).  $\square$

#### COROLLARY 2.8

Let  $Y$  be an  $L^\infty$ -normed Banach space. Let  $r, \theta$  be positive real numbers with  $r < 1$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping such that

$$\|Df_n([x_{ij}], [y_{ij}])\|_n \leq \|[\theta(\|x_{ij}\|^r + \|y_{ij}\|^r)]\|_n \quad (2.13)$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}], y = [y_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ . Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f_n([x_{ij}]) - A_n([x_{ij}])\|_n \leq \left\| \left[ \frac{2\theta}{2 - 2^r} \|x_{ij}\|^r \right] \right\|_n$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 2.7 by taking  $\varphi(a, b) = \theta(\|a\|^r + \|b\|^r)$  for all  $a, b \in X$ . Then we can choose  $\alpha = 2^{r-1}$  and we get the desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $Y$  be an  $L^\infty$ -normed Banach space. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping and let  $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function satisfying (2.9) and (2.10). Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|[f(x_{ij}) - A(x_{ij})]\|_n \leq \left\| \left[ \frac{\alpha}{2 - 2\alpha} \varphi(x_{ij}, x_{ij}) \right] \right\|_n$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.7.  $\square$

COROLLARY 2.10

Let  $Y$  be an  $L^\infty$ -normed Banach space. Let  $r, \theta$  be positive real numbers with  $r > 1$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying (2.13). Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f_n([x_{ij}]) - A_n([x_{ij}])\|_n \leq \left\| \left[ \frac{2\theta}{2^r - 2} \|x_{ij}\|^r \right] \right\|_n$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 2.9 by taking  $\varphi(a, b) = \theta(\|a\|^r + \|b\|^r)$  for all  $a, b \in X$ . Then we can choose  $\alpha = 2^{1-r}$  and we get the desired result.  $\square$

**3. Hyers–Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation in matrix normed spaces: A fixed point approach**

In this section, we prove the Hyers–Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation in matrix normed spaces.

For a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , define  $Df : X^2 \rightarrow Y$  and  $Df_n : M_n(X^2) \rightarrow M_n(Y)$  by

$$Df(a, b) = f(a + b) + f(a - b) - 2f(a) - 2f(b),$$

$$Df_n([x_{ij}], [y_{ij}]) := f_n([x_{ij} + y_{ij}]) + f_n([x_{ij} - y_{ij}]) - 2f_n([x_{ij}]) - 2f_n([y_{ij}])$$

for all  $a, b \in X$  and all  $x = [x_{ij}], y = [y_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that there exists an  $\alpha < 1$  with

$$\varphi(a, b) \leq 4\alpha\varphi\left(\frac{a}{2}, \frac{b}{2}\right) \tag{3.1}$$

for all  $a, b \in X$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying  $f(0) = 0$  and

$$\|Df_n([x_{ij}], [y_{ij}])\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \varphi(x_{ij}, y_{ij}) \tag{3.2}$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}], y = [y_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f_n([x_{ij}]) - Q_n([x_{ij}])\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{1}{4 - 4\alpha} \varphi(x_{ij}, x_{ij})$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n = 1$  in (3.2). Then (3.2) is equivalent to

$$\|f(a + b) + f(a - b) - 2f(a) - 2f(b)\| \leq \phi(a, b)$$

for all  $a, b \in X$ .

Putting  $b = a$  in the above inequality, we get

$$\|f(2a) - 4f(a)\| \leq \varphi(a, a) \quad (3.3)$$

for all  $a \in X$ . So

$$\left\| f(a) - \frac{1}{4}f(2a) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{4}\varphi(a, a)$$

for all  $a \in X$ .

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

### COROLLARY 3.2

Let  $r, \theta$  be positive real numbers with  $r < 2$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping such that

$$\|Df_n([x_{ij}], [y_{ij}])\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \theta(\|x_{ij}\|^r + \|y_{ij}\|^r) \quad (3.4)$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}], y = [y_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f_n([x_{ij}]) - Q_n([x_{ij}])\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{2\theta}{4-2^r} \|x_{ij}\|^r$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 3.1 by taking  $\varphi(a, b) = \theta(\|a\|^r + \|b\|^r)$  for all  $a, b \in X$ . Then we can choose  $\alpha = 2^{r-2}$  and we get the desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying  $f(0) = 0$  and (3.2) for which there exists a function  $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that there exists an  $\alpha < 1$  with

$$\varphi(a, b) \leq \frac{\alpha}{4}\varphi(2a, 2b) \quad (3.5)$$

for all  $a, b \in X$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f_n([x_{ij}]) - Q_n([x_{ij}])\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{4-4\alpha}\varphi(x_{ij}, x_{ij})$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(S, d)$  be the generalized metric space defined in the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Now we consider the linear mapping  $J : S \rightarrow S$  such that

$$Jg(a) := 4g\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)$$

for all  $a \in X$ .

It follows from (3.3) that

$$\left\| f(x_{st}) - 4f\left(\frac{x_{st}}{2}\right) \right\| \leq \varphi\left(\frac{x_{st}}{2}, \frac{x_{st}}{2}\right) \leq \frac{\alpha}{4} \varphi(x_{st}, x_{st})$$

for all  $x_{st} \in X$ . Thus  $d(f, Jf) \leq \frac{\alpha}{4}$ . So

$$d(f, Q) \leq \frac{\alpha}{4 - 4\alpha}.$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2. □

**COROLLARY 3.4**

Let  $r, \theta$  be positive real numbers with  $r > 2$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying (3.4). Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f_n([x_{ij}]) - Q_n([x_{ij}])\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{2\theta}{2^r - 4} \|x_{ij}\|^r$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 3.3 by taking  $\varphi(a, b) = \theta(\|a\|^r + \|b\|^r)$  for all  $a, b \in X$ . Then we can choose  $\alpha = 2^{2-r}$  and we get the desired result. □

From now on, assume that  $Y$  is an  $L^\infty$ -normed Banach space.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying  $f(0) = 0$  and let  $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function satisfying (3.1) and

$$\|Df_n([x_{ij}], [y_{ij}])\|_n \leq \|\varphi(x_{ij}, y_{ij})\|_n \tag{3.6}$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}], y = [y_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $zQ : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\| [f(x_{ij}) - Q(x_{ij})] \|_n \leq \left\| \left[ \frac{1}{4 - 4\alpha} \varphi(x_{ij}, x_{ij}) \right] \right\|_n \tag{3.7}$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ .  $z$

*Proof.* By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x_{ij}) - Q(x_{ij})\| \leq \frac{1}{4 - 4\alpha} \varphi(x_{ij}, x_{ij})$$

for all  $x_{ij} \in X$ . The mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  is given by

$$Q(x_{ij}) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^l} f(2^l x_{ij})$$

for all  $x_{ij} \in X$ .

By Lemma 2.6 and (2.12),

$$\| [f(x_{ij}) - Q(x_{ij})] \|_n \leq \| [ \|f(x_{ij}) - A(x_{ij})\| ] \|_n \leq \left\| \left[ \frac{1}{4 - 4\alpha} \varphi(x_{ij}, x_{ij}) \right] \right\|_n$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ . So we obtain the inequality (3.7).  $\square$

### COROLLARY 3.6

Let  $r, \theta$  be positive real numbers with  $r < 2$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping such that

$$\| Df_n([x_{ij}], [y_{ij}]) \|_n \leq \| [\theta(\|x_{ij}\|^r + \|y_{ij}\|^r)] \|_n \quad (3.8)$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}], y = [y_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\| f_n([x_{ij}]) - Q_n([x_{ij}]) \|_n \leq \left\| \left[ \frac{2\theta}{4 - 2^r} \|x_{ij}\|^r \right] \right\|_n$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 3.5 by taking  $\varphi(a, b) = \theta(\|a\|^r + \|b\|^r)$  for all  $a, b \in X$ . Then we can choose  $\alpha = 2^{r-2}$  and we get the desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying  $f(0) = 0$  and let  $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function satisfying (3.5) and (3.6). Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\| [f(x_{ij}) - Q(x_{ij})] \|_n \leq \left\| \left[ \frac{\alpha}{4 - 4\alpha} \varphi(x_{ij}, x_{ij}) \right] \right\|_n$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.5.  $\square$

### COROLLARY 3.8

Let  $r, \theta$  be positive real numbers with  $r > 2$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying (3.8). Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\| f_n([x_{ij}]) - Q_n([x_{ij}]) \|_n \leq \left\| \left[ \frac{2\theta}{2^r - 4} \|x_{ij}\|^r \right] \right\|_n$$

for all  $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 3.7 by taking  $\varphi(a, b) = \theta(\|a\|^r + \|b\|^r)$  for all  $a, b \in X$ . Then we can choose  $\alpha = 2^{2-r}$  and we get the desired result.  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the referees for their comments and suggestions.

## References

- [1] Aczel J and Dhombres J, *Functional Equations in Several Variables* (1989) (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press)
- [2] Aoki T, On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **2** (1950) 64–66
- [3] Cădariu L and Radu V, Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **4**, no. 1 (2003) Art. ID 4
- [4] Cădariu L and Radu V, On the stability of the Cauchy functional equation: a fixed point approach, *Grazer Math. Ber.* **346** (2004) 43–52
- [5] Cădariu L and Radu V, Fixed point methods for the generalized stability of functional equations in a single variable, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* **2008** (2008) Art. ID 749392
- [6] Choi M-D and Effros E, Injectivity and operator spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **24** (1977) 156–209
- [7] Cholewa P W, Remarks on the stability of functional equations, *Aequationes Math.* **27** (1984) 76–86
- [8] Czerwik S, On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **62** (1992) 59–64
- [9] Czerwik P, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables* (2002) (New Jersey, Hong Kong, Singapore and London: World Scientific Publishing Company)
- [10] Diaz J and Margolis B, A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **74** (1968) 305–309
- [11] Effros E, On multilinear completely bounded module maps, *Contemp. Math.* **62** (1987), Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, pp. 479–501
- [12] Effros E and Ruan Z-J, On approximation properties for operator spaces, *Internat. J. Math.* **1** (1990) 163–187
- [13] Effros E and Ruan Z-J, On the abstract characterization of operator spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **119** (1993) 579–584
- [14] Eshaghi Gordji M and Savadkouhi M B, Stability of a mixed type cubic-quartic functional equation in non-Archimedean spaces, *Appl. Math. Letters* **23** (2010) 1198–1202
- [15] Gajda Z, On stability of additive mappings, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **14** (1991) 431–434
- [16] Găvruta P, A generalization of the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **184** (1994) 431–436
- [17] Grabiec A, The generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of a class of functional equations, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **48** (1996) 217–235
- [18] Haagerup U, Decomposition of completely bounded maps, unpublished manuscript
- [19] Hyers D H, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **27** (1941) 222–224
- [20] Hyers D H, Isac G and Rassias Th M, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables* (1998) (Birkhäuser: Basel)
- [21] Isac G and Rassias Th M, On the Hyers–Ulam stability of  $\psi$ -additive mappings, *J. Approx. Theory* **72** (1993) 131–137
- [22] Isac G and Rassias Th M, Stability of  $\psi$ -additive mappings: Applications to nonlinear analysis, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **19** (1996) 219–228

- [23] Jun K and Lee Y, A generalization of the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of the Pexiderized quadratic equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **297** (2004) 70–86
- [24] Jung S, Hyers–Ulam–Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis (2001) (Palm Harbor, Florida: Hadronic Press Inc.)
- [25] Jung S and Bae J, Some functional equations originating from number theory, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* **113** (2003) 91–98
- [26] Jung S and Sahoo P K, Superstability of the generalized orthogonality equation on restricted domains, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* **114** (2004) 253–267
- [27] Jung Y and Chang I, The stability of a cubic type functional equation with the fixed point alternative, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **306** (2005) 752–760
- [28] Miheţ D and Radu V, On the stability of the additive Cauchy functional equation in random normed spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **343** (2008) 567–572
- [29] Mirzavaziri M and Moslehian M S, A fixed point approach to stability of a quadratic equation, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.* **37** (2006) 361–376
- [30] Park C, Homomorphisms between Poisson  $JC^*$ -algebras, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.* **36** (2005) 79–97
- [31] Park C, Fixed points and Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of Cauchy–Jensen functional equations in Banach algebras, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* **2007** (2007) Art. ID 50175
- [32] Park C, Generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of quadratic functional equations: a fixed point approach, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* **2008** (2008) Art ID 493751
- [33] Pisier G, Grothendieck’s theorem for non-commutative  $C^*$ -algebras with an appendix on Grothendieck’s constants, *J. Funct. Anal.* **29** (1978) 397–415
- [34] Radu V, The fixed point alternative and the stability of functional equations, *Fixed Point Theory* **4** (2003) 91–96
- [35] Rassias J M, On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings, *J. Funct. Anal.* **46** (1982) 126–130
- [36] Rassias J M, Solution of a problem of Ulam, *J. Approx. Theory* **57** (1989) 268–273
- [37] Rassias Th M, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1978) 297–300
- [38] Rassias Th M, Problem 16; 2, Report of the 27<sup>th</sup> International Symp. on Functional Equations, *Aequationes Math.* **39** (1990) 292–293; 309
- [39] Rassias Th M, *Functional Equations and Inequalities* (2000) (Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic)
- [40] Rassias Th M, On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **251** (2000) 264–284
- [41] Rassias Th M, On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam, *Acta Math. Appl.* **62** (2000) 23–130
- [42] Rassias Th M and Šemrl P, On the behaviour of mappings which do not satisfy Hyers–Ulam stability, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **114** (1992) 989–993
- [43] Ruan Z-J, Subspaces of  $C^*$ -algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **76** (1988) 217–230
- [44] Shin D, Lee S, Byun C and Kim S, On matrix normed spaces, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **27** (1983) 103–112
- [45] Skof F, Proprietà locali e approssimazione di operatori, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano* **53** (1983) 113–129
- [46] Ulam S M, *A Collection of the Mathematical Problems* (1960) (New York: Interscience Publ.)