

Volume sums of polar Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphisms

CHANG-JIAN ZHAO

Department of Mathematics, China Jiliang University, Hangzhou 310018,
People's Republic of China
E-mail: chjzhao@163.com; chjzhao@cjlu.edu.cn

MS received 30 June 2013; revised 7 June 2014

Abstract. In this article, we establish Minkowski and Aleksandrov–Fenchel type inequalities for the volume sum of polars of Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphisms.

Keywords. Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphism; volume differences; volume sum; projection body operator.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 52A40, 52A30.

1. Introduction and statement of main results

The well-known classical Minkowski and Brunn–Minkowski inequalities can be stated as follows:

If K and L are convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , then (see, e.g., [29])

$$V_1(K, L)^n \geq V(K)^{n-1}V(L)$$

and

$$V(K + L)^{1/n} \geq V(K)^{1/n} + V(L)^{1/n}.$$

In each case, equality holds if and only if K and L are homothetic. Here, $+$ denotes the usual Minkowski sum and $V_1(K, L)$ denotes the mixed volume of the convex bodies K and L defined by

$$V_1(K, L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h(L, u) dS(K, u),$$

where $h(L, u) = \max\{u \cdot x : x \in L\}$ is the support function of L and $S(K, u)$ is the surface area measure of K (see, e.g., [29]).

Let K and L be star bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , then the dual Minkowski and Brunn–Minkowski inequalities state that (see [21])

$$\tilde{V}_1(K, L)^n \leq V(K)^{n-1}V(L)$$

and

$$V(K \tilde{+} L)^{1/n} \leq V(K)^{1/n} + V(L)^{1/n}.$$

In each case, equality holds if and only if K and L are dilates. Here, $\tilde{\cdot}$ denotes the radial sum and $\tilde{V}_1(K, L)$ denotes the dual mixed volume of the star bodies K and L , defined by

$$\tilde{V}_1(K, L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K, u)^{n-1} \rho(L, u) dS(u),$$

where $\rho(K, u) = \max\{\lambda \geq 0 : \lambda u \in K\}$ is the radial function of K and $S(u)$ is the spherical Lebesgue measure (see [7]).

In 2004, Leng [17] defined the volume difference function of the compact domains D and K , where $D \subseteq K$, by

$$D_V(K, D) = V(K) - V(D).$$

The following Minkowski and Brunn–Minkowski type inequalities for volume difference functions were also established by Leng [17].

Theorem 1.1. *If K, L, D and D' are compact domains, $D \subseteq K, D' \subseteq L$, and D' is a homothetic copy of D , then*

$$(V_1(K, L) - V_1(D, D'))^n \geq (V(K) - V(D))^{n-1} (V(L) - V(D')) \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$(V(K + L) - V(D + D'))^{1/n} \geq (V(K) - V(D))^{1/n} + (V(L) - V(D'))^{1/n}. \quad (1.2)$$

In each case, equality holds if and only if K and L are homothetic and $(V(K), V(D)) = \mu(V(L), V(D'))$ for some constant μ .

Recently, Lv [24] introduced the dual volume difference function for star bodies and established the following dual Minkowski and Brunn–Minkowski type inequalities for them.

Theorem 1.2. *If K, L, D and D' are star bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , and $D \subseteq K, D' \subseteq L$, and L is a dilate of K , then*

$$(\tilde{V}_1(K, L) - (\tilde{V}_1(D, D'))^n) \leq (V(K) - V(D))^{n-1} (V(L) - V(D')), \quad (1.3)$$

with equality if and only if D and D' are dilates and $(K, D) = \mu(L, D')$ for some constant μ , and

$$(V(K \tilde{+} L) - (V(D \tilde{+} D'))^{1/n}) \leq (V(K) - V(D))^{1/n} + (V(L) - V(D'))^{1/n}, \quad (1.4)$$

with equality if and only if D and D' are dilates and $(V(K), V(D)) = \mu(V(L), V(D'))$ for some constant μ .

In fact, more general versions on these types of inequalities were proved in [17] and [24], respectively. Moreover, inequalities for p -quermassintegral difference functions were established in [44].

Let \mathcal{K}^n denote the space of convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , i.e. compact, convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^n with non-empty interiors. The topology on \mathcal{K}^n is induced by the Hausdorff metric.

DEFINITION 1.1 [30]

A map $\Phi : \mathcal{K}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^n$ is called a Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphism if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) Φ is continuous.
- (b) For all $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$,

$$\Phi(K \sharp L) = \Phi(K) + \Phi(L),$$

where \sharp denotes the Blaschke sum (see e.g. [8] and [13]) of the convex bodies K and L .

- (c) For all $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and every $\vartheta \in SO(n)$,

$$\Phi(\vartheta K) = \vartheta \Phi(K),$$

where $SO(n)$ denotes the group of rotations in n dimensions.

Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphisms are an important notion in the theory of convex body valued valuations (see, e.g., [1–4, 9, 11, 14, 16, 18–20, 23, 25–28, 31, 33–36, 40]). Their natural dual, radial Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphisms were introduced by Schuster [30] and further investigated in [32].

If Φ is a Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphism, then we denote by $\Phi(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1})$ the induced mixed Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphism of the convex bodies K_1, \dots, K_{n-1} (see §2). The convex body $\Phi(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1})$ contains the origin in its interior, as was shown in [30].

If K is a convex body that contains the origin in its interior, the polar body of K is defined by

$$K^* := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \cdot y \leq 1, y \in K\}.$$

In particular, the polar bodies $(\Phi(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}))^*$ and $(\Phi K)^*$ are well defined. We will simply write $\Phi^*(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1})$ and Φ^*K rather than $(\Phi(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}))^*$ and $(\Phi K)^*$. If $K_1 = \dots = K_{n-i-1} = K, K_{n-i} = \dots = K_{n-1} = B$, then we write Φ_i^*K for $\Phi^*(\underbrace{K, \dots, K}_{n-i-1}, \underbrace{B, \dots, B}_i)$, and we write $\Phi_i^*(K, L)$ for $\Phi^*(\underbrace{K, \dots, K}_{n-i-1}, \underbrace{L, \dots, L}_i)$. Note that $\Phi_0^*K \equiv \Phi^*K$.

In 2006, Schuster [30] established the following Minkowski and Aleksandrov–Fenchel type inequalities for polar Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphisms.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\Phi : \mathcal{K}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^n$ be an even Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphism. If K, L are convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , then*

$$V(\Phi_1^*(K, L))^{n-1} \leq V(\Phi^*K)^{n-2}V(\Phi^*L) \tag{1.5}$$

with equality if and only if K and L are homothetic.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\Phi : \mathcal{K}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^n$ be an even Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphism. If K_i ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$) are convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , and $1 \leq r \leq n-1$, then*

$$V(\Phi^*(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}))^r \leq \prod_{j=1}^r \Phi^*(\underbrace{K_j, \dots, K_j}_r, K_{r+1}, \dots, K_{n-1}). \tag{1.6}$$

Motivated by the work of Leng and Lv, we give the following definition.

DEFINITION 1.2

The volume sum function for a polar Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{K}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^n$ and convex bodies K and D , $S_V(\Phi^*K, \Phi^*D)$, is defined by

$$S_V(\Phi^*K, \Phi^*D) = V(\Phi^*K) + V(\Phi^*D).$$

In 2005, the volume sum function was first introduced in [46] as follows: If K and D are star bodies, then the dual quermassintegral sum function of the star bodies K and D , $S_{\tilde{W}_i}(K, D)$, is defined by

$$S_{\tilde{W}_i}(K, D) = \tilde{W}_i(K) + \tilde{W}_i(D),$$

where $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$. When $i = 0$, we have

$$S_V(K, D) = V(K) + V(D)$$

which is called the volume sum function of the star bodies K and L . The Minkowski inequality for the dual quermassintegral sum of mixed intersection bodies (see [22]) was also established.

In 2007, the L_p -dual quermassintegral sum function of star bodies K and D was introduced in [41] and Minkowski and Aleksandrov–Fenchel type inequalities for the L_p -dual quermassintegral sum of polar projection bodies were also established. In 2009, the Minkowski inequality for the L_p -dual quermassintegral sum of mixed intersection bodies was established in [47]. In 2010, an Aleksandrov–Fenchel type inequality for the dual quermassintegral sum of L_p -mixed intersection bodies was established in [45].

The aim of this paper is to establish the following Minkowski and Aleksandrov–Fenchel type inequalities for the volume sum of polar Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphisms.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\Phi : \mathcal{K}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^n$ be an even Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphism. Let D, D', K and L be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n and let D be a dilate of D' . If $1 \leq j < n - 1$, then*

$$S_V(\Phi_j^*(K, L), \Phi_j^*(D, D'))^{n-1} \leq S_V(\Phi^*K, \Phi^*D)^{n-j-1} S_V(\Phi^*L, \Phi^*D')^j \quad (1.7)$$

with equality if and only if K and L are homothetic and $(V(\Phi^*K), V(\Phi^*L)) = \mu(V(\Phi^*D), V(\Phi^*D'))$ for some constant μ .

Theorem 1.6. *Let $\Phi : \mathcal{K}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^n$ be an even Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphism. If K_i and D_i ($1 \leq i \leq n - 1$) are convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , and the bodies D_j ($j = 1, \dots, r$) are homothetic copies of each other, then for every $1 \leq r \leq n - 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & S_V(\Phi^*(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}), \Phi^*(D_1, \dots, D_{n-1}))^r \\ & \leq \prod_{j=1}^r S_V(\Phi^*(\underbrace{K_j, \dots, K_j}_r, K_{r+1}, \dots, K_{n-1}), \\ & \quad \Phi^*(\underbrace{D_j, \dots, D_j}_r, D_{r+1}, \dots, D_{n-1})). \end{aligned}$$

2. Definitions and preliminaries

The setting for this paper is an n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n ($n > 2$). Let \mathcal{K}^n denote the set of all convex bodies (compact, convex subsets with non-empty interiors) in \mathbb{R}^n . Let u denote the unit vectors, and B denote the unit ball centered at the origin. The surface of B is S^{n-1} . The volume of the unit n -ball is denoted by ω_n . For $u \in S^{n-1}$, let E_u denote the hyperplane, through the origin, that is orthogonal to u . We will use K^u to denote the image of K under an orthogonal projection onto the hyperplane E_u . If $K_1, \dots, K_{n-1} \in \mathcal{K}^n$, then we write $v(K_1^u, \dots, K_{n-1}^u)$ for the mixed volume (see below) of K_1^u, \dots, K_{n-1}^u in the space E_u . If $K_1 = \dots = K_{n-1} = K$, then we write $v(K^u)$ for $v(K^u, \dots, K^u)$.

We use $V(K)$ for the n -dimensional volume of a convex body K . Let $h(K, \cdot) : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the support function of $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$; i.e. for $u \in S^{n-1}$,

$$h(K, u) = \max\{u \cdot x : x \in K\},$$

where $u \cdot x$ denotes the usual inner product u and x in \mathbb{R}^n .

Let δ denote the Hausdorff metric on \mathcal{K}^n , i.e., for $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$, $\delta(K, L) = |h_K - h_L|_\infty$, where $|\cdot|_\infty$ denotes the sup-norm on the space of continuous functions $C(S^{n-1})$ (see e.g. [38]).

2.1 Mixed volumes

If $K_i \in \mathcal{K}^n$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$) and λ_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$) are nonnegative real numbers, then the volume of $\lambda_1 K_1 + \dots + \lambda_r K_r$ is a homogeneous polynomial in λ_i given by

$$V(\lambda_1 K_1 + \dots + \lambda_r K_r) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \lambda_{i_1} \dots \lambda_{i_n} V_{i_1 \dots i_n}, \tag{2.1}$$

where the sum is taken over all n -tuples (i_1, \dots, i_n) of positive integers not exceeding r . The coefficient $V_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ depends only on the bodies K_{i_1}, \dots, K_{i_n} , and is uniquely determined by (2.1), it is called the mixed volume of K_{i_1}, \dots, K_{i_n} , and is written as $V(K_{i_1}, \dots, K_{i_n})$. Let $K_1 = \dots = K_{n-i} = K$ and $K_{n-i+1} = \dots = K_n = L$, then the mixed volume $V(K_1, \dots, K_n)$ is usually written as $V_i(K, L)$. If $L = B$, then $V_i(K, B)$ is the i -th projection measure (quermassintegral) of K and is written as $W_i(K)$.

2.2 Projection bodies and mixed projection bodies

If $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, then the projection body of a convex body K will be denoted by ΠK and is defined as the convex body whose support function is given by

$$h(\Pi K, u) = v(K^u), \quad u \in S^{n-1}. \tag{2.2}$$

If $K_1, \dots, K_r \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \geq 0$, then the projection body of the Minkowski linear combination $\lambda_1 K_1 + \dots + \lambda_r K_r \in \mathcal{K}^n$ can be written as a symmetric homogeneous polynomial of degree $(n - 1)$ in λ_i (see [23]):

$$\Pi(\lambda_1 K_1 + \dots + \lambda_r K_r) = \sum \lambda_{i_1} \dots \lambda_{i_{n-1}} \Pi_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}}, \tag{2.3}$$

where the sum is a Minkowski sum taken over all $(n - 1)$ -tuples (i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}) of positive integers not exceeding r . The body $\Pi_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}}$ depends only on the bodies

$K_{i_1}, \dots, K_{i_{n-1}}$, and is uniquely determined by (2.3), it is called *the mixed projection body* of $K_{i_1}, \dots, K_{i_{n-1}}$, and is written as $\Pi(K_{i_1}, \dots, K_{i_{n-1}})$. If $K_1 = \dots = K_{n-1-i} = K$ and $K_{n-i} = \dots = K_{n-1} = L$, then $\Pi(K_{i_1}, \dots, K_{i_{n-1}})$ will be written as $\Pi_i(K, L)$. If $L = B$, then $\Pi_i(K, L)$ is denoted by $\Pi_i K$ and when $i = 0$, $\Pi_i K$ is just ΠK .

The support function of the mixed projection body of K_1, \dots, K_{n-1} is given by

$$h(\Pi(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}), u) = v(K_1^u, \dots, K_{n-1}^u). \tag{2.4}$$

2.3 Mixed Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphisms

Let $\Phi : \mathcal{K}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^n$ be a Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphism. There is a continuous operator (see [30])

$$\Phi : \underbrace{\mathcal{K}^n \times \dots \times \mathcal{K}^n}_{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^n,$$

symmetric in its arguments such that for K_1, \dots, K_r and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \geq 0$,

$$\Phi(\lambda_1 K_1 + \dots + \lambda_r K_r) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}} \lambda_{i_1} \dots \lambda_{i_{n-1}} \Phi(K_{i_1}, \dots, K_{i_{n-1}}). \tag{2.5}$$

Clearly, the above continuous operator generalizes the notion of Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphism. We call

$$\Phi : \underbrace{\mathcal{K}^n \times \dots \times \mathcal{K}^n}_{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^n$$

the mixed Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphism induced by Φ . Mixed Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphisms were first studied in detail in [30]. If $K_1 = \dots = K_{n-i-1} = K$, $K_{n-i} = \dots = K_{n-1} = B$, we write $\Phi_i K$ for $\Phi(\underbrace{K, \dots, K}_{n-i-1}, \underbrace{B, \dots, B}_i)$ and call Φ_i

the mixed Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphism of order i . For $0 \leq i \leq n$, we write $\Phi_i(K, L)$ for $\Phi(\underbrace{K, \dots, K}_{n-i-1}, \underbrace{L, \dots, L}_i)$. Note that $\Phi_0 K \equiv \Phi K$.

3. Auxiliary results

The following results will be required to prove our main theorems.

Lemma 3.1 [30]. *Let $\Phi : \mathcal{K}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^n$ be an even Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphism. If $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and $0 \leq j \leq n - 2$, then*

$$V(\Phi_j^*(K, L))^{1/(n-1)} \leq V(\Phi^* K)^{n-j-1} V(\Phi^* L)^j \tag{3.1}$$

with equality if and only if K and L are homothetic.

Lemma 3.2 [46]. *If $a, b \geq 0$ and $c, d > 0$, then for $0 < p < 1$,*

$$a^p c^{p-1} + b^p d^{1-p} \leq (a + b)^p (c + d)^{1-p}, \tag{3.2}$$

with equality if and only if $a/b = c/d$.

Lemma 3.3 [5, 15]. *If $a_1, b_1, \dots, l_1 \geq 0, a_2, b_2, \dots, l_2 > 0$ and $\alpha + \beta + \dots + \lambda = 1$, then*

$$a_1^\alpha b_1^\beta \dots l_1^\lambda + a_2^\alpha b_2^\beta \dots l_2^\lambda \leq (a_1 + a_2)^\alpha (b_1 + b_2)^\beta \dots (l_1 + l_2)^\lambda, \quad (3.3)$$

with equality if and only if $a_1/a_2 = b_1/b_2 = \dots = l_1/l_2$.

4. Inequalities for polar Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphisms

4.1 Minkowski-type inequalities

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\Phi : \mathcal{K}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^n$ be an even Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphism. Let D, D', K and L be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n and let D be a dilate of D' . If $1 \leq j < n - 1$, then*

$$S_V(\Phi_j^*(K, L), \Phi_j^*(D, D'))^{n-1} \leq S_V(\Phi^*K, \Phi^*D)^{n-j-1} S_V(\Phi^*L, \Phi^*D')^j \quad (4.1)$$

with equality if and only if K and L are homothetic and $(V(\Phi^*K), V(\Phi^*L)) = \mu(V(\Phi^*D), V(\Phi^*D'))$ for some constant μ .

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$V(\Phi_j^*(K, L))^{n-1} \leq V(\Phi^*K)^{n-j-1} V(\Phi^*L)^j$$

with equality if and only if K and L are homothetic. Since D is a homothetic copy of D' , we have

$$V(\Phi_j^*(D, D'))^{n-1} = V(\Phi^*D)^{n-j-1} V(\Phi^*D')^j.$$

Therefore, since $\frac{n-j-1}{n-1} + \frac{j}{n-1} = 1$, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} S_V(\Phi_j^*(K, L), \Phi_j^*(D, D')) &\leq V(\Phi^*K)^{(n-j-1)/(n-1)} V(\Phi^*L)^{j/(n-1)} \\ &\quad + V(\Phi^*D)^{(n-j-1)/(n-1)} V(\Phi^*D')^{j/(n-1)} \\ &\leq (V(\Phi^*K) + V(\Phi^*D))^{(n-j-1)/(n-1)} (V(\Phi^*L) + V(\Phi^*D'))^{j/(n-1)}. \\ &= S_V(\Phi^*K, \Phi^*D)^{(n-j-1)/(n-1)} S_V(\Phi^*L, \Phi^*D')^{j/(n-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the equality conditions of Lemma 3.1 and (3.4), equality holds if and only if K and L are homothetic and $(V(\Phi^*K), V(\Phi^*L)) = \mu(V(\Phi^*D), V(\Phi^*D'))$ for some constant μ . □

If we take the projection body operator Π as the Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphism in Theorem 4.1, then we obtain the following.

COROLLARY 4.1

Let D, D', K and L be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n and let D be a dilate of D' . If $1 \leq j < n - 1$, then

$$S_V(\Pi_j^*(K, L), \Pi_j^*(D, D'))^{n-1} \leq S_V(\Pi^*K, \Pi^*D)^{n-j-1} S_V(\Pi^*L, \Pi^*D')^j \quad (4.2)$$

with equality if and only if K and L are homothetic and $(V(\Pi^*K), V(\Pi^*L)) = \mu(V(\Pi^*D), V(\Pi^*D'))$ for some constant μ .

4.2 Aleksandrov–Fenchel-type inequalities

Theorem 4.2. Let $\Phi : \mathcal{K}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^n$ be an even Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphism. If K_i and D_i ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$) are convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , and the bodies D_j ($j = 1, \dots, r$) are homothetic copies of each other, then for every $1 \leq r \leq n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & [V(\Phi^*(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1})) + V(\Phi^*(D_1, \dots, D_{n-1}))]^r \\ & \leq \prod_{j=1}^r S_V(\Phi^*(\underbrace{K_j, \dots, K_j}_r, K_{r+1}, \dots, K_{n-1}), \\ & \quad \Phi^*(\underbrace{D_j, \dots, D_j}_r, D_{r+1}, \dots, D_{n-1})). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. By Theorem 1.4, we have

$$V(\Phi^*(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}))^r \leq \prod_{j=1}^r V(\Phi^*(\underbrace{K_j, \dots, K_j}_r, K_{r+1}, \dots, K_{n-1})).$$

Since the bodies D_j ($j = 1, \dots, r$) are homothetic copies of each other, we have

$$V(\Phi^*(D_1, \dots, D_{n-1}))^r = \prod_{j=1}^r V(\Phi^*(\underbrace{D_j, \dots, D_j}_r, D_{r+1}, \dots, D_{n-1})).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & V(\Phi^*(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1})) + V(\Phi^*(D_1, \dots, D_{n-1})) \\ & \leq \left(\prod_{j=1}^r V(\Phi^*(\underbrace{K_j, \dots, K_j}_r, K_{r+1}, \dots, K_{n-1})) \right)^{1/r} \\ & \quad + \left(\prod_{j=1}^r V(\Phi^*(\underbrace{D_j, \dots, D_j}_r, D_{r+1}, \dots, D_{n-1})) \right)^{1/r}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Now, using the special case $\alpha = \beta = \dots = \lambda = 1/r$ of Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & S_V(\Phi^*(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}), \Phi^*(D_1, \dots, D_{n-1})) \\ & \leq \left(\prod_{j=1}^r \left[V(\Phi^*(\underbrace{K_j, \dots, K_j}_r, K_{r+1}, \dots, K_{n-1})) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + V(\Phi^*(\underbrace{D_j, \dots, D_j}_r, D_{r+1}, \dots, D_{n-1})) \right] \right)^{1/r} \\ & = \prod_{j=1}^r S_V(\Phi^*(\underbrace{K_j, \dots, K_j}_r, K_{r+1}, \dots, K_{n-1}), \\ & \quad \Phi^*(\underbrace{D_j, \dots, D_j}_r, D_{r+1}, \dots, D_{n-1}))^{1/r}. \end{aligned}$$

□

If we take the projection body operator Π as the Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphism in Theorem 4.2, we obtain the following.

COROLLARY 4.2

If K_i and D_i , $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ are convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , the bodies D_j ($j = 1, \dots, r$) are homothetic copies of each other, then for $1 \leq r \leq n - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (V(\Pi^*(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1})) + V(\Pi^*(D_1, \dots, D_{n-1})))^r \\ & \leq \prod_{j=1}^r S_V(\Pi^*(\underbrace{K_j, \dots, K_j}_r, K_{r+1}, \dots, K_{n-1}), \\ & \quad \Pi^*(\underbrace{D_j, \dots, D_j}_r, D_{r+1}, \dots, D_{n-1})). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

We finally remark that inequalities for volume difference of polar Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphisms were established in [42], inequalities for L_p -intersection bodies were established in [6, 10, 12, 37, 39, 43, 48], and for L_p -mixed intersection bodies in [39].

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to the referee for his excellent suggestions and comments. This research is supported by the National Natural Sciences Foundation of China (11371334).

References

- [1] Abarodia J, Difference bodies in complex vector spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **263** (2012) 3588–3603
- [2] Abarodia J, Minkowski valuations in a 2-dimensional complex vector space, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* doi:10.1093/imrn/rnt251
- [3] Abarodia J and Bernig A, Projection bodies in complex vector spaces, *Adv. Math.* **227** (2011) 830–846
- [4] Alesker S, Bernig A and Schuster F E, Harmonic analysis of translation invariant valuations, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **21** (2011) 751–773
- [5] Beckenbach E F and Bellman R, Inequalities, 2nd edition (1965) (Springer-Verlag)
- [6] Berck G, Convexity of L_p -intersection bodies, *Adv. Math.* **222** (2009) 920–936
- [7] Gardner R J, Geometric Tomography (1996) (New York: Cambridge Univ. Press)
- [8] Gardner R J, Parapatits L and Schuster F E, A characterization of Blaschke addition, *Adv. Math.* **254** (2014) 396–418
- [9] Haberl C, Star body valued valuations, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **58** (2009) 2253–2276
- [10] Haberl C, L_p -intersection bodies, *Adv. Math.* **217** (2008) 2599–2624
- [11] Haberl C, Minkowski valuations intertwining with the special linear group, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **14** (2012) 1565–1597
- [12] Haberl C and Ludwig M, A characterization of L_p intersection bodies, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2006** (2006) Article ID 10548, 29 pages
- [13] Haberl C and Parapatits L, Valuations and surface area measures, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **687** (2014) 225–245
- [14] Haberl C and Schuster F E, General L_p affine isoperimetric inequalities, *J. Diff. Geom.* **83** (2009) 1–26

- [15] Hardy G H, Littlewood J E and Pólya G, *Inequalities* (1934) (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press)
- [16] Kiderlen M, Blaschke and Minkowski-Endomorphisms of convex bodies, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **358** (2006) 5539–5564
- [17] Leng G S, The Brunn–Minkowski inequality for volume differences, *Adv. Appl. Math.* **32** (2004) 615–624
- [18] Ludwig M, Minkowski valuations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **357**(10) (2005) 4191–4213
- [19] Ludwig M, Projection bodies and valuations, *Adv. Math.* **172** (2002) 158–168
- [20] Ludwig M, Minkowski areas and valuations, *J. Diff. Geom.* **86** (2010) 133–161
- [21] Lutwak E, Dual mixed volumes, *Pacific J. Math.* **58** (1975) 531–538
- [22] Lutwak E, Intersection bodies and dual mixed volumes, *Adv. Math.* **71** (1988) 232–261
- [23] Lutwak E, Mixed projection inequalities, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **287**(1) (1985) 91–105
- [24] Lv S J, Dual Brunn–Minkowski inequality for volume differences, *Geom. Dedicata* **145** (2010) 169–180
- [25] Parapatits L, $SL(n)$ -covariant L_p -Minkowski valuations, *J. London Math. Soc.* **89** (2014) 397–414
- [26] Parapatits L, $SL(n)$ -contravariant L_p -Minkowski valuations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **366** (2014) 1195–1211
- [27] Parapatits L and Schuster F E, The Steiner formula for Minkowski valuations, *Adv. Math.* **230** (2012) 978–994
- [28] Parapatits L and Wannerer T, On the inverse Klain map, *Duke Math. J.* **162** (2013) 1895–1922
- [29] Schneider R, *Convex Bodies: The Brunn–Minkowski Theory* (1993): Cambridge Univ. Press)
- [30] Schuster F E, Volume inequalities and additive maps of convex bodies, *Mathematika* **53** (2006) 211–234
- [31] Schuster F E, Convolutions and Multiplier Transformations of Convex Bodies, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **359** (2007) 5567–5591
- [32] Schuster F E, Valuations and Busemann-Petty type problems, *Adv. Math.* **219** (2008) 344–368
- [33] Schuster F E, Crofton measures and Minkowski valuations, *Duke Math. J.* **154** (2010) 1–30
- [34] Schuster F E and Wannerer T, $GL(n)$ contravariant Minkowski valuations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **364** (2012) 815–826
- [35] Wannerer T, $GL(n)$ equivariant Minkowski valuations, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **60** (2011) 1655–1672
- [36] Weberndorfer M, Shadow systems of asymmetric L_p zonotopes, *Adv. Math.* **240** (2013) 613–635
- [37] Yuan J and Cheung W, L_p -intersection bodies, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **338** (2008) 1431–1439
- [38] Zhang G Y, The affine Sobolev inequality, *J. Diff. Geom.* **53** (1999) 183–202
- [39] Zhao C J, L_p -mixed intersection bodies, *Sci. China* **51** (2008) 2172–2188
- [40] Zhao C J, On Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphisms, *Geom. Dedicata* **149** (2010) 373–378
- [41] Zhao C J, L_p -dual Quermassintegral sums, *Sci. China* **50** (2007) 1347–1360
- [42] Zhao C J, On polars of Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphisms, *Math. Scand.* **111** (2012) 147–160
- [43] Zhao C J and Cheung W, L_p -Brunn–Minkowski inequality, *Indag. Mathem.* **20** (2009) 179–190

- [44] Zhao C J and Cheung W, On p -quermassintegrals differences function, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.* **116** (2006) 221–231
- [45] Zhao C J and Cheung W, L_p -mixed intersetion bodies and star duality, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.* **120** (2010) 429–440
- [46] Zhao C J and Leng G, Inequalities for dual quermassintegrals of mixed intersetion bodies, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.* **115** (2005) 79–91
- [47] Zhao C J and Mihály B, L_p -Minkowski and Aleksandrov–Fenchel type inequalities, *Balkan J. Geom. Appl.* **14** (2009) 34–43
- [48] Zhu X and Leng G, On the L_p -intersection body, *Appl. Math. Mech.* **28** (2007) 1669–1678

COMMUNICATING EDITOR: B V Rajarama Bhat