

## On $IA$ -automorphisms that fix the centre element-wise

PRADEEP K RAI

School of Mathematics, Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Chhatnag Road, Jhansi,  
Allahabad 211 019, India  
E-mail: pradeeprai@hri.res.in

MS received 20 January 2013; revised 14 June 2013

**Abstract.** Let  $G$  be a group. An automorphism of  $G$  is called an  $IA$ -automorphism if it induces the identity mapping on  $G/\gamma_2(G)$ , where  $\gamma_2(G)$  is the commutator subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $IA_z(G)$  be the group of those  $IA$ -automorphisms, which fix the centre element-wise and let  $\text{Autcent}(G)$  be the group of central automorphisms, the automorphisms that induce the identity mapping on the central quotient. It can be observed that  $\text{Autcent}(G) = C_{\text{Aut}(G)}(IA_z(G))$ . We prove that  $IA_z(G)$  and  $IA_z(H)$  are isomorphic for any two finite isoclinic groups  $G$  and  $H$ . Also, for a finite  $p$ -group  $G$ , we give a necessary and sufficient condition to ensure that  $IA_z(G) = \text{Autcent}(G)$ .

**Keywords.**  $IA$ -automorphism; class-preserving automorphism; isoclinism; central automorphism.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.** 20F28.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be any group. By  $\gamma_2(G)$  and  $Z(G)$ , we denote the commutator subgroup and the center of  $G$  respectively. For  $x, y \in G$ ,  $x^y$  denotes the conjugate of  $x$  by  $y$  i.e.,  $y^{-1}xy$ . Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ , then  $C_G(H)$  denotes the centralizer of  $H$  in  $G$ . Let  $A$  be an abelian group, then  $\text{Hom}(G, A)$  denotes the group of all homomorphisms from  $G$  to  $A$ . By  $\text{Aut}(G)$  and  $\text{Inn}(G)$ , we denote the group of all automorphisms and the group of all inner automorphisms respectively. Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of  $G$ . Following Bachmuth [2], we call  $\sigma$  an  $IA$ -automorphism if  $x^{-1}\sigma(x) \in \gamma_2(G)$  for each  $x \in G$ . He explained that ‘the letters  $I$  and  $A$  are used to remind the reader that these automorphisms are those which induce the identity automorphism in the abelianized group’. If  $x^{-1}\sigma(x) \in Z(G)$  for each  $x \in G$ , then we say that  $\sigma$  is a central automorphism. If  $\sigma$  preserves all the conjugacy classes of  $G$ , then it is called a class-preserving automorphism. The set of all  $IA$ -automorphisms, the central automorphisms and the class-preserving automorphisms of  $G$  form normal subgroups of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  and are denoted by  $IA(G)$ ,  $\text{Autcent}(G)$  and  $\text{Aut}_c(G)$  respectively. To avoid confusion, we want to mention here that some authors use the notation  $\text{Aut}_c(G)$  for the group of all central automorphisms of  $G$  (e.g. [4, 5]). The set of all  $IA$ -automorphisms that fix the centre element-wise forms a normal subgroup of  $IA(G)$  and is denoted by  $IA_z(G)$ . It is a well-known fact that  $\text{Autcent}(G) = C_{\text{Aut}(G)}(\text{Inn}(G))$ . We note that  $\text{Autcent}(G)$  centralizes not only  $\text{Inn}(G)$ , but also  $IA_z(G)$ . Thus  $\text{Autcent}(G) = C_{\text{Aut}(G)}(IA_z(G))$ .

Yadav [9] proved that if two finite groups  $G$  and  $H$  are isoclinic (see §2 for definition), then  $\text{Aut}_c(G) \cong \text{Aut}_c(H)$ . Notice that any class-preserving automorphism is an  $IA$ -automorphism which fixes the centre element-wise and hence  $\text{Aut}_c(G) \leq IA_z(G)$ . The motivation behind this article is to extend the result of Yadav to the group of  $IA$ -automorphisms that fix the centre element-wise. Our main result is as follows.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $G$  and  $H$  be two finite isoclinic groups. Then there exists an isomorphism  $\alpha: IA_z(G) \rightarrow IA_z(H)$  such that  $\alpha(\text{Aut}_c(G)) = \text{Aut}_c(H)$ .*

We apply Theorem A to prove the following result:

**Theorem B.** *Let  $G$  be a finite  $p$ -group.*

- (1) *Then  $IA_z(G) = \text{Autcent}(G)$  if and only if  $\gamma_2(G) = Z(G)$ .*
- (2) *If the nilpotency class of  $G$  is 2, then  $IA_z(G) = \text{Inn}(G)$  if and only if  $\gamma_2(G)$  is cyclic.*

We note that Cheng [3] proved that if  $\gamma_2(G)$  is cyclic, then  $IA_z(G) = \text{Inn}(G)$  for any finite  $p$ -group  $G$  with  $p$  an odd prime.

The following corollary is a result of Curran and McCaughan [4], and is a consequence of Theorem B.

#### COROLLARY 1.1

*Let  $G$  be a finite  $p$ -group. Then  $\text{Inn}(G) = \text{Autcent}(G)$  if and only if  $\gamma_2(G) = Z(G)$  and  $\gamma_2(G)$  is cyclic.*

## 2. Preliminary definitions and results

The concept of isoclinism was first introduced by Hall [7]. We say that two groups  $G$  and  $H$  are isoclinic if there exist isomorphisms  $\phi$  of  $G/Z(G)$  onto  $H/Z(H)$  and  $\theta$  of  $\gamma_2(G)$  onto  $\gamma_2(H)$ , such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G/Z(G) \times G/Z(G) & \xrightarrow{\phi \times \phi} & H/Z(H) \times H/Z(H) \\ a_G \downarrow & & a_H \downarrow \\ \gamma_2(G) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \gamma_2(H), \end{array}$$

where  $a_G(xZ(G), yZ(G)) = [x, y]$  for  $x, y \in G$  and  $a_H(kZ(H), lZ(H)) = [k, l]$  for  $k, l \in H$ . The pair  $(\phi, \theta)$  is called an isoclinism of  $G$  onto  $H$ .

The following lemma is due to [8].

*Lemma 2.1 (Lemma 1.5 of [8]). Let  $G$  and  $H$  be isoclinic groups and  $(\phi, \theta)$  be an isoclinism of  $G$  onto  $H$ . Then,*

- (1)  $\phi(gZ(G)) = \theta(g)Z(H)$  for  $g \in \gamma_2(G)$ , and
- (2)  $\theta(\gamma_2(G) \cap Z(G)) = \gamma_2(H) \cap Z(H)$ .

Using Lemma 2.1, we prove the following lemma, which will be used in the proof of Theorem A.

**Lemma 2.2** Let  $G$  and  $H$  be isoclinic groups and  $(\phi, \theta)$  be an isoclinism of  $G$  onto  $H$ . Then for  $b \in \gamma_2(G)$  and  $a \in G$  such that  $\phi(aZ(G)) = kZ(H)$ , we have  $\theta(b^a)^{k^{-1}} = \theta(b)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\phi(bZ(G)) = lZ(H)$ . Then

$$\theta(b^a)^{k^{-1}} = k\theta([a, b^{-1}])\theta(b)k^{-1} = k[k, l^{-1}]\theta(b)k^{-1} = lkl^{-1}\theta(b)k^{-1}.$$

From Lemma 2.1,  $l^{-1}\theta(b) \in Z(H)$ . Hence by the preceding equation,  $\theta(b^a)^{k^{-1}} = \theta(b)$ .  $\square$

The following theorem was proved by Hall [7].

**Theorem 2.3.** In every family of isoclinic groups there exists a group  $G$  such that  $Z(G) \leq \gamma_2(G)$ .

We say that a group  $G$  is purely non-abelian if it does not have any abelian direct factor. The following well-known result was proved by Adney and Yen [1].

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $G$  be a purely non-abelian group. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between  $\text{Autcent}(G)$  and  $\text{Hom}(G/\gamma_2(G), Z(G))$ .

### 3. Proofs of theorems A and B

*Proof of Theorem A.* Let  $(\phi, \theta)$  be an isoclinism of  $G$  onto  $H$ . Let  $\sigma \in IA_z(G)$ . Define a map  $\tau_\sigma$  by  $\tau_\sigma(h) = h\theta(g^{-1}\sigma(g))$  for  $h \in H$ , where  $g$  is given by  $\phi^{-1}(hZ(H)) = gZ(G)$ . Notice that  $\tau_\sigma$  is well-defined because  $\sigma$  fixes  $Z(G)$  elementwise. Next we show that  $\tau_\sigma \in IA_z(H)$ . Let  $h_1, h_2 \in H$  and  $\phi^{-1}(h_iZ(H)) = g_iZ(G)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_\sigma(h_1h_2) &= h_1h_2\theta(g_2^{-1}g_1^{-1}\sigma(g_1g_2)) \\ &= h_1h_2\theta(g_2^{-1}g_1^{-1}\sigma(g_1)g_2g_2^{-1}\sigma(g_2)) \\ &= h_1h_2\theta(g_2^{-1}g_1^{-1}\sigma(g_1)g_2)h_2^{-1}h_2\theta(g_2^{-1}\sigma(g_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_\sigma(h_1h_2) &= h_1\theta(g_1^{-1}\sigma(g_1))h_2\theta(g_2^{-1}\sigma(g_2)) \\ &= \tau_\sigma(h_1)\tau_\sigma(h_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\tau_\sigma$  is a homomorphism.

Now we show that  $\tau_\sigma$  is a bijection. Since  $H$  is finite, it is enough to show that it is an injection. Let  $\tau_\sigma(h) = 1$  and  $\phi^{-1}(hZ(H)) = gZ(G)$ . Then  $h\theta(g^{-1}\sigma(g)) = 1$ . This implies that  $h \in \gamma_2(H)$  and hence  $g \in \gamma_2(G)Z(G)$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $g \in \gamma_2(G)$ . Then we have  $h\theta(g^{-1})\theta(\sigma(g)) = 1$ . However, by Lemma 2.1,  $h\theta(g^{-1}) \in Z(H)$ , so that  $\theta(\sigma(g)) \in Z(H) \cap \gamma_2(H)$ . Using Lemma 2.1 again,  $\sigma(g) \in Z(G)$ , which implies  $g \in Z(G)$ . Hence  $\sigma(g) = g$ , as  $\sigma$  fixes the centre element-wise. Thus  $h = 1$  and hence  $\tau_\sigma$  is a bijection. It is easy to see that  $\tau_\sigma$  fixes  $Z(H)$  element-wise, so that  $\tau_\sigma \in IA_z(H)$ .

Define  $\alpha$  as  $\alpha(\sigma) = \tau_\sigma$ . We show that  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism. Let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in IA_z(G)$  and let  $h \in H$  with  $\phi^{-1}(hZ(H)) = gZ(G)$ . Then,  $\tau_{\sigma_1}\tau_{\sigma_2}(h) = \tau_{\sigma_1}(h\theta(g^{-1}\sigma_2(g)))$ . Using Lemma 2.1, we have  $\phi^{-1}(h\theta(g^{-1}\sigma_2(g))Z(H)) = \sigma_2(g)Z(G)$ . Therefore  $\tau_{\sigma_1}\tau_{\sigma_2}(h) = h\theta(g^{-1}\sigma_2(g))\theta(\sigma_2(g^{-1})\sigma_1\sigma_2(g))$ , which equals  $h\theta(g^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_2(g))$ , which is  $\tau_{\sigma_1\sigma_2}(h)$ . Since  $h$  is arbitrary, we have  $\tau_{\sigma_1\sigma_2} = \tau_{\sigma_1}\tau_{\sigma_2}$  and hence  $\alpha$  is a homomorphism.

To show that  $\alpha$  is a bijection, notice that  $(\phi^{-1}, \theta^{-1})$  is an isoclinism of  $H$  onto  $G$ . So given a  $\tau \in IA_z(H)$ , in a similar manner as above,  $\sigma_\tau \in IA_z(G)$  can be defined. Define  $\beta: IA_z(H) \rightarrow IA_z(G)$  as  $\beta(\tau) = \sigma_\tau$ . Let  $g \in G$  and  $\phi(gZ(G)) = hZ(H)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\beta\alpha(\sigma)(g) &= \beta(\tau_\sigma)(g) \\ &= g\theta^{-1}(h^{-1}\tau_\sigma(h)) \\ &= g\theta^{-1}(h^{-1}h\theta(g^{-1}\sigma(g))) \\ &= \sigma(g).\end{aligned}$$

Since  $g$  is arbitrary, this shows that  $\beta\alpha(\sigma) = \sigma$ . However, as  $\sigma$  is arbitrary,  $\beta\alpha = 1$ . Similarly  $\alpha\beta = 1$ . Thus  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism between  $IA_z(G)$  and  $IA_z(H)$ .

It now remains to show that  $\alpha(\text{Aut}_c(G)) = \text{Aut}_c(H)$ . Let  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_c(G)$ . To show that  $\tau_\sigma \in \text{Aut}_c(H)$ , let  $h \in H$  with  $\phi^{-1}(hZ(H)) = gZ(G)$ . Then  $\tau_\sigma(h) = h\theta(g^{-1}\sigma(g))$ . However,  $\sigma$  is a class-preserving automorphism and so there exists an  $a \in G$  such that  $\sigma(g) = a^{-1}ga$ . Hence, we have  $\tau_\sigma(h) = h\theta([g, a])$ . Suppose  $\phi(aZ(G)) = bZ(H)$ . Then by commutativity of the diagram in the definition of isoclinism we get  $\tau_\sigma(h) = h[h, b]$ , i.e.,  $\tau_\sigma(h) = b^{-1}hb$ . This shows that  $\tau_\sigma \in \text{Aut}_c(H)$ . So we have  $\alpha(\text{Aut}_c(G)) \leq \text{Aut}_c(H)$ . Similarly we can show that  $\beta(\text{Aut}_c(H)) \leq \text{Aut}_c(G)$ . We have already shown that  $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha = 1$ , therefore  $\alpha(\text{Aut}_c(G)) = \text{Aut}_c(H)$ .

*Proof of Theorem B.*

(1) First suppose that  $\gamma_2(G) = Z(G)$ , then clearly  $IA(G) = \text{Autcent}(G)$ . Now each central automorphism fixes  $\gamma_2(G) = Z(G)$  element-wise, therefore each  $IA$ -automorphism fixes  $Z(G)$  element-wise. This shows that  $IA_z(G) = \text{Autcent}(G)$ .

Conversely, suppose  $IA_z(G) = \text{Autcent}(G)$ . Then, since  $\text{Inn}(G) \leq IA_z(G)$ ,  $G$  must be a group of class 2. Now, we prove that  $G$  is purely non-abelian. For this we rely on the technique used by Yadav of (Proposition 3.4 of [11]). Suppose on the contrary,  $G = A \times N$ , where  $A$  is non-trivial and abelian and  $N$  is purely non-abelian. Clearly  $IA_z(G) \cong IA_z(N)$ . Next,  $|\text{Autcent}(G)| > |\text{Autcent}(A)||\text{Autcent}(N)| \geq |\text{Autcent}(N)|$ . Therefore,

$$|IA_z(G)| = |IA_z(N)| \leq |\text{Autcent}(N)| < |\text{Autcent}(G)|,$$

which is a contradiction to the assumption that  $IA_z(G) = \text{Autcent}(G)$ . Hence  $G$  is purely non-abelian. Now by Theorem 2.3, there exists a group  $H$  isoclinic to  $G$  such that  $Z(H) \leq \gamma_2(H)$ . Since  $G$  is of class 2,  $H$  is also of class 2 and therefore  $\gamma_2(H) = Z(H)$ . By what we just proved,  $IA_z(H) = \text{Autcent}(H)$ . Now, since  $Z(H) \leq \gamma_2(H)$ ,  $H$  is purely non-abelian, and hence by Theorem 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned}|IA_z(H)| &= |\text{Hom}(H/\gamma_2(H), Z(H))| \\ &= |\text{Hom}(H/Z(H), \gamma_2(H))| = |\text{Hom}(G/Z(G), \gamma_2(G))|.\end{aligned}$$

However, by Theorem A,  $|IA_z(H)| = |IA_z(G)|$ , which, by the hypothesis and Theorem 2.4 equals  $|\text{Hom}(G/\gamma_2(G), Z(G))|$ . Therefore, we have  $|\text{Hom}(G/Z(G), \gamma_2(G))| = |\text{Hom}(G/\gamma_2(G), Z(G))|$ . It now follows from Lemma 2.8 of [5] that  $\gamma_2(G) = Z(G)$ .

(2) By Theorem 2.3, there exists a group  $H$  isoclinic to  $G$  such that  $Z(H) \leq \gamma_2(H)$ . Since  $G$  is of class 2,  $H$  is also of class 2 and  $\gamma_2(H) = Z(H)$ . Thus  $IA_z(H) = \text{Autcent}(H)$  and hence by Theorem 2.4,

$$|IA_z(H)| = |\text{Hom}(H/Z(H), \gamma_2(H))|,$$

which in turn equals  $|\text{Hom}(G/Z(G), \gamma_2(G))|$  using the definition of isoclinism. Applying Theorem A, we also have that  $|IA_z(H)| = |IA_z(G)|$ .

Now suppose that  $IA_z(G) = \text{Inn}(G)$ . Then

$$|IA_z(G) = |G/Z(G)| = |\text{Hom}(G/Z(G), \gamma_2(G))|.$$

However, since  $G$  is of class 2, the exponents of  $G/Z(G)$  and  $\gamma_2(G)$  are the same. Hence the preceding equality gives that  $\gamma_2(G)$  is cyclic.

Conversely, suppose that  $\gamma_2(G)$  is cyclic. Then

$$|\text{Hom}(H/Z(H), \gamma_2(H))| = |H/Z(H)| = |G/Z(G)| = |\text{Inn}(G)|,$$

since  $\gamma_2(G) \cong \gamma_2(H)$ . This gives that  $IA_z(G) = \text{Inn}(G)$ .

### Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to his supervisor Dr. Manoj K Yadav for his guidance and help in carrying out this work. He thanks the referee for his/her invaluable comments and suggestions, which improved the exposition substantially.

### References

- [1] Adney J E and Yen T, Automorphisms of  $p$ -groups, *Illinois J. Math.* **9** (1965) 137–143
- [2] Bachmuth S, Automorphisms of free metabelian groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **118** (1965) 93–104
- [3] Cheng Y, On finite  $p$ -groups with cyclic commutator subgroup, *Arch. Math.* **39** (1982) 295–298
- [4] Curran M J and McCaughan D J, Central automorphisms that are almost inner, *Comm. Algebra* **29** (2001) 2081–2087
- [5] Curran M J, Finite groups with central automorphism group of minimal order, *Math. Proc. Royal Irish Acad.* **104 A(2)** (2004) 223–229
- [6] Curran M J, Direct products with abelian automorphism groups, *Comm. Algebra* **35** (2007) 389–397
- [7] Hall P, The classification of prime power groups, *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* **182** (1940) 130–141
- [8] Tappe J, On isoclinic groups, *Math. Z.* **148** (1976) 147–153
- [9] Yadav M K, On automorphisms of some finite  $p$ -groups, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.)* **118** (2008) 1–11
- [10] Yadav M K, On central automorphisms fixing the center elementwise, *Comm. Algebra* **37** (2009) 4325–4331
- [11] Yadav M K, On finite  $p$ -groups whose central automorphisms are all class preserving, *Comm. Algebra* **41(12)** (2013) 4576–4592