

## Real parabolic vector bundles over a real curve

SANJAY AMRUTIYA

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, CIT Campus, Taramani,  
Chennai 600 113, India  
E-mail: amrutiya@imsc.res.in

MS received 21 August 2012; revised 2 May 2013

**Abstract.** We define real parabolic structures on real vector bundles over a real curve. Let  $(X, \sigma_X)$  be a real curve, and let  $S \subset X$  be a non-empty finite subset of  $X$  such that  $\sigma_X(S) = S$ . Let  $N \geq 2$  be an integer. We construct an  $N$ -fold cyclic cover  $p : Y \rightarrow X$  in the category of real curves, ramified precisely over each point of  $S$ , and with the property that for any element  $g$  of the Galois group  $\Gamma$ , and any  $y \in Y$ , one has  $\sigma_Y(gy) = g^{-1}\sigma_Y(y)$ . We established an equivalence between the category of real parabolic vector bundles on  $(X, \sigma_X)$  with real parabolic structure over  $S$ , all of whose weights are integral multiples of  $1/N$ , and the category of real  $\Gamma$ -equivariant vector bundles on  $(Y, \sigma_Y)$ .

**Keywords.** Real parabolic bundles; real curve.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.** Primary: 14H60, 14P99; Secondary: 14E20.

### 1. Introduction

The notion of parabolic vector bundles over a compact Riemann surface was introduced by Seshadri [4] and their moduli studied in [2]. Here we consider real vector bundles over a real curve and define parabolic structures on real vector bundles.

By a real curve, we mean a pair  $(X, \sigma_X)$ , where  $X$  is a compact Riemann surface and  $\sigma_X$  is an anti-holomorphic involution on  $X$ . A real vector bundle over a real curve  $(X, \sigma_X)$  is a pair  $(E, \sigma^E)$ , where  $\pi : E \rightarrow X$  is a holomorphic vector bundle and  $\sigma^E$  is an anti-holomorphic involution on  $E$  such that  $\pi \circ \sigma^E = \sigma_X \circ \pi$  and for all  $x \in X$ , the map  $\sigma^E|_{E(x)} : E(x) \rightarrow E(\sigma_X(x))$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -antilinear:

$$\sigma^E(\lambda \cdot \eta) = \bar{\lambda} \cdot \sigma^E(\eta), \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and all } \eta \in E(x),$$

where  $E(x)$  denotes the fibre of  $E$  at  $x$ .

Let  $(X, \sigma_X)$  be a real curve, and let  $(E, \sigma^E)$  be a real vector bundle over  $(X, \sigma_X)$ . Let  $S \subset X$  be a non-empty finite subset of  $X$  such that  $\sigma_X(S) = S$ . By a real parabolic structure on  $(E, \sigma^E)$  over  $S$  we mean for each  $x \in S$ , a strictly decreasing weighted flag in  $E(x)$  which is preserved by  $\sigma^E$  and the weights over  $x$  and  $\sigma_X(x)$  are same (see §3 for the precise definition). Let  $N \geq 2$  be a positive integer. In §4, we construct a  $N$ -fold cyclic cover  $p : Y \rightarrow X$  in the category of real curves, ramified precisely over each point of  $S$ , and with the property that for any element  $g$  of the Galois group  $\Gamma$  of  $p$ , and any  $y \in Y$ , one has  $\sigma_Y(gy) = g^{-1}\sigma_Y(y)$ . Let  $\mathbf{RP}(X, N)$  be the category of real parabolic vector

bundles on  $(X, \sigma_X)$  with parabolic structure over  $S$ , whose all the weights are integral multiples of  $1/N$ . Let  $\mathbf{RE}_\Gamma(Y)$  be the category whose objects are all  $\Gamma$ -equivariant real vector bundles on  $(Y, \sigma_Y)$  and morphisms are morphisms of  $\Gamma$ -equivariant real vector bundles. In §5, we prove the following (see Theorem 5.3):

**Theorem 1.1.** *There is a canonical functor  $\Psi : \mathbf{RP}(X, N) \rightarrow \mathbf{RE}_\Gamma(Y)$  which is an equivalence of categories.*

## 2. Preliminaries

By a real curve, we mean a pair  $(X, \sigma_X)$ , where  $X$  is a compact Riemann surface and  $\sigma_X$  is an anti-holomorphic involution on  $X$ . Let  $\sigma_\mathbb{C} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the conjugate map  $z \mapsto \bar{z}$ .

### PROPOSITION 2.1

*A continuous involution  $\sigma : X \rightarrow X$  on a Riemann surface  $X$  is an anti-holomorphic involution if and only if for every open subset  $U$  of  $X$ , the map*

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \tilde{\sigma}_U : \mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(\sigma(U))$$

*defined by  $f \mapsto \sigma_\mathbb{C} \circ f \circ \sigma$  is an isomorphism of rings.*

*Proof.* If  $\sigma : X \rightarrow X$  is an anti-holomorphic involution, then the map  $\tilde{\sigma}_U$  defined as above will be an isomorphism. Conversely, suppose that the map

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \tilde{\sigma}_U : \mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(\sigma(U))$$

defined by  $f \mapsto \sigma_\mathbb{C} \circ f \circ \sigma$  is an isomorphism. For every pair of charts  $\psi_1 : U_1 \rightarrow V_1 \subset \mathbb{C}$  and  $\psi_2 : U_2 \rightarrow V_2 \subset \mathbb{C}$  on  $X$  with  $\sigma(U_1) \subset U_2$ , the map

$$\psi_2 \circ \sigma \circ \psi_1^{-1} : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$$

is anti-holomorphic, since  $\sigma_\mathbb{C} \circ \psi_2 \circ \sigma$  is holomorphic. This proves that the map  $\sigma : X \rightarrow X$  is an anti-holomorphic involution.  $\square$

*Real vector bundles.* Let  $(X, \sigma_X)$  be a real curve. A *real holomorphic vector bundle*  $E \rightarrow X$  is a holomorphic vector bundle, together with an anti-holomorphic involution  $\sigma^E$  of  $E$  making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\sigma^E} & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\sigma_X} & X \end{array}$$

commutative, and such that, for all  $x \in X$ , the map  $\sigma^E|_{E(x)} : E(x) \rightarrow E(\sigma(x))$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -antilinear:

$$\sigma^E(\lambda \cdot \eta) = \bar{\lambda} \cdot \sigma^E(\eta), \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and all } \eta \in E(x).$$

A homomorphism between two real bundles  $(E, \sigma^E)$  and  $(E', \sigma^{E'})$  is a homomorphism

$$f : E \rightarrow E'$$

of holomorphic vector bundles over  $X$  such that  $f \circ \sigma^E = \sigma^{E'} \circ f$ .

A holomorphic subbundle  $F$  of a real holomorphic vector bundle  $E$  is said to be real subbundle of  $E$  if  $\sigma^E(F) = F$ .

*Real  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules.* Let  $(X, \sigma)$  be a real curve, and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. We define an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$  as follows. For any open subset  $U$  of  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^\sigma(U) = \mathcal{F}(\sigma(U))$ , and for every  $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$  and  $s \in \mathcal{F}^\sigma(U)$ ,  $f \cdot s = \tilde{\sigma}_U(f)s$ . It is easy to check that  $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module.

Let  $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be a homomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. Define  $\phi^\sigma : \mathcal{F}^\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^\sigma$  as follows: For every open subset  $U$  of  $X$ ,

$$\phi_U^\sigma : \mathcal{F}^\sigma(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^\sigma(U), \quad \phi_U^\sigma = \phi_{\sigma(U)}$$

If  $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ , and  $s \in \mathcal{F}^\sigma(U)$  then

$$\phi_U^\sigma(f \cdot s) = \phi_{\sigma(U)}(\sigma_U(f)(s)) = \sigma_U(f)\phi_{\sigma(U)}(s),$$

since  $\phi_{\sigma(U)}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_X(\sigma(U))$ -linear. Therefore,  $\phi^\sigma(f \cdot s) = f \cdot \phi^\sigma(s)$ . It follows that  $\phi^\sigma$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules.

#### DEFINITION 2.2

A real structure on an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module homomorphism  $\sigma^\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\sigma$  such that  $(\sigma^\mathcal{F})^\sigma \circ \sigma^\mathcal{F} = \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{F}$ . By a *real  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module*, we mean a pair  $(\mathcal{F}, \sigma^\mathcal{F})$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module and  $\sigma^\mathcal{F}$  is a real structure on an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{F}, \sigma^\mathcal{F})$  and  $(\mathcal{G}, \sigma^\mathcal{G})$  be two real  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. A morphism  $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules is said to be a morphism of real  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules if  $\sigma^\mathcal{G} \circ \phi = \phi^\sigma \circ \sigma^\mathcal{F}$ .

We recall that a vector bundle  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  is called *semistable* if for every non-zero subbundle  $\mathcal{F}'$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ , the inequality

$$\mu(\mathcal{F}') \leq \mu(\mathcal{F})$$

is valid. If strict inequality is valid for all non-zero proper subbundle  $\mathcal{F}'$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is called *stable*. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a direct sum of stable vector bundles having the same slope, then  $\mathcal{F}$  is called *polystable*.

#### DEFINITION 2.3

A real holomorphic vector bundle  $(E, \sigma^E)$  over a real curve is said to be *real semistable* (respectively, *real stable*) if for every proper real coherent subsheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $E$ , we have

$$\mu(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mu(E) \quad (\text{respectively, } \mu(\mathcal{F}) < \mu(E)).$$

Let  $(E, \sigma^E)$  be a real holomorphic vector bundle over a real curve  $(X, \sigma)$ . Then  $(E, \sigma^E)$  is said to be *real polystable* if  $E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n E_i$ , where  $(E_i, \sigma^E|_{E_i})$  is real stable subbundle of  $E$  satisfying  $\mu(E_i) = \mu(E)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

*Remark 2.4.* Let  $E$  be a real holomorphic vector bundle over a real curve  $X$ . Then the corresponding locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  is a real  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. Conversely, if  $\mathcal{E}$  is a locally free real  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module then the corresponding holomorphic vector bundle is a real holomorphic vector bundle.

### 3. Real parabolic vector bundles

#### DEFINITION 3.1

Let  $(E, \sigma^E)$  be a real vector bundle over a real curve  $(X, \sigma_X)$ . Let  $S$  be a finite subset of  $X$  such that  $\sigma(S) = S$ . The points in  $S$  are called the real parabolic points.

By *real quasi-parabolic structure* on  $(E, \sigma^E)$  over  $S$ , we mean for each  $x \in S$ , there is a strictly decreasing flag

$$E(x) = F^1 E(x) \supset F^2 E(x) \supset \cdots \supset F^{k_x} E(x) \supset F^{k_x+1} E(x) = 0$$

of linear subspaces in  $E(x)$  satisfying the following property:

(RP1)  $\sigma^E$  preserve the flags, i.e.,  $\sigma_x^E(F^i E(x)) = F^i E(\sigma_X(x))$ .

We define

$$r_j = \dim(F^j E(x)) - \dim(F^{j+1} E(x)).$$

The integer  $k$  is called the length of the flag and the sequence  $(r_1, \dots, r_{k_x})$  is called the type of the flag.

A *real parabolic structure* on  $(E, \sigma^E)$  over  $S$  is a real quasi-parabolic structure on  $(E, \sigma^E)$  over  $S$  as above, together with a sequence of real numbers  $0 \leq \alpha_1^x < \cdots < \alpha_{k_x}^x < 1$ , which are called weights corresponding to the subspaces  $(F^1 E(x), F^2 E(x), \dots, F^{k_x} E(x))$ , with the following property:

(RP2) The weights over  $x$  and  $\sigma_X(x)$  are same.

We set

$$d_x E = \sum_{j=1}^k r_j \alpha_j,$$

where  $r_j = \dim(F^j E(x)) - \dim(F^{j+1} E(x))$ .

The parabolic degree, denoted by  $\text{p deg}(E)$ , is defined by

$$\text{p deg}(E) = \text{deg}(E) + \sum_{x \in S} d_x E, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\text{deg}(E)$  denotes the topological degree of  $E$ , and we define the parabolic slope by

$$\text{p}\mu(E) = \frac{\text{p deg}(E)}{\text{rank}(E)}. \quad (3.2)$$

#### DEFINITION 3.2

Given two real parabolic bundles  $(E_1, \sigma^{E_1})$  and  $(E_2, \sigma^{E_2})$  over  $(X, \sigma_X)$ , a *real parabolic morphism* is a homomorphism  $\psi : (E_1, \sigma^{E_1}) \rightarrow (E_2, \sigma^{E_2})$  of real vector bundles which respects the real parabolic structures, i.e., for each real parabolic point  $x$  with the real parabolic structures on  $E_l$  at  $x$  for  $l = 1, 2$  given by

$$E_l(x) = F^1 E_l(x) \supset F^2 E_l(x) \supset \cdots \supset F^{k_x} E_l(x) \supset 0,$$

$$0 \leq \alpha_1^l < \alpha_2^l < \cdots < \alpha_{k_x}^l < 1,$$

we require that  $\psi(x)$  satisfies

$$\alpha_i^1 > \alpha_j^2 \implies \psi(x)(F^i E_1(x)) \subseteq F^{j+1} E_2(x). \quad (3.3)$$

An isomorphism  $\psi : (E_1, \sigma^{E_1}) \rightarrow (E_2, \sigma^{E_2})$  is said to be an isomorphism of real parabolic bundles if  $\psi$  and  $\psi^{-1}$  are real parabolic morphisms.

*Remark 3.3.* We can replace condition (3.3) by the following equivalent condition on  $\psi(x)$ . Given the weight  $\alpha_i^1$ , let  $\alpha_j^2$  be the smallest weight such that  $\alpha_i^1 \leq \alpha_j^2$ , then we require

$$\psi(x)(F^i E(x)) \subseteq F^j E_2(x). \quad (3.4)$$

*Lemma 3.4.* If  $\psi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  and  $\phi : E_2 \rightarrow E_3$  are morphisms of real parabolic bundles, then  $\phi \circ \psi$  is a real parabolic morphism.

*Proof.* First note that  $\phi \circ \psi$  is a morphism of real holomorphic vector bundles. Suppose  $x \in X$  is a real parabolic point. We use the notation  $\{F_j^n, \alpha_j^n\}$  for the weighted flag in  $E_n$  at  $x$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3$ . Given the weight  $\alpha_i^1$ , let  $\alpha_j^2$  be the smallest weight with  $\alpha_i^1 \leq \alpha_j^2$ . Then by condition (3.4),  $\psi(x)(F_i^1) \subseteq F_j^2$ . Also, if  $\alpha_k^3$  is the smallest weight with  $\alpha_j^2 \leq \alpha_k^3$ , then  $\phi(x)(F_j^2) \subseteq F_k^3$ . Thus we see that  $(\phi \circ \psi)(x)(F_i^1) \subseteq F_k^3$ . On the other hand, let  $\alpha_{k'}^3$  be the smallest weight with  $\alpha_i^1 \leq \alpha_{k'}^3$ . Since  $\alpha_i^1 \leq \alpha_k^3$  we see that  $\alpha_{k'}^3 \leq \alpha_k^3$ . Thus  $F_k^3 \subseteq F_{k'}^3$  and hence  $(\phi \circ \psi)(x)(F_i^1) \subseteq F_{k'}^3$ .  $\square$

We denote by  $\mathbf{RP}(X)$  the category whose objects are real parabolic vector bundles on  $(X, \sigma_X)$  with parabolic structure over  $S$  and morphisms are real parabolic morphisms.

*Remark 3.5.* Given a short exact sequence of real holomorphic bundles over  $(X, \sigma_X)$ ,

$$0 \rightarrow E_1 \xrightarrow{\iota} E_2 \xrightarrow{\pi} E_3 \rightarrow 0,$$

it is easy to see that a real parabolic structure on  $E_2$  determines a unique real parabolic structure on  $E_1$  and  $E_3$ . Conversely, real parabolic structures on  $E_1$  and  $E_3$  determine a real parabolic structure on  $E_2$ . We call  $E_1$  with this canonical real parabolic structure, a *real parabolic subbundle* of  $E_2$  and  $E_3$  a *real parabolic quotient* (cf. [1, 2, 5]).

Indeed, assume that we have a real parabolic structure on  $E_2$ . Then at each real parabolic point  $x \in X$ , we have the flag

$$E_2(x) = F_2^1(x) \supset F_2^2(x) \supset \cdots \supset F_2^{r_2}(x) \supset 0$$

with the following weights

$$0 \leq a_{2_x}^1 < a_{2_x}^2 < \cdots < a_{2_x}^{r_2} < 1.$$

We define the real parabolic structure on  $E_1$  as follows:

Let  $H_i = \iota^{-1}(F_2^i(x))$ . Then we obtain a sequence of subspaces

$$H_1 \supseteq H_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq H_{r_2}.$$

To obtain a strictly increasing sequence of subspaces, we choose a subset  $\{i_1, \dots, i_{r_1}\} \subset \{1, \dots, r_2\}$  such that

$$H_1 = \cdots = H_{i_1} \supset H_{i_1+1} = \cdots = H_{i_2} \supset \cdots \supset H_{i_{r_1-1}+1} = \cdots = H_{i_{r_1}}.$$

We set  $F_1^j(x) = H_{i_j}$  and  $a_{1_x}^j = a_{2_x}^{i_j}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, r_1$ . This gives at each real parabolic point  $x \in X$  the following flag for  $E_1(x)$ :

$$E_1(x) = F_1^1(x) \supset F_1^2(x) \supset \dots \supset F_1^{r_1}(x) \supset 0$$

with the following weights

$$0 \leq a_{1_x}^1 < a_{1_x}^2 < \dots < a_{1_x}^{r_1} < 1.$$

Since  $E_1$  is a real subbundle of  $E_2$ , we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1(x) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & E_2(x) \\ \sigma_x^{E_1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_x^{E_2} \\ E_1(\sigma_X(x)) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & E_2(\sigma_X(x)) \end{array}.$$

From the commutativity of the above diagram, it easy to see that  $\sigma_x^{E_1}(F_1^i(x)) = F_1^i(\sigma(x))$  and  $a_{1_x}^i = a_{1_{\sigma(x)}}^i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r_1$ . This shows that  $E_1$  with the above weighted flag structure over real parabolic points is a real parabolic vector bundle on  $(X, \sigma)$  with real parabolic structure over  $S$ .

#### DEFINITION 3.6

A real parabolic vector bundle  $(E, \sigma^E)$  is said to be *real parabolic semistable* (respectively, *real parabolic stable*) if for every proper real subbundle  $F$  of the real vector bundle  $E$ , we have

$$\mathrm{p}\mu(F) \leq \mathrm{p}\mu(E) \quad (\text{respectively, } \mathrm{p}\mu(F) < \mathrm{p}\mu(E)),$$

We say that a real parabolic vector bundle  $(E, \sigma^E)$  is real parabolic polystable if  $E$  is a direct sum of real parabolic stable bundles with the same slope  $\mathrm{p}\mu(E)$ .

## 4. Construction of the covering

Let  $(X, \sigma_X)$  be a real curve, and  $S \subset X$  be a finite subset of  $X$  such that  $\sigma_X(S) = S$ . Consider the divisor  $D = \sum_{x \in S} x$  on  $X$ . Let  $L$  be the line bundle corresponding to the divisor  $D$  on  $X$ .

We will show that the line bundle  $L$  is real holomorphic line bundle over  $(X, \sigma_X)$ . It is enough to give a real structure on the  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ . For  $U \subset X$  open, define a map

$$\sigma_U^{\mathcal{L}} : \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\sigma_X(U), \mathcal{O}_X(D))$$

by  $s \mapsto \overline{s \circ \sigma_X}$ . Then  $\sigma_U^{\mathcal{L}}$  is well-defined, since  $\sigma_X(S) = S$  and  $\mathrm{ord}_x(s) = \mathrm{ord}_{\sigma_X(x)}(\overline{s \circ \sigma_X})$ . Since  $\sigma_X$  is an involution,  $\sigma_{\sigma_X(U)}^{\mathcal{L}} \circ \sigma_U^{\mathcal{L}} = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{L}(U)}$ . Thus, we get an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module homomorphism

$$\sigma^{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{O}_X(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D)^\sigma$$

such that  $(\sigma^{\mathcal{L}})^\sigma \circ \sigma^{\mathcal{L}} = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{L}}$ . We denote by  $\sigma^L$  the corresponding anti-holomorphic involution on  $L$ .

Let  $N \geq 2$  be a positive integer. Consider the holomorphic map

$$\phi : L \rightarrow L^{\otimes N}$$

given by  $v \mapsto v^{\otimes N}$

Let  $s \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes N}) - \{0\}$  be a real holomorphic section such that  $\text{div}(s) = ND$ . Let  $Y = \phi^{-1}(s(X))$ . Then  $Y$  is a compact Riemann surface. Let

$$p : Y \rightarrow X$$

be the restriction of the natural projection  $\pi : L \rightarrow X$ . Note that

$$Y = \{y \in L \mid y^{\otimes N} \in \text{Image}(s)\}.$$

For  $y \in Y$ , we have  $y^{\otimes N} = s(x)$  for some  $x \in X$ . From this, we have

$$\sigma^{L^N}(y^{\otimes N}) = (\sigma^L(y))^{\otimes N} = \sigma^{L^N}(s(x)).$$

Since  $s$  is a real holomorphic section, we have  $\sigma^{L^N}(s(x)) = s(\sigma_X(x))$ . It follows that  $\sigma^L(y) \in Y$ . Let

$$\sigma_Y : Y \rightarrow Y$$

be the restriction of the anti-holomorphic involution  $\sigma^L : L \rightarrow L$ . Therefore,  $Y$  is a real curve and we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\sigma_Y} & Y \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ X & \xrightarrow{\sigma_X} & X \end{array}, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\sigma_Y : Y \rightarrow Y$  is an anti-holomorphic involution.

Consider the action of the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{C}^*$  on the total space of  $L$ . The action of the subgroup

$$\mu_N := \{c \in \mathbb{C} \mid c^N = 1\}$$

preserves the real curve  $Y$ . Clearly, the action of  $\mu_N$  satisfies the following: for  $y \in Y$  and  $c \in \mu_N$ , we have  $\sigma_Y(c \cdot y) = c^{-1}\sigma_Y(y)$ . Also, note that for each  $x \in X \setminus S$ , the cardinality of  $p^{-1}(x)$  is  $N$ . It follows that  $p : Y \rightarrow X$  is a Galois covering with Galois group  $\Gamma = \mu_N$ .

We summarize the above discussion in the following:

*Lemma 4.1. Let  $(X, \sigma_X)$  be a real curve, and let  $S \subset X$  be a non-empty finite subset of  $X$  such that  $\sigma_X(S) = S$ . For any positive integer  $N \geq 2$ , there exists an  $N$ -fold cyclic cover  $p : Y \rightarrow X$  which is precisely ramified over each point of  $S$ , such that the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\sigma_Y} & Y \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ X & \xrightarrow{\sigma_X} & X \end{array} \quad (4.2)$$

commutes, where  $\sigma_Y$  is an anti-holomorphic involution on  $Y$ . Moreover, the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $Y$  has the following property:

$$\sigma_Y(gy) = g^{-1}\sigma_Y(y) \quad \text{for all } g \in \Gamma \text{ and } y \in Y.$$

*Equivariant real vector bundles.* Let  $(Y, \sigma_Y)$  be a real curve. Let  $G$  be a finite group acting holomorphically and effectively on  $Y$  with the property that  $\sigma_Y(gy) = g^{-1}\sigma_Y(y)$  for all  $g \in G$ . Let  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ .

#### DEFINITION 4.2

Let  $Y$  be a Riemann surface, and let  $G$  be a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(Y)$ . An *admissible chart* for  $G$  at a point  $y \in Y$  is a chart  $\phi_y : U_y \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  on  $Y$  centred at  $y$  such that

- $U_y$  is  $G_y$ -invariant, where  $G_y$  denotes the isotropy subgroup of  $G$  at  $y$ ; and
- $g(U_y) \cap U_y = \emptyset$  for all  $g \in G \setminus G_y$ .

We call  $U_y$  an *admissible neighbourhood* of  $y$ .

*Remark 4.3.* Let  $Y$  be a Riemann surface, and let  $G$  be a properly discontinuous subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(Y)$ . Then the isotropy group  $G_y$  is finite and cyclic for each  $y \in Y$ , and has a unique generator  $g_y$  such that for every admissible chart  $\phi_y : U_y \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  at  $y$ , we have

$$\phi_y(g_y(x)) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/m_y)\phi_y(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in U_y,$$

where  $m_y$  is the cardinality of  $G_y$ . We call  $g_y$  the *isotropy generator* at  $y$ .

#### DEFINITION 4.4

A  *$G$ -equivariant real vector bundle* on  $(Y, \sigma_Y)$  consists of the following data: a real holomorphic vector bundle  $(W, \sigma^W)$  on  $(Y, \sigma_Y)$ , and a lift of the natural action of  $G$  on  $Y$  to  $W$  such that

- (a) the bundle projection  $\pi : W \rightarrow Y$  is  $G$ -equivariant;
- (b) if  $y \in Y$  and  $g \in G$ , the map  $W(y) \rightarrow W(g \cdot y)$ , given by  $v \mapsto g \cdot v$  is linear isomorphism;
- (c) the following diagram;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times W & \longrightarrow & W \\ (\text{inv}, \sigma^W) \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma^W \\ G \times W & \longrightarrow & W \end{array}$$

commutes, where  $\text{inv} : G \rightarrow G$  is an inverse map  $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ .

Let  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  be two  $G$ -equivariant real vector bundles on  $(Y, \sigma_Y)$ . A real homomorphism  $\phi : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$  of real vector bundles is called a *morphism of  $G$ -equivariant real vector bundles* if  $\phi$  is a  $G$ -equivariant map.



**DEFINITION 4.5**

A  $G$ -equivariant holomorphic vector bundle  $E$  over a Riemann surface  $Y$  is said to be  $G$ -semistable (respectively,  $G$ -stable) if for every proper  $G$ -invariant vector subbundle  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $E$ , we have

$$\mu(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mu(E) \quad (\text{respectively, } \mu(\mathcal{F}) < \mu(E)).$$

**DEFINITION 4.6**

A  $G$ -equivariant real holomorphic vector bundle  $(E, \sigma^E)$  over a real curve is said to be  $G$ -real semistable (respectively,  $G$ -real stable) if for every proper  $G$ -invariant real vector subbundle  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $E$ , we have

$$\mu(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mu(E) \quad (\text{respectively, } \mu(\mathcal{F}) < \mu(E)).$$

A  $G$ -equivariant real holomorphic vector bundle  $E$  over a real curve  $Y$  is said to be  $G$ -real polystable if  $E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n E_i$ , where  $E_i$  is  $G$ -invariant real subbundle of  $E$  which is  $G$ -real stable satisfying  $\mu(E_i) = \mu(E)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

**5. Correspondence**

Let  $(X, \sigma_X)$  be a real curve, and let  $S \subset X$  be a non-empty finite subset of  $X$  such that  $\sigma_X(S) = S$ . Let  $N \geq 2$  be a positive integer. Let  $p : Y \rightarrow X$  be an  $N$ -fold cyclic ramified covering as in the Lemma 4.1.

**PROPOSITION 5.1**

Let  $W$  be a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant real vector bundle over  $Y$ . Then the vector bundle  $p_*^\Gamma W$  on  $X$  is a real parabolic vector bundle on  $X$  with real parabolic structure over  $S$ .

*Proof.* Let  $W$  be a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant real vector bundle over  $Y$ . Then the invariant direct image  $p_*^\Gamma W$  defines a holomorphic vector bundle over  $X$ . The real structure on  $W$  induces a real structure on  $p_*^\Gamma W$ . Therefore,  $p_*^\Gamma W$  is a real vector bundle over  $X$ .

Let  $y \in Y$  be a ramified point of  $p$  over  $x \in S$ , and let  $\xi_y$  be the isotropy generator of  $\Gamma = \Gamma_y$  at  $y$  (see Remark 4.3). Note that the fibre  $W(y)$  is canonically identified with the fibre  $p_*^\Gamma W(x)$ . Note that  $W(y)$  is a  $\Gamma$ -module. For the generator  $\xi_y$  of  $\Gamma$ , the distinct eigen-values of the operator  $\xi : W(y) \rightarrow W(y)$  will be  $\omega^{k_1}, \dots, \omega^{k_{r_x}}$  with their multiplicities  $n_1, \dots, n_{r_x}$  respectively, where  $0 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_{r_x} < N$  and  $\omega = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/N)$ . Let  $V_i$  be the  $\omega^{k_i}$ -eigenspace of  $\xi$  and define

$$F_y^i = V_i \oplus \dots \oplus V_{r_x}$$

with the associated weight  $a_i = k_i/N$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r_x$ . Then

$$p_*^\Gamma W(x) \simeq W(y) = F_y^1 \supset F_y^2 \supset \dots \supset F_y^{r_x} \supset F_y^{r_x+1} = 0$$

is a flag with weights  $0 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n < 1$ . The multiplicity of the weight  $a_i$  is  $n_i = \dim(F_y^i/F_y^{i+1})$ . Since the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W(y) & \xrightarrow{\xi_y} & W(y) \\ \sigma_y^W \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_y^W \\ W(\sigma_Y(y)) & \xrightarrow{\xi_{\sigma_Y(y)}^{-1}} & W(\sigma_Y(y)) \end{array}$$

commutes by Definition 4.4(c), we have

$$\sigma_y^W(V_i) = \omega^{-k_i}\text{-eigenspace for } \xi_{\sigma_Y(y)}^{-1} \text{ in } W(\sigma_Y(y)).$$

Let  $v'$  be an eigenvector of  $\xi_{\sigma_Y(y)}^{-1}$  for the eigenvalue  $\omega^{-k_i}$ . Then we have  $\xi_{\sigma_Y(y)}(v') = \omega^{k_i}v'$ . This shows that

$$\sigma_y^W(V_i) = \omega^{k_i}\text{-eigenspace for } \xi_{\sigma_Y(y)} \text{ in } W(\sigma_Y(y)).$$

Therefore, we obtain a real parabolic structure on  $p_*^\Gamma W$  over  $S$ .  $\square$

### PROPOSITION 5.2

Let  $(E, \sigma^E)$  be a real parabolic vector bundle on  $X$  with parabolic structure over  $S$ , all of whose weights are integral multiples of  $1/N$ . Then there exists a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant real vector bundle  $W$  on  $Y$  such that  $p_*^\Gamma W$  is isomorphic to  $E$  as a real parabolic vector bundle.

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be a real parabolic vector bundle over  $X$ , i.e., for each  $x \in S$ ,

$$E(x) = F_x^1 \supset F_x^2 \supset \cdots \supset F_x^{r_x} \supset 0$$

$$0 \leq a_x^1 < a_x^2 < \cdots < a_x^{r_x} < 1,$$

where  $a_x^i = k_i^x/N$ ,  $0 \leq k_i^x < N$ , satisfying the following:

$$\sigma_x^E(F_x^i) = F_{\sigma_X(x)}^i \quad \text{and} \quad a_x^i = a_{\sigma_X(x)}^i \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, r_x. \quad (5.1)$$

Consider weights  $0 \leq \alpha_x^1 \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_x^n < N$  according to their multiplicities, where  $\alpha_x^i = k_i^x/N$  for all  $i$ . Define a map  $\Delta_x : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  by

$$\Delta_x(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z^{k_1^x} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & z^{k_n^x} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $y$  be a ramified point over  $x$ . Choose admissible neighbourhoods  $U_y$  of  $y$  and  $U_{\sigma_Y(y)}$  of  $\sigma_Y(y)$  in  $Y$  such that  $\sigma_Y(U_y) = U_{\sigma_Y(y)}$  (in case if  $x = \sigma(x)$  then we can choose  $U_y$  so that  $\sigma_Y(U_y) = U_y$ ). We may assume that  $U_y$ 's are pairwise disjoint and  $p^*E$  is trivial over  $U_y$  for all  $y \in p^{-1}(S)$ . Identify  $U_y$  with its isomorphic image via  $\phi_y$  (see Definition 4.2) for all  $y \in p^{-1}(S)$ .

Let  $U = Y \setminus p^{-1}(S)$  and  $V = \cup_{y \in p^{-1}(S)} U_y$ . Consider a vector bundle  $E_1$  over  $U$  defined by  $p^*E|_U$ . Then  $E_1$  is naturally a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant real vector bundle over  $U$ . For  $y \in p^{-1}(S)$ , let  $\phi_y : p^*E|_{U_y} \xrightarrow{\cong} U_y \times \mathbb{C}^n$  be an isomorphism. Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  be a flag basis for  $E(x) \xrightarrow{\text{can}} p^*E(y)$ , where  $x = p(y)$ . Via the isomorphism  $\phi_y$  this gives a basis of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  which we again denote by  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ . Now consider the trivial vector bundle  $E_2 = V \times \mathbb{C}^n$  on  $V$ . Define a map

$$\delta : (U \cap V) \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow (U \cap V) \times \mathbb{C}^n$$

by

$$\delta(z, v) = (z, \Delta_x(z)v), \quad z \in U_y \cap U.$$

Let  $W$  be the vector bundle obtained by gluing  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  using  $\delta$ .

On  $E_2$  take the  $\Gamma$ -action (defined by the action of the generator  $\xi_y$ ),

$$\tau_1 : V \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow V \times \mathbb{C}^n$$

given by

$$\tau_1(z, v) = (\omega z, \Delta_x(\omega)v), \quad z \in U_y.$$

Let

$$\sigma^{V \times \mathbb{C}^n} : V \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow V \times \mathbb{C}^n$$

be the anti-holomorphic involution induced from  $p^*E$ . The action of  $\Gamma$  on  $E_1|_{U \cap V} = (U \cap V) \times \mathbb{C}^n$  is given by

$$\tau_{12} : (U \cap V) \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow (U \cap V) \times \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (z, v) \mapsto (\omega z, v)$$

and the vector bundle  $E_2|_{U \cap V} = (U \cap V) \times \mathbb{C}^n$  has the following  $\Gamma$ -action:

$$\tau_{21} : (U \cap V) \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow (U \cap V) \times \mathbb{C}^n$$

defined by

$$\tau_{21}(z, v) = (\omega z, \Delta_x(\omega)v), \quad z \in U_y \cap U.$$

Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (U \cap V) \times \mathbb{C}^n & \xrightarrow{\tau_{12}} & (U \cap V) \times \mathbb{C}^n \\ \delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta \\ (U \cap V) \times \mathbb{C}^n & \xrightarrow{\tau_{21}} & (U \cap V) \times \mathbb{C}^n \end{array} .$$

For, let  $(z, v) \in (U \cap V) \times \mathbb{C}^n$ . If  $z \in U_y \cap U$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \circ \tau_{12}(z, v) &= \delta(\omega z, v) \\ &= (\omega z, \Delta_x(\omega z)v) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{21} \circ \delta(z, v) &= \tau_{21}(z, \Delta_x(z)v) \\ &= (\omega z, \Delta_x(\omega)\Delta_x(z)v) \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\delta \circ \tau_{12}(z, v) = \tau_{21} \circ \delta(z, v)$ . Therefore,  $\delta \circ \tau_{12} = \tau_{21} \circ \delta$ . This gives the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $W$ .

Similarly, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (U \cap V) \times \mathbb{C}^n & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{12}} & (U \cap V) \times \mathbb{C}^n \\ \delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta \\ (U \cap V) \times \mathbb{C}^n & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{21}} & (U \cap V) \times \mathbb{C}^n \end{array} ,$$

where  $\sigma_{12} = \sigma_{21} = \sigma^{V \times \mathbb{C}^n}|_{(U \cap V) \times \mathbb{C}^n}$ . For, let  $(z, v) \in (U \cap V) \times \mathbb{C}^n$ . If  $z \in U_y \cap U$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \circ \sigma_{12}(z, v) &= \delta(\bar{z}, \sigma^{V \times \mathbb{C}^n}(v)) \\ &= (\bar{z}, \Delta_{\sigma(x)}(\bar{z})\sigma^{V \times \mathbb{C}^n}(v)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{21} \circ \delta(z, v) &= \sigma_{21}(z, \Delta_x(z)v) \\ &= (\bar{z}, \sigma^{V \times \mathbb{C}^n}(\Delta_x(z)v)).\end{aligned}$$

From (5.1), it follows that  $\delta \circ \sigma_{12} = \sigma_{21} \circ \delta$ .

This gives the real structure on  $W$  compatible with the  $\Gamma$ -action. It is easy to see that  $p_*^\Gamma W$  and  $E$  are isomorphic as real parabolic vector bundles.  $\square$

Let  $\mathbf{RP}(X, N)$  denote the full sub-category of  $\mathbf{RP}(X)$  whose objects are real parabolic vector bundles on  $(X, \sigma_X)$  with parabolic structure over  $S$ , all of whose weights are integral multiples of  $1/N$ . Let  $\mathbf{RE}_\Gamma(Y)$  denote the category whose objects are all  $\Gamma$ -equivariant real vector bundles on  $(Y, \sigma_Y)$  and morphisms are morphisms of  $\Gamma$ -equivariant real vector bundles.

**Theorem 5.3.** *There is a canonical functor  $\Psi : \mathbf{RP}(X, N) \rightarrow \mathbf{RE}_\Gamma(Y)$  which is an equivalence of categories.*

*Proof.* We first define a functor  $\Psi : \mathbf{RE}_\Gamma(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{RP}(X, N)$  as follows: Let  $W$  be a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant real vector bundle on  $Y$  of rank  $n$ . Then  $p_*^\Gamma W$  is a locally free sheaf of rank  $n$  on  $X$ . The real structure on  $W$  induces a real structure on  $p_*^\Gamma W$ . We define  $\Psi(W)$  to be the corresponding real vector bundle on  $X$ . By Proposition 5.1, we obtain a real parabolic structure on  $\Psi(W)$  with the real parabolic structure over  $S$  having all the weights integral multiples of  $1/N$ . This implies that  $\Psi(W)$  is an object of  $\mathbf{RP}(X, N)$ . Let  $\phi : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$  be a morphism in  $\mathbf{RE}_\Gamma(Y)$ . Then  $\Psi(\phi) : \Psi(W_1) \rightarrow \Psi(W_2)$  is defined as follows: For  $U \subseteq X$  open and a section  $s \in \Gamma(U, \Psi(W_1))$ , we define

$$\Psi(\phi)_U(s) := \phi_{p^{-1}(U)}(s).$$

Since  $\phi$  is  $\Gamma$ -equivariant,  $\phi_{p^{-1}(U)}(s) \in \Gamma(p^{-1}(U), W_2)^\Gamma$ . Clearly,  $\Psi(\phi)$  is a morphism of real vector bundles on  $X$ . Therefore, we obtain a canonical functor  $\Psi : \mathbf{RE}_\Gamma(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{RP}(X, N)$  which is fully faithful (cf. [2]). To show that  $\Psi$  is an equivalence of categories, we only need to check that  $\Psi$  is essentially surjective, which follows from Proposition 5.2.  $\square$

#### PROPOSITION 5.4

*A real parabolic vector bundle  $(E, \sigma^E)$  in  $\mathbf{RP}(X, N)$  is real parabolic semistable if and only if the corresponding  $\Gamma$ -equivariant real vector bundle  $W$  on  $Y$  is semistable in the usual sense.*

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be a real parabolic semistable vector bundle over  $X$ . Let  $W$  be the corresponding  $\Gamma$ -equivariant real vector bundle over  $Y$ . Note that

$$p \deg(E) = \frac{\deg(W)}{N}, \tag{5.2}$$

where  $N$  is the order of the Galois group of the covering  $p : Y \rightarrow X$  (see p. 165 of [3]). Let

$$0 = W_0 \subset W_1 \subset W_2 \subset \cdots \subset W_{l-1} \subset W_l = W$$

be the Harder–Narasimhan filtration of  $W$ . Since  $\sigma^W : W \rightarrow W^\sigma$  is an isomorphism, we have the following filtration:

$$0 = \sigma^W(W_0) \subset \sigma^W(W_1) \subset \sigma^W(W_2) \subset \cdots \subset \sigma^W(W_{l-1}) \subset \sigma^W(W_l) = W^\sigma. \quad (5.3)$$

We also have another filtration

$$0 = W_0^\sigma \subset W_1^\sigma \subset W_2^\sigma \subset \cdots \subset W_{l-1}^\sigma \subset W_l^\sigma = W^\sigma. \quad (5.4)$$

Since filtrations in (5.3) and (5.4) of  $W^\sigma$  satisfy the conditions of the Harder–Narasimhan filtration, by the uniqueness of the Harder–Narasimhan filtration we conclude that

$$\sigma^W(W_i) = W_i^\sigma$$

for all  $i = 1, \dots, l$ . Thus,  $W_1$  is a real semistable subbundle of  $W$ . Similarly, by the uniqueness of  $W_1$  it follows that  $W_1$  is left invariant under the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $W$ . Therefore,  $W_1$  is a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant real semistable subbundle of  $W$ . For every subsheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $W$ , we have  $\mu(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mu(W_1)$ . By the correspondence,  $p_*^\Gamma W_1$  is a real parabolic subbundle of  $E$ . Since  $E$  is a real parabolic semistable, using (5.2), we have

$$\mu(W_1) \leq \mu(W).$$

Since  $W_1$  is a maximal semistable subbundle of  $W$ , we have  $\mu(W_1) = \mu(W)$ . This proves that  $W$  is semistable.

Conversely, assume that  $W$  is semistable. Let  $F$  be the proper real parabolic subbundle of  $E$ . Let  $V$  be the corresponding  $\Gamma$ -equivariant real vector bundle over  $Y$ . By the construction, it follows that  $V$  is a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant real subbundle of  $W$ . Since  $W$  is semistable, we have  $\mu(V) \leq \mu(W)$ . Using (5.2), we have  $p\mu(F) \leq p\mu(E)$ . This shows that  $E$  is real parabolic semistable.  $\square$

*Remark 5.5.* Using (5.2), it can be easily seen that a real parabolic vector bundle  $E$  is real parabolic stable if and only if the corresponding  $\Gamma$ -equivariant real vector bundle  $W$  on  $Y$  is  $\Gamma$ -real stable. Consequently, a real parabolic vector bundle  $E$  is real parabolic polystable if and only if the corresponding  $\Gamma$ -equivariant real vector bundle  $W$  on  $Y$  is  $\Gamma$ -real polystable.

## Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for helpful comments and suggestions.

## References

- [1] Boden Hans U, Representations of orbifold groups and parabolic bundles, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **66**(3) (1991) 389–447
- [2] Mehta V B and Seshadri C S, Moduli of vector bundles on curves with parabolic structure, *Math. Ann.* **248** (1980) 205–239

- [3] Seshadri C S, Moduli of  $\pi$ -vector bundles over an algebraic curve, in: Questions on Algebraic Varieties (C.I.M.E., III Ciclo, Varenna, 1970) (Rome Edizioni Cremonese) pp. 139–260
- [4] Seshadri C S, Moduli of vector bundles on curves with parabolic structures, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **83** (1977) 124–126
- [5] Seshadri C S, Fibrés vectoriels sur les courbes algébriques, *Astérisque* (1982) (Société Mathématique de France: Paris) vol. 96