

Conjugacy class sizes and solvability of finite groups

QINHUI JIANG and CHANGGUO SHAO*

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Jinan, Shandong 250022, China

*Corresponding author.

E-mail: syjqh2001@163.com; shaoguozi@163.com

MS received 28 December 2011; revised 27 May 2012

Abstract. Let G be a finite group and G^* be the set of primary, biprimary and triprimary elements of G . We prove that if the conjugacy class sizes of G^* are $\{1, m, n, mn\}$ with positive coprime integers m and n , then G is solvable. This extends a recent result of Kong (*Manatsh. Math.* **168(2)** (2012) 267–271).

Keywords. Conjugacy class sizes; primary; biprimary and triprimary elements; solvable groups; finite groups.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper all groups considered are finite and G always denotes a group. A primary element is an element of prime power order and a biprimary (triprimary) element is an element whose order is divisible by precisely two (three) distinct primes. We will denote by x^G the conjugacy class of x , its size $|x^G|$, is called the index of x in G . The rest of the notations and terminologies are standard and readers may refer to [6].

A well-known problem in group theory is to study the influence of conjugacy class sizes on the structure of a group. For instance, as regards to groups with conjugacy class sizes $\{1, m\}$, Itô [3] proved that G is nilpotent, $m = p^a$ for some prime p , and $G = P \times A$, where P is a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Moreover, $A \leq Z(G)$. Beltrán and Felipe [1, 2] proved that if the conjugacy class sizes of G are $\{1, m, n, mn\}$, where $m, n > 1$ are coprime integers, then G is nilpotent. Meanwhile, $m = p^a$ and $n = q^b$ for distinct primes p and q .

On the other hand, using partial conjugacy class sizes to investigate the structure of a group is also studied extensively. For instance, in a very recent paper [5], Kong proved that G is solvable if the conjugacy class sizes of primary, biprimary and triprimary elements are $\{1, p^a, n, p^a n\}$, where p is a prime, a is an integer and n is a positive integer coprime to p .

He proposed that at that moment he could not prove that G is solvable when the conjugacy class sizes of primary, biprimary and triprimary elements of G are exactly $\{1, m, n, mn\}$ with $(m, n) = 1$. In this paper, this problem is solved and our main theorem is the following:

Main theorem. *Let G be a group and G^* be the set of primary, biprimary and triprimary elements of G . If the conjugacy class sizes of G^* are $\{1, m, n, mn\}$, then G is a solvable, where m, n are two positive coprime integers.*

2. Preliminaries

In this section we list some basic and known results which will be used in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a group. If $x, y \in G$ be such that $[x, y] = 1$ and $(o(x), o(y)) = 1$. Then $C_G(xy) = C_G(x) \cap C_G(y)$.*

Proof. Obviously, $C_G(x) \cap C_G(y) \subseteq C_G(xy)$. Now we prove another inclusion. Assume that $o(x) = a$, $o(y) = b$. Since $(a, b) = 1$, there are two integers s, t such that $as + bt = 1$. For every $v \in C_G(xy)$, we have that $(xy)^v = x^v y^v$. Note that $[x, y] = 1$, we have that $(xy)^{as} = x^{as} y^{as} = y^{as}$. Hence $((xy)^{as})^v = (x^{as})^v (y^{as})^v = (y^{as})^v = (xy)^{as} = y^{as}$. On the other hand, $y = y^{as+bt} = y^{as}$. Hence $y^v = (y^{as})^v = y^{as} = y$. By the same reason, we have that $x^v = x$. This shows that $v \in C_G(x) \cap C_G(y)$. Therefore, $C_G(xy) = C_G(x) \cap C_G(y)$. \square

Lemma 2.2 (Theorem 5 of [7]). *If for some prime p every primary p' -element of a group G has index prime to p , then the Sylow p -subgroup of G is a direct factor of G .*

Lemma 2.3 (Lemma 2.7 of [4]). *If 1 and $m > 1$ are the only sizes of conjugacy classes of elements of primary and biprimary orders of a group G , then $G = P \times A$, where $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ and A is abelian. In particular, m is a power of p .*

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that the three smallest non-trivial indices of elements of primary, biprimary and triprimary elements are $a < b < c$, with $(a, b) = 1$ and $a^2 < c$. Then the set $\{g \in G \mid |g^G| = 1 \text{ or } a\}$ is a normal subgroup of G .*

Proof. The proof is similar to Lemma 2.4 of [8]. \square

Lemma 2.5 (Theorem A of [5]). *Let G be a finite group and G^* the set of elements of primary, biprimary and triprimary order of G . If the sizes of elements of G^* are $\{1, p^a, n, p^a n\}$ with p, n being coprime positive integers, then G is solvable.*

Lemma 2.6 (Theorem 8.2.8 of [6]). *Let $P \times Q$ be the direct product of a p -group P and a p' -group Q . Suppose that G is a p -group such that $C_G(P) \leq C_G(Q)$, then Q acts trivially on G .*

Lemma 2.7. *Let G be a π -solvable group with $\pi \subseteq \pi(G)$. Then $|x^G|$ is a π -number for every π' -element x of primary order if and only if G has an abelian Hall π' -subgroup.*

Proof. We only prove the necessity. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $O_{\pi'}(G) \neq 1$ since otherwise, the conclusion holds by an inductive argument on the quotient group $G/O_{\pi'}(G)$. Let $g \in G$ be an arbitrary π' -element of primary order. Then there exists a Hall π' -subgroup K_1 of G such that $K_1 \leq C_G(g)$. Therefore,

$$g \in C_G(K_1) \leq C_G(O_{\pi'}(G)) \leq O_{\pi'}(G),$$

which follows that $O_{\pi'}(G)$ is an abelian Hall π' -subgroup of G . \square

3. Proof of the main theorem

Proof. For every prime $r \in \pi(G) - (\pi(m) \cup \pi(n))$, it follows from Lemma 2.3 of [4] that there exists a Sylow r -subgroup R of G such that $R \leq Z(G)$. Consequently, $G = H \times K$, where K is a Hall $\pi(m) \cup \pi(n$)-subgroup of G . Moreover, the hypothesis is inherited by K . As a result, without loss of generality, we may assume that G is a $\pi(m) \cup \pi(n$ -group. For convenience, we denote $\pi := \pi(m)$ and $\pi' := \pi(n)$. The proof will be completed in the following steps:

Step 1. We may assume that G has no π -elements of index m and no π' -elements of index n .

Suppose that x is a π -element of index m . By considering the primary decomposition of x we may assume that x is a p -element for some $p \in \pi$. Now if y_1 is a primary p' -element of $C_G(x)$, we see from Lemma 2.1 that $C_G(xy_1) = C_G(x) \cap C_G(y_1) \leq C_G(x)$, which forces $|C_G(x) : C_G(x) \cap C_G(y_1)| = 1$ or n . Hence $C_G(x) = C_G(x)_p \times C_G(x)_{p'}$ by Lemma 2.2. If $C_G(x)_{p'}$ is not abelian, then class sizes of primary and biprimary elements of such a p' -subgroup are exactly the two numbers 1 and n in $C_G(x)_{p'}$. Note that n must occur. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that $n = q^b$ and therefore, G is solvable by Lemma 2.5, and we are done.

Now we prove that $C_G(x)_{p'}$ is not abelian. Otherwise, we have that $C_G(x)_{p'}$ has a π -complement H , which is also a π -complement of G . Moreover, $H \not\leq Z(G)$. Let $v \in H - Z(G)$ be a primary element. Then $|v^G| = m$ and $C_G(x) = C_G(v)$. For any $w \in C_G(x)_p$, we have that $|w^G| = 1$ or m . Since $|C_G(x) : C_G(x) \cap C_G(w)| = |C_G(v) : C_G(v) \cap C_G(w)| = 1$ or n and $C_G(x)_{p'} \leq C_G(w)$, we have that $C_G(x) = C_G(w)$. Hence $C_G(x)$ is abelian. Let $y \in G$ be a primary element of index n . By conjugation, there is some $g \in G$ such that $x^{g^{-1}} \in C_G(y)$, that is, $y^g \in C_G(x)$. Hence $C_G(x) \leq C_G(y^g)$. It follows that $|y^G| \mid |x^G|$, a contradiction.

The second assertion holds because the hypotheses are symmetric in m and n .

Step 2. If x is a primary or biprimary π -element of index mn , then $C_G(x) = C_G(x)_\pi \times C_G(x)_{\pi'}$ with $C_G(x)_{\pi'} \not\leq Z(G)$ abelian. Similarly, if y is a primary or biprimary π' -element of index mn , then $C_G(y) = C_G(y)_\pi \times C_G(y)_{\pi'}$ with $C_G(y)_\pi \not\leq Z(G)$ is abelian.

Note that mn is the maximal index. For any π' -element $y \in C_G(x)$ of primary order, by Lemma 2.1, it follows that $C_G(xy) = C_G(x) \cap C_G(y) \leq C_G(x)$ and $C_G(xy) = C_G(x) \leq C_G(y)$. Moreover, $y \in Z(C_G(x))$ and hence $C_G(x) = C_G(x)_\pi \times C_G(x)_{\pi'}$, where $C_G(x)_{\pi'}$ is an abelian Hall π' -subgroup of $C_G(x)$. We claim that $C_G(x)_{\pi'} \not\leq Z(G)$. Otherwise, $C_G(x)_{\pi'} = Z(G)_{\pi'}$, which implies that $|G : Z(G)|_{\pi'} = n$. Let z be an arbitrary non-central primary or biprimary π -element, then z is of index n or mn by the hypothesis. Since both cases imply that $Z(G)_{\pi'}$ is a π' -subgroup of $C_G(z)$, we see that for any non-central π' -element w of primary order of G , $C_G(w)_\pi = Z(G)_\pi$, yielding that $|G : Z(G)|_\pi = m$. Hence $|G : Z(G)| = |G : Z(G)|_\pi |G : Z(G)|_{\pi'} = mn$, a contradiction to the fact that there is an element of primary order of index mn , and the claim holds. Analogously, we have that $C_G(y) = C_G(y)_\pi \times C_G(y)_{\pi'}$, where $C_G(y)_\pi \not\leq Z(G)$ is abelian.

Without loss of generality, we assume that $n < m$ from now on.

Step 3. Write $L_\pi := \{x \mid x \text{ is a } \pi\text{-element such that } |x^G| = 1 \text{ or } n\}$. Then L_π is a non-trivial abelian normal π -subgroup of G .

By Lemma 2.4, the set $W := \{x \mid |x^G| = 1 \text{ or } n\}$ is a normal subgroup of G . Let x be an element in G^* of index n . Write $x = x_\pi x_{\pi'}$, where x_π and $x_{\pi'}$ are the π -part and the π' -part of x . Applying Step 1, $x_{\pi'}$ must be central, whence $x \in L_\pi \times Z(G)_{\pi'}$. Therefore $W = L_\pi \times Z(G)_{\pi'}$ and consequently L_π is a normal π -subgroup of G .

Notice that L_π is abelian, as if primary element $y \in L_\pi$, then $|L_\pi : C_{L_\pi}(y)|$ divides $(|L_\pi|, n) = 1$. Finally, by considering the decomposition of any element of index n and taking into account Step 1, we see immediately that L_π is non-trivial.

For a prime $q \in \pi$, we define $L_q := \{x \mid x \text{ is a } q\text{-element such that } |x^G| = 1 \text{ or } n\}$. Notice that L_π is the direct product of the subgroups L_q for all primes $q \in \pi$, and consequently, L_q is an abelian normal subgroup of G .

Step 4. Let $q \in \pi$. If L_q is not central in G , then L_q is a Sylow q -subgroup of G .

Assume false, we may choose a q -element w of index mn . By Step 2, we write $C_G(w) = C_G(w)_\pi \times C_G(w)_{\pi'}$, with $C_G(w)_{\pi'} \not\leq Z(G)$ abelian. If $u \in C_G(w)_{\pi'}$, then $C_G(w) \leq C_G(u)$ and in particular, $C_{L_q}(w) \leq C_{L_q}(u)$, so by applying Lemma 2.6, we get $u \in N := C_G(L_q)$. Thus $C_G(w)_{\pi'} \leq N$.

We fix some non-central element $y \in L_q$, so that $N \leq C_G(y)$. As w has index mn and y has index n , we see that $|C_G(y) : N|$ and $|N : C_G(w)_{\pi'}|$ are both π -numbers, and so $C_G(w)_{\pi'}$ is a Hall π' -subgroup of N and $C_G(y)$.

We show now that any q -element of G lies in N . Trivially, $L_q \leq N$, so we consider a q -element $z \notin L_q$. Thus z must have index mn . By Step 2, we may write $C_G(z) = C_G(z)_\pi \times C_G(z)_{\pi'}$, where $C_G(z)_{\pi'}$ is a non-central abelian Hall π' -subgroup of $C_G(z)$. Arguing about z as we did above with w , we obtain that $C_G(z)_{\pi'} \leq N$. Take a non-central primary element $u \in C_G(z)_{\pi'}$, then $C_G(z) \leq C_G(u)$. In addition, by Step 1, u has index m or mn , so that $|C_G(u) : C_G(z)|$ is equal to 1 or n . Since $C_G(z)_{\pi'} \leq N$ we have $L_q \leq C_G(u)$ so that $L_q \leq C_G(z)$ and consequently $z \in N$, as we wanted to prove.

Now let $t \in C_G(y) \cap G^*$. Consider the usual factorization $t = t_q t_{q'}$. We have $C_G(t_{q'}) \cap C_G(y) = C_G(t_{q'}y) \leq C_G(y)$ by Lemma 2.1 and $|C_G(y) : C_G(t_{q'}y)|$ must be equal to 1 or m because y has index n . If for every $r \in \pi'$ and $C_G(y)_r \in \text{Syl}_r(C_G(y))$, we have that $C_G(y)_r \leq Z(G)$. Then $C_G(y)_{\pi'} \leq Z(G)$. From the first paragraph of this step, we have that $C_G(y)_{\pi'} < C_G(w)_{\pi'}$ since $C_G(w)_{\pi'} \not\leq Z(G)$. But $|C_G(y)_{\pi'}| = |C_G(w)_{\pi'}|$, a contradiction. For some $r \in \pi'$, we can choose a non-central Sylow r -subgroup R of $C_G(y)$, which is also a Sylow r -subgroup of N . Then there exists some $g \in C_G(y)$ such that $R^g \leq C_G(t_{q'}y)$, so that $t_{q'} \in C_G(R^g)$. Now we distinguish two cases for t_q . Suppose $t_q \in L_q$, so that $R^g \leq N \leq C_G(t_q)$ from the second paragraph of this step, we conclude that $t \in C_G(R^g)$. In the other case, when t_q is not in L_q , then it has index mn , and we can again write $C_G(t_q) = C_G(t_q)_\pi \times C_G(t_q)_{\pi'}$, where $C_G(t_q)_{\pi'}$ is an abelian non-central Hall π' -subgroup of $C_G(t_q)$. Furthermore, notice that the property of w given at the beginning of this step holds for t_q , that is, $C_G(t_q)_{\pi'}$ is also a π -complement of N and $C_G(y)$. As we know that N has non-central π -complements and non-central Sylow r -subgroups, we may consider the Sylow r -subgroup R_1 of $C_G(t_q)_{\pi'}$, which is not central. Then $t_{q'} \in C_G(R_1)$ and trivially $t_q \in C_G(R_1)$ whence $t \in C_G(R_1)$. Since $R_1 = R^g$ for some $g \in C_G(y)$, we have that $t \in C_G(R^g)$.

Let $v \in C_G(y)$ be an arbitrary element. Considering its primary decomposition of $v = v_1 \cdots v_r w_1 \cdots w_s$, where v_i are π -elements and w_j are π' -elements, respectively.

If there is some component of v with index mn , say v_1 , then $C_G(v_1 v_i) = C_G(v_1) \leq C_G(v_i)$ for all $i \geq 2$ and $C_G(v_1 w_j) = C_G(v_1) \leq C_G(w_j)$ for all j . Hence $C_G(v) =$

$C_G(v_1) \cap \cdots \cap C_G(w_s) = C_G(v_1)$. From the above, we have that $v_1 \in C_G(R^g)$ for some $g \in C_G(y)$ and thus $R^g \leq C_G(v_1) = C_G(v)$. Therefore, $v \in C_G(R^g)$.

On the other hand, we assume that there is no component of v with index mn . Then by Step 1, we have that $|v_i^G| = 1$ or n and $|w_j^G| = 1$ or m . Assume that $v_1, w_1 \notin Z(G)$. Then $C_G(v_1v_i) = C_G(v_1) \cap C_G(v_i)$ for $i \geq 2$. If $|v_i^G| = 1$, then $C_G(v_1v_i) = C_G(v_1) \leq C_G(v_i)$. If $|v_i^G| = n$, then $C_G(v_1v_i) = C_G(v_1) \cap C_G(v_i)$ and thus $|(v_1v_i)^G| \leq |v_1^G||v_i^G| = n^2 < mn$. This gives $|(v_1v_i)^G| = |v_1^G| = n$ and $C_G(v_1v_i) = C_G(v_1) = C_G(v_i)$. Moreover, $C_G(v_1 \cdots v_r) = C_G(v_1)$. On the other hand, we see from $C_G(w_1w_j) = C_G(w_1) \cap C_G(w_j)$ that $|(w_1w_j)^G| \leq |w_1^G||w_j^G| = m^2$. Hence $|(w_1w_j)^G| = mn$ or m . If there is a non-central w_j , say w_2 , such that $|(w_1w_2)^G| = mn$, we can get that $C_G(w_1 \cdots w_s) = C_G(w_1w_2)$. If there is no non-central w_j such that $|(w_1w_j)^G| = mn$, then $C_G(w_1w_j) = C_G(w_1) \leq C_G(w_j)$ by Lemma 2.1 and thus $C_G(w_1 \cdots w_s) = C_G(w_1) \cap \cdots \cap C_G(w_s) = C_G(w_1)$.

Since we have proved that $v_1w_1 \in C_G(R^g)$ for some $g \in G$, it follows that $R^g \leq C_G(v_1w_1) = C_G(v)$. Hence $v \in C_G(R^g)$. Further, $C_G(y) = \bigcup_{g \in C_G(y)} C_{C_G(y)}(R)^g$, which implies that $C_G(y) = C_{C_G(y)}(R)$. Hence R must be central in $C_G(y)$. But we know that R is not central in G , and so if we take some non-central $u_1 \in R$, we have $C_G(y) \leq C_G(R) \leq C_G(u_1)$. This provides a π' -element u of index n , contradicting Step 1.

Step 5. G is solvable. Let $y \in L_q - Z(G)$. It is easy to check that any primary or biprimary q' -element of $C_G(y)$ has index 1 or m in $C_G(y)$. We will assume first that there exists a non-central Sylow r -subgroup R of $C_G(y)$ for some prime $r \in \pi'$. If w is a primary q' -element of $C_G(y)$, then there exists some $g \in C_G(y)$ such that $R^g \leq C_G(w)$, that is, $w \in C_{C_G(y)}(R)^g$.

Let $v \in C_G(y)$ be a q' -element. Similar as in Step 4, we can obtain that $v \in C_G(R)^g$ for some $g \in C_G(y)$.

Thus, if we consider the $\{q, q'\}$ -decomposition of any element of $C_G(y)$, taking into account that L_q is a Sylow q -subgroup of G , we have

$$C_G(y) = \bigcup_{g \in C_G(y)} C_{C_G(y)}(R)^g L_q = \bigcup_{g \in C_G(y)} (C_{C_G(y)}(R) L_q)^g,$$

which implies that $C_G(y) = C_{C_G(y)}(R) L_q$, and accordingly, $|C_G(y) : C_{C_G(y)}(R)|$ is a q -number. Now, we take some non-central $u \in R$, which has index m or mn . Observe that $C_{C_G(y)}(R) \leq C_G(u) \cap C_G(y) = C_G(uy) \leq C_G(y)$, so that uy has index n or mn . The first case leads to $C_G(y) \leq C_G(u)$, which is a contradiction, and so uy has index mn and it follows that m is a q -power. By Lemma 2.5, we obtain that G is solvable and the theorem is proved.

Therefore, we will assume that for each prime $r \in \pi'$, Sylow r -subgroups of $C_G(y)$ are central in G and we will obtain a contradiction. In this case, we have $C_G(y) = C_G(y)_\pi \times Z(G)_{\pi'}$, and also $|G : Z(G)|_{\pi'} = n$. If there exists a primary or biprimary π -element v of index mn , then $C_G(v) = C_G(v)_\pi \times C_G(v)_{\pi'}$ with $C_G(v)_{\pi'} \not\leq Z(G)$ abelian. Since $C_G(v)_{\pi'} \geq Z(G)_{\pi'}$ and $|C_G(v)_{\pi'}| = |C_G(y)_{\pi'}|$, we have that $C_G(v)_{\pi'} = Z(G)_{\pi'}$, a contradiction. Notice that L_π is a normal abelian subgroup and all the primary and biprimary π -elements are contained in L_π , we assume that there are no π -elements of index

mn , and consequently that L_π is a Hall π -subgroup of G . By Schur–Zassenhaus, we have that G has a π -complement H . Write $G = L_\pi H$. Since $1 \trianglelefteq L_\pi \trianglelefteq G$ and L_π is a characteristic subgroup of G and G/L_π is a π' -group, we have that G is π -separable by Theorem 6.4.2 of [6]. Since $|G : Z(G)|_{\pi'} = n$, we have that every π' -element in $G^* \cap H$ with index 1 or m . By Lemma 2.7, we have that H is abelian. This implies that there is a biprimary or triprimary element w with index mn . Write $w = w_1 w_2 w_3$. It is easy to see that w is neither a π -element nor a π' -element. We may assume that $w_1 w_2$ is a π -element. Then $C_G(w_1 w_2) = C_G(w_1) \cap C_G(w_2) = C_G(w_1)$, and thus we may assume that w is a biprimary element and write $w = w_1 w_2$ with w_1 being a π -element and w_2 being a π' -element. On the other hand, $|G : C_G(w)| = mn$ and $C_G(w)_{\pi'} = Z(G)_{\pi'}$, we get that $C_G(w) = C_G(w)_\pi \times Z(G)_{\pi'}$. Since w_2 is a π' -element of $C_G(w)$, we have that $w_2 \in Z(G)_{\pi'}$. This gives $|w^G| = |w_1^G| = mn$, which contradicts that L_π is abelian. \square

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her valuable suggestions. The authors would also like to mention that they could not have refined the final version of their paper so well without the referee's outstanding efforts. This project was supported by the NNSF of China (Grant Nos 11201401 and 11101258) and China Postdoctoral Science Foundation funded project (No. 20100480582).

References

- [1] Beltrán A and Felipe M J, Variations on a theorem by Alan Camina on conjugacy class sizes, *J. Algebra* **296** (2006) 253–266
- [2] Beltrán A and Felipe M J, Some class size conditions implying solvability of finite groups, *J. Group Theory* **48(10)** (2006) 1–11
- [3] Itô N, On finite groups with given conjugate types I, *Nagoya Math. J.* **6** (1953) 17–28
- [4] Kong Q J and Guo X Y, On an extension of a theorem on conjugacy class sizes, *Israel J. Math.* **179** (2010) 279–284
- [5] Kong Q J, Conjugacy class sizes and solvability of finite groups, *Manatsh. Math.* **168(2)** (2012) 267–271
- [6] Kurzweil H and Stellmacher B, The theory of finite groups: An introduction (2004) (Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer-Verlag)
- [7] Liu X, Wang Y and Wei H, Notes on the length of conjugacy classes of finite groups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **196** (2005) 111–117
- [8] Shao C G and Jiang Q H, On conjugacy class sizes of primary and biprimary elements of a finite group, *Science China Math.* (to appear)