

A new generalization of Hardy–Berndt sums

MUHAMMET CIHAT DAĞLI and MÜMÜN CAN

Department of Mathematics, Akdeniz University, 07058-Antalya, Turkey
E-mail: mcihatdagli@akdeniz.edu.tr; mcan@akdeniz.edu.tr

MS received 17 February 2012

Abstract. In this paper, we construct a new generalization of Hardy–Berndt sums which are explicit extensions of Hardy–Berndt sums. We express these sums in terms of Dedekind sums $s_r(h, k : x, y|\lambda)$ with $x = y = 0$ and obtain corresponding reciprocity formulas.

Keywords. Dedekind sums; Hardy–Berndt sums; Bernoulli numbers and polynomials.

1. Introduction

The three of the six different arithmetic sums, called Hardy or Hardy–Berndt sums [3,11], are defined by

$$S(h, k) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{j+1+[hj/k]},$$
$$s_3(h, k) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^j \left(\left(\frac{hj}{k} \right) \right),$$
$$s_4(h, k) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{[hjk]},$$

where h and k are integers with $k > 0$, $[x]$ denotes the greatest integer not exceeding x and $((x)) = 0$ or $x - [x] - \frac{1}{2}$, according as x is or is not an integer, respectively. In this paper we only study on the sums given above. Details of other sums can be found in [3,4,11,18]. Berndt and Goldberg [4] found analytic properties of these sums and established infinite trigonometric series representations for them. The most important properties of Hardy–Berndt sums are reciprocity theorems due to Berndt [3] and Goldberg [11]. These sums were studied in [2–5,11,13–15,17,18,20]. In [18], Sitaramachandrarao explicitly deduced each of the Hardy–Berndt sums in terms of the Dedekind sums $s(h, k)$ defined by

$$s(h, k) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\left(\frac{j}{k} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{hj}{k} \right) \right)$$

(for basic properties, see [16]). Dedekind sums were generalized by various mathematicians [1,7,9,16,19] and the corresponding reciprocity laws were obtained. One of these generalizations, due to Apostol [1], is

$$s_r(h, k) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{j}{k} \bar{B}_r \left(\frac{hj}{k} \right).$$

Here $\bar{B}_n(x)$ is the n -th Bernoulli function defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{B}_n(x) &= B_n(\{x\}), & \text{if } n > 1 \\ \bar{B}_1(x) &= \begin{cases} B_1(\{x\}), & x \notin \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0, & x \in \mathbb{Z}, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\{x\}$ denotes fractional part of a real number x , and $B_n(x)$ is the n -th Bernoulli polynomial given by means of the generating function

$$\frac{te^{xt}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad |t| < 2\pi$$

where $B_n(0) = B_n$ is the n -th Bernoulli number.

Çenkci *et al* [9] generalized Carlitz's, Takacs' and of course Apostol's Dedekind sums by defining $s_r(h, k : x, y|\lambda)$ by

$$s_r(h, k : x, y|\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \bar{\beta}_1 \left(\lambda, \frac{j+y}{k} \right) \bar{\beta}_r \left(\lambda, h \frac{j+y}{k} + x \right),$$

which is called degenerate Dedekind sums, and obtained reciprocity laws for these sums as

$$\begin{aligned} &(r+1)\{hk^r s_r(h, k : x, y|h\lambda) + kh^r s_r(k, h : y, x|k\lambda)\} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{r+1} \binom{r+1}{j} h^j \bar{\beta}_j(k\lambda, y) k^{r+1-j} \bar{\beta}_{r+1-j}(h\lambda, x) \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda}{2} hkd^r (r+1)(h+k+2r-2) \bar{\beta}_r \left(\frac{hk}{d} \lambda, \frac{hy+kx}{d} \right) \\ &\quad + rd^{r+1} \bar{\beta}_{r+1} \left(\frac{hk}{d} \lambda, \frac{hy+kx}{d} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where d stands for the greatest common divisor of h and k . Here $\bar{\beta}_n(\lambda, x)$ is the n -th generalized Bernoulli function defined by

$$\bar{\beta}_n(\lambda, x) = \beta_n(\lambda, \{x\}) \quad (1.3)$$

and, $\beta_n(\lambda, x)$ is the n -th generalized (or degenerate) Bernoulli polynomial defined by means of generating function [8]

$$\frac{t}{(1+\lambda t)^\mu - 1} (1+\lambda t)^{x\mu} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n(\lambda, x) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (1.4)$$

where $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\mu = \frac{1}{\lambda}$. For $x = 0$, we have $\beta_n(\lambda, 0) = \beta_n(\lambda)$ called the generalized Bernoulli numbers and for $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ we have $\beta_n(0, x) = B_n(x)$ ordinary Bernoulli polynomials and $\beta_n(0) = B_n$ ordinary Bernoulli numbers. Similar to Bernoulli functions, $\bar{\beta}_n(\lambda, x)$ satisfies Raabe’s theorem

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \bar{\beta}_n\left(\lambda, x + \frac{j}{m}\right) = m^{1-n} \bar{\beta}_n(m\lambda, mx) \tag{1.5}$$

for any x .

From now on, $s_r(h, k|\lambda)$ will be denoted by

$$s_r(h, k|\lambda) = s_r(h, k : 0, 0|\lambda).$$

In [6], Can *et al* generalized the Hardy–Berndt sums by

$$S_r(h, k) = 4 \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \bar{B}_r\left(\frac{(h+k)j}{2k}\right),$$

$$s_{3,r}(h, k) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^j \bar{B}_r\left(\frac{hj}{k}\right),$$

$$s_{4,r}(h, k) = -4 \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \bar{B}_r\left(\frac{hj}{2k}\right),$$

proved the corresponding reciprocity theorems, established infinite and finite series representations and derived some properties of these sums. Furthermore, they explicitly deduced generalized Hardy–Berndt sums in terms of $s_r(h, k)$.

In this paper we define $S_r(h, k|\lambda)$, $s_{3,r}(h, k|\lambda)$ and $s_{4,r}(h, k|\lambda)$ Hardy–Berndt sums with generalized Bernoulli functions by

$$S_r(h, k|\lambda) = 4 \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \bar{\beta}_r\left(\lambda, \frac{(h+k)j}{2k}\right),$$

$$s_{3,r}(h, k|\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j \bar{\beta}_r\left(\lambda, \frac{hj}{k}\right),$$

$$s_{4,r}(h, k|\lambda) = -4 \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \bar{\beta}_r\left(\lambda, \frac{hj}{2k}\right).$$

Note that in the limiting case $\lambda = 0$, these sums reduce to the generalized Hardy–Berndt sums for odd $r > 1$ and $S_r(h, k|0) = S_r(h, k) + 4\bar{B}_r(0)$, $s_{3,r}(h, k|0) = s_{3,r}(h, k) + \bar{B}_r(0)$ and $s_{4,r}(h, k|0) = s_{4,r}(h, k) - 4\bar{B}_r(0)$ for even r . Also, we investigate these sums only for $r > 1$, since these can be written in terms of Hardy–Berndt sums for $r = 1$. Throughout this paper, we assume that h and k are coprime integers with $h, k > 0$ and r is a positive integer with $r > 1$.

We express these sums in terms of Dedekind sums $s_r(h, k|\lambda)$ as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *If k is odd, then*

$$s_{3,r}(h, k|\lambda) = 2s_r(h, k|\lambda) - 4s_r(2h, k|\lambda) + \lambda k^{1-r} \beta_r(k\lambda) \quad (1.6)$$

and if h is odd, then

$$s_{4,r}(h, k|\lambda) = -2^{3-r} s_r(h, k|2\lambda) + 8s_r(h, 2k|\lambda) - 2^{2-r} k^{1-r} \beta_r(2k\lambda). \quad (1.7)$$

Theorem 1.2. *If $h + k$ is odd, then*

$$\begin{aligned} S_r(h, k|\lambda) &= -16s_r(h, k|\lambda) - 2^{3-r} s_r(h, k|2\lambda) + 2^{4-r} s_r(2h, k|2\lambda) \\ &\quad + 8s_r(h, 2k|\lambda) - 2^{3-r} \lambda A_r(2h, k|2\lambda) + 2^{2-r} k^{1-r} \beta_r(2k\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_r(ph, k|p\lambda) &= \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \bar{\beta}_r\left(p\lambda, \frac{phv}{k}\right) \\ &= \begin{cases} k^{1-r} \beta_r(pk\lambda), & (k, p) = 1 \\ p\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)^{1-r} \beta_r(k\lambda), & (k, p) = p. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

Also we obtain the corresponding reciprocity laws for $(h, k) = 1$.

Theorem 1.3. *If h is odd, the following reciprocity law holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} kh^r s_{3,r}(k, h|2k\lambda) - hk^r 2^{r-2} s_{4,r}(h, k|h\lambda) \\ = \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} h^j \beta_j(2k\lambda) k^{r+1-j} \varepsilon_{r-j}(2h\lambda) + hk\beta_r(2hk\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.4. *If $h + k$ is odd, the following reciprocity law holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{r-1} \{hk^r S_r(h, k|h\lambda) + kh^r S_r(k, h|k\lambda)\} \\ = -r hk \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{r-1}{j} h^j k^{r-1-j} \varepsilon_j(2k\lambda) \varepsilon_{r-1-j}(2h\lambda) \\ + 2\lambda hkr(h+k+\delta+2r-2) \varepsilon_{r-1}(2hk\lambda) \\ + 2r \varepsilon_r(2hk\lambda) + 4hk\beta_r(2hk\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta = h$ or k , according as k is or h is even, respectively.

Here $\varepsilon_n(\lambda, x)$ is the n -th generalized (or degenerate) Euler polynomial defined by [10]

$$\frac{2}{(1+\lambda t)^\mu + 1} (1+\lambda t)^{x\mu} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n(\lambda, x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (1.9)$$

for $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\mu\lambda = 1$. For $x = 0$, $\varepsilon_n(\lambda, 0) = \varepsilon_n(\lambda)$ is called the generalized Euler number and for $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, $\varepsilon_n(0, x) = E_n(x)$ which is the ordinary Euler polynomial.

In addition, we show that the reciprocity formulas are valid when $(h, k) = q$ is odd.

2. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $D(h, k|\lambda)$ be denoted by

$$D(h, k|\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \bar{\beta}_1\left(\lambda, \frac{j}{k} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \bar{\beta}_r\left(\lambda, \frac{hj}{k}\right).$$

Then, for odd k

$$\begin{aligned} D(2h, k|\lambda) - s_r(2h, k|\lambda) &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \bar{\beta}_r\left(\lambda, \frac{2hj}{k}\right) \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \bar{\beta}_1\left(\lambda, \frac{j}{k} - \frac{1}{2}\right) - \bar{\beta}_1\left(\lambda, \frac{j}{k}\right) \right\} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \bar{\beta}_r\left(\lambda, \frac{2hj}{k}\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \bar{\beta}_r\left(\lambda, \frac{2hj}{k}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where we used

$$\left[\frac{j}{k} - \frac{1}{2} \right] = \begin{cases} -1, & 0 \leq j \leq \frac{k-1}{2}, \\ 0, & \frac{k+1}{2} \leq j \leq k-1. \end{cases}$$

Applying (1.5) to the first sum in (2.1), we get

$$D(2h, k|\lambda) - s_r(2h, k|\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \bar{\beta}_r\left(\lambda, \frac{2hj}{k}\right) - \frac{1}{2} k^{1-r} \beta_r(k\lambda). \tag{2.2}$$

Similarly we can show that

$$D(2h, k|\lambda) + s_r(2h, k|\lambda) = s_r(h, k|\lambda) + \frac{\lambda}{2} k^{1-r} \beta_r(k\lambda) \tag{2.3}$$

for odd k .

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_{3,r}(h, k|\lambda) &= \sum_{j \text{ even}} \bar{\beta}_r\left(\lambda, \frac{hj}{k}\right) - \sum_{j \text{ odd}} \bar{\beta}_r\left(\lambda, \frac{hj}{k}\right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \bar{\beta}_r\left(\lambda, \frac{2hj}{k}\right) - k^{1-r} \beta_r(k\lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

By combining (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we get (1.6).

For odd h , with the help of (1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_r(h, 2k|\lambda) + D(h, 2k|\lambda) &= \sum_{j=0}^{2k-1} \bar{\beta}_1 \left(2\lambda, \frac{j}{k} \right) \bar{\beta}_r \left(\lambda, \frac{hj}{2k} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \bar{\beta}_1 \left(2\lambda, \frac{j}{k} \right) \bar{\beta}_r \left(\lambda, \frac{hj}{2k} \right) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \bar{\beta}_1 \left(2\lambda, \frac{j}{k} \right) \bar{\beta}_r \left(\lambda, \frac{hj}{2k} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \\
 &= 2^{1-r} s_r(h, k|2\lambda). \tag{2.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$D(h, 2k|\lambda) - s_r(h, 2k|\lambda) = -\frac{(2k)^{1-r}}{2} \beta_r(2k\lambda) - \frac{1}{4} s_{4,r}(h, k|\lambda) \tag{2.6}$$

for odd h . Thus (1.7) holds from (2.5) and (2.6). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For odd $h + k$, because of

$$S_r(h, k|\lambda) = -s_{4,r}(h + k, k|\lambda)$$

and (1.7), we get

$$S_r(h, k|\lambda) = 2^{3-r} s_r(h, k|2\lambda) - 8s_r(h + k, 2k|\lambda) + 2^{2-r} k^{1-r} \beta_r(2k\lambda). \tag{2.7}$$

To complete the proof we need following theorem which will be proved later.

Theorem 2.1. For a prime number p , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} s_r(h + mk, pk|\lambda) &= p^{1-r} s_r(h, k|p\lambda) - p^{1-r} s_r(ph, k|p\lambda) \\
 &\quad + ps_r(h, k|\lambda) + \left(\frac{p-1}{2} \right) p^{1-r} \lambda A_r(ph, k|p\lambda),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $A_r(ph, k|p\lambda)$ is given in (1.8).

Putting $p = 2$ in Theorem 2.1 and combining with (2.7) we have the desired result. \square

3. Proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4

Proof of Theorem 1.3. From Theorem 1.1, we have

$$(r + 1)\{kh^r s_{3,r}(k, h|2k\lambda) - hk^r 2^{r-2} s_{4,r}(h, k|h\lambda)\} = S_1 + S_2 + S_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= 2(r+1)\{kh^r s_r(k, h|2k\lambda) + hk^r s_r(h, k|h2\lambda)\}, \\ S_2 &= -2(r+1)\{(2k)h^r s_r(2k, h|2k\lambda) + (2k)^r h s_r(h, 2k|h\lambda)\}, \\ S_3 &= (r+1)\{2\lambda k^2 h \beta_r(2hk\lambda) + hk \beta_r(2hk\lambda)\}. \end{aligned}$$

With the help of (1.2) with $x = y = 0$ and $d = 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= 2 \sum_{j=0}^{r+1} \binom{r+1}{j} h^j \beta_j(2k\lambda) k^{r+1-j} \beta_{r+1-j}(2h\lambda) \\ &\quad + 2\lambda hk(r+1)(h+k+2r-2)\beta_r(2hk\lambda) + 2r\beta_{r+1}(2hk\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= -2 \sum_{j=0}^{r+1} \binom{r+1}{j} h^j \beta_j(2k\lambda) (2k)^{r+1-j} \beta_{r+1-j}(h\lambda) \\ &\quad - 2\lambda hk(r+1)(h+2k+2r-2)\beta_r(2hk\lambda) - 2r\beta_{r+1}(2hk\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(r+1)\{kh^r s_{3,r}(k, h|2k\lambda) - hk^r 2^{r-2} s_{4,r}(h, k|h\lambda)\} \\ &= 2 \sum_{j=0}^{r+1} \binom{r+1}{j} h^j \beta_j(2k\lambda) k^{r+1-j} \{\beta_{r+1-j}(2h\lambda) - 2^{r+1-j} \beta_{r+1-j}(h\lambda)\} \\ &\quad + hk(r+1)\beta_r(2hk\lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

From (1.4) and (1.9) for $x = 0$, we have

$$\beta_r(2\lambda) - 2^r \beta_r(\lambda) = \frac{r}{2} \varepsilon_{r-1}(2\lambda) \tag{3.2}$$

for $r \geq 1$. Substituting (3.2) in (3.1), the desired result follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. From Theorem 1.2, we have

$$(r+1)2^{r-3}\{hk^r S_r(h, k|h\lambda) + kh^r S_r(k, h|k\lambda)\} = T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4 + T_5,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= -2^{r+1}(r+1)\{hk^r s_r(h, k|h\lambda) + kh^r s_r(k, h|k\lambda)\}, \\ T_2 &= -(r+1)\{hk^r s_r(h, k|2h\lambda) + kh^r s_r(k, h|2k\lambda)\}, \\ T_3 &= (r+1)\{(2h)k^r s_r(2h, k|2h\lambda) + (2h)^r k s_r(k, 2h|k\lambda)\}, \\ T_4 &= (r+1)\{h(2k)^r s_r(h, 2k|h\lambda) + (2k)h^r s_r(2k, h|2k\lambda)\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$T_5 = (r+1)\{-h^2k^r\lambda A_r(2h, k|2h\lambda) - k^2h^r\lambda A_r(2k, h|2k\lambda) + hk\beta_r(2hk\lambda)\}.$$

Again with the help of (1.2) with $x = y = 0$ and $d = 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= -2^{r+1} \sum_{j=0}^{r+1} \binom{r+1}{j} h^j \beta_j(k\lambda) k^{r+1-j} \beta_{r+1-j}(h\lambda) \\ &\quad - 2^r \lambda h k (r+1)(h+k+2r-2) \beta_r(hk\lambda) - r 2^{r+1} \beta_{r+1}(hk\lambda), \\ T_2 &= - \sum_{j=0}^{r+1} \binom{r+1}{j} h^j \beta_j(2k\lambda) k^{r+1-j} \beta_{r+1-j}(2h\lambda) \\ &\quad - \lambda h k (r+1)(h+k+2r-2) \beta_r(2hk\lambda) - r \beta_{r+1}(2hk\lambda), \\ T_3 &= \sum_{j=0}^{r+1} \binom{r+1}{j} (2h)^j \beta_j(k\lambda) k^{r+1-j} \beta_{r+1-j}(2h\lambda) \\ &\quad + \lambda h k (r+1)(2h+k+2r-2) \beta_r(2hk\lambda) + r \beta_{r+1}(2hk\lambda), \\ T_4 &= \sum_{j=0}^{r+1} \binom{r+1}{j} h^j \beta_j(2k\lambda) (2k)^{r+1-j} \beta_{r+1-j}(h\lambda) \\ &\quad + \lambda h k (r+1)(h+2k+2r-2) \beta_r(2hk\lambda) + r \beta_{r+1}(2hk\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(r+1)2^{r-3}\{hk^r S_r(h, k|h\lambda) + kh^r S_r(k, h|k\lambda)\} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{r+1} \binom{r+1}{j} h^j k^{r+1-j} \{2^j \beta_j(k\lambda) - \beta_j(2k\lambda)\} \\ &\quad \times \{\beta_{r+1-j}(2h\lambda) - 2^{r+1-j} \beta_{r+1-j}(h\lambda)\} \\ &\quad + \lambda h k (r+1)(h+k+2r-2) \{\beta_r(2hk\lambda) - 2^r \beta_r(hk\lambda)\} \\ &\quad + r \{\beta_{r+1}(2hk\lambda) - 2^{r+1} \beta_{r+1}(hk\lambda)\} \\ &\quad - k^2 h^r \lambda (r+1) A_r(2k, h|2k\lambda) - h^2 k^r \lambda (r+1) A_r(2h, k|2h\lambda) \\ &\quad + \lambda h k (r+1)(h+k) \beta_r(2hk\lambda) + h k (r+1) \beta_r(2hk\lambda), \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $A_r(2h, k|2h\lambda)$ is given in (1.8). By using (3.2), the right-hand side of (3.3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{r(r+1)hk}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{r-1}{j} h^j k^{r-1-j} \varepsilon_j(2k\lambda) \varepsilon_{r-1-j}(2h\lambda) + \lambda hk(r+1) \\ & \times (h+k+2r-2) \frac{r}{2} \varepsilon_{r-1}(2hk\lambda) + \frac{r(r+1)}{2} \varepsilon_r(2hk\lambda) + \lambda(r+1) \\ & \times \{hk(h+k)\beta_r(2hk\lambda) - h^2 k^r A_r(2h, k|2h\lambda) - k^2 h^r A_r(2k, h|2k\lambda)\} \\ & + hk(r+1)\beta_r(2hk\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for odd k and even h , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & hk(h+k)\beta_r(2hk\lambda) - h^2 k^r A_r(2h, k|2h\lambda) - k^2 h^r A_r(2k, h|2k\lambda) \\ & = hk^2 \frac{r}{2} \varepsilon_{r-1}(2hk\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

and for odd h and even k , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & hk(h+k)\beta_r(2hk\lambda) - h^2 k^r A_r(2h, k|2h\lambda) - k^2 h^r A_r(2k, h|2k\lambda) \\ & = h^2 k \frac{r}{2} \varepsilon_{r-1}(2hk\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the proof is completed. □

4. Further results

First we state following proposition which we need in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

PROPOSITION 4.1

For any positive integers q , we have

$$s_r(qh, qk|\lambda) = s_r(h, k|\lambda) + \frac{\lambda(q-1)}{2} k^{1-r} \beta_r(k\lambda).$$

Proof. From the definition of $s_r(h, k|\lambda)$ and (1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_r(qh, qk|\lambda) &= \sum_{j=0}^{qk-1} \bar{\beta}_1\left(\lambda, \frac{j}{qk}\right) \bar{\beta}_r\left(\lambda, \frac{qhj}{qk}\right) \\ &= \sum_{v=0}^{q-1} \sum_{\rho=0}^{k-1} \bar{\beta}_1\left(\lambda, \frac{vk+\rho}{qk}\right) \bar{\beta}_r\left(\lambda, \frac{h(vk+\rho)}{k}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\rho=0}^{k-1} \bar{\beta}_1\left(q\lambda, \frac{\rho}{k}\right) \bar{\beta}_r\left(\lambda, \frac{h\rho}{k}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $\beta_1(\lambda, x) = x + \frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$ (see [12]), we get

$$s_r(qh, qk|\lambda) = \sum_{\rho=0}^{k-1} \bar{\beta}_r\left(\lambda, \frac{h\rho}{k}\right) \bar{\beta}_1\left(\lambda, \frac{\rho}{k}\right) + \frac{\lambda(q-1)}{2} \sum_{\rho=0}^{k-1} \bar{\beta}_r\left(\lambda, \frac{h\rho}{k}\right).$$

Since $(h, k) = 1$, from (1.5), we obtain

$$\sum_{\rho=0}^{k-1} \bar{\beta}_r\left(\lambda, \frac{h\rho}{k}\right) = \sum_{\rho=0}^{k-1} \bar{\beta}_r\left(\lambda, \frac{\rho}{k}\right) = k^{1-r} \bar{\beta}_r(k\lambda, 0) = k^{1-r} \beta_r(k\lambda) \quad (4.1)$$

which completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.1. From the definition of $s_r(h, k|\lambda)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} s_r(h + mk, pk|\lambda) &= \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{pk-1} \bar{\beta}_1\left(\lambda, \frac{j}{pk}\right) \bar{\beta}_r\left(\lambda, \frac{(h + mk)j}{pk}\right) \\ &= \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\mu=0}^{p-1} \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \bar{\beta}_1\left(\lambda, \frac{vp + \mu}{pk}\right) \\ &\quad \times \bar{\beta}_r\left(\lambda, \frac{h(vp + \mu)}{pk} + \frac{m\mu}{p}\right) \\ &= \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^{p-1} \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \bar{\beta}_1\left(\lambda, \frac{vp + \mu}{pk}\right) \\ &\quad \times \bar{\beta}_r\left(\lambda, \frac{h(vp + \mu)}{pk} + \frac{m\mu}{p}\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \bar{\beta}_1\left(\lambda, \frac{v}{k}\right) \bar{\beta}_r\left(\lambda, \frac{hv}{k}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Applying (1.5) to sum over m in (4.2), the right-hand side becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &p^{1-r} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^{p-1} \bar{\beta}_1\left(\lambda, \frac{vp + \mu}{pk}\right) \bar{\beta}_r\left(p\lambda, \frac{ph(vp + \mu)}{pk}\right) + ps_r(h, k|\lambda) \\ &= p^{1-r} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\mu=0}^{p-1} \bar{\beta}_1\left(\lambda, \frac{vp + \mu}{pk}\right) \bar{\beta}_r\left(p\lambda, \frac{ph(vp + \mu)}{pk}\right) \\ &\quad - p^{1-r} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \bar{\beta}_1\left(\lambda, \frac{v}{k}\right) \bar{\beta}_r\left(p\lambda, \frac{phv}{k}\right) + ps_r(h, k|\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

By the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\mu=0}^{p-1} \bar{\beta}_1 \left(\lambda, \frac{vp + \mu}{pk} \right) \bar{\beta}_r \left(p\lambda, \frac{ph(vp + \mu)}{pk} \right) \\ &= s_r(ph, pk|p\lambda) + \frac{\lambda(1-p)}{2} pk^{1-r} \beta_r(pk\lambda), \\ & \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \bar{\beta}_1 \left(\lambda, \frac{v}{k} \right) \bar{\beta}_r \left(p\lambda, \frac{phv}{k} \right) \\ &= s_r(ph, k|p\lambda) + \frac{\lambda(1-p)}{2} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \bar{\beta}_r \left(p\lambda, \frac{phv}{k} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and Proposition 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} s_r(h + mk, pk|\lambda) &= p^{1-r} s_r(h, k|p\lambda) \\ &\quad - p^{1-r} s_r(ph, k|p\lambda) + p s_r(h, k|\lambda) \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda(p-1)}{2} p^{1-r} A_r(ph, k|p\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_r(ph, k|p\lambda) &= \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \bar{\beta}_r \left(p\lambda, \frac{phv}{k} \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} k^{1-r} \beta_r(pk\lambda), & (k, p) = 1, \\ p \left(\frac{k}{p} \right)^{1-r} \beta_r(k\lambda), & (k, p) = p \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

By the following proposition, we can show that the reciprocity theorems are satisfied not only in the case $(h, k) = 1$ but also in the case $(h, k) = q$ be odd.

PROPOSITION 4.2

Let q be positive integer. If $(h + k)$ is odd, then

$$S_r(qh, qk|\lambda) = \begin{cases} 2q(2k)^{1-r} \beta_r(2k\lambda), & \text{if } q \text{ is even,} \\ S_r(h, k|\lambda) + \frac{2(q-1)}{(2k)^{r-1}} \beta_r(2k\lambda), & \text{if } q \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

If k is odd, then

$$s_{3,r}(qh, qk|\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } q \text{ is even,} \\ s_{3,r}(h, k|\lambda), & \text{if } q \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

If h is odd, then

$$s_{4,r}(qh, qk|\lambda) = \begin{cases} -2q(2k)^{1-r} \beta_r(2k\lambda), & \text{if } q \text{ is even} \\ s_{4,r}(h, k|\lambda) - \frac{2(q-1)}{(2k)^{r-1}} \beta_r(2k\lambda), & \text{if } q \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By the definition of $S_r(h, k|\lambda)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} S_r(qh, qk|\lambda) &= \sum_{j=0}^{qk-1} \bar{\beta}_r \left(\lambda, \frac{(h+k)j}{2k} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} \bar{\beta}_r \left(\lambda, \frac{(h+k)n}{2k} + \frac{m}{2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Let q be even. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} S_r(qh, qk|\lambda) &= \frac{q}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \sum_{m=0}^1 \bar{\beta}_r \left(\lambda, \frac{(h+k)n}{2k} + \frac{m}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{q}{2} 2^{1-r} \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \bar{\beta}_r \left(2\lambda, \frac{(h+k)n}{k} \right) = \frac{q}{2} (2k)^{1-r} \beta_r(2k\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

If q is odd, from (4.3), we obtain similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} S_r(qh, qk|\lambda) &= \frac{(q-1)}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \sum_{m=0}^1 \bar{\beta}_r \left(\lambda, \frac{(h+k)n}{2k} + \frac{m}{2} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \bar{\beta}_r \left(\lambda, \frac{(h+k)n}{2k} + \frac{q-1}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{(q-1)}{2} (2k)^{1-r} \beta_r(2k\lambda) + \frac{1}{4} S_r(h, k|\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Other statements can be shown in a similar way. □

With the help of Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.4 and Proposition 4.2 we have following:

COROLLARY 4.3

Let $(h, k) = 1$ with $h, k > 0$ and q be odd. If h is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} kh^r s_{3,r}(qk, qh|qk2\lambda) - hk^r 2^{r-2} s_{4,r}(qh, qk|qh\lambda) \\ = \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} h^j \beta_j(2kq\lambda) k^{r+1-j} \varepsilon_{r-j}(2hq\lambda) + qhk\beta_r(2hk\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

and if $(h + k)$ is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{r-1} \{hk^r S_r(hq, kq|hq\lambda) + kh^r S_r(kq, hq|kq\lambda)\} \\ &= -r h k \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{r-1}{j} h^j k^{r-1-j} \varepsilon_j(2kq\lambda) \varepsilon_{r-1-j}(2hq\lambda) \\ & \quad + 2q\lambda h k r (h + k + \delta + 2r - 2) \varepsilon_{r-1}(2hkq\lambda) \\ & \quad + 2r \varepsilon_r(2hkq\lambda) + 4q h k \beta_r(2hk\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta = h$ or k , according as k is or h is even, respectively.

The analogous of Theorem 2.1 may be given for a new generalization of the Hardy–Berndt sums as follows:

Theorem 4.4. *Let p be a prime number. If k is odd, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} s_{3,r}(h + mk, pk|\lambda) \\ &= \begin{cases} 2k^{1-r} \beta_r(k\lambda) - (2k)^{1-r} \beta_r(2k\lambda), & \text{if } p = 2, \\ p^{1-r} \{s_{3,r}(h, k|p\lambda) - s_{3,r}(ph, k|p\lambda)\} + ps_{3,r}(h, k|\lambda), & \text{if } p > 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

If h is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} s_{4,r}(h + 2mk, pk|\lambda) &= p^{1-r} \{s_{4,r}(ph, pk|p\lambda) \\ & \quad - s_{4,r}(ph, k|p\lambda)\} + ps_{4,r}(h, k|\lambda) \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

and if $h + k$ is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} S_r(h + 2mk, pk|\lambda) \\ &= \begin{cases} -p^{1-r} \{s_{4,r}(ph, pk|p\lambda) - s_{4,r}(ph, k|p\lambda)\} \\ \quad - ps_{4,r}(h, k|\lambda), & \text{if } p = 2 \\ p^{1-r} \{S_r(ph, pk|p\lambda) - S_r(ph, k|p\lambda)\} \\ \quad + pS_r(h, k|\lambda), & \text{if } p > 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. From the definition of $s_{3,r}(h, k|\lambda)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=0}^{p-1} s_{3,r}(h + mk, pk|\lambda) &= \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{pk-1} (-1)^j \bar{\beta}_r \left(\lambda, \frac{(h + mk)j}{pk} \right) \\
&= \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^{p-1} \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{vp+\mu} \\
&\quad \times \bar{\beta}_r \left(\lambda, \frac{h(vp + \mu)}{pk} + \frac{m\mu}{p} \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{vp} \bar{\beta}_r \left(\lambda, \frac{hvp}{pk} \right). \tag{4.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (1.5) to sum over m in (4.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=0}^{p-1} s_{3,r}(h + mk, pk|\lambda) &= p^{1-r} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^{p-1} (-1)^{vp+\mu} \bar{\beta}_r \left(p\lambda, \frac{ph(vp + \mu)}{pk} \right) \\
&\quad + p \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{vp} \bar{\beta}_r \left(\lambda, \frac{hv}{k} \right) \\
&= p^{1-r} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\mu=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{vp+\mu} \bar{\beta}_r \left(p\lambda, \frac{ph(vp + \mu)}{pk} \right) \\
&\quad - p^{1-r} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{vp} \bar{\beta}_r \left(p\lambda, \frac{phv}{k} \right) \\
&\quad + p \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{vp} \bar{\beta}_r \left(\lambda, \frac{hv}{k} \right) \\
&= p^{1-r} s_{3,r}(ph, pk|p\lambda) - p^{1-r} B + pA,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$A = \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{vp} \bar{\beta}_r \left(\lambda, \frac{hv}{k} \right) = \begin{cases} k^{1-r} \beta_r(k\lambda), & \text{if } p = 2, \\ s_{3,r}(h, k|\lambda), & \text{if } p > 2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$B = \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{vp} \bar{\beta}_r \left(p\lambda, \frac{phv}{k} \right) = \begin{cases} k^{1-r} \beta_r(2k\lambda), & \text{if } p = 2, \\ s_{3,r}(ph, k|p\lambda), & \text{if } p > 2 \end{cases}$$

which completes the proof of (4.4). The proofs of (4.5) and (4.6) follow by using the arguments in the proof of (4.4). \square

For the limiting case $\lambda = 0$ and odd integer $r > 1$, from Theorem 4.4 and Proposition 4.2, we have following results.

COROLLARY 4.5

Let p be a prime number. If k is odd, then

$$\sum_{m=0}^{p-1} s_{3,r}(h + mk, pk) = (p^{1-r} + p)s_{3,r}(h, k) - p^{1-r}s_{3,r}(ph, k) \quad (4.8)$$

for $p > 2$ and the sum on the left-hand side in (4.8) is zero for $p = 2$. If h is odd, then

$$\sum_{m=0}^{p-1} s_{4,r}(h + 2mk, pk) = p^{1-r}\{s_{4,r}(ph, pk) - s_{4,r}(ph, k)\} + ps_{4,r}(h, k)$$

and if $(h + k)$ is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} S_r(h + 2mk, pk) \\ &= \begin{cases} -2s_{4,r}(h, k), & \text{if } p = 2, \\ p^{1-r}\{S_r(ph, pk) - S_r(ph, k)\} + pS_r(h, k), & \text{if } p > 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgement

This work is supported by the Akdeniz University Scientific Research Projects Unit.

References

- [1] Apostol T M, Generalized Dedekind sums and transformation formulae of certain Lambert series, *Duke Math. J.* **17** (1950) 147–157
- [2] Apostol T M and Vu T H, Elementary proofs of Berndt’s reciprocity laws, *Pacific J. Math.* **98** (1982) 17–23
- [3] Berndt B C, Analytic Eisenstein series, theta functions and series relations in the spirit of Ramanujan, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **303/304** (1978) 332–365
- [4] Berndt B C and Goldberg L A, Analytic properties of arithmetic sums arising in the theory of the classical theta functions, *Siam J. Math. Anal.* **15(1)** (1984) 143–150
- [5] Can M, Some arithmetic on the Hardy sums $s_2(h, k)$ and $s_3(h, k)$, *Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser.* **20(2)** (2004) 193–200
- [6] Can M, Cenkci M and Kurt V, Generalized Hardy–Berndt sums, *Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc.* **9(1)** (2006) 19–38
- [7] Carlitz L, Generalized Dedekind sums, *Math. Z.* **85** (1964) 83–90
- [8] Carlitz L, Degenerate Stirling, Bernoulli and Eulerian numbers, *Utilitas Math.* **15** (1979) 51–88
- [9] Cenkci M, Can M and Kurt V, Degenerate and character Dedekind sums, *J. Number Theory* **124** (2007) 346–363
- [10] Cenkci M and Howard F T, Notes on degenerate numbers, *Discrete Math.* **307** (2007) 2359–2375

- [11] Goldberg L A, Transformations of theta-functions and analogues of Dedekind sums, Ph.D. thesis (1981) (Urbana: University of Illinois)
- [12] Howard F T, Explicit formulas for degenerate Bernoulli numbers, *Discrete Math.* **162** (1996) 175–185
- [13] Liu H, On the mean values of Dedekind sums and Hardy sums, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **46** (2009) 187–213
- [14] Meyer J L, Analogues of Dedekind sums, Thesis (1997) (Urbana: University of Illinois)
- [15] Meyer J L, Properties of certain integer-valued analogues of Dedekind sums, *Acta Arith.* **LXXXII(3)** (1997) 229–242
- [16] Rademacher H and Grosswald E, Dedekind sums, Math. Assoc. of America (1972) (USA: Washington, D.C.)
- [17] Simsek Y, Relations between theta functions, Hardy sums, Eisenstein and Lambert series in the transformation formulae of $\log \eta_{g,h}(z)$, *J. Number Theory* **99** (2003) 338–360
- [18] Sitaramachandrarao R, Dedekind and Hardy sums. *Acta Arith.* **XLIII** (1987) 325–340
- [19] Takacs L, On generalized Dedekind sums, *J. Number Theory* **11** (1979) 264–272
- [20] Zhefeng X and Wenpeng Z, The mean value of Hardy sums over short intervals, *Proc. R. Soc. Edinburgh* **137** (2007) 885–894