

## A note on stable Teichmüller quasigeodesics

ABHIJIT PAL

Department of Mathematics, Chennai Mathematical Institute, SIPCOT IT Park,  
Siruseri, Kelambakkam 603 103, India  
E-mail: pal.aabhi@gmail.com

MS received 3 October 2011; revised 20 October 2012

**Abstract.** In this note, we prove that for a cobounded, Lipschitz path  $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  in the Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$  of a hyperbolic surface, if the pull back bundle  $\mathcal{H}_\gamma \rightarrow I$  of the canonical  $\mathbb{H}^2$ -bundle  $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is a strongly relatively hyperbolic metric space then there exists a geodesic  $\xi$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $\gamma(I)$  and  $\xi$  are close to each other.

**Keywords.** Teichmüller space; relatively hyperbolic space; mapping class groups; quasigeodesics.

### 1. Introduction

Suppose  $S_{g,n}$  is a surface of genus  $g$  with  $n$  punctures such that its Euler characteristic  $\chi(S_{g,n}) < 0$ . Consider the Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T} = \text{Teich}(S_{g,n})$  of  $S_{g,n}$ . There is a smooth fiber bundle  $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  over  $\mathcal{T}$ , whose fiber  $\mathcal{S}_\sigma$  over  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$  is  $S_{g,n}$  with metric  $\sigma$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the universal cover of  $\mathcal{S}$ , then the universal covering  $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  defines a smooth fiber bundle  $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  whose fiber  $\mathcal{H}_\sigma$  over  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$  is isometric to the hyperbolic plane  $\mathbb{H}^2$  (refer to §2.2, pp. 49–50 of [13]). Let  $I$  be a closed and connected interval of  $\mathbb{R}$ . The purpose of this note is to prove that for a  $\mathcal{B}$ -cobounded, Lipschitz path  $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ , where  $\mathcal{B}$  is a compact subset of  $\mathcal{T}$ , if the pull back bundle  $\mathcal{H}_\gamma$  over  $I$  is a strongly relatively hyperbolic metric space then there exists a geodesic  $\xi$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  such that the Hausdorff distance between  $\gamma(I)$  and  $\xi$  is bounded. This is a straightforward generalization of a result due to Mosher (Theorem 1.1 of [13]), where the statement was proven for closed surfaces admitting hyperbolic metrics with the assumption that  $\mathcal{H}_\gamma$  is a hyperbolic metric space. We refer to [1] for basic facts about hyperbolic metric spaces.

### 2. Relative hyperbolicity

Let  $(X, d_X)$  be a path metric space. A collection of closed subsets  $\mathcal{D} = \{D_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  of  $X$  will be said to be *uniformly separated* if there exists  $\mu > 0$  such that  $d_X(D_\alpha, D_\beta) \geq \mu$  for all distinct  $D_\alpha, D_\beta \in \mathcal{D}$ .

Let  $Z = X \sqcup (\sqcup_{\alpha} (D_\alpha \times [0, \frac{1}{2}]))$  and we define a distance function on  $Z$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} d_Z(x, y) &= d_X(x, y), \text{ if } x, y \in X, \\ &= d_{D_\alpha \times [0, \frac{1}{2}]}(x, y), \text{ if } x, y \in H_\alpha \text{ for some } \alpha \in \Lambda, \\ &= \infty, \text{ if } x, y \text{ does not lie on a same set of the disjoint union.} \end{aligned}$$

The metric  $d_{D_\alpha \times [0, \frac{1}{2}]}$  above is the product metric on  $D_\alpha \times [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Let  $\{v_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  be a collection of distinct points indexed by  $\Lambda$ . Let  $\sim$  be a relation on  $Z$  defined as follows:  $(h_\alpha, \frac{1}{2}) \sim v_\alpha$  and  $(h_\alpha, 0) \sim h_\alpha$  for all  $h_\alpha \in D_\alpha$  and for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , where  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.

DEFINITION 2.1 [6]

The *electric space* (or coned-off space)  $\mathcal{E}(X, \mathcal{D})$  corresponding to the pair  $(X, \mathcal{D})$  is the quotient space  $Z/\sim$  of  $Z$  and the points  $v_\alpha$  are said to be cone points. We define a metric  $d_{\mathcal{E}(X, \mathcal{D})}$  on  $\mathcal{E}(X, \mathcal{H})$  as follows:

$$d_{\mathcal{E}(X, \mathcal{D})}([x], [y]) = \inf \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} d_Z(x_i, y_i),$$

where the infimum is taken over all sequences  $C = \{x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n\}$  of points of  $Z$  such that  $x_1 \in [x]$ ,  $y_n \in [y]$  and  $y_i \sim x_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . ( $\sim$  is the equivalence relation on  $Z$ ).

Note that  $\mathcal{E}(X, \mathcal{D})$  is a metric space obtained from  $X$  by coning each  $D_\alpha$  to the cone point  $v_\alpha$ .

DEFINITION 2.2

$X$  is said to be *weakly hyperbolic* relative to the collection  $\mathcal{D}$  if  $\mathcal{E}(X, \mathcal{D})$  is a hyperbolic metric space.

For a path  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ , there is an induced path  $\hat{\gamma}$  in  $\mathcal{E}(X, \mathcal{D})$  obtained as follows: for each component  $\gamma|_{[s, t]}$  of  $\gamma$  lying in a set  $D_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}$ , replace the component  $\gamma|_{[s, t]}$  by the path  $[\gamma(s), v_\alpha] \cup [v_\alpha, \gamma(t)]$  of length one passing through cone point  $v_\alpha$ , where  $[\gamma(s), v_\alpha]$ ,  $[v_\alpha, \gamma(t)]$  are geodesics in the cone  $\mathcal{E}(D_\alpha, \{D_\alpha\})$ . If  $\hat{\gamma}$  is a geodesic (resp.  $P$ -quasigeodesic) in  $\mathcal{E}(X, \mathcal{D})$ ,  $\gamma$  is called a *relative geodesic* (resp. *relative  $P$ -quasigeodesic*).  $\gamma$  is said to be *without backtracking* if  $\gamma$  does not return to an  $D_\alpha$  after leaving it.

DEFINITION 2.3 [4]

Relative geodesics (resp.  $P$ -quasigeodesics) in  $(X, \mathcal{D})$  are said to satisfy *bounded region penetration properties* if there exists  $K = K(P) > 0$  such that for any two relative geodesics (resp.  $P$ -quasigeodesics without backtracking)  $\beta, \gamma$  joining  $x, y \in X$ , the following two properties are satisfied:

- (1) if precisely one of  $\{\beta, \gamma\}$  meets a set  $D_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}$ , then the distance (measured in the intrinsic path-metric on  $D_\alpha$ ) from the first (entry) point to the last (exit) point (of the relevant path) is at most  $K$ ,
- (2) if both  $\{\beta, \gamma\}$  meet some  $D_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}$  then the distance (measured in the intrinsic path-metric on  $D_\alpha$ ) from the entry point of  $\beta$  to that of  $\gamma$  is at most  $K$ ; similarly for exit points.

DEFINITION 2.4 [4]

$X$  is said to be *strongly hyperbolic* relative to the uniformly separated collection  $\mathcal{D}$  if  $X$  is weakly hyperbolic relative to  $\mathcal{D}$  and relative  $P$  quasigeodesics without backtracking satisfy the bounded region penetration properties.

Gromov's definition of relative hyperbolicity:

DEFINITION 2.5 [10]

For any geodesic metric space  $(D, d)$ , the *hyperbolic cone* (analog of a horoball)  $D^h$  is the metric space  $D \times [0, \infty) = D^h$  equipped with the path metric  $d_h$  obtained from two pieces of data

- (1)  $d_{h,t}((x, t), (y, t)) = 2^{-t} d_D(x, y)$ , where  $d_{h,t}$  is the induced path metric on  $D_t = D \times \{t\}$ . Paths joining  $(x, t), (y, t)$  and lying on  $D_t = D \times \{t\}$  are called *horizontal paths*;
- (2)  $d_h((x, t), (x, s)) = |t - s|$  for all  $x \in D$  and for all  $t, s \in [0, \infty)$ , and the corresponding paths are called *vertical paths*;
- (3) for all  $x, y \in D^h$ ,  $d_h(x, y)$  is the path metric induced by the collection of horizontal and vertical paths.

DEFINITION 2.6 [10]

Let  $\delta \geq 0$ . Let  $X$  be a geodesic metric space and  $\mathcal{D}$  be a collection of mutually disjoint uniformly separated subsets of  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}(X, \mathcal{D})$  be the quotient space, obtained by attaching the hyperbolic cones  $D^h$  to  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  via the identification  $(x, 0) \sim x$  for all  $x \in D$ . The metric on  $\mathcal{G}(X, \mathcal{D})$  is the quotient metric.  $X$  is said to be strongly  $\delta$ -hyperbolic relative to  $\mathcal{D}$  in the sense of Gromov, if  $\mathcal{G}(X, \mathcal{D})$  is a  $\delta$ -hyperbolic metric space.  $X$  is said to be strongly hyperbolic relative to  $\mathcal{D}$  in the sense of Gromov if  $\mathcal{G}(X, \mathcal{D})$  is a  $\delta$ -hyperbolic metric space for some  $\delta \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 2.7 [3].** *Let  $X$  be a geodesic metric space and  $\mathcal{D}$  be a collection of mutually disjoint uniformly separated subsets of  $X$ .  $X$  is strongly hyperbolic relative to the collection  $\mathcal{D}$  of uniformly separated subsets of  $X$  in the sense of Farb if and only if  $X$  is strongly hyperbolic relative to the collection  $\mathcal{D}$  of uniformly separated subsets of  $X$  in the sense of Gromov.*

DEFINITION 2.8 (Definition 2.9 of [11])

Let  $(X, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$  be an ordered quadruple such that the following holds:

- (1)  $X$  is a geodesic metric space and  $\mathcal{H} = \{H_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of uniformly  $\epsilon$ -separated closed subsets of  $X$ .  $X$  is strongly hyperbolic relative to  $\mathcal{H}$ ,
- (2)  $\mathcal{L} = \{L_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of  $\delta$ -hyperbolic geodesic metric spaces and  $\mathcal{G}$  is a collection of uniform large scale retraction  $g_\alpha : H_\alpha \rightarrow L_\alpha$ , i.e., there exists  $K, \epsilon > 0$  such that the following holds:  $d_{L_\alpha}(g_\alpha(x), g_\alpha(y)) \leq K d_{H_\alpha}(x, y) + \epsilon$  for all  $x, y \in H_\alpha$ .

The partially electrocuted space or partially coned-off space, denoted by  $X_{\text{pel}}$ , corresponding to the quadruple  $(X, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$  is obtained from  $X$  by gluing in the (metric) mapping cylinders for the maps  $g_\alpha : H_\alpha \rightarrow L_\alpha$ .

The metric in the partially electrocuted space  $X_{\text{pel}}$  will be denoted as  $d_{\text{pel}}$ .

*Lemma 2.9 (Lemma 2.10 of [11]).*  $(X_{\text{pel}}, d_{\text{pel}})$  is a hyperbolic metric space and the sets  $L_\alpha$  are uniformly quasiconvex sets.

### 3. Main theorem

Suppose  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  are the punctures of  $S_{g,n}$  and  $\sigma$  a Teichmüller metric on  $S_{g,n}$ . For each  $p_i$ ,  $\sigma$  corresponds to an infinite collection  $\mathcal{D}_\sigma(p_i) = \{D_\sigma(p_i, \alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  of horodisks in the fiber  $\mathcal{H}_\sigma$  of the bundle  $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  such that they satisfy the following properties:

- (1) each  $\mathcal{D}_\sigma(p_i)$  is invariant under the action of  $\pi_1(S_{g,n})$ ,
- (2) for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , there exists a sub-bundle  $\mathcal{D}(p_i, \alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  such that the fiber over  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$  is  $D_\sigma(p_i, \alpha)$ ,
- (3) elements of  $\mathcal{D}_\sigma(p_1) \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{D}_\sigma(p_n)$  are disjoint from each other.

For each path  $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , there exists a pull back bundle  $\mathcal{D}_\gamma(p_i, \alpha) \rightarrow I$  such that the fiber over  $t \in I$  is  $D_{\gamma(t)}(p_i, \alpha)$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}_\gamma$  denote the collection  $\{\mathcal{D}_\gamma(p_i, \alpha) : 1 \leq i \leq n, \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ .

#### DEFINITION 3.1

Consider a subset  $\mathcal{B}$  of the moduli space  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{T}/MCG(S_{g,n})$ , a path  $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is said to be  $\mathcal{B}$ -cobounded, if the image of  $\gamma$  under the projection  $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  lies in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

We prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $I$  be a closed, connected interval of  $\mathbb{R}$ . For a compact subset  $\mathcal{B}$  of the moduli space  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{T}/MCG(S_{g,n})$  and for every  $\rho \geq 1, \delta \geq 0$  there exists  $P \geq 0$  such that the following holds:*

*If  $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -cobounded and  $\rho$ -Lipschitz path, and if  $\mathcal{H}_\gamma$  is strongly  $\delta$ -hyperbolic relative to the collection  $\mathcal{D}_\gamma$ , then there exists a geodesic  $\xi : I \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  joining end points of  $\gamma$  such that the Hausdorff distance between  $\gamma(I)$  and  $\xi(I)$  is at most  $P$ .*

Note that the fibers  $\mathcal{H}_\sigma = \mathbb{H}^2 \times \sigma$  of  $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  are (uniformly) strongly hyperbolic relative to the collections  $\mathcal{D}_\sigma = \{D_\sigma(p_i, \alpha) : 1 \leq i \leq n, \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  of horodisks. Hence the coned-off spaces  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_\sigma, \mathcal{D}_\sigma)$ ,  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$  are (uniformly) hyperbolic metric spaces. Thus for a path  $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  there exists a bundle  $\mathcal{PH}_\gamma \rightarrow I$  of coned-off hyperbolic metric spaces with fiber  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma(t)}, \mathcal{D}_{\gamma(t)})$ .  $\mathcal{PH}_\gamma$  is also obtained by partially electrocuting each element  $\mathcal{D}_\gamma(p_i, \alpha)$  of  $\mathcal{D}_\gamma$  to a hyperbolic space  $\mathcal{L}_\gamma(p_i, \alpha)$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_\gamma(p_i, \alpha)$  is the locus of cone points obtained by coning  $D_{\gamma(t)}(p_i, \alpha)$  for all  $t \in I$ . By Lemma 2.9, if  $\mathcal{H}_\gamma$  is strongly hyperbolic relative to the collection  $\mathcal{D}_\gamma$  then  $\mathcal{PH}_\gamma$  is a hyperbolic metric space.

#### DEFINITION 3.3

Suppose  $\kappa > 1, n$  a natural number and  $A \geq 0$ . Let  $I$  be an interval of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\Theta = I \cap \mathbb{Z}$ . A sequence of positive numbers  $\{r_j : j \in \Theta\}$ , is said to satisfy  $(\kappa, n, A)$ -flaring property if  $j - n, j + n \in \Theta$  and if  $r_j > A$  then  $\max\{r_{j-n}, r_{j+n}\} \geq \kappa r_j$ .

#### DEFINITION 3.4

A path  $\alpha : J \rightarrow \mathcal{PH}_\gamma$ , where  $J \subset I$ , is said to be  $\lambda$ -quasivertical if it is  $\lambda$ -Lipschitz and also a section.

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  bounded subset of the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}$  and let  $\rho \geq 1$ . By Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.3 of [6], it follows that there exists  $K \geq 1$  such that if  $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is  $\rho$ -Lipschitz,

$\mathcal{B}$ -cobounded path then there exists a connection map  $h_{st} : \mathcal{H}_{\gamma(s)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\gamma(t)}$  which is  $K^{|s-t|}$ -bilipschitz (see also Remark below Proposition 2.3 of [13]). Let  $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma(t)}^b$  be obtained from  $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma(t)}$  by deleting the interior of horodisks. The connection map  $h_{st}$  induces a bilipschitz connection map  $h_{st}^b : \mathcal{H}_{\gamma(s)}^b \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\gamma(t)}^b$ . Now, if  $\mathcal{H}_\gamma$  is strongly hyperbolic relative to the collection  $\mathcal{D}_\gamma$ , then the following result is an immediate consequence of converse to strong combination theorem (Theorem 4.7 of [11]). The following flaring properties is also obtained from the fact that  $\mathcal{PH}_\gamma$  is a hyperbolic metric space and then applying converse of the Bestvina–Feighn combination theorem [2]. The converse of Bestvina–Feighn combination theorem is the Gersten’s theorem (Corollary 6.7 of [7]). For an elaborate discussion, we refer to subsection 3.2, p. 55 and Proposition 3.1 of [13]. Let  $d_{\bar{\sigma}}$  denote the metric of the coned-off space  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_\sigma, \mathcal{D}_\sigma)$ .

PROPOSITION 3.5

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a compact subset of the moduli space  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{T}/MCG(S_{g,n})$  and  $\rho \geq 1$ . If  $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -cobounded and  $\rho$ -Lipschitz path such that  $\mathcal{H}_\gamma$  is strongly hyperbolic relative to the collection  $\mathcal{D}_\gamma$ , then for a given  $\lambda \geq 1$  there exist  $\kappa > 1$ , an integer  $n \geq 1$  and a number  $A > 0$  such that the following holds:

Let  $\alpha, \beta : J \rightarrow \mathcal{PH}_\gamma$  be two  $\lambda$ -quasivertical paths, then the sequence  $s_j = d_{\widehat{(\gamma(j))}}(\alpha(j), \beta(j))$ , where  $j \in J \cap \mathbb{Z}$ , satisfies  $(\kappa, n, A)$ -flaring property.

We refer to [5] for the definitions of measured lamination  $\mathcal{ML}$  and measured geodesic lamination  $\mathcal{MGL}$  of general hyperbolic surfaces. For each  $\mu \in \mathcal{ML}$ , let  $\mu_t$  denote the corresponding measured geodesic lamination on the hyperbolic surface  $\mathcal{S}_{\gamma(t)} = \mathcal{H}_{\gamma(t)}/\pi_1(S_{g,n})$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}_{\gamma(t)}^b$  denote the ‘thick part’ of  $\mathcal{S}_{\gamma(t)}$  i.e.  $\mathcal{S}_{\gamma(t)}^b$  is obtained from  $\mathcal{S}_{\gamma(t)}$  by deleting the images of interior of horodisks under the projection  $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma(t)} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\gamma(t)}$ . Now each  $\mu \in \mathcal{ML}$  induce a geodesic lamination  $\mu_t^b \subset \mu_t$  on  $\mathcal{S}_{\gamma(t)}^b$ . A connection path of the sub-bundle  $\mathcal{S}_\gamma^b \rightarrow I$  is a piecewise smooth section of the projection map which is everywhere tangent to the connection on the bundle  $\mathcal{S}_\gamma^b \rightarrow I$ . The connection map  $H_{st}^b : \mathcal{S}_{\gamma(s)}^b \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\gamma(t)}^b$  ( $s \leq t$ ) is defined by moving points of  $\mathcal{S}_{\gamma(s)}$  to  $\mathcal{S}_{\gamma(t)}$  along connection paths. From Lemma 4.1 of [6], it follows that the connection maps  $H_{st}^b$  are bilipschitz maps.

Given a hyperbolic structure  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}(S_{g,n})$  and a measured lamination  $\mu \in \mathcal{ML}$  on  $\sigma$ , let  $d\mu^T$  denote the transverse measure on  $\mu$  and let  $d\mu^L$  denote the leafwise Lebesgue measure on leaves. Let  $d\mu = d\mu^T \times d\mu^L$  denote the measure on  $S_{g,n}$  obtained locally as the Fubini product of  $d\mu^T$  with  $d\mu^L$ . The support of  $d\mu$  is  $\mu$ . The length of  $\mu$  with respect to  $\sigma$  is defined by  $\text{len}_\sigma(\mu) = \int d\mu$ . For a leaf segment  $l_t$  of a geodesic lamination  $\mu_t$  on  $\mathcal{S}_{\gamma(t)}$ , the length of  $l_t$  is the total measure of  $l_t$  under the measure  $d\mu^L$  and is denoted by  $\text{len}_t(l_t)$ . From Proposition 3.5, it follows that for any leaf segment  $l_s$  of  $\mu_s$  and  $l_s \subset \mathcal{S}_{\gamma(s)}^b$ , the sequence of lengths  $\{\text{len}_{s+i}(H_{s,s+i}(l_s))\}_i$  satisfies flaring property. As a consequence, we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.6 (Lemma 3.6 of [13]).** For a compact subset  $\mathcal{B}$  of the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}$  and for every  $\rho \geq 1$ , there exist constants  $L \geq 1, \kappa > 1, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that the following holds:

Let  $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -cobounded and  $\rho$ -Lipschitz path, for any  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ , the sequence  $i \rightarrow \text{len}_{\gamma(i)}(\mu^b)$ , ( $i \in I \cap \mathbb{Z}$ ), satisfies the  $L$ -Lipschitz,  $(\kappa, n, 0)$ -flaring property.

For  $\mu \in \mathcal{ML}$ , we say  $\mu$  is realized at  $p$ , where  $p$  is a finite number or  $p \in \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ , if  $\text{len}_{\gamma(i)}(\mu)$  achieves minimum at  $p$ .

PROPOSITION 3.7 (Proposition 3.12 of [13])

For each  $k \in I \cap \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists  $\mu \in \mathcal{ML}$  which is finitely realized at  $k$ . If  $I$  is infinite, for each infinite end  $\pm\infty$  of  $I$  there exists  $\mu_{\pm} \in \mathcal{ML}$  which is realized at  $\pm\infty$  respectively.

Now for a compact subset  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{M}$  and numbers  $\rho \geq 1$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ ,  $\eta > 0$ , consider  $\Gamma_{\beta, \rho, \delta, \eta}$  to be the set of all triples  $(\gamma, \mu_-, \mu_+)$  with the following properties (see [13]):

- (1)  $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -cobounded,  $\rho$ -Lipschitz path, such that  $\mathcal{H}_\gamma$  is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic relative to  $\mathcal{D}_\gamma$ ,
- (2)  $0 \in I$ , and each  $\mu_{\pm} \in \mathcal{ML}$  is normalized to have length 1 in the hyperbolic structure  $\gamma(0)$ ,
- (3) the lamination  $\mu_+$  is realized in  $\mathcal{S}_\gamma$  near the right end in the following way:
  - (a) If  $I$  is right infinite, then  $\mu_+$  is realized at  $+\infty$ ,
  - (b) If  $I$  is right finite, with right end point  $M$ , then there exists a minimum of length sequence  $\text{len}_{\gamma(i)}(\mu_+)$  lying in the interval  $[M - \eta, M]$ . The lamination  $\mu_-$  is realized similarly in  $\mathcal{S}_\gamma$  near the left end.

The following proposition is a modification of Proposition 3.18 of [13].

PROPOSITION 3.8

The action of  $MCG(S_{g,n})$  on  $\Gamma_{\beta, \rho, \delta, \eta}$  is cocompact.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{T}$  be a compact set such that each  $(\gamma, \mu_-, \mu_+) \in \Gamma_{\beta, \rho, \delta, \eta}$ , may be translated by the action of  $MCG(S_{g,n})$  so that  $\gamma(0) \in \mathcal{A}$ . Consider the set  $\mathcal{A}_{\beta, \rho, \delta, \eta} = \{(\gamma, \mu_-, \mu_+) \in \Gamma_{\beta, \rho, \delta, \eta} : \gamma(0) \in \mathcal{A}\}$ . Translates of  $\mathcal{A}_{\beta, \rho, \delta, \eta}$  by the action of  $MCG(S_{g,n})$  covers  $\Gamma_{\beta, \rho, \delta, \eta}$ , therefore it suffices to show that the set  $\mathcal{A}_{\beta, \rho, \delta, \eta}$  is compact. Let  $(\gamma_i, \mu_-^i, \mu_+^i)$  converge to  $(\gamma, \mu_-, \mu_+)$ .  $\gamma_i$  converges to  $\gamma$  implies that in the Gromov–Hausdorff topology,  $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma_i}$  converges to  $\mathcal{H}_\gamma$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_i}$  converges to  $\mathcal{D}_\gamma$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma_i}, \mathcal{D}_{\gamma_i})$  converges to  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H}_\gamma, \mathcal{D}_\gamma)$  in the Gromov–Hausdorff topology. The Gromov–Hausdorff limit of a sequence of  $\delta$ -hyperbolic spaces is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic [8]. Therefore, if  $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma_i}$  are  $\delta$ -hyperbolic relative to  $\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_i}$  for all  $i$ , then  $\mathcal{H}_\gamma$  is also  $\delta$ -hyperbolic relative to  $\mathcal{D}_\gamma$ . Suppose for all sufficiently large  $i$ ,  $\mu_+^i$  (resp.  $\mu_-^i$ ) is realized near the right end (resp. left end), then it is shown in Proposition 3.18 of [13] that  $\mu_+$  (resp.  $\mu_-$ ) is realized at the near right end (resp. left end). This justifies the set  $\mathcal{A}_{\beta, \rho, \delta, \eta}$  is compact.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.2.* Let  $(\gamma, \mu_-, \mu_+) \in \Gamma_{\beta, \rho, \delta, \eta}$ . From Corollary 3.17 and Proposition 3.19 of [9], it follows that there exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that if length of the interval  $I$  is at least  $K$  then  $\mu_-, \mu_+$  fills  $S_{g,n}$ .

*Case (i).* If length of  $I$  is less than  $K$ , then length of the  $\rho$ -Lipschitz path  $\gamma$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  is at most  $\rho K$ . Hence, length of a geodesic  $\xi$  joining end points of  $\gamma$  is at most  $\rho K$ . Thus, the Hausdorff distance between  $\gamma$  and  $\xi$  is at most  $\rho K$ .

*Case (ii).* Let length of the interval  $I$  be at least  $K$ . Any two measured lamination jointly filling a hyperbolic surface defines a conformal structure on the surface (see Example 2.7 of [9]). Therefore,  $\mu_-, \mu_+$  defines a conformal structure  $\sigma(\mu_-, \mu_+)$  on  $S_{g,n}$ . Let  $a_-(t) = \frac{1}{\text{len}_{\gamma(t)}(\mu_-)}$  and  $a_+(t) = \frac{1}{\text{len}_{\gamma(t)}(\mu_+)}$ . Consider the map  $\Sigma(t) = \sigma(a_-(t)\mu_-, a_+(t)\mu_+)$ ,  $t \in I$ . It is proved in Theorem 1.1, pp. 76–77 of [13] that the image of the map  $\Sigma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is

a geodesic  $\xi$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  joining  $\mu_-$  and  $\mu_+$ , and there exists  $L > 0, \epsilon > 0$  such that  $\Sigma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is a  $(L, \epsilon)$ -quasigeodesic.

We will show that the Hausdorff distance between  $\Sigma$  and  $\gamma$  is bounded. Consider the map  $\Phi : \Gamma_{\beta, \rho, \delta, \eta} \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}$  defined by  $\Phi(\alpha, \lambda_-, \lambda_+) = (\alpha(0), \sigma(\lambda_-, \lambda_+))$ . Then,  $\Phi$  is  $MCG(S_{g,n})$ -equivariant, continuous and hence has  $MCG(S_{g,n})$  cocompact image.

For  $i \in I \cap \mathbb{Z}$ , define  $\gamma'(s) = \gamma(s + i)$ . By Proposition 3.8, there exists an element  $f \in MCG(S_{g,n})$  such that the triple  $(\gamma', a_-(i)\mu_-, a_+(i)\mu_+)$  lies in a  $f$ -translate of the compact set  $\mathcal{A}_{\beta, \rho, \delta, \eta}$ . Now,  $\Phi(f(\mathcal{A}_{\beta, \rho, \delta, \eta}))$  is compact in  $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}$ . Therefore, the Teichmüller distance  $d_{\mathcal{T}}$  between  $\gamma(i) = \gamma'(0)$  and  $\sigma(a_-(i)\mu_-, a_+(i)\mu_+) = \Sigma(i)$  is bounded by some constant, say  $P_1 > 0$ . Now for  $t \in I$ , there exists  $i \in I \cap \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|t - i| \leq 1$ . As  $\gamma$  is  $\rho$ -Lipschitz, therefore  $d_{\mathcal{T}}(\gamma(t), \gamma(i)) \leq \rho$ .  $\Sigma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is an  $(L, \epsilon)$ -quasigeodesic which implies that  $d_{\mathcal{T}}(\Sigma(t), \Sigma(i)) \leq L|t - i| + \epsilon$ . Thus, by triangle inequality  $d_{\mathcal{T}}(\Sigma(t), \gamma(t)) \leq \rho + P_1 + L + \epsilon$ . Thus, if  $P = \rho + P_1 + L + \epsilon$ , then the Hausdorff distance between  $\gamma$  and  $\Sigma$  is at most  $P$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Application

By a short exact sequence of pair of groups

$$1 \rightarrow (G_1, H_1) \rightarrow (G_2, H_2) \rightarrow (G_3, H_3) \rightarrow 1$$

we mean two short exact sequences  $1 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow G_3 \rightarrow 1$  and  $1 \rightarrow H_1 \rightarrow H_2 \rightarrow H_3 \rightarrow 1$ , where for each  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $H_i$  is a subgroup of  $G_i$ . For a subgroup  $K$  of a group  $G$ ,  $N_G(K)$  will denote the normalizer of  $K$  in  $G$ .

Consider the following short exact sequence of pair of finitely generated groups:

$$1 \rightarrow (\pi_1(S_{g,1}), K_1) \rightarrow (G, N_G(K_1)) \rightarrow (Q, Q) \rightarrow 1,$$

where the  $K_1$  is the peripheral subgroup of  $\pi_1(S_{g,1})$ ,  $G$  is strongly hyperbolic relative to  $N_G(K_1)$  and  $Q$  is a subgroup of  $MCG(S_{g,1})$ . Let  $\Phi : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  denote the orbit map, then for any geodesic  $\gamma' : I \rightarrow Q$ ,  $\gamma = \Phi \circ \gamma' : I \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is a cobounded and Lipschitz path.  $G$  is strongly hyperbolic relative to  $N_G(K_1)$  which implies that the bundle  $\mathcal{E}(G, K_1)$  over  $Q$  is hyperbolic and hence the bundle  $\mathcal{PH}_{\gamma} \rightarrow I$  satisfies the flaring property. Now, by the converse of strong combination theorem in [11], it follows that  $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma}$  is strongly hyperbolic relative to  $\mathcal{D}_{\gamma}$ . Hence, as an application of Theorem 3.2,  $Q$  is a convex cocompact subgroup of  $MCG(S_{g,1})$ . The converse of this result is also true (see Proposition 5.27 of [12]). So, we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.9 (Proposition 5.27 of [12]).** *Consider the following short exact sequence of pair of finitely generated groups*

$$1 \rightarrow (\pi_1(S_{g,1}), K_1) \rightarrow (G, N_G(K_1)) \rightarrow (Q, Q) \rightarrow 1,$$

where  $\pi_1(S_{g,1})$  is strongly hyperbolic relative to  $K_1$ .  $G$  is strongly hyperbolic relative to  $N_G(K_1)$  if and only if  $Q$  is a convex cocompact subgroup of  $MCG(S_{g,1})$ .

#### Acknowledgements

The author is thankful to the referee for his/her valuable comments that helped in improving the earlier version of the manuscript.

**References**

- [1] Alonso J M, Brady T, Cooper D, Ferlini V, Lustia M, Mihalik M, Shapiro M and Short H, Notes on Word Hyperbolic Groups (1990)
- [2] Bestvina M and Feighn M, A combination theorem for negatively curved groups, *J. Diff. Geom.* **35** (1992) 85–101
- [3] Bowditch Brian H, Relatively Hyperbolic Groups, preprint (1997) (Southampton)
- [4] Farb Benson, Relatively Hyperbolic Groups, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **8(5)** (1998) 810–840
- [5] Farb Benson and Margalit Dan, A Primer on mapping class groups (2012) (Princeton University Press)
- [6] Farb Benson and Mosher Lee, Convex cocompact subgroups of mapping class groups, *Geom. Topol.* **6** (2002) 91–152
- [7] Gersten S, Cohomological lower bounds for isoperimetric functions on groups, *Topology* **37** (1998) 1031–1072
- [8] Gromov M, Hyperbolic Groups, Essays in Group Theory, MSRI Publications, edited by S M Gersten (1987) vol. 8, pp. 75–263
- [9] Handbook of Teichmüller Theory, Volume 1, edited by Athanase Papadopoulos, European Mathematical Society (2007)
- [10] Mahan Mj and Pal Abhijit, Relative hyperbolicity, trees of spaces, Cannon–Thurston maps, *Geom. Dedicata* **151(1)** (2011)
- [11] Mahan Mj and Reeves Lawrence, A combination theorem for strong relative hyperbolicity, *Geom. Topol.* **12** (2008) 1777–1798
- [12] Mahan Mj and Sardar Pranab, A Combination Theorem for Metric Bundles, [arXiv:0912.2715](https://arxiv.org/abs/0912.2715) [math.GT], GAFA (September 2012)
- [13] Mosher Lee, Stable Teichmüller quasigeodesics and ending laminations, *Geom. Topol.* **7** (2003) 33–90