

A note on a paraholomorphic Cheeger–Gromoll metric

A A SALIMOV and K AKBULUT

Faculty of Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Atatürk University, Erzurum, Turkey
E-mail: asalimov@atauni.edu.tr; kakbulut@atauni.edu.tr

MS received 28 October 2007

Abstract. The aim of this note is to study a paraholomorphic Cheeger–Gromoll metric on the tangent bundle of Riemannian manifolds.

Keywords. Cheeger–Gromoll metric; pure metric; paracomplex structure; paraholomorphic tensor field.

1. Introduction

In [1], Cheeger and Gromoll study complete manifolds of nonnegative curvature and suggest a construction of Riemannian metrics useful in that context. Inspired by a paper of Cheeger and Gromoll, Musso and Tricerri [7] defined a new Riemannian metric ${}^{\text{CG}}g$ on tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold which they called the Cheeger–Gromoll metric. The Levi-Civita connection of ${}^{\text{CG}}g$ and its Riemannian curvature tensor are calculated by Sekizawa in [11] (for more details, see [4], [5]). The main purpose of this paper is to investigate a paraholomorphic Cheeger–Gromoll metric with respect to the natural paracomplex structure on the tangent bundle.

1.1

Let M_n be a Riemannian manifold with metric g . We denote by $\mathfrak{S}_g^p(M_n)$ the set of all tensor fields of type (p, q) on M_n . Manifolds, tensor field and connections are always assumed to be differentiable and of class C^∞ .

Let $T(M_n)$ be a tangent bundle of M_n , and π the projection $\pi: T(M_n) \rightarrow M_n$. Let the manifold M_n be covered by a system of coordinate neighbourhoods (U, x^i) , where $(x^i), i = 1, \dots, n$ is a local coordinate system defined in the neighbourhood U . Let (y^i) be the cartesian coordinates in each tangent spaces $T_p(M_n)$ at $p \in M_n$ with respect to the natural base $\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right\}$, p being an arbitrary point in U whose coordinates are x^i . Then we can introduce local coordinates (x^i, y^i) in the open set $\pi^{-1}(U)$ of $T(M_n)$. We call them coordinates induced in $\pi^{-1}(U)$ from (U, x^i) . The projection π is represented by $(x^i, y^i) \rightarrow (x^i)$. We use the notations $x^I = (x^i, x^{\bar{i}})$ and $x^{\bar{i}} = y^i$. The indices I, J, \dots run from 1 to $2n$, the indices i, j, \dots from 1 to n and the indices \bar{i}, \bar{j}, \dots from $n+1$ to $2n$.

Let $X \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(M_n)$, which are locally represented by

$$X = X^i \partial_i \quad \left(\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right).$$

Then the vertical and horizontal lifts ${}^V X$ and ${}^H X$ of X (see [15]) are given by

$${}^V X = X^i \partial_{\bar{i}} \quad \left(\partial_{\bar{i}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\bar{i}}} \right)$$

and

$${}^H X = X^i \partial_i - \Gamma^i_{jk} x^{\bar{j}} X^k \partial_{\bar{i}},$$

where Γ^i_{jk} are the coefficients of the Levi-Civita connection on M_n .

Suppose that we are given in $U \subset M_n$ a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(M_n)$ and a covector field $g_X = (g_{ij} X^i dx^j)$. Then we define a function γg_X in $\pi^{-1}(U) \subset T(M_n)$ by $\gamma g_X = x^{\bar{j}} g_{ij} X^i$ with respect to the induced coordinates $(x^i, x^{\bar{i}})$. The function γg_X defined in each $\pi^{-1}(U)$ determine global function on $T(M_n)$, which is also denoted by γg_X . Now, let r be the norm vector $y = (y^i) = (x^{\bar{i}})$, i.e. $r^2 = g_{ij} x^{\bar{i}} x^{\bar{j}}$. The Cheeger–Gromoll metric ${}^{CG}g$ on the tangent bundle $T(M_n)$ is given by

- (i) ${}^{CG}g({}^H X, {}^H Y) = {}^V(g(X, Y))$,
- (ii) ${}^{CG}g({}^H X, {}^V Y) = 0$,
- (iii) ${}^{CG}g({}^V X, {}^V Y) = \frac{1}{1+r^2} [{}^V(g(X, Y)) + (\gamma g_X)(\gamma g_Y)]$

for all vector field $X, Y \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(M_n)$, where ${}^V(g(X, Y)) = (g(X, Y)) \circ \pi$.

1.2

An almost paracomplex manifold is an almost product manifold (M_n, φ) , $\varphi^2 = id$, such that the two eigenbundles T^+M_n and T^-M_n associated to the two eigenvalues $+1$ and -1 of φ , respectively, have the same rank. Note that the dimension of an almost paracomplex manifold is necessarily even. Considering the paracomplex structure φ , we obtain the following set of affinors on M_{2k} : $\{id, \varphi\}$, $\varphi^2 = id$, which form a bases of a representation of the algebra of order 2 over the field of real numbers R , which is called the algebra of paracomplex (or double) numbers and is denoted by $R(j) = \{a_0 + a_1 j / j^2 = 1; a_0, a_1 \in R\}$. Obviously, it is associative, commutative and unital, i.e., it admits principal unit 1. The canonical bases of this algebra has the form $\{1, j\}$. Structural constants of an algebra are defined by the multiplication law of the base units of this algebra: $e_i e_j = C^k_{ij} e_k$. The components of C^k_{ij} are given by $C^1_{11} = C^2_{12} = C^2_{21} = C^1_{22} = 1$, all the others being zero, with respect to the canonical bases of $R(j)$.

Consider $R(j)$ endowed with the usual topology of R^2 and a domain U of $R(j)$. Let

$$X = x^1 + jx^2$$

be a variable in $R(j)$, where x^i are real coordinates of a point of a certain domain U for $i = 1, 2$. Using two real-valued functions $f^i(x^1, x^2)$, $i = 1, 2$, we introduce a paracomplex function

$$F = f^1 + jf^2$$

of variable X . It is said to be paraholomorphic if we have

$$dF = F'(X)dX$$

for the differentials $dX = dx^1 + jdx^2$, $dF = df^1 + jdf^2$ and the derivative $F'(X)$. The paraholomorphy of the function $F = f^1 + jf^2$ in the variable $X = x^1 + jx^2$ is equivalent to the fact that the Jacobian matrix $D = (\partial_k f^i)$ commutes with the matrix

$$C_2 = (C_{2j}^k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(see p. 87 of [12]). It follows that F is paraholomorphic if and only if f^1 and f^2 satisfy the para-Cauchy–Riemann equations:

$$\frac{\partial f^1}{\partial x^1} = \frac{\partial f^2}{\partial x^2}, \quad \frac{\partial f^1}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial f^2}{\partial x^1}.$$

For almost paracomplex structure the integrability is equivalent to the vanishing of the Nijenhuis tensor

$$N_\varphi(X, Y) = [\varphi X, \varphi Y] - \varphi[\varphi X, Y] - \varphi[X, \varphi Y] + [X, Y].$$

On the other hand, in order that an almost paracomplex structure be integrable, it is necessary and sufficient that we can introduce a torsion-free linear connection such that $\nabla\varphi = 0$. A paracomplex manifold is an almost paracomplex manifold (M_{2k}, φ) such that the G -structure defined by the affiner field φ is integrable. We can give another equivalent definition of paracomplex manifold in terms of local homeomorphisms in the space $R^k(j) = \{(X^1, \dots, X^k)/X^i \in R(j), i = 1, \dots, k\}$ and paraholomorphic changes of charts in a way similar to [2] (see also [3]), i.e. a manifold M_{2k} with an integrable paracomplex structure φ is a real realization of the paraholomorphic manifold $\mathbf{X}_k(R(j))$ over the algebra $R(j)$. Let t^* be a paracomplex tensor field on $\mathbf{X}_k(R(j))$. The real model of such a tensor field is a tensor field on M_{2k} of the same order that is independent of whether its vector or covector arguments is subject to the action of the affiner structure φ . Such tensor fields are said to be pure with respect to φ . They were studied by many authors (see, e.g., [6], [8]–[10], [12]–[14]). In particular, being applied to a $(0, q)$ -tensor field ω , purity means that for any $X_1, \dots, X_q \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(M_{2k})$, the following conditions should hold:

$$\omega(\varphi X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q) = \omega(X_1, \varphi X_2, \dots, X_q) = \dots = \omega(X_1, X_2, \dots, \varphi X_q).$$

We define an operator

$$\Phi_\varphi: \mathfrak{S}_q^0(M_{2k}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{q+1}^0(M_{2k})$$

associated with φ and applied to the pure tensor field ω by [14]

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_\varphi\omega)(X, Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_q) \\ = (\varphi X)(\omega(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_q)) - X(\omega(\varphi Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_q)) \\ + \omega((L_{Y_1}\varphi)X, Y_2, \dots, Y_q) + \dots + \omega(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, (L_{Y_q}\varphi)X), \end{aligned}$$

where L_Y denotes the Lie differentiation with respect to Y .

When φ is a paracomplex structure on M_{2k} and the tensor field $\Phi_\varphi\omega$ vanishes, the paracomplex tensor field ω^* on $\mathbf{X}_k(R(j))$ is said to be paraholomorphic [6]. Thus a paraholomorphic tensor field ω^* on $\mathbf{X}_k(R(j))$ is realized on M_{2k} in the form of a pure tensor field ω , such that

$$(\Phi_\varphi\omega)(X, Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_q) = 0$$

for any $X, Y_1, \dots, Y_q \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(M_{2k})$. Therefore such a tensor field ω on M_{2k} is also called paraholomorphic tensor field.

2. Results

2.1

Let M_{2k} be an almost paracomplex manifold with structure φ . If M_{2k} admits a pure Riemannian metric g such that

$$g(\varphi X, Y) = g(X, \varphi Y) \quad (1)$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(M_n)$, then M_{2k} is called an almost paracomplex Riemannian manifold (p. 423 of [15]).

We define now an operator $\Phi_\varphi: \mathfrak{S}_2^0(M_n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_3^0(M_n)$ applied to the pure metric g by

$$\begin{aligned} &(\Phi_\varphi g)(X, Y_1, Y_2) \\ &= (\varphi X)(g(Y_1, Y_2)) - X(g(\varphi Y_1, Y_2)) + g((L_{Y_1}\varphi)X, Y_2) + g(Y_1, (L_{Y_2}\varphi)X). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

If (M_{2k}, φ, g) is an almost paracomplex Riemannian manifold with condition $\Phi_\varphi g = 0$, we say that (M_{2k}, φ, g) is an almost paraholomorphic Riemannian manifold. If φ is integrable, we say that (M_{2k}, φ, g) is a paraholomorphic Riemannian manifold. In some aspects, paraholomorphic Riemannian manifolds are similar to Kahler manifolds. The following theorem is analogue to the next known result: An almost Hermitian manifold is Kahler if and only if the almost complex structure is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection.

Theorem 1. *An almost paracomplex Riemannian manifold M_{2k} is paraholomorphic if and only if the almost paracomplex structure is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of g .*

Proof. By virtue of (1) and $\nabla g = 0$ we have

$$g(Z, (\nabla_Y \varphi)X) = g((\nabla_Y \varphi)Z, X). \quad (3)$$

Using (3) and $[X, Y] = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X$, we have transform (2) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\Phi_\varphi g)(X, Z_1, Z_2) \\ &= -g((\nabla_X \varphi)Z_1, Z_2) + g((\nabla_{Z_1} \varphi)X, Z_2) + g(Z_1, (\nabla_{Z_2} \varphi)X). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

From this we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\Phi_\varphi g)(Z_2, Z_1, X) \\ &= -g((\nabla_{Z_2} \varphi)Z_1, X) + g((\nabla_{Z_1} \varphi)Z_2, X) + g(Z_1, (\nabla_X \varphi)Z_2). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

If we add (4) and (5), we get

$$(\Phi_\varphi g)(X, Z_1, Z_2) + (\Phi_\varphi g)(Z_2, Z_1, X) = 2g(X, (\nabla_{Z_1} \varphi)Z_2). \quad (6)$$

Putting $\Phi_\varphi g = 0$ in (6), $\nabla \varphi = 0$. Conversely, if $\nabla \varphi = 0$, then the condition $\Phi_\varphi g = 0$ follows from (4) (or (5)).

COROLLARY 1

The structure φ on almost paracomplex Riemannian manifold is integrable if $\Phi_\varphi g = 0$.

2.2

The diagonal lift ${}^D\varphi$ on $T(M_n)$ is defined by

$$\begin{cases} {}^D\varphi HX = H(\varphi X), \\ {}^D\varphi VX = -V(\varphi X), \end{cases}$$

for any $X \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(M_n)$ and $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(M_n)$. The diagonal lift DI of the identity tensor field $I \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(M_n)$ has the components

$${}^DI = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_i^j & 0 \\ -2y^t \Gamma_{ii}^j & -\delta_i^j \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the induced coordinates and satisfies $({}^DI)^2 = I_{T(M_n)}$. Thus DI is an almost paracomplex structure determining the horizontal distribution and the distribution consisting of the tangent planes to fibres.

We put

$$S(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = {}^{\text{CG}}g({}^DI\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) - {}^{\text{CG}}g(\tilde{X}, {}^DI\tilde{Y}).$$

If $S(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = 0$ for all vector fields \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} which are of the form ${}^VX, {}^VY$ or ${}^HX, {}^HY$, then $S = 0$. By virtue of ${}^DI{}^VX = -{}^VX, {}^DI{}^HX = {}^HX$ and (i)–(iii) we have

$$S({}^VX, {}^VY) = {}^{\text{CG}}g(-{}^VX, {}^VY) - {}^{\text{CG}}g({}^VX, -{}^VY) = 0,$$

$$S({}^VX, {}^HY) = {}^{\text{CG}}g(-{}^VX, {}^HY) - {}^{\text{CG}}g({}^VX, {}^HY) = 0,$$

$$S({}^HX, {}^VY) = {}^{\text{CG}}g({}^HX, {}^VY) - {}^{\text{CG}}g({}^HX, -{}^VY) = 0,$$

$$S({}^HX, {}^HY) = {}^{\text{CG}}g({}^HX, {}^HY) - {}^{\text{CG}}g({}^HX, {}^HY) = 0,$$

i.e. ${}^{\text{CG}}g$ is pure metric with respect to DI .

We hence have:

Theorem 2. $(T(M_n), {}^DI, {}^{\text{CG}}g)$ is an almost paracomplex Riemannian manifold.

Let ${}^{\text{CG}}\nabla$ be the Levi-Civita connection of ${}^{\text{CG}}g$. Using properties of ${}^VX, {}^HX$ and $\gamma R(X, Y) = y^s R_{ij}^k X^i Y^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$ (see [15]), i.e.

$${}^VX^V(g(Y, Z)) = 0,$$

$$[{}^VX, {}^VY] = 0,$$

$$[{}^VX, {}^HY] = {}^V[X, Y] - {}^V(\nabla_X Y) = -{}^V(\nabla_Y X),$$

$${}^HX^V(g(Y, Z)) = {}^V(Xg(Y, Z)),$$

$$\text{CG}_{\nabla_{H_X}} VY = \frac{1}{2(1+r^2)} H(R(x^i, Y)X) + V(\nabla_X Y) \text{ (R-curvature tensor field of } \nabla)$$

$$[H_X, H_Y] = H[X, Y] - \gamma R(X, Y),$$

$$D_I \gamma R(X, Y) = -\gamma R(X, Y),$$

$$\text{CG}_g(\gamma R(X, Y), H_Z) = 0.$$

From (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & (\Phi_{D_I} \text{CG}_g)(VX, VY, VZ) = (D_I V X)(\text{CG}_g(VY, VZ)) - V X(\text{CG}_g(D_I V Y, VZ)) \\ & + \text{CG}_g((L_{V_Y} D_I) V X, VZ) + \text{CG}_g(VY, (L_{V_Z} D_I) V X) \\ & = -V X(\text{CG}_g(VY, VZ)) + V X(\text{CG}_g(VY, VZ)) \\ & + \text{CG}_g(L_{V_Y} (D_I V X) - D_I L_{V_Y} V X, VZ) + \text{CG}_g(VY, (L_{V_Z} (D_I V X) - D_I L_{V_Z} V X)) \\ & = \text{CG}_g([V X, V Y] - D_I [V Y, V X], VZ) + \text{CG}_g(VY, [V X, V Z] - D_I [V Z, V X]) \\ & = \text{CG}_g(0, VZ) + \text{CG}_g(VY, 0) = 0. \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & (\Phi_{D_I} \text{CG}_g)(VX, VY, HZ) = (D_I V X)(\text{CG}_g(VY, HZ)) - V X(\text{CG}_g(D_I V Y, HZ)) \\ & + \text{CG}_g((L_{V_Y} D_I) V X, HZ) + \text{CG}_g(VY, (L_{H_Z} D_I) V X) \\ & = -V X.0 + V X.0 + \text{CG}_g(L_{V_Y} (D_I V X) - D_I L_{V_Y} V X, HZ) \\ & + \text{CG}_g(VY, (L_{H_Z} (D_I V X) - D_I L_{H_Z} V X)) \\ & = \text{CG}_g(-[V Y, V X] D_I.0, HZ) + \text{CG}_g(VY, -[H Z, V X] - D_I [H Z, V X]) \\ & = \text{CG}_g(0, HZ) + \text{CG}_g(VY, V[X, Z] - V(\nabla_X Z) + D_I (V[X, Z] - V(\nabla_X Z))) \\ & = \text{CG}_g(VY, 0) = 0 \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & (\Phi_{D_I} \text{CG}_g)(VX, H_Y, VZ) = (D_I V X)(\text{CG}_g(H_Y, VZ)) - V X(\text{CG}_g(D_I H_Y, VZ)) \\ & + \text{CG}_g((L_{H_Y} D_I) V X, VZ) + \text{CG}_g(H_Y, (L_{V_Z} D_I) V X) \\ & = -V X.0 + V X.0 + \text{CG}_g(L_{H_Y} (D_I V X) - D_I L_{H_Y} V X, VZ) \\ & + \text{CG}_g(H_Y, (L_{V_Z} (D_I V X) - D_I L_{V_Z} V X)) \\ & = \text{CG}_g(-[H_Y, V X] - D_I [H_Y, V X], VZ) + \text{CG}_g(H_Y, -[V Z, V X] - D_I [V Z, V X]) \\ & = \text{CG}_g(V[X, Y] - V(\nabla_X Y) + D_I (V[X, Y] - V(\nabla_X Y)), VZ) + \text{CG}_g(H_Y, 0) \\ & = \text{CG}_g(V[X, Y] - V(\nabla_X Y) - V[X, Y] + V(\nabla_X Y), VZ) \\ & = \text{CG}_g(0, VZ) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(iv)} \quad & (\Phi_{D_I}^{\text{CG}_g})(H_X, {}^V Y, {}^V Z) = (D_I H_X)(\text{CG}_g({}^V Y, {}^V Z)) - H_X(\text{CG}_g(D_I {}^V Y, {}^V Z)) \\
& + \text{CG}_g((L_{V_Y} D_I) H_X, {}^V Z) + \text{CG}_g({}^V Y, (L_{V_Z} D_I) H_X) \\
& = H_X \text{CG}_g({}^V Y, {}^V Z) + H_X \text{CG}_g({}^V Y, {}^V Z) \\
& + \text{CG}_g(L_{V_Y}(D_I H_X) - D_I(L_{V_Y} H_X), {}^V Z) + \text{CG}_g({}^V Y, L_{V_Z}(D_I H_X) - D_I L_{V_Z} H_X) \\
& = 2H_X \text{CG}_g({}^V Y, {}^V Z) + \text{CG}_g(L_{V_Y} H_X - D_I({}^V[Y, X] - {}^V(\nabla_Y X)), {}^V Z) \\
& + \text{CG}_g({}^V Y, L_{V_Z} H_X - D_I({}^V[Z, X] - {}^V(\nabla_Z X))) \\
& = 2H_X \text{CG}_g({}^V Y, {}^V Z) + \text{CG}_g({}^V[Y, X] - {}^V(\nabla_Y X) + {}^V[Y, X] - {}^V(\nabla_Y X), {}^V Z) \\
& + \text{CG}_g({}^V Y, {}^V[Z, X] - {}^V(\nabla_Z X) + {}^V[Z, X] - {}^V(\nabla_Z X)) \\
& = 2H_X \text{CG}_g({}^V Y, {}^V Z) + 2\text{CG}_g({}^V[Y, X] - {}^V(\nabla_Y X), {}^V Z) \\
& + \text{CG}_g({}^V Y, {}^V[Z, X] - {}^V(\nabla_Z X)) \\
& = 2H_X \text{CG}_g({}^V Y, {}^V Z) + 2(\text{CG}_g(-{}^V(\nabla_Y X), {}^V Z) + \text{CG}_g({}^V Y, -{}^V(\nabla_Z X))) \\
& = 2H_X \text{CG}_g({}^V Y, {}^V Z) + 2 \left(\text{CG}_g \left(\frac{1}{2(1+r^2)} H(R(x^{\bar{i}}, Y)X) - \text{CG}_{\nabla_{H_X}} {}^V Y, {}^V Z \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \text{CG}_g \left({}^V Y, \frac{1}{2(1+r^2)} H(R(x^{\bar{i}}, Z)X) - \text{CG}_{\nabla_{H_X}} {}^V Z \right) \right) \\
& = 2(H_X \text{CG}_g({}^V Y, {}^V Z) - \text{CG}_g(\text{CG}_{\nabla_{H_X}} {}^V Y, {}^V Z) - \text{CG}_g({}^V Y, \text{CG}_{\nabla_{H_X}} {}^V Z)) \\
& = 2(\text{CG}_{\nabla_{H_X}} \text{CG}_g)({}^V Y, {}^V Z) = 0. \\
\text{(v)} \quad & (\Phi_{D_I}^{\text{CG}_g})(H_X, H_Y, H_Z) = (D_I H_X)(\text{CG}_g(H_Y, H_Z)) - H_X(\text{CG}_g(D_I H_Y, H_Z)) \\
& + \text{CG}_g((L_{H_Y} D_I) H_X, H_Z) + \text{CG}_g(H_Y, (L_{H_Z} D_I) H_X) \\
& = H_X {}^V(g(Y, Z)) - H_X {}^V(g(Y, Z)) \\
& + \text{CG}_g(L_{H_Y}(D_I H_X) - D_I(L_{H_Y} H_X), H_Z) + \text{CG}_g(H_Y, L_{H_Z}(D_I H_X) - D_I L_{H_Z} H_X) \\
& = \text{CG}_g([{}^H Y, H_X] - D_I[{}^H Y, H_X], H_Z) + \text{CG}_g(H_Y, [{}^H Z, H_X] - D_I[{}^H Z, H_X]) \\
& = \text{CG}_g({}^H[Y, X] - \gamma R(Y, X) - D_I({}^H[Y, X] - \gamma R(Y, X)), H_Z) \\
& + \text{CG}_g(H_Y, {}^H[Z, X] - \gamma R(Z, X) - D_I({}^H[Z, X] - \gamma R(Z, X))) \\
& = \text{CG}_g({}^H[Y, X] - \gamma R(Y, X) - {}^H[Y, X] + D_I \gamma R(Y, X), H_Z) \\
& + \text{CG}_g(H_Y, {}^H[Z, X] - \gamma R(Z, X) - {}^H[Z, X] + D_I \gamma R(Z, X)) \\
& = -2(\text{CG}_g(\gamma R(Y, X), H_Z) - \text{CG}_g(H_Y, \gamma R(Z, X))) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(vi)} \quad & (\Phi_{D_I}^{\text{CG}g})(^V X, ^H Y, ^H Z) = (D_I ^V X)(^{\text{CG}g} (^H Y, ^H Z)) - ^V X(^{\text{CG}g} (D_I ^H Y, ^H Z)) \\
& + ^{\text{CG}g} ((L_{^H Y} D_I) ^V X, ^H Z) + ^{\text{CG}g} (^H Y, (L_{^H Z} D_I) ^V X) \\
& = -2 ^V X(^{\text{CG}g} (^H Y, ^H Z)) + ^{\text{CG}g} (L_{^H Y} (D_I ^V X) - D_I [^H Y, ^V X], ^H Z) \\
& + ^{\text{CG}g} (^H Y, L_{^H Z} (D_I ^V X) - D_I [^H Z, ^V X]) \\
& = -2 ^V X(^{\text{CG}g} (^H Y, ^H Z)) + ^{\text{CG}g} (-[^H Y, ^V X] - D_I (^V [Y, X] - ^V (\nabla_Y X)), ^H Z) \\
& + ^{\text{CG}g} (^H Y, -[^H Z, ^V X] - D_I (^V [Z, X] - ^V (\nabla_Z X))) \\
& = -2 ^V X(^{\text{CG}g} (^H Y, ^H Z)) + ^{\text{CG}g} (-[^H Y, ^V X] + ^V [Y, X] - ^V (\nabla_Y X)), ^H Z) \\
& + ^{\text{CG}g} (^H Y, -[^H Z, ^V X] + ^V [Z, X] - ^V (\nabla_Z X)) \\
& = -2 ^V X ^V (g(Y, Z)) + ^{\text{CG}g} (-^V [Y, X] + ^V (\nabla_Y X) + ^V [Y, X] - ^V (\nabla_Y X)), ^H Z) \\
& + ^{\text{CG}g} (^H Y, -^V [Z, X] + ^V (\nabla_Z X) + ^V [Z, X] - ^V (\nabla_Z X)) \\
& = -2 ^V X ^V (g(Y, Z)) + ^{\text{CG}g} (0, ^H Z) + ^{\text{CG}g} (^H Y, 0) = 0. \\
\text{(vii)} \quad & (\Phi_{D_I}^{\text{CG}g})(^H X, ^H Y, ^V Z) = (D_I ^H X)(^{\text{CG}g} (^H Y, ^V Z)) - ^H X(^{\text{CG}g} (D_I ^H Y, ^V Z)) \\
& + ^{\text{CG}g} ((L_{^H Y} D_I) ^H X, ^V Z) + ^{\text{CG}g} (^H Y, (L_{^V Z} D_I) ^H X) \\
& = ^H X(^{\text{CG}g} (^H Y, ^V Z)) - ^H X(^{\text{CG}g} (^H Y, ^V Z)) \\
& + ^{\text{CG}g} (L_{^H Y} ^H X - D_I [^H Y, ^H X], ^V Z) + ^{\text{CG}g} (^H Y, L_{^V Z} ^H X - D_I [^V Z, ^H X]) \\
& = ^{\text{CG}g} ([^H Y, ^H X] - D_I (^H [Y, X] - \gamma R(Y, X)), ^V Z) \\
& + ^{\text{CG}g} (^H Y, [^V Z, ^H X] + D_I ^V (\nabla_X Z)) \\
& = ^{\text{CG}g} (^H [Y, X] - \gamma R(Y, X) - ^H [Y, X] - \gamma R(Y, X), ^V Z) \\
& + ^{\text{CG}g} (^H Y, -2 ^V (\nabla_X Z)) = -2 ^{\text{CG}g} (\gamma R(Y, X), ^V Z) \\
\text{(viii)} \quad & (\Phi_{D_I}^{\text{CG}g})(^H X, ^V Y, ^H Z) = -2 ^{\text{CG}g} (^V Y, \gamma R(Z, X)) \text{ is analogous to (vii)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

Theorem 3. *The almost paracomplex Riemannian manifold $(T(M_n), D_I, \text{CG}g)$ is paraholomorphic if and only if M_n is flat.*

Acknowledgement

This is paper supported by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TBAG-108T590).

References

- [1] Cheeger J and Gromoll D, On the structure of complete manifolds of nonnegative curvature, *Ann. Math.* **96** (1972) 413–443
- [2] Cruceanu V, Fortuny P and Gadea P M, A survey on paracomplex geometry, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **26** (1995) 83–115
- [3] Gadea P M, Grifone J and Munoz Masque J, Manifolds modelled over free modules over the double numbers, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **100(3)** (2003) 187–203
- [4] Gudmundsson S and Kappos E, On the geometry of the tangent bundle with the Cheeger–Gromoll metric, *Tokyo J. Math.* **25(1)** (2002) 75–83
- [5] Gudmundsson S and Kappos E, On the geometry of the tangent bundles, *Expo. Math.* **20(1)** (2002) 1–41
- [6] Kruchkovich G I, Hypercomplex structure on a manifold, I, *Tr. Sem. Vect. Tens. Anal., Moscow Univ.* **16** (1972) 174–201
- [7] Musso E and Tricerri F, Riemannian metric on tangent bundles, *Ann. Math. Pura. Appl.* **150(4)** (1988) 1–19
- [8] Salimov A A, Generalized Yano-Ako operator and the complete lift of tensor fields, *Tensor (N.S.)* **55(2)** (1994) 142–146
- [9] Salimov A A and Magden A, Complete lift of tensor fields on a pure cross-section in the tensor bundle, *Note di Matematica* **18(1)** (1998) 27–37
- [10] Salimov A A, Iscan M and Etayo F, Paraholomorphic B -manifold and its properties, *Topology and its Appl.* **154** (2007) 925–933
- [11] Sekizawa M, Curvatures of tangent bundles with Cheeger–Gromoll metric, *Tokyo J. Math.* **14** (1991) 407–417
- [12] Vishnevskii V V, Shirokov A P and Shurygin V V, Spaces over algebras, (Kazan: Kazan Gos. University) (1985) Russian
- [13] Vishnevskii V V, Integrable affinor structures and their plural interpretations, *J. Math. Sci.* **108(2)** (2002) 151–187
- [14] Yano K and Ako M, On certain operators associated with tensor fields, *Kodai Math. Sem. Rep.* **20** (1968) 414–436
- [15] Yano K and Ishihara S, Tangent and cotangent bundles (N.Y.: Marcel Dekker Inc.) (1973)
- [16] Yano K and Kon M, Structure on manifolds (Singapore: World Scientific) (1984)