

On equivariant embedding of Hilbert C^* modules

DEBASHISH GOSWAMI

Stat-Math Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, 203, B.T. Road, Kolkata 700 108, India
 E-mail: goswamid@isical.ac.in

MS received 24 October 2007; revised 11 March 2008

Abstract. We prove that an arbitrary (not necessarily countably generated) Hilbert $G - \mathcal{A}$ module on a $G - C^*$ algebra \mathcal{A} admits an equivariant embedding into a trivial $G - \mathcal{A}$ module, provided G is a compact Lie group and its action on \mathcal{A} is ergodic.

Keywords. Hilbert modules; ergodic action; equivariant triviality; equivariant embedding.

1. Introduction

Let G be a locally compact group, \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra, and assume that there is a strongly continuous representation $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$. Following the terminology of [5], we introduce the concept of a Hilbert $C^* G - \mathcal{A}$ -module as follows:

DEFINITION 1.1

A Hilbert $C^* G - \mathcal{A}$ module (or $G - \mathcal{A}$ module for short) is a pair (E, β) where E is a Hilbert $C^* \mathcal{A}$ -module and β is a map from G into the set of \mathbb{C} -linear (caution: not \mathcal{A} -linear!) maps from E to E , such that $\beta_g \equiv \beta(g)$, $g \in G$ satisfies the following:

- (i) $\beta_{gh} = \beta_g \circ \beta_h$ for $g, h \in G$, $\beta_e = \text{Id}$, where e is the identity element of G ;
- (ii) $\beta_g(\xi a) = \beta_g(\xi)\alpha_g(a)$ for $\xi \in E$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (iii) $g \mapsto \beta_g(\xi)$ is continuous for each fixed $\xi \in E$;
- (iv) $\langle \beta_g(\xi), \beta_g(\eta) \rangle = \alpha_g(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle)$ for all $\xi, \eta \in E$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the \mathcal{A} -valued inner product of E .

When β is understood from the context, we may refer to E as a $G - \mathcal{A}$ module, without explicitly mentioning the pair (E, β) . Given two $G - \mathcal{A}$ modules (E_1, β) and (E_2, γ) , there is a natural G -action induced on $\mathcal{L}(E_1, E_2)$, given by $\pi_g(T)(\xi) := \gamma_g(T(\beta_{g^{-1}}(\xi)))$ for $g \in G$, $\xi \in E_1$, $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, E_2)$. $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, E_2)$ is said to be G -equivariant if $\pi_g(T) = T$ for all $g \in G$. It is clear that for each fixed $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, E_2)$ and $\xi \in E_1$, $g \mapsto \pi_g(T)\xi$ is continuous. We say that T is G -continuous if $g \mapsto \pi_g(T)$ is continuous with respect to the norm topology on $\mathcal{L}(E_1, E_2)$. We say that E_1 and E_2 are isomorphic as $G - \mathcal{A}$ -modules, or that they are equivariantly isomorphic if there is a G -equivariant unitary map $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, E_2)$. We call a $(G - \mathcal{A})$ module of the form $(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}, \alpha_g \otimes \gamma_g)$ (where \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space) a trivial $G - \mathcal{A}$ module. We say that (E, β) is embeddable if there is an equivariant isometry from E to $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ for some Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with a G -action γ , or in other words, (E, β) is equivariantly isomorphic with a sub- $G - \mathcal{A}$ module of

$(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}, \beta \otimes \gamma)$. Note that $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ is the closure of $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{H}$ under the norm inherited from $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_0 \otimes \mathcal{H})$ where \mathcal{H}_0 is any Hilbert space such that \mathcal{A} is isometrically embedded into $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$. The following result on the embeddability is due to Mingo and Phillips [5].

Theorem 1.2. *Let (E, β) be a Hilbert C^* - G - \mathcal{A} module and assume that E is countably generated as a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module, that is, there is a countable set $S = \{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$ of elements of E such that the right \mathcal{A} -linear span of S is dense in E . Assume furthermore that G is compact. Then (E, β) is embeddable.*

When G is the trivial singleton group, the above result was proved by Kasparov.

If the C^* algebra \mathcal{A} is replaced by a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(h)$ for some Hilbert space h and G is a locally compact group with a strongly continuous unitary representation $g \mapsto u_g \in \mathcal{B}(h)$, one can define Hilbert von Neumann G - \mathcal{B} module (E, β) . The only difference is that E is now a Hilbert von Neumann \mathcal{A} -module equipped with the natural locally convex strong operator topology, and that we replace the norm-continuity in (iii) of the above definition by the continuity of $g \mapsto \beta_g(\xi)$ (for fixed $\xi \in E$) with respect to the locally convex topology of E . In this case, we have a stronger version of Theorem 1.2 (see [2] and Theorem 4.3.5, page 99 of [7]), which is valid without the condition of E being countably generated and without the compactness of G . It should be remarked here that the trivial Hilbert von Neumann \mathcal{B} module $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ is defined to be the closure of $\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{H}$ with respect to the strong operator topology inherited from $\mathcal{B}(h, h \otimes \mathcal{H})$.

In Theorem 1.2, the assumption that E is countably generated restricts the applicability of the result, since it is not always easy to check this assumption. However, under further assumptions on the group G , the C^* algebra \mathcal{A} and the action of G on \mathcal{A} , it may be possible to prove the embeddability for an arbitrary Hilbert G - \mathcal{A} module. The aim of the present article is to give some such sufficient conditions.

2. Ergodic action and its implication

We say that the action α of G on a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is *ergodic* if $\alpha_g(a) = a$ for all $g \in G$ if and only if a is a scalar multiple of 1. There is a considerable amount of literature on ergodic action of compact groups, and we shall quote one interesting structure theorem which will be useful for us.

PROPOSITION 2.1

Let G be a compact group acting ergodically on a unital C^ -algebra \mathcal{A} . Then there is a set of elements t_{ij}^π of \mathcal{A} ($\pi \in \hat{G}, i = 1, \dots, d_\pi, j = 1, \dots, m_\pi$), where \hat{G} is the set of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of G and d_π is the dimension of the irreducible representation space denoted by π , $m_\pi (\leq d_\pi)$ is a positive integer, such that the followings hold:*

- (i) *There is a unique faithful G -invariant state τ on \mathcal{A} , which is in fact a trace.*
- (ii) *The linear span of $\{t_{ij}^\pi\}$ is norm-dense in \mathcal{A} .*
- (iii) *$\{t_{ij}^\pi\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $h = L^2(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$.*
- (iv) *The action of u_g coincides with the π -th irreducible representation of G on the vector space spanned by $t_{ij}^\pi, i = 1, \dots, d_\pi$ for each fixed j and π .*
- (v) *$\sum_{i=1, \dots, d_\pi} (t_{ij}^\pi)^* t_{ik}^\pi = \delta_{jk} d_\pi 1$, where δ_{jk} denotes the Kronecker delta symbol. Thus, in particular, $\|t_{ij}^\pi\| \leq \sqrt{d_\pi}$ for all π, i, j .*

The proof can be obtained by combining the results of [6], [3] and [1].

If G is a Lie group, with a basis of the Lie algebra given by $\{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_N\}$, which has a strongly continuous action θ on a Banach space F , we can consider the space of ‘smooth’ or C^∞ -elements of F , denoted by F^∞ , consisting of all $\xi \in F$ such that $G \ni g \mapsto \theta_g(\xi)$ is C^∞ . It is easy to prove that (see [7] and the references therein) F^∞ is dense in F , and it is a $*$ -subalgebra if F is a Banach $*$ -algebra. Moreover, we equip F^∞ with a family of seminorms $\|\cdot\|_{\infty,n}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$ given by

$$\|\xi\|_{\infty,n} := \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k; k \leq n, i_t \in \{1, \dots, N\}} \|\partial_{i_1} \partial_{i_2} \dots \partial_{i_k} \xi\|,$$

with the convention $\|\cdot\|_{\infty,0} = \|\cdot\|$ and where $\partial_j(\xi) := \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \theta_{\exp(t\chi_j)}(\xi)$. The space F^∞ is complete under this family of seminorms, and thus is a Fréchet space. When F is a Hilbert space or a Hilbert module, we shall also consider a map d_j given by essentially the same expression as that of ∂_j , with χ_j replaced by $i\chi_j$, and the Hilbertian seminorms $\{\|\cdot\|_{2,n}\}$ are given by

$$\|\xi\|_{2,n}^2 := \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k; k \leq n, i_t \in \{1, \dots, N\}} \|d_{i_1} d_{i_2} \dots d_{i_k} \xi\|_2^2,$$

with $\|\cdot\|_2$ denoting the norm of the Hilbert space (or Hilbert module) F .

More generally, if F is a complete locally convex space given by a family of seminorms $\{\|\cdot\|^{(q)}\}$, then we can consider the smooth subspace F^∞ and the maps ∂_j as above, and make it a complete locally convex space with respect to a larger family of seminorms $\{\|\cdot\|_n^{(q)}\}$ where

$$\|\xi\|_n^{(q)} := \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k; k \leq n, i_t \in \{1, \dots, N\}} \|\partial_{i_1} \partial_{i_2} \dots \partial_{i_k} \xi\|^{(q)}.$$

In case F is a von Neumann algebra equipped with the locally convex strong operator topology, the locally convex space F^∞ is a topological $*$ -algebra, strongly dense in F .

Let us assume from now onwards (throughout the rest of the paper) that G is a compact Lie group, with a basis $\{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_N\}$ of the Lie algebra, such that G has an ergodic action α_g on a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . Let $h = L^2(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$, where τ is the unique invariant faithful trace described in Proposition 2.1. Let u_g be the unitary in h induced by the action of G , that is, on the dense subspace $\mathcal{A} \subseteq h$, $u_g(a) := \alpha_g(a)$. Denote also by α the action $g \mapsto u_g \cdot u_g^*$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} := \mathcal{A}'' \subseteq \mathcal{B}(h)$.

Lemma 2.2. We have $h^\infty = \mathcal{A}^\infty$ as Fréchet spaces.

Proof. The fact that $\mathcal{A}^\infty = h^\infty$ as sets is contained in page 200–201, Lemma 8.1.20 of [7]. We only prove that the identity map is a topological homeomorphism.

Since the trace τ is finite, the Fréchet topology of \mathcal{A}^∞ is stronger than that of h^∞ . This implies that the identity map id , viewed as a linear map from the Fréchet space h^∞ to the Fréchet space \mathcal{A}^∞ is closed, hence continuous. This completes the proof that the two Fréchet topologies on $\mathcal{A}^\infty = h^\infty$ are equivalent, i.e. $\mathcal{A}^\infty = h^\infty$ as topological spaces. \square

From this lemma, it is also clear that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\infty = h^\infty = \mathcal{A}^\infty$ as Fréchet spaces. Now, we shall prove a crucial technical result, which is sort of generalisation of the above lemma,

with \mathcal{A} replaced by $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ for an arbitrary Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . The main idea is to exploit the ‘smooth’ topology on $\mathcal{A}^\infty \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{H}^\infty$ (which is a common subspace of both $(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H})^\infty$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{H})^\infty$), given by the \mathcal{A}^∞ -valued inner product. A sequence ξ_n is Cauchy in this topology if and only if $\langle (\xi_n - \xi_m), (\xi_n - \xi_m) \rangle$ goes to 0 in the Fréchet topology of \mathcal{A}^∞ , which is the same as the topology of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\infty$ or that of h^∞ . Given a fixed element of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ which is smooth, one can hope to approximate it by a sequence of elements from $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\infty \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{H}^\infty = \mathcal{A}^\infty \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{H}^\infty$ in the above smooth topology, which is stronger than the norm-topology of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$, thus the limit should belong to $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$. Roughly speaking, this is how the next lemma is proved; however, there are more technical ingredients, which also made it necessary to assume the norm-continuity of the map $g \mapsto \gamma_g(\xi)$ for the fixed smooth element $\xi \in (\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{H})^\infty$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let \mathcal{H} be a (not necessarily separable) Hilbert space with a unitary representation $w \equiv w_g$ of G , and let us consider the Fréchet modules $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{H})^\infty$ and $(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H})^\infty$ corresponding to the action $\gamma_g := \alpha_g \otimes w_g$. Let ξ be an element of $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{H})^\infty$ such that $g \mapsto \gamma_g(\xi)$ is continuous in the operator-norm topology on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ inherited from $\mathcal{B}(h, h \otimes \mathcal{H})$. Then ξ actually belongs to $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. We shall denote by $\|\cdot\|_p$ ($p \geq 1$) the L^p -norm coming from the trace τ on \mathcal{A} . The identity 1 of \mathcal{A} will also be viewed as a unit vector in $L^2(\tau)$. Fix an orthonormal basis $\{e_\alpha, \alpha \in T\}$ of \mathcal{H} (which need not be separable), with each $e_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$.

For a C^∞ complex-valued function f on G and an element $\eta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{H}$, we shall denote by $\gamma(f)(\eta)$ the element $\int_G f(g) \gamma_g(\eta) dg \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{H} \subseteq L^2(\tau) \otimes \mathcal{H}$, where dg stands for the normalised Haar measure on G and the integral is convergent in the strong-operator topology, given by $\gamma(f)(\eta)v := \int_G f(g) \gamma_g(\eta)v dg \forall v \in h$. It is straightforward to see that $\gamma(f)(\eta) \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ whenever $\eta \in \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{H}$, and in this case the integral converges in norm, since $g \mapsto \gamma_g(\eta)$ is norm-continuous for $\eta \in \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{H}$.

Now let us fix $\xi \in (\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{H})^\infty$ satisfying the hypothesis of the lemma. Since $L^2(\tau)$ is separable, say with an orthonormal basis given by $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$, we can find, for each i , a countable subset T_i of T such that $\langle \xi 1, x_i \otimes e_\alpha \rangle = 0$ for all $\alpha \notin T_i$. Denoting by T_∞ the countable set $\bigcup_i T_i$, we have $\langle \xi 1, v \otimes e_\alpha \rangle = 0 \forall v \in L^2(\tau)$, for all $\alpha \notin T_\infty$. Write $T_\infty = \{e_{\alpha_1}, e_{\alpha_2}, \dots\}$. Denote by ξ_n the element in $\mathcal{A}^\infty \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{H}^\infty$ given by $\xi_n = (\text{id} \otimes P_n)\xi$, where P_n denotes the orthogonal projection onto the linear span of $\{e_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_{\alpha_n}\}$. Let us write ξ_n as

$$\xi_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \otimes e_{\alpha_k},$$

where $a_k = \langle (1 \otimes e_{\alpha_k}), \xi \rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\infty = \mathcal{A}^\infty$ for all k . It is clear that $\|\xi_n\| \leq \|\xi\|$ and $\xi_n 1 \rightarrow \xi 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We claim that $\gamma(f)(\xi)$ indeed belongs to $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ for every $f \in C^\infty(G)$. To this end, first observe that $\eta_n := \gamma(f)(\xi_n)$ clearly belongs to $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ for all n , since $\xi_n \in \mathcal{A}^\infty \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{H}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{H}$. Moreover, since $u_g 1 = 1$ for all g and $\gamma_g(\cdot) = \text{ad}_{u_g} \otimes w_g$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_n 1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_G f(g) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n u_g(a_k 1) \otimes w_g e_{\alpha_k} \right) dg$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_G f(g)(u_g \otimes w_g)(\xi_n 1) dg \\
 &= \int_G f(g)(u_g \otimes w_g)(\tilde{\xi} 1) dg \\
 &\hspace{15em} \text{(by the dominated convergence theorem)} \\
 &= \int_G f(g)\gamma_g(\tilde{\xi}) 1 dg \equiv \eta 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since for each $m, n \geq 1$, $\eta_{m,n} := \eta_m - \eta_n$ belongs to $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$, for proving $\eta \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ it is enough to prove that $\eta_{m,n} \rightarrow 0$ in the topology of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$, i.e. $x_{mn} := \langle \eta_{m,n}, \eta_{m,n} \rangle \rightarrow 0$ in the norm-topology of \mathcal{A} . We shall prove that $x_{mn} \rightarrow 0$ in the Fréchet topology of h^∞ , which will prove that it converges to 0 also in the topology of \mathcal{A}^∞ by Lemma 2.2.

For this, first note that for $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in (\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{H})$, we have

$$\|\langle \beta_1, \beta_2 \rangle\|_2^2 = \tau(\beta_2^* \beta_1 \beta_1^* \beta_2) \leq \|\beta_1\|^2 \tau(\beta_2^* \beta_2),$$

hence $\|\langle \beta_1, \beta_2 \rangle\|_2 \leq \|\beta_1\| \|\beta_2\|_2$. Moreover, since $\tau(x^*x) = \tau(xx^*)$, we have $\|x\|_2 = \|x^*\|_2 \forall x \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. Thus, $\|\langle \beta_2, \beta_1 \rangle\|_2 = \|\langle \beta_1, \beta_2 \rangle^*\|_2 = \|\langle \beta_1, \beta_2 \rangle\|_2$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|\langle \gamma(f)(\beta), \gamma(f)(\beta) \rangle\|_2 \\
 &\leq \int_G \int_G |f(g)| |f(h)| \|\langle \gamma_g(\beta), \gamma_h(\beta) \rangle\|_2 dg dh \\
 &\leq \int_G \int_G |f(g)| |f(h)| \|\gamma_g(\beta)\| \|\gamma_h(\beta)\|_2 dg dh \\
 &\leq \int_G \int_G |f(g)| |f(h)| \|\beta\| \|\beta\|_2 dg dh \\
 &= C(f)^2 \|\beta\| \|\beta\|_2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $C(f) := \int_G |f| dg$. Let us now fix an ordered k -tuple $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ (k nonnegative integer), and for any ordered subset $J = (j_1, \dots, j_p)$ of I , $\beta \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H})^\infty$, we shall abbreviate $\partial_{j_1} \dots \partial_{j_p} \beta$ and $\chi_{j_1} \dots \chi_{j_p} f$ by $\partial_J \beta$ and f_J respectively. Note that

$$\partial_J \gamma(f)(\beta) = \gamma(f_J)(\beta).$$

Using this as well as the Leibniz formula $\partial_I \langle \beta, \beta \rangle = \sum_J \langle \partial_J \beta, \partial_{I-J} \beta \rangle$ (with J varying over all ordered subsets of I), we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|\partial_I x_{mn}\|_2 \\
 &\leq \sum_{J: J \text{ ordered subset of } I} \|\langle \partial_J (\eta_m - \eta_n), \partial_{I-J} (\eta_m - \eta_n) \rangle\|_2 \\
 &\leq \sum_J C(f_J) C(f_{I-J}) \|\xi_m - \xi_n\| \|\xi_m - \xi_n\|_2 \\
 &\leq 2^k C^2 \|\xi_m - \xi_n\| \|\xi_m - \xi_n\|_2 \quad (\text{where } C := \max\{C(f_J): J \subset I, \\
 &\hspace{15em} \text{ordered subset}\}) \\
 &\leq 2^{k+1} C^2 \|\xi\| \|\xi_m - \xi_n\|_2,
 \end{aligned}$$

since the number of ordered subsets of I is 2^k and $\|\xi_n\| \leq \|\xi\|$ for all n . We also have $\|\xi_m - \xi_n\|_2^2 = \tau(\langle \xi_m - \xi_n, \xi_m - \xi_n \rangle) = \langle (\xi_m - \xi_n)1, (\xi_m - \xi_n)1 \rangle \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$. This proves $x_{m,n} \rightarrow 0$ in the topology of h^∞ , hence in the topology of \mathcal{A}^∞ as well, so $\eta = \gamma(f)(\xi) \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$.

To complete the proof of the lemma, using the norm-continuity of the map $g \mapsto \gamma_g(\xi)$, we choose for each $n \geq 1$ a nonempty open subset U_n of G such that $\|\gamma_g(\xi) - \xi\| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ for all $g \in U_n$, and then choose $f_n \in C^\infty(G)$ with $\text{supp}(f_n) \subseteq U_n$ satisfying $f_n \geq 0$ and $\int_G f_n dg = 1$. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\gamma(f_n)(\xi) - \xi\| \\ &= \left\| \int_G f_n(g) \gamma_g(\xi) dg - \xi \int_G f_n(g) dg \right\| \\ &\leq \int_G \|f_n(g)(\gamma_g(\xi) - \xi)\| dg \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \int_G f_n(g) dg = \frac{1}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, ξ is the operator-norm limit of the sequence $\gamma(f_n)(\xi) \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$, so $\xi \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$. \square

3. Main results on equivariant embedding of Hilbert modules

Let (E, β) be a $G - \mathcal{A}$ module, where \mathcal{A} and G are as in the previous section. In this final section, we shall prove that any such (E, β) is embeddable.

Lemma 3.1. *We can find a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} , a strongly continuous unitary representation $g \mapsto V_g \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ and an \mathcal{A} -linear isometry $\Gamma_0: E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(h, \mathcal{K})$, such that $\Gamma_0 \beta_g(\xi) = V_g(\Gamma_0 \xi) u_g^{-1}$, and moreover, the complex linear span of elements of the form $\Gamma_0(\xi)w$, where $\xi \in E$ and $w \in h$, is dense in \mathcal{K} .*

Proof. The proof of this result is adapted from [2] and page 99–101, Theorem 4.3.5 of [7]. We shall give only a brief sketch of the arguments involved, omitting the details. We consider first the formal vector space (say \mathcal{V}) spanned by symbols (ξ, w) , with $\xi \in E$ and $w \in h$, and define a semi-inner product on this formal vector space by setting

$$\langle (\xi, w), (\xi', w') \rangle = \langle w, \langle \xi, \xi' \rangle w' \rangle,$$

where $\langle \xi, \xi' \rangle$ denotes the \mathcal{A} -valued inner product on E . By extending this semi-inner product by linearity and then taking quotient by the subspace (say \mathcal{V}_0) consisting of elements of zero norm we get a pre-Hilbert space, and its completion under the pre-inner product is denoted by \mathcal{K} . We also define $\Gamma_0: E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(h, \mathcal{K})$ by setting

$$(\Gamma_0(\xi))w := [\xi, w],$$

where $[\xi, w]$ represents the equivalence class of (ξ, w) in $\mathcal{S} \equiv \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{K}$. That it is an isometry is verified by straightforward calculations. Next, we define V_g on \mathcal{S} by

$$V_g[\xi, w] := [\beta_g(\xi), u_g w],$$

and verify that it is indeed an isometry, and since its range clearly contains a total subset, V_g extends to a unitary on \mathcal{K} . Furthermore, $V_g V_h = V_{gh}$ and $V_e = I$ (where e is the identity of G) on \mathcal{S} , and hence on the whole of \mathcal{K} . The strong continuity of $g \mapsto V_g$ is also easy to see. Indeed, it is enough to prove that $g \mapsto V_g X$ is continuous for any X of the form $[\xi, v]$, $\xi \in E$, $v \in h$. But

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_g([\xi, v]) - [\xi, v]\|^2 &= 2\langle [\xi, v], [\xi, v] \rangle - \langle V_g([\xi, v]), [\xi, v] \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle [\xi, v], V_g([\xi, v]) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle V_g([\xi, v]), [\xi, v] \rangle - \langle [\xi, v], [\xi, v] \rangle \\ &= \langle (u_g v - v) \langle \beta_g(\xi), \xi \rangle v \rangle + \langle v, \langle (\beta_g(\xi) - \xi), \xi \rangle v \rangle \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $g \rightarrow e$, since by assumption $\lim_{g \rightarrow e} (\beta_g(\xi) - \xi) = 0$ in the norm topology of E , and $\lim_{g \rightarrow e} u_g v = v$. \square

In view of the above result, we assume without loss of generality that $E \subset \mathcal{B}(h, \mathcal{K})$ (with the natural Hilbert module structure inherited from that of $\mathcal{B}(h, \mathcal{K})$), and $\beta_g(\cdot) = V_g \cdot u_g^{-1}$. Consider the strong operator closure \tilde{E} of E in $\mathcal{B}(h, \mathcal{K})$. It is a Hilbert von Neumann $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ module (where $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is the weak closure of \mathcal{A} in h). Moreover, the G -action $\beta_g = V_g \cdot u_g^{-1}$ can be extended to the whole of $\mathcal{B}(h, \mathcal{K})$, and denoted again by β_g . Clearly, this action leaves \tilde{E} invariant, hence (\tilde{E}, β) is a Hilbert von Neumann $G - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ module. Let us recall that by \tilde{E}^∞ we denote the locally convex space of elements ξ in \tilde{E} such that $g \mapsto \beta_g(\xi)$ is C^∞ in the strong operator topology of \tilde{E} .

Theorem 3.2. *There exist a Hilbert space k_0 , a unitary representation w_g of G in k_0 and an isometry Σ from \mathcal{K} to $h \otimes k_0$ such that*

- (i) Σ is equivariant in the sense that $\Sigma V_g = (u_g \otimes w_g) \Sigma$ for all g ;
- (ii) $\Sigma \xi \in \mathcal{A} \otimes k_0$ for all $\xi \in E$.

Proof. The statement (i) is contained in page 99, Theorem 4.3.5 of [7]. For proving (ii), we note that E^∞ (with respect to the action β) is mapped by Σ into $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \otimes k_0)^\infty$ (with respect to the action $\gamma_g := \text{ad}_{u_g} \otimes w_g$), and moreover, for $\xi \in E$, $g \mapsto \gamma_g(\Sigma(\xi)) = \Sigma \beta_g(\xi)$ is norm-continuous since $g \mapsto \beta_g(\xi)$ is so and Σ is isometry. Thus, (ii) follows from Lemma 2.3. \square

It follows from the above theorem that E can be equivariantly embedded in the trivial $G - \mathcal{A}$ module $(\mathcal{A} \otimes k_0, \alpha \otimes w)$. In particular, we have

Theorem 3.3. *If a compact Lie group G has an ergodic action on a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , then every Hilbert C^* $G - \mathcal{A}$ module is embeddable.*

References

- [1] Albeverio S and Hoegh-Krohn R, Ergodic actions by compact groups on C^* -algebras, *Math. Z.* **174**(1) (1980) 1–17

- [2] Chakraborty P S, Goswami D and Sinha K B, A covariant quantum stochastic dilation theory, *Stochastics in finite and infinite dimensions*, Trends Math. (Boston, MA: Birkhäuser Boston) (2001) pp. 89–99
- [3] Hoegh-Krohn R, Landstad M B and Stormer E, Compact ergodic groups of automorphisms, *Ann. Math. (2)* **114(1)** (1981) 75–86
- [4] Lance E C, Hilbert C^* -modules: A toolkit for operator algebraists, London Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser. (Cambridge University Press) (1995) vol. 210
- [5] Mingo J A and Philips W J, Equivariant trivality theorems for Hilbert C^* -modules, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **91(2)** (1984) 225–230
- [6] Shiga K, Representations of a compact group on a Banach space, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **7** (1955) 224–248
- [7] Sinha K B and Goswami D, *Quantum Stochastic Calculus and Noncommutative Geometry*, Cambridge Tracts in Math. (UK: Cambridge University Press) (2007) vol. 69