

Derivations into duals of ideals of Banach algebras

M E GORGI and T YAZDANPANAHH*

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Semnan University, Semnan, Iran
*Department of Mathematics, Teacher Training University, 599, Taleghani Avenue,
Tehran 15614, Iran

E-mail: madjideg@walla.com; 7804902@saba.tmu.ac.ir or yazdanpanah@pgu.ac.ir

MS received 1 March 2004; revised 11 October 2004

Abstract. We introduce two notions of amenability for a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} . Let I be a closed two-sided ideal in \mathcal{A} , we say \mathcal{A} is I -weakly amenable if the first cohomology group of \mathcal{A} with coefficients in the dual space I^* is zero; i.e., $H^1(\mathcal{A}, I^*) = \{0\}$, and, \mathcal{A} is ideally amenable if \mathcal{A} is I -weakly amenable for every closed two-sided ideal I in \mathcal{A} . We relate these concepts to weak amenability of Banach algebras. We also show that ideal amenability is different from amenability and weak amenability. We study the I -weak amenability of a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} for some special closed two-sided ideal I .

Keywords. Amenability; weak-amenability; ideal weak-amenability.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and X be a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule, that is X is a Banach space and an \mathcal{A} -bimodule such that the module operations $(a, x) \mapsto ax$ and $(a, x) \mapsto xa$ from $\mathcal{A} \times X$ into X are jointly continuous. Then X^* is also a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule if we define

$$\langle x, ax^* \rangle = \langle xa, x^* \rangle; \quad \langle x, x^*a \rangle = \langle ax, x^* \rangle \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X, x^* \in X^*).$$

We say that Y is a dual \mathcal{A} -bimodule if there is a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule X such that Y is isometrically module isomorphic with X^* . Thus in particular I is a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule and I^* is a dual \mathcal{A} -bimodule for every closed two-sided ideal I in \mathcal{A} .

If X is a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule, then a derivation from \mathcal{A} into X is a continuous linear operator D with

$$D(ab) = a \cdot D(b) + D(a) \cdot b \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}).$$

If $x \in X$ and we define δ_x by

$$\delta_x(a) = a \cdot x - x \cdot a, \quad (a \in \mathcal{A})$$

then δ_x is a derivation, and such derivations are called inner. The space of characters on an algebra \mathcal{A} is denoted by $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let $\varphi \in \Phi_{\mathcal{A}} \cup \{0\}$. Then \mathbb{C} is a symmetric \mathcal{A} -bimodule for the products $a \cdot z = z \cdot a = \varphi(a)z$, ($a \in \mathcal{A}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$). In this case the bimodule is denoted by \mathbb{C}_{φ} . A derivation from \mathcal{A} into \mathbb{C}_{φ} is a linear functional d on \mathcal{A} such that

$$d(ab) = \varphi(a) \cdot d(b) + d(a) \cdot \varphi(b) \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Such a linear functional is called a point derivation at φ . Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra. Then \mathcal{A} is amenable if, whenever D is a derivation from \mathcal{A} to a dual \mathcal{A} -bimodule, then D is inner; this definition was introduced by Johnson [Jo1]. \mathcal{A} is weakly amenable if, whenever D is a derivation from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{A}^* , then D is inner. Bade, Curtis and Dales [B-C-D] have introduced the concept of weak amenability for commutative Banach algebras.

For example, it was shown in [Jo1] that the group algebra $L^1(G)$ is amenable if and only if G is an amenable group and in [Jo2] (or [D-Gh]) that $L^1(G)$ is weakly amenable for each locally compact group G .

The following definition describes the main new property that we shall study.

DEFINITION 1.1

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and I be a closed two-sided ideal in \mathcal{A} . Then \mathcal{A} is I -weakly amenable if $H^1(\mathcal{A}, I^*) = \{0\}$; \mathcal{A} is ideally amenable if \mathcal{A} is I -weakly amenable for every closed two-sided ideal I in \mathcal{A} .

We begin with the following trivial observations:

- (i) An amenable Banach algebra is ideally amenable.
- (ii) An ideally amenable Banach algebra is weakly amenable.

Let $\mathcal{A}^\#$ be the unitization of the commutative Banach algebra \mathcal{A} . Then for each closed two-sided ideal I of \mathcal{A} consider the following short exact sequence.

$$(\Sigma): 0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{A}^\# \hat{\otimes} I \xrightarrow{\pi} I \longrightarrow 0,$$

where π is given by $\pi(a \otimes i) = ai$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}^\#, i \in I$, ι is the embedding map and $K = \ker \pi$. It is well-known that $B(\mathcal{A}^\#, I^*)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $(\mathcal{A}^\# \hat{\otimes} I)^*$, so we get the following short exact sequence of linear maps:

$$(\Sigma^*): 0 \longrightarrow I^* \xrightarrow{\pi^*} B(\mathcal{A}^\#, I^*) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} K^* \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let $[K; \mathcal{A}] = \text{Span} \{u \cdot a - a \cdot u : u \in K, a \in \mathcal{A}\}$, then by ([Gr1], Proposition 3.1) there is no non-zero bounded derivation into I^* if and only if $[K; \mathcal{A}]^- = K$.

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra, and let I be a closed two-sided ideal in \mathcal{A} . We consider the following complex

$$\dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} I \xrightarrow{d_2} \mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} I \xrightarrow{d_1} I \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the maps d_1 and d_2 are specified by the formulae:

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(a \otimes i) &= ai - ia \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, i \in I); \\ d_2(a \otimes b \otimes i) &= b \otimes ia - ab \otimes i + a \otimes bi \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}, i \in I). \end{aligned}$$

By ([Jo1], Corollary 1.3) and ([Kh], II.5.29), $H^1(\mathcal{A}, I^*) = \{0\}$ if and only if $\text{im } d_1$ is closed in I and $\text{im } d_2$ is dense in $\ker d_1$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a commutative Banach algebra and I be a closed two-sided ideal in \mathcal{A} . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathcal{A} is I -weakly amenable.
- (ii) $\text{Span} \{(a \otimes b - b \otimes a) \cdot i - ab \otimes i : a, b \in \mathcal{A}, i \in I\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{A} \otimes I$.
- (iii) $[K; \mathcal{A}]^- = K$.

As in ([B-C-D], Theorem 1.5), we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *A commutative Banach algebra is weakly amenable if and only if every derivation from \mathcal{A} into a commutative Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule is zero.*

By Theorem 1.3 we conclude that a commutative Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is weakly amenable if and only if it is ideally amenable. As in ([B-C-D], Theorem 3.14), let K be an infinite, compact metric space and let $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$ then $\text{lip}_\alpha K$ is weakly amenable but it is not amenable, therefore this is an example of ideally amenable Banach algebra, that is not amenable.

We now consider an example of non-commutative Banach algebra that is ideally amenable but it is not amenable.

Example. Let $\mathcal{A} = \ell^1(\mathbb{N})$. We define the product on \mathcal{A} by $f \cdot g = f(1)g$ for all f and g in \mathcal{A} . It is obvious that \mathcal{A} is a Banach algebra with this product and norm $\| \cdot \|_1$. It is straightforward that \mathcal{A} has no approximate identity, so by ([Jo1], Proposition 1.6) \mathcal{A} is not amenable. Let I be a closed two-sided ideal of \mathcal{A} , it is easy to see that if $I \neq \mathcal{A}$, then $I \subseteq \{f \in \mathcal{A}; f(1) = 0\}$.

Let $I \neq \mathcal{A}$ and $D: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow I^*$ be a derivation. For $f \in \mathcal{A}$ we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}: \mathbb{N} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \\ \tilde{f}(n) &= \begin{cases} f(n), & n \geq 2 \\ 0, & n = 1 \end{cases}, \end{aligned}$$

then $f = f \cdot e + \tilde{f}$ such that

$$e(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 1 \\ 0, & n \neq 1 \end{cases}.$$

Therefore $D(f) = f(1)D(e) + D(\tilde{f})$ but we have $\tilde{D}(\tilde{f} \cdot e) = \tilde{f} \cdot D(e) + D(\tilde{f}) \cdot e$, $\tilde{f} \cdot e = \tilde{f} \cdot D(e) = 0$ and $D(\tilde{f}) \cdot e = D(\tilde{f})$. Consequently $D(\tilde{f}) = 0$. Let $x^* = -D(e)$.

$$\begin{aligned} f \cdot x^* - x^* \cdot f &= 0 - f(1)x^* \\ &= -f(1)(-D(e)) \\ &= f(1)D(e) \\ &= D(f). \end{aligned}$$

So $D = \delta_{x^*}$ and $H^1(\mathcal{A}, I^*) = \{0\}$. If $I = \mathcal{A}$, then by Assertion 1 of [Zh], $H^1(\mathcal{A}, I^*) = \{0\}$. ■

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra with a bounded right(left) approximate identity and let X be a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule on which \mathcal{A} acts trivially on the left(right). Then by ([Jo1], Proposition 1.5) $H^1(\mathcal{A}, X^*) = \{0\}$, so if I is a closed two-sided ideal in \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{A}I = \{0\}$ ($I\mathcal{A} = \{0\}$), then \mathcal{A} is I -weakly amenable. If G is a commutative

non-discrete group, then by [Gh-L-W], $L^1(G)^{**}$ is not weakly amenable. Let $J = \{F \in L^1(G)^{**}: L^1(G)^{**}F = 0\}$. Then J is a closed two-sided ideal in $L^1(G)^{**}$ and $L^1(G)^{**}$ is J -weakly amenable.

Theorem 1.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and I be a closed two-sided ideal in \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A} be I -weakly amenable, let $\varphi \in \Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$, such that $I \not\subseteq \ker \varphi$. Then there is no non-zero point derivation at φ .*

Proof. Let $d: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\varphi}$ be a non-zero point derivation, and let $\pi: \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow I^*$ be the adjoint of $\iota: I \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Consider the map $D: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow I^*$ defined by $D(a) = d(a)\pi(\varphi)$. It is easy to see that D is a derivation. Since \mathcal{A} is I -weakly amenable, there exists $\lambda \in I^*$ with $D(a) = a \cdot \lambda - \lambda \cdot a$ ($a \in \mathcal{A}$). Take $i \in I$ with $\varphi(i) = 1$. If $\ker \varphi \subseteq \ker d$, then there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $d = \alpha\varphi$. So $2\alpha = 2\alpha\varphi(i) = 2d(i) = 2d(i)\varphi(i) = d(i^2) = \alpha\varphi(i^2) = \alpha$. Therefore, $\alpha = 0$ and this is a contradiction. Consequently $\ker \varphi \not\subseteq \ker d$ and there exists $a \in \ker \varphi$ with $d(a) = 1$. Set $i' = i + (1 - d(i))ia = i + ia - d(i)ia$. Then $\varphi(i') = d(i') = 1$ and so

$$1 = (D(i'))(i') = (i' \cdot \lambda - \lambda \cdot i')(i') = \lambda(i'^2) - \lambda(i'^2) = 0,$$

is a contradiction. ■

Theorem 1.5. *Let \mathcal{A} be a weakly amenable Banach algebra and for each closed two-sided ideal I such that $I = \overline{AI \cup IA}$, \mathcal{A} is I -weakly amenable. Then \mathcal{A} is ideally amenable.*

Proof. Let I be a closed two-sided ideal in \mathcal{A} . Put $J = \overline{AI \cup IA}$. It is easy to see that J is a closed two-sided ideal in \mathcal{A} and $J = \overline{JA \cup AJ}$. Let $\iota: J \rightarrow I$ be the natural embedding and $D: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow I^*$ be a derivation. Then $\iota^* \circ D: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow J^*$ is a derivation. So there is a $m \in J^*$ such that $\iota^* \circ D = \delta_m$. Let x^* be the extension of m into I by Hahn–Banach theorem. For every $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle i, D(ab) \rangle &= \langle ia, D(b) \rangle + \langle bi, D(a) \rangle \\ &= \langle \iota(ia), D(b) \rangle + \langle \iota(bi), D(a) \rangle \\ &= \langle ia, bm - mb \rangle + \langle bi, am - ma \rangle \\ &= \langle iab - bia + bia - abi, m \rangle \\ &= \langle i, abx^* - x^*ab \rangle = \langle i, \delta_{x^*}(ab) \rangle \quad (i \in I). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $D(ab) = \delta_{x^*}(ab)$. Since \mathcal{A} is weakly amenable, so $\mathcal{A}^2 = \mathcal{A}$ and therefore $D = \delta_{x^*}$ and D is inner. ■

Theorem 1.6. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra, X be a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule and Y be a closed submodule of X . If $H^1(\mathcal{A}, Y^*) = \{0\}$ and $H^1(\mathcal{A}, (X/Y)^*) = \{0\}$, then $H^1(\mathcal{A}, X^*) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Let $D: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X^*$ be a derivation, and $\pi: X^* \rightarrow Y^*$ be adjoint of $\iota: Y \rightarrow X$. Then π is a \mathcal{A} -bimodule homomorphism and $\pi \circ D: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Y^*$ is a derivation. Therefore there exists $y^* \in Y^*$ such that $\pi \circ D = \delta_{y^*}$. Let x^* be an extension of y^* by Hahn–Banach theorem. Then $d = D - \delta_{x^*}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Y^{\perp}$ is a derivation, but $Y^{\perp} = (X/Y)^*$. Therefore there exists $x_1^* \in Y^{\perp} \subseteq X^*$ such that $d = \delta_{x_1^*}$, so $D = \delta_{(x^*+x_1^*)}$. ■

COROLLARY 1.7

Let I and J be closed two-sided ideals in Banach algebra \mathcal{A} , and $J \subseteq I$. If \mathcal{A} is J -weakly amenable and $H^1(\mathcal{A}, (I/J)^*) = \{0\}$, then \mathcal{A} is I -weakly amenable.

COROLLARY 1.8

Let I be a closed two-sided ideal in Banach algebra \mathcal{A} . If $H^1(\mathcal{A}, (\mathcal{A}/I)^*) = \{0\}$ and \mathcal{A} is I -weakly amenable, then \mathcal{A} is weakly amenable.

Theorem 1.9. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and I be a closed two-sided ideal in \mathcal{A} with a bounded approximate identity. If \mathcal{A} is ideally amenable, then I is ideally amenable.

Proof. Let J be a closed two-sided ideal in I . It is easy to see that J is an ideal in \mathcal{A} . Let $D: I \rightarrow J^*$ be a derivation. By ([Ru], Proposition 2.1.6), D can be extended to a derivation $\tilde{D}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow J^*$. So there is a $m \in J^*$ such that $\tilde{D} = \delta_m$. Then $D(i) = \tilde{D}(i) = \delta_m$ for each $i \in I$. So D is inner. ■

COROLLARY 1.10

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity and $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ be the multiplier algebra of \mathcal{A} . If $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is ideally amenable, then \mathcal{A} is ideally amenable.

DEFINITION 1.11 [Gr3]

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and I be a closed two-sided ideal in \mathcal{A} . We say that I has the trace extension property, if every $m \in I^*$ such that $am = ma$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, can be extended to $a^* \in \mathcal{A}^*$ such that $aa^* = a^*a$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Let I be a closed two-sided ideal in Banach algebra \mathcal{A} . Johnson in [Jo1] has shown that, if \mathcal{A} is amenable, then I is amenable if and only if I has a bounded approximate identity, and \mathcal{A} is amenable if I and \mathcal{A}/I are amenable. Also if \mathcal{A}/I and I are weakly amenable, then \mathcal{A} is weakly amenable [Gr2]. Also if I has the trace extension property and \mathcal{A} is weakly amenable, then \mathcal{A}/I is weakly amenable [Gr2]. We prove a similar proposition for ideal amenability.

Theorem 1.12. Let \mathcal{A} be an ideally amenable Banach algebra and I be a closed two-sided ideal in \mathcal{A} that has the trace extension property. Then, \mathcal{A}/I is ideally amenable.

Proof. Let J/I be a closed two-sided ideal in \mathcal{A}/I . Then J is a closed two-sided ideal in \mathcal{A} . We write $\pi: J \rightarrow J/I$, $q: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/I$ for the natural quotient maps and π^* for the adjoint of π . Let $D: \mathcal{A}/I \rightarrow (J/I)^*$ be a derivation. Then $d = \pi^* \circ D \circ q: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow J^*$ is a derivation, so there exists $x^* \in J^*$ such that $d = \delta_{x^*}$. Let m be the restriction of x^* to I . Then $m \in I^*$ and for all $i \in I$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle i, am - ma \rangle &= \langle ia - ai, m \rangle = \langle ia - ai, x^* \rangle \\ &= \langle i, \delta_{x^*}(a) \rangle = \langle i, \pi^* \circ D \circ q(a) \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi(i), D \circ q(a) \rangle = \langle I, D(a + I) \rangle \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $am = ma (a \in \mathcal{A})$, and so $a^* \in \mathcal{A}^*$ such that $aa^* = a^*a$ and a^* is an extension of m . Let y^* be the restriction of a^* to J . Then $y^* \in J^*$ and $x^* - y^* = 0$ on I . Therefore, $x^* - y^* \in (J/I)^*$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle j + I, D(a + I) \rangle &= \langle \pi(j), D(q(a)) \rangle \\ &= \langle j, \pi^* \circ D \circ q(a) \rangle \\ &= \langle j, \delta_{x^*}(a) \rangle = \langle j, \delta_{x^* - y^*}(a) \rangle \\ &= \langle j + I, \delta_{x^* - y^*}(a + I) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $D = \delta_{x^* - y^*}$ and therefore \mathcal{A}/I is ideally amenable. ■

Let I be a closed two-sided ideal in Banach algebra \mathcal{A} . We consider some easy remarks about the relations between I -weak amenability of \mathcal{A} and weak amenability of I and \mathcal{A} .

As in [Jo2] we know that the group algebra $L^1(G)$ is weakly amenable for every locally compact group G , but we do not know whether or not $L^1(G)$ is ideally amenable. By the following theorem we can show that $M(G)$ is I -weakly amenable if and only if $L^1(G)$ is I -weakly amenable for every closed two-sided ideal I in $L^1(G)$.

Theorem 1.13. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and let J be a closed two-sided ideal in \mathcal{A} with a bounded approximate identity. Then for every closed two-sided ideal I in J , J is I -weakly amenable if and only if \mathcal{A} is I -weakly amenable.*

Proof. Let $(j_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a bounded approximate identity for J and $D: J \rightarrow I^*$ be a derivation. Consider the map $\tilde{D}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow I^*$ defined by

$$\tilde{D}(a) = w^* - \lim_{\alpha} (D(a j_\alpha) - a \cdot D(j_\alpha)) \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

By ([Ru], Proposition 2.1.16) \tilde{D} is a continuous derivation. If $D = 0$, then $\tilde{D} = 0$, since $J I = I J = I$. Therefore $H^1(J, I^*) = H^1(\mathcal{A}, I^*)$, and this implies that \mathcal{A} is I -weakly amenable if and only if J is I -weakly amenable. ■

Let $\mathcal{A}^\#$ be the unitization of \mathcal{A} . We know that \mathcal{A} is amenable if and only if $\mathcal{A}^\#$ is amenable. If \mathcal{A} is weakly amenable then $\mathcal{A}^\#$ is weakly amenable (see ([D-Gh-G], Proposition 1.4, (ii))). For ideal amenability we have the following:

PROPOSITION 1.14

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra. Then \mathcal{A} is ideally amenable if and only if $\mathcal{A}^\#$ is ideally amenable.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}^\#$ be ideally amenable, I be a closed two-sided ideal of \mathcal{A} and $D: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow I^*$ be a derivation. It is easy to see that I is a closed two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{A}^\#$, and $\tilde{D}: \mathcal{A}^\# \rightarrow I^*$ such that $\tilde{D}(a + \alpha) = D(a)$, $(a \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C})$ is a derivation. Since $\mathcal{A}^\#$ is ideally amenable, \tilde{D} is inner and hence D is inner.

Conversely, let \mathcal{A} be ideally amenable and I be a closed two-sided ideal in $\mathcal{A}^\#$. Since \mathcal{A} is ideally amenable, therefore \mathcal{A} is weakly amenable, so $\mathcal{A}^\#$ is weakly amenable. Therefore, we can suppose that $1 \notin I$ and I is an ideal of \mathcal{A} . Let $D: \mathcal{A}^\# \rightarrow I^*$ be a derivation, then $D(1) = 0$ and we can consider D as a derivation from \mathcal{A} into I^* and therefore D is inner. ■

Let \mathcal{A} be a non-unital Banach algebra. Then similar to the Proposition 1.14 we can show that $\mathcal{A}^\#$ is not \mathcal{A} -weakly amenable. Let \mathcal{A} be the augmentation ideal of $L^1(PLS_2(R))$. Michael White has shown that \mathcal{A} is not weakly amenable and $\mathcal{A}^\#$ is an example of weakly amenable Banach algebra that is not ideally amenable.

2. C^* -algebras

Recall first that, a C^* -algebra is amenable if and only if it is nuclear [Ha]. However, every C^* -algebra is weakly amenable [Ha]. We show that every C^* -algebra is ideally amenable.

Lemma 2.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and I be a closed two-sided ideal in \mathcal{A} . If I is weakly amenable, then \mathcal{A} is I -weakly amenable.*

Proof. Let $D: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow I^*$ be a derivation and $\iota: I \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be the embedding map. Then $D \circ \iota: I \rightarrow I^*$ is a derivation, and so there exists $m \in I^*$ such that $D \circ \iota = \delta_m$. Since I is weakly amenable, $\overline{I^2} = I$, and for $i, j \in I$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle ij, D(a) \rangle &= \langle i, jD(a) \rangle = \langle i, D(ja) - D(j)a \rangle \\ &= \langle i, jam - mja \rangle - \langle ai, jm - mj \rangle \\ &= \langle ija, m \rangle - \langle aij, m \rangle = \langle ij, am - ma \rangle \\ &= \langle ij, \delta_m(a) \rangle \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $D = \delta_m$, and so D is inner. ■

COROLLARY 2.2

Every C^ -algebra is ideally amenable.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and I be a two-sided closed ideal in \mathcal{A} , then I is a C^* -algebra by ([B-D], Theorem 38.18), so I is weakly amenable. By Lemma 2.1, \mathcal{A} is I -weakly amenable. ■

COROLLARY 2.3

Let H be a Hilbert space, $K(H)$ be the space of compact operators in $B(H)$. Then $H^1(B(H), K(H)^) = \{0\}$.*

COROLLARY 2.4

Let H be an infinite dimensional Hilbert space. Then $B(H)$ is ideally amenable, but not amenable.

Let G be a locally compact topological group. Then $L^1(G)$ is an ideal in $L^1(G)^{**}$ if and only if G is compact, then by Lemma 2.1 we have the following result.

COROLLARY 2.5

*Let G be a compact topological group. Then $L^1(G)^{**}$ is $L^1(G)$ -weakly amenable.*

3. Maximal ideals

Let M be a maximal ideal in \mathcal{A} . We study conditions when \mathcal{A} is M -weakly amenable.

Theorem 3.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital weakly amenable Banach algebra. Then for every maximal ideal M in \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{A} is M -weakly amenable.*

Proof. Let $D: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M^*$ be a derivation. For each a in \mathcal{A} , $D(a)$ has an extension $\tilde{D}(a) \in \mathcal{A}^*$ such that $\tilde{D}(1) = 0$. For $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $m, n \in M$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}(ab) &= \tilde{D}((\lambda_1 \cdot 1 + m)(\lambda_2 \cdot 1 + n)) \\ &= \lambda_1 D(n) + \lambda_2 D(m) + \tilde{D}(mn) \\ &= \lambda_1 D(n) + \lambda_2 D(m) + m \cdot D(n) + D(m) \cdot n \\ &= (\lambda_1 \cdot 1 + m) \cdot D(n) + D(m) \cdot (\lambda_2 + n) \\ &= a \cdot \tilde{D}(b) + \tilde{D}(a) \cdot b. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\tilde{D} \in \mathcal{Z}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*)$ and there exists $a^* \in \mathcal{A}^*$ such that $\tilde{D} = \delta_{a^*}$. Obviously $D = \delta_{a^*|_M}$. ■

Theorem 3.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and M be a closed two-sided ideal in \mathcal{A} of codimension one. If \mathcal{A} is M -weakly amenable, then M is weakly amenable.*

Proof. Let $D: M \rightarrow M^*$ be a derivation. Since $\mathcal{A} = M \oplus \mathbb{C}$, the map $D_1: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M^*$ defined by $D_1(m + c) = D(m)$ for $m \in M, c \in \mathbb{C}$ is an inner derivation. Consequently D is inner. ■

Let F_2 be the free group on two generators. Let $\ell^0(F_2) = \{\mu \in \ell^1(F_2): \mu(F_2) = 0\}$. Then by Theorem 3.1, $\ell^1(F_2)$ is $\ell^0(F_2)$ -weakly amenable, and by Theorem 3.2, $\ell^0(F_2)$ is weakly amenable.

Lemma 3.3. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital commutative Banach algebra and M be a closed two-sided ideal in \mathcal{A} of codimension one. If \mathcal{A} is M -weakly amenable, then \mathcal{A} is weakly amenable.*

Proof. By the above theorem, we know that M is weakly amenable. On the other hand, we have $\mathcal{A} = M \oplus \mathbb{C}$. Therefore by ([Gr1], Proposition 2.3), \mathcal{A} is weakly amenable. ■

Now we have the following theorem:

Theorem 3.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a commutative unital Banach algebra, the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathcal{A} is weakly amenable,
- (ii) \mathcal{A} is ideal weakly amenable,
- (iii) \mathcal{A} is M -weakly amenable for some maximal ideal M in \mathcal{A} ,
- (iv) $H^1(\mathcal{A}, X^*) = \{0\}$ for every commutative Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule X .

PROPOSITION 3.5

Let \mathcal{A} be a commutative Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity and M be a maximal modular ideal in \mathcal{A} also $\mathcal{A}_z \cap M = 0$ (where \mathcal{A}_z is the set of topological divisor of zero elements in \mathcal{A}). Let $D: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M$ be a derivation such that $D(M) = \{0\}$, then $D = 0$.

Proof. Let $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ be a bounded approximate identity for \mathcal{A} . We may suppose that $e_\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \setminus M$ for every $\alpha \in I$. Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $D(a) \neq 0$. Then for every $\alpha \in I$ there exists $a_\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \setminus M$ and $m_\alpha \in M$ such that

$$e_\alpha = m_\alpha + a_\alpha a. \tag{1}$$

Therefore $0 = D^2(e_\alpha) = 2D(a_\alpha)D(a)$. Since $D(a) \in M$ and $\mathcal{A}_z \cap M = 0$, for every $\alpha \in I$, $D(a) = 0$. On the other hand, for every $a' \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(a') &= \lim_{\alpha} D(e_\alpha a') \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} D(e_\alpha) a' + \lim_{\alpha} e_\alpha D(a') \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} D(e_\alpha) a' + D(a'). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently $\lim_{\alpha} D(e_\alpha) a' = 0$ for every $a' \in \mathcal{A}$. Let a' be a non-zero element of M , since $\mathcal{A}_z \cap M = 0$, $\lim_{\alpha} D(e_\alpha) = 0$ and by (1), we have $\lim_{\alpha} a_\alpha D(a) = 0$. Therefore $\lim_{\alpha} a_\alpha = 0$. Now for each $b \in \mathcal{A}$, $b = \lim_{\alpha} b e_\alpha = \lim_{\alpha} b(m_\alpha + a_\alpha a) = \lim_{\alpha} [b(m_\alpha) + b a_\alpha a] = \lim_{\alpha} b m_\alpha$. Consequently $(m_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ is a approximate identity for \mathcal{A} but by ([Pa], Theorem 5.2.7) M is closed and so $M = \mathcal{A}$ and this is a contradiction. ■

4. Problems

We are interested in the problems listed below.

Johnson [Jo1] has shown that $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$ is amenable whenever \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are amenable Banach algebras. So we can raise the following question.

Question 4.1. If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are ideally amenable Banach algebras, then is $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$ ideally amenable?

We know that $L^1(G)$ is amenable if and only if G is an amenable group [Jo1], and also $L^1(G)$ is weakly amenable for every locally compact group ([Jo1] or [D-Gh]).

Question 4.2. Under what conditions the group algebra $L^1(G)$ is ideally amenable?

Question 4.3. If $L^1(G)^{**}$ is ideally amenable, then so are $M(G)$ and $L^1(G)$ ideally amenable?

Question 4.4. Is $\ell^1(F_2)$ ideally amenable?

For a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} , the amenability of \mathcal{A}^{**} necessitates the amenability of \mathcal{A} ([Da], Proposition 2.8.59) and similarly for weak amenability provided \mathcal{A} is a left ideal in \mathcal{A}^{**} [Gh-L-W]. So we can raise the following question.

Question 4.5. If \mathcal{A}^{**} is ideally amenable, then is \mathcal{A} ideally amenable?

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to Prof. Alireza Medghalchi for his kind encouragement and invaluable suggestions. Also we would like to thank the referee for some interesting and useful suggestions which improved the paper.

References

- [B-C-D] Bade W G, Curtis P G and Dales H G, Amenability and weak amenability for Beurling and Lipschitz algebra, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **55** (1987) 359–377
- [B-D] Bonsall F F and Duncan J, Complete normed algebras (Berlin: Springer) (1973)
- [Da] Dales H E, Banach algebra and automatic continuity, London Mathematical Society Monographs (Oxford: Clarendon Press) (2000) vol. 24
- [D-Gh-G] Dales H G, Ghahramani F and Gronbaek N, Derivations into iterated duals of Banach algebras, *Studia Math.* **128** (1998) 19–54
- [D-Gh] Despic M and Ghahramani F, Weak amenability of group algebras of locally compact groups, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **37** (1994) 165–167
- [Gh-L-W] Ghahramani F, Loy R J and Willis G A, Amenability and weak amenability of second conjugate Banach algebras, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **124**(5) (1996) 1489–1497
- [Gr1] Gronbak N, A characterization of weakly amenable Banach algebras, *Studia Math.* **94** (1989) 150–162
- [Gr2] Gronbak N, Weak amenability of group algebras, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **23** (1991) 231–284
- [Gr3] Gronbak N, Weak and cyclic amenable for non-commutative Banach algebras, *Proc. Edinburg Math. Soc.* **35** (1992) 315–328
- [Ha] Haagerup U, All nuclear C^* -algebras are amenable, *Invent. Math.* **74** (1983) 305–319
- [Jo1] Johnson B E, Cohomology in Banach algebras, *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* **127** (1972)
- [Jo2] Johnson B E, Weak amenability of group algebras, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **23** (1991) 281–284
- [Kh] Khelmeskii A Ya, The homology of Banach and topological algebras (Kluwer) (1989)
- [Pa] Palmer Theodore W, Banach algebra and the general theory of $*$ -algebras (Cambridge University Press) (1994) vol. 1
- [Ru] Runde V, Lectures on amenability (Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer-Verlag) (2001)
- [Zh] Zhang Yong, Weak amenability of a class of Banach algebras, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **44** (2001) 504–508