

## On the maximal dimension of a completely entangled subspace for finite level quantum systems

K R PARTHASARATHY

Indian Statistical Institute, Delhi Centre, 7, S.J.S. Sansanwal Marg,  
New Delhi 110 016, India  
E-mail: krp@isid.ac.in

MS received 19 March 2004; revised 16 August 2004

**Abstract.** Let  $\mathcal{H}_i$  be a finite dimensional complex Hilbert space of dimension  $d_i$  associated with a finite level quantum system  $A_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . A subspace  $S \subset \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_k} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_k$  is said to be *completely entangled* if it has no non-zero product vector of the form  $u_1 \otimes u_2 \otimes \dots \otimes u_k$  with  $u_i$  in  $\mathcal{H}_i$  for each  $i$ . Using the methods of elementary linear algebra and the intersection theorem for projective varieties in basic algebraic geometry we prove that

$$\max_{S \in \mathcal{E}} \dim S = d_1 d_2 \dots d_k - (d_1 + \dots + d_k) + k - 1,$$

where  $\mathcal{E}$  is the collection of all completely entangled subspaces.

When  $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2$  and  $k = 2$  an explicit orthonormal basis of a maximal completely entangled subspace of  $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$  is given.

We also introduce a more delicate notion of a *perfectly entangled* subspace for a multipartite quantum system, construct an example using the theory of stabilizer quantum codes and pose a problem.

**Keywords.** Finite level quantum systems; separable states; entangled states; completely entangled subspaces; perfectly entangled subspace; stabilizer quantum code.

### 1. Completely entangled subspaces

Let  $\mathcal{H}_i$  be a complex finite dimensional Hilbert space of dimension  $d_i$  associated with a finite level quantum system  $A_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . A state  $\rho$  of the combined system  $A_1 A_2 \dots A_k$  in the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_k \tag{1.1}$$

is said to be *separable* if it can be expressed as

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i \rho_{i1} \otimes \rho_{i2} \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_{ik}, \tag{1.2}$$

where  $\rho_{ij}$  is a state of  $A_j$  for each  $j$ ,  $p_i > 0$  for each  $i$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^m p_i = 1$  for some finite  $m$ . A state which is not separable is said to be *entangled*. Entangled states play an important role in quantum teleportation and communication [3]. The following theorem due to Horodecki and Horodecki [2] suggests a method of constructing entangled states.

**Theorem 1.1 [2].** *Let  $\rho$  be a separable state in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then the range of  $\rho$  is spanned by a set of product vectors.*

For the sake of readers' convenience and completeness we furnish a quick proof.

*Proof.* Let  $\rho$  be of the form (1.2). By spectrally resolving each  $\rho_{ij}$  into one-dimensional projections we can rewrite (1.2) as

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i |u_{i1} \otimes u_{i2} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{ik}\rangle \langle u_{i1} \otimes u_{i2} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{ik}|, \tag{1.3}$$

where  $u_{ij}$  is a unit vector in  $\mathcal{H}_j$  for each  $i, j$  and  $q_i > 0$  for each  $i$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i = 1$ . We shall prove the theorem by showing that each of the product vectors  $u_{i1} \otimes u_{i2} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{ik}$  is, indeed, in the range of  $\rho$ . Without loss of generality, consider the case  $i = 1$ . Write (1.3) as

$$\rho = q_1 |u_{11} \otimes u_{12} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{1k}\rangle \langle u_{11} \otimes u_{12} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{1k}| + T, \tag{1.4}$$

where  $q_1 > 0$  and  $T$  is a non-negative operator. Suppose  $\psi \neq 0$  is a vector in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $T|\psi\rangle = 0$  and  $\langle u_{11} \otimes u_{12} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{1k} | \psi \rangle \neq 0$ . Then  $\rho|\psi\rangle$  is a non-zero multiple of the product vector  $u_{11} \otimes u_{12} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{1k}$  and  $u_{11} \otimes u_{12} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{1k} \in R(\rho)$ , the range of  $\rho$ . Now suppose that the null space  $N(T)$  of  $T$  is contained in  $\{u_{11} \otimes u_{12} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{1k}\}^\perp$ . Then  $R(T) \supset \{u_{11} \otimes u_{12} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{1k}\}$  and therefore there exists a vector  $\psi \neq 0$  such that

$$T|\psi\rangle = |u_{11} \otimes u_{12} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{1k}\rangle.$$

Note that  $\rho|\psi\rangle \neq 0$ , for otherwise, the positivity of  $\rho, T$  and  $q_1$  in (1.4) would imply  $T|\psi\rangle = 0$ . Thus (1.4) implies

$$\rho|\psi\rangle = (q_1 \langle u_{11} \otimes u_{12} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{1k} | \psi \rangle + 1) |u_{11} \otimes u_{12} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{1k}\rangle.$$

□

**COROLLARY**

*If a subspace  $S \subset \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_k$  does not contain any non-zero product vector of the form  $u_1 \otimes u_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_k$  where  $u_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$  for each  $i$ , then any state with support in  $S$  is entangled.*

*Proof.* Immediate. □

**DEFINITION 1.2**

A non-zero subspace  $S \subset \mathcal{H}$  is said to be *completely entangled* if  $S$  contains no non-zero product vector of the form  $u_1 \otimes u_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_k$  with  $u_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$  for each  $i$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{E}$  the collection of all completely entangled subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Our goal is to determine  $\max_{S \in \mathcal{E}} \dim S$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.3**

*There exists  $S \in \mathcal{E}$  satisfying*

$$\dim S = d_1 d_2 \dots d_k - (d_1 + d_2 + \cdots + d_k) + k - 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $N = d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_k - k + 1$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $\mathcal{H}_i = \mathbb{C}^{d_i}$  for each  $i$ , with the standard scalar product. Choose and fix a set  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N\} \subset \mathbb{C}$  of cardinality  $N$ . Define the column vectors

$$u_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda_i \\ \lambda_i^2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_i^{d_j-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k \tag{1.5}$$

and consider the subspace

$$S = \{u_{i1} \otimes u_{i2} \otimes \dots \otimes u_{ik}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N\}^\perp \subset \mathcal{H}. \tag{1.6}$$

We claim that  $S$  has no non-zero product vector. Indeed, let

$$0 \neq v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k \in S, \quad v_i \in \mathcal{H}_i.$$

Then

$$\prod_{j=1}^k \langle v_j | u_{ij} \rangle = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N. \tag{1.7}$$

If

$$E_j = \{i | \langle v_j | u_{ij} \rangle = 0\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, N\}, \tag{1.8}$$

then (1.7) implies that

$$\{1, 2, \dots, N\} = \cup_{j=1}^k E_j$$

and therefore

$$N \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \#E_j.$$

By the definition of  $N$  it follows that for some  $j$ ,  $\#E_j \geq d_j$ . Suppose  $\#E_{j_0} \geq d_{j_0}$ . From (1.8) we have

$$\langle v_{j_0} | u_{ij_0} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } i = i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{d_{j_0}},$$

where  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{d_{j_0}}$ . From (1.5) and the property of van der Monde determinants it follows that  $v_{j_0} = 0$ , a contradiction. Clearly,  $\dim S \geq d_1 d_2 \dots d_k - (d_1 + \dots + d_k) + k - 1$ . □

**PROPOSITION 1.4**

*Let  $S \subset \mathcal{H}$  be a subspace of dimension  $d_1 d_2 \dots d_k - (d_1 + \dots + d_k) + k$ . Then  $S$  contains a non-zero product vector.*

*Proof.* Identify  $\mathcal{H}_j$  with  $\mathbb{C}^{d_j}$  for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . For any non-zero element  $v$  in a complex vector space  $\mathcal{V}$  denote by  $[v]$  the equivalence class of  $v$  in the projective space  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})$ . Consider the map

$$T : \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{d_1}) \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{d_2}) \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{d_k}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_k})$$

given by

$$T([u_1], [u_2], \dots, [u_k]) = [u_1 \otimes \dots \otimes u_k].$$

The map  $T$  is algebraic and hence its range  $R(T)$  is a complex projective variety of dimension  $\sum_{i=1}^k (d_i - 1)$ . By hypothesis,  $\mathbb{P}(S)$  is a projective variety of dimension  $d_1 d_2 \dots d_k - (d_1 + \dots + d_k) + k - 1$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathbb{P}(S) + \dim R(T) &= d_1 d_2 \dots d_k - 1 \\ &= \dim \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_k}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Theorem 6, p. 76 in [4] we have

$$\mathbb{P}(S) \cap R(T) \neq \emptyset.$$

In other words,  $S$  contains a product vector. □

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the collection of all completely entangled subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_k$ . Then*

$$\max_{S \in \mathcal{E}} \dim S = d_1 d_2 \dots d_k - (d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_k) + k - 1.$$

*Proof.* Immediate from Propositions 1.3 and 1.4. □

**2. An explicit orthonormal basis for a completely entangled subspace of maximal dimension in  $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$**

Let  $\{|x\rangle, x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$  be a labelled orthonormal basis in the Hilbert space  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Choose and fix a set

$$E = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{2n-1}\} \subset \mathbb{C}$$

of cardinality  $2n - 1$  and consider the subspace

$$S = \{u_{\lambda_i} \otimes u_{\lambda_i}, 1 \leq i \leq 2n - 1\}^\perp,$$

where

$$u_\lambda = \sum_{x=0}^{n-1} \lambda^x |x\rangle, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

By the proof of Proposition 1.3 and Theorem 1.5 it follows that  $S$  is a maximal completely entangled subspace of dimension  $n^2 - 2n + 1$ . We shall now present an explicit orthonormal basis for  $S$ .

First, observe that  $S$  is orthogonal to a set of symmetric vectors and therefore  $S$  contains the antisymmetric tensor product space  $\mathbb{C}^n \wedge \mathbb{C}^n$  which has the orthonormal basis

$$B_0 = \left\{ \frac{|xy\rangle - |yx\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad 0 \leq x < y \leq n - 1 \right\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Thus, in order to construct an orthonormal basis of  $S$ , it is sufficient to search for symmetric tensors lying in  $S$  and constituting an orthonormal set. Any symmetric tensor in  $S$  can be expressed as

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq x \leq n-1 \\ 0 \leq y \leq n-1}} f(x, y)|xy\rangle, \tag{2.2}$$

where  $f(x, y) = f(y, x)$  and

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq x \leq n-1 \\ 0 \leq y \leq n-1}} f(x, y)\lambda_i^{x+y} = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2n - 1,$$

which reduces to

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq x \leq n-1 \\ 0 \leq j-x \leq n-1}} f(x, j - x) = 0 \quad \forall 0 \leq j \leq 2n - 2. \tag{2.3}$$

Define  $\mathcal{K}_j$  to be the subspace of all symmetric tensors of the form (2.2) where the coefficient function  $f$  is symmetric, has its support in the set  $\{(x, j - x), 0 \leq x \leq n - 1, 0 \leq j - x \leq n - 1\}$  and satisfies (2.3). Simple algebra shows that  $\mathcal{K}_0 = \mathcal{K}_1 = \mathcal{K}_{2n-3} = \mathcal{K}_{2n-2} = 0$  and

$$S = \mathcal{H} \wedge \mathcal{H} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=2}^{2n-4} \mathcal{K}_j.$$

We shall now present an orthonormal basis  $B_j$  for  $\mathcal{K}_j, 2 \leq j \leq 2n - 4$ . This falls into four cases.

Case 1.  $2 \leq j \leq n - 1, j$  even.

$$B_j = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{j(j+1)}} \left[ \sum_{m=0}^{(j/2)-1} (|mj - m\rangle + |j - mm\rangle) - j \left| \frac{j}{2} \frac{j}{2} \right\rangle \right] \right\} \\ \cup \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{j}} \sum_{m=0}^{(j/2)-1} e^{4i\pi mp/j} (|mj - m\rangle + |j - mm\rangle), \quad 1 \leq p \leq \frac{j}{2} - 1 \right\}.$$

Case 2.  $2 \leq j \leq n - 1, j$  odd.

$$B_j = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{j+1}} \sum_{m=0}^{(j-1)/2} e^{4i\pi mp/(j+1)} (|mj - m\rangle + |j - mm\rangle), \right. \\ \left. 1 \leq p \leq \frac{j-1}{2} \right\}.$$

Case 3.  $n \leq j \leq 2n - 4$ ,  $j$  even.

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_j = & \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2n-2-j)(2n-1-j)}} \left[ \sum_{m=0}^{((2n-2-j)/2)-1} (|j-n+m \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. + |n-m-1\rangle + |n-m-1\rangle |j-n+m+1\rangle) \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. - (2n-2-j) \left| \frac{j}{2} \frac{j}{2} \right\rangle \right] \right\} \\
 \cup & \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n-2-j}} \sum_{m=0}^{((2n-2-j)/2)-1} e^{4i\pi mp/(2n-2-j)} (|j-n+m \right. \\
 & \left. + |n-m-1\rangle |n-m-1\rangle |j-n+m+1\rangle), \right. \\
 & \left. 1 \leq p \leq \frac{2n-2-j}{2} - 1 \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Case 4.  $n \leq j \leq 2n - 4$ ,  $j$  odd.

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_j = & \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n-1-j}} \sum_{m=0}^{((2n-1-j)/2)-1} e^{4i\pi mp/(2n-1-j)} \right. \\
 & \left. + (|j-n+m+1\rangle |n-m-1\rangle + |n-m-1\rangle |j-n+m+1\rangle), \right. \\
 & \left. 1 \leq p \leq \frac{2n-1-j}{2} - 1 \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The set  $B_0 \cup \bigcup_{j=2}^{2n-4} B_j$ , where  $B_0$  is given by (2.1) and the remaining  $B_j$ 's are given by the four cases above constitute an orthonormal basis for the maximal completely entangled subspace  $S$ .

### 3. Perfectly entangled subspaces

As in §1, let  $\mathcal{H}_i$  be a complex Hilbert space of dimension  $d_i$  associated with a finite level quantum system  $A_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . For any subset  $E \subset \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  let

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{H}(E) &= \otimes_{i \in E} \mathcal{H}_i, \\
 d(E) &= \prod_{i \in E} d_i,
 \end{aligned}$$

so that the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\{1, 2, \dots, k\})$  of the joint system  $A_1 A_2 \dots A_k$  can be viewed as  $\mathcal{H}(E) \otimes \mathcal{H}(E')$ ,  $E'$  being the complement of  $E$ . For any operator  $X$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  we write

$$X(E) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}(E')} X,$$

where the right-hand side denotes the relative trace of  $X$  taken over  $\mathcal{H}(E')$ . Then  $X(E)$  is an operator in  $\mathcal{H}(E)$ . If  $\rho$  is a state of the system  $A_1 A_2 \dots A_k$  then  $\rho(E)$  describes the marginal state of the subsystem  $A_{i_1} A_{i_2} \dots A_{i_r}$  where  $E = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\}$ .

DEFINITION 3.1

A non-zero subspace  $S \subset \mathcal{H}$  is said to be *perfectly entangled* if for any  $E \subset \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  such that  $d(E) \leq d(E')$  and any unit vector  $\psi \in S$  one has

$$(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)(E) = \frac{I_E}{d(E)},$$

where  $I_E$  denotes the identity operator in  $\mathcal{H}(E)$ .

For any state  $\rho$ , denote by  $S(\rho)$  the von Neumann entropy of  $\rho$ . If  $\psi$  is a pure state in  $\mathcal{H}$  then  $S(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)(E) = S(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)(E')$ . Thus perfect entanglement of a subspace  $S$  is equivalent to the property that for every unit vector  $\psi$  in  $S$ , the pure state  $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$  is maximally entangled in every decomposition  $\mathcal{H}(E) \otimes \mathcal{H}(E')$ , i.e.,

$$S(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)(E) = S(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)(E') = \log_2 d(E)$$

whenever  $d(E) \leq d(E')$ . In other words, the marginal states of  $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$  in  $\mathcal{H}(E)$  and  $\mathcal{H}(E')$  have the maximum possible von Neumann entropy.

Denote by  $\mathcal{P}$  the class of all perfectly entangled subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$ . It is an interesting problem to construct examples of perfectly entangled subspaces and also compute  $\max_{S \in \mathcal{P}} \dim S$ .

Note that a perfectly entangled subspace  $S$  is also completely entangled. Indeed, if  $S$  has a unit product vector  $\psi = u_1 \otimes u_2 \otimes \dots \otimes u_k$  where each  $u_i$  is a unit vector in  $\mathcal{H}_i$  then  $(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)(E)$  is also a pure product state with von Neumann entropy zero. Perfect entanglement of  $S$  implies the stronger property that every unit vector  $\psi$  in  $S$  is *indecomposable*, i.e.,  $\psi$  cannot be factorized as  $\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2$  where  $\psi_1 \in \mathcal{H}(E)$ ,  $\psi_2 \in \mathcal{H}(E')$  for any proper subset  $E \subset \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ .

PROPOSITION 3.2

Let  $S \subset \mathcal{H}$  be a subspace and let  $P$  denote the orthogonal projection on  $S$ . Then  $S$  is perfectly entangled if and only if, for any proper subset  $E \subset \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  with  $d(E) \leq d(E')$ ,

$$(PXP)(E) = \frac{\text{Tr } PX}{d(E)} I_E$$

for all operators  $X$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

*Proof.* Sufficiency is immediate. To prove necessity, assume that  $S$  is perfectly entangled. Let  $X$  be any hermitian operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then by spectral theorem and Definition 3.1 it follows that  $(PXP)(E) = \alpha(X)I_E$  where  $\alpha(X)$  is a scalar. Equating the traces of both sides we see that  $\alpha(X) = d(E)^{-1} \text{Tr } PX$ . If  $X$  is arbitrary, then  $X$  can be expressed as  $X_1 + iX_2$  where  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are hermitian and the required result is immediate.  $\square$

Using the method of constructing single error correcting 5 qudit stabilizer quantum codes in the sense of Gottesman [1, 3] we shall now describe an example of a perfectly entangled  $d$ -dimensional subspace in  $h^{\otimes 5}$  where  $h$  is a  $d$ -dimensional Hilbert space. To this end we identify  $h$  with  $L^2(A)$  where  $A$  is an abelian group of cardinality  $d$  with group operation  $+$  and null element  $0$ . Then  $h^{\otimes 5}$  is identified with  $L^2(A^5)$ . For any  $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$  in  $A^5$  denote by  $|\mathbf{x}\rangle$  the indicator function of the singleton subset  $\{\mathbf{x}\}$  in  $A^5$ . Then  $\{|\mathbf{x}\rangle, \mathbf{x} \in A^5\}$

is an orthonormal basis for  $h^{\otimes 5}$ . Choose and fix a non-degenerate symmetric bicharacter  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  for the group  $A$  satisfying the following:

$$|\langle a, b \rangle| = 1, \langle a, b \rangle = \langle b, a \rangle, \langle a, b + c \rangle = \langle a, b \rangle \langle a, c \rangle \quad \forall a, b, c \in A$$

and  $a = 0$  if and only if  $\langle a, x \rangle = 1$  for all  $x \in A$ . Define

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \prod_{i=0}^4 \langle x_i, y_i \rangle, \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in A^5.$$

(Note that  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$  denotes the bicharacter evaluated at  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$  whereas  $\langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y} \rangle$  denotes the scalar product in  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(A^5)$ .) With these notations we introduce the unitary Weyl operators  $U_{\mathbf{a}}, V_{\mathbf{b}}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfying

$$U_{\mathbf{a}} | \mathbf{x} \rangle = | \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{x} \rangle, \quad V_{\mathbf{b}} | \mathbf{x} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \rangle | \mathbf{x} \rangle, \quad \mathbf{x} \in A^5.$$

Then we have the Weyl commutation relations:

$$U_{\mathbf{a}} U_{\mathbf{b}} = U_{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}}, \quad V_{\mathbf{a}} V_{\mathbf{b}} = V_{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}}, \quad V_{\mathbf{b}} U_{\mathbf{a}} = \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle U_{\mathbf{a}} V_{\mathbf{b}}$$

for all  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in A^5$ . The family  $\{d^{-5/2} U_{\mathbf{a}} V_{\mathbf{b}}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in A^5\}$  is an orthonormal basis for the Hilbert space of all operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  with the scalar product  $\langle X | Y \rangle = \text{Tr } X^\dagger Y$  between two operators  $X, Y$ .

Introduce the cyclic permutation  $\sigma$  in  $A^5$  defined by

$$\sigma((x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)) = (x_4, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3). \tag{3.1}$$

Then  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of the product group  $A^5$  and

$$\sigma^{-1}((x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)) = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_0).$$

Define

$$\tau(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma^2(\mathbf{x}) + \sigma^{-2}(\mathbf{x}). \tag{3.2}$$

Let  $C \subset A^5$  be the subgroup defined by

$$C = \{\mathbf{x} | x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 0\}.$$

Define

$$W_{\mathbf{x}} = \langle \mathbf{x}, \sigma^2(\mathbf{x}) \rangle U_{\mathbf{x}} V_{\tau(\mathbf{x})}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in A^5. \tag{3.3}$$

Then the correspondence  $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow W_{\mathbf{x}}$  is a unitary representation of the subgroup  $C$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Define the operator  $P_C$  by

$$P_C = d^{-4} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in C} W_{\mathbf{x}}. \tag{3.4}$$

Then  $P_C$  is a projection satisfying  $\text{Tr } P_C = d$ . The range of  $P_C$  is an example of a stabilizer quantum code in the sense of Gottesman. From the methods of [1] it is also known that  $P_C$  is a single error correcting quantum code. The range  $R(P_C)$  of  $C$  is given by

$$R(P_C) = \{|\psi\rangle | W_{\mathbf{x}} |\psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x} \in C\}.$$



Our goal is to establish that  $R(P_C)$  is perfectly entangled in  $L^2(A)^{\otimes 5}$ . To this end we prove a couple of lemmas.

*Lemma 3.3.* For any  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in A^5$  the following holds:

$$\langle \mathbf{a} | P_C | \mathbf{b} \rangle = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \sum_{i=0}^4 (a_i - b_i) \neq 0, \\ d^{-4} \langle \mathbf{a}, \sigma^2(\mathbf{a}) \rangle \overline{\langle \mathbf{b}, \sigma^2(\mathbf{b}) \rangle}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We have from (3.1)–(3.4) that

$$\langle \mathbf{a} | P_C | \mathbf{b} \rangle = d^{-4} \sum_{x_0+x_1+x_2+x_3+x_4=0} \langle \mathbf{x}, \sigma^2(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \langle \tau(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{b} \rangle \langle \mathbf{a} | \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b} \rangle$$

which vanishes if  $\sum_{i=0}^4 (a_i - b_i) \neq 0$ . Now assume that  $\sum_{i=0}^4 (a_i - b_i) = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{a} | P_C | \mathbf{b} \rangle &= d^{-4} \langle \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}, \sigma^2(\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}) \rangle \langle \sigma^2(\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}), \mathbf{b} \rangle \langle \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}, \sigma^2(\mathbf{b}) \rangle \\ &= d^{-4} \langle \mathbf{a}, \sigma^2(\mathbf{a}) \rangle \overline{\langle \mathbf{b}, \sigma^2(\mathbf{b}) \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

□

*Lemma 3.4.* Consider the tensor product Hilbert space

$$L^2(A)^{\otimes 5} = \mathcal{H}_0 \otimes \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_3 \otimes \mathcal{H}_4,$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_i$  is the  $i$ -th copy of  $L^2(A)$ . Then for any  $(\{i, j\}) \subset \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in A^5$  the operator  $(P_C | \mathbf{a} \rangle \langle \mathbf{b} | P_C)$  ( $\{i, j\}$ ) is a scalar multiple of the identity in  $\mathcal{H}_i \otimes \mathcal{H}_j$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.2 and the definition of relative trace we have, for any  $x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1 \in A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle x_0, x_1 | (P_C | \mathbf{a} \rangle \langle \mathbf{b} | P_C) (\{0, 1\}) | y_0, y_1 \rangle \\ &= \sum_{x_2, x_3, x_4 \in A} \langle x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 | P_C | \mathbf{a} \rangle \langle \mathbf{b} | P_C | y_0, y_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle \\ &= d^{-8} \sum_{\substack{x_2+x_3+x_4=\sum a_i-x_0-x_1 \\ x_2+x_3+x_4=\sum b_i-y_0-y_1}} \langle \mathbf{x}, \sigma^2(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \overline{\langle \mathbf{a}, \sigma^2(\mathbf{a}) \rangle} \langle \mathbf{b}, \sigma^2(\mathbf{b}) \rangle \\ &\quad \times \overline{\langle y_0, y_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \sigma^2(y_0, y_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side vanishes if  $\sum (a_i - b_i) \neq x_0 + x_1 - y_0 - y_1$ . Now suppose that  $\sum (a_i - b_i) = x_0 + x_1 - y_0 - y_1$ . Then the right-hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &d^{-8} \overline{\langle \mathbf{a}, \sigma^2(\mathbf{a}) \rangle} \langle \mathbf{b}, \sigma^2(\mathbf{b}) \rangle \left\langle \sum a_i - x_0 - x_1, x_0 + x_1 - y_0 - y_1 \right\rangle \\ &\quad \times \sum_{x_2, x_4 \in A} \langle x_2, y_1 - x_1 \rangle \langle x_4, y_0 - x_0 \rangle \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x_0 \neq y_0 \text{ or } x_1 \neq y_1, \\ d^{-6} \overline{\langle \mathbf{a}, \sigma^2(\mathbf{a}) \rangle} \langle \mathbf{b}, \sigma^2(\mathbf{b}) \rangle, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma when  $i = 0, j = 1$ . A similar (but tedious) algebra shows that the lemma holds when  $i = 0, j = 2$ .

The cyclic permutation  $\sigma$  of the basis  $\{|\mathbf{x}\rangle, \mathbf{x} \in A^5\}$  induces a unitary operator  $U_\sigma$  in  $A^5$ . Since  $\sigma$  leaves  $C$  invariant it follows that  $U_\sigma P_C = P_C U_\sigma$  and therefore

$$U_\sigma P_C |\mathbf{a}\rangle \langle \mathbf{b}| P_C U_\sigma^{-1} = P_C |\sigma(\mathbf{a})\rangle \langle \sigma(\mathbf{b})| P_C,$$

which, in turn, implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle x_1, x_2 | (P_C |\mathbf{a}\rangle \langle \mathbf{b}| P_C) (|1, 2\rangle |y_1, y_2\rangle) \\ &= \langle x_1, x_2 | P_C |\sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{a})\rangle \langle \sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{b})| P_C (|0, 1\rangle |y_1, y_2\rangle). \end{aligned}$$

By what has been already proved the lemma follows for  $i = 1, j = 2$ . A similar covariance argument proves the lemma for all pairs  $\{i, j\}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** *The range of  $P_C$  is a perfectly entangled subspace of  $L^2(A)^{\otimes 5}$  and  $\dim P_C = \#A$ .*

*Proof.* Immediate from Lemma 3.3 and the fact that every operator in  $L^2(A^{\otimes 5})$  is a linear combination of operators of the form  $|\mathbf{a}\rangle \langle \mathbf{b}|$  as  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$  vary in  $A^5$ .  $\square$

**Note added in Proof.** The example in §2 has been recently generalized and simplified considerably by B V Rajarama Bhat. See arXiv: quant-ph/0409032 VI 6 Sep. 2004.

### Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank A J Parameswaran and V Pati for their help in explaining to him the role of basic algebraic geometry in the proof of Proposition 1.4.

### References

- [1] Arvind V and Parthasarathy K R, A family of quantum stabilizer codes based on the Weyl commutation relations over a finite field, in: A tribute to C.S. Seshadri, perspectives in geometry and representation theory (eds) V Lakshmibai *et al* (India: Hindustan Book Agency) (2003) pp. 133–153
- [2] Horodecki M and Horodecki R, Separability of mixed states: necessary and sufficient conditions, *Phys. Lett.* **A223(1-2)** (1996) 1–8
- [3] Nielsen M A and Chuang I L, Quantum computation and quantum information (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) (1999)
- [4] Shafarevich I R, Basic Algebraic Geometry I, Second edition (Berlin: Springer Verlag) (translated from Russian) (1994)