

Transformation semigroup compactifications and norm continuity of weakly almost periodic functions

A JALILIAN and M A POURABDOLLAH

Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad,
 P.O. Box 91775-1159, Mashhad, Iran

MS received 21 June 1999

Abstract. We prove if there exists a separately continuous action of a topologically right simple semitopological semigroup S on a topological space X and if S acts topologically surjective on X then each weakly almost periodic function on X , with respect to S , is left norm continuous.

Keywords. Transformation semigroup; left norm continuous; weakly almost periodic.

Throughout the paper, (S, X) denotes a transformation semigroup, i.e. a semigroup S , a set X , and a map (called the action of S on X) $(s, x) \rightarrow sx: S \times X \rightarrow X$ such that $s(tx) = (st)x$ for all $s, t \in S$ and $x \in X$. If T is a sub-semigroup of S and Y is a T -invariant subset of X (i.e. $Y \supseteq TY = \{ty : t \in T, y \in Y\}$), then we say (T, Y) is a *sub-transformation semigroup* of (S, X) . When S and X are topological spaces, we say (T, Y) is dense in (S, X) if T is dense in S and Y is dense in X .

For notation and terminology we shall follow Berglund *et al* [1], as far as possible. For a topological space X , $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is the C^* -algebra of bounded continuous complex-valued functions on X with supremum norm. For a C^* -subalgebra \mathcal{F} of $\mathcal{C}(X)$, $X^{\mathcal{F}}$ denotes the spectrum of \mathcal{F} (= the set of all multiplicative means on \mathcal{F}) which is weak $*$ -compact in the topological dual \mathcal{F}^* of \mathcal{F} . The evaluation map $\epsilon: X \rightarrow X^{\mathcal{F}}$, with a weak $*$ -dense image, is defined by $\epsilon(x)f = f(x)$ ($x \in X, f \in \mathcal{F}$).

For $s, t \in S$ and $x \in X$, we define the translation maps $\lambda_s: S \rightarrow S, \rho_s: S \rightarrow S, \dot{\lambda}_s: X \rightarrow X$ and $\dot{\rho}_x: S \rightarrow X$ by $\lambda_s(t) = st = \rho_t(s)$ and $\dot{\lambda}_s(x) = sx = \dot{\rho}_x(s)$.

When S and X are topological spaces, we say (S, X) is *left topological* if λ_s and $\dot{\lambda}_s$ are continuous for all $s \in S$, *right topological* if ρ_s and $\dot{\rho}_x$ are continuous for all $s \in S$ and $x \in X$, *semitopological* if it is both left and right topological, *topological* if the multiplication in S and the action of S on X are continuous. If there is a separately (resp. jointly) continuous action of a semitopological (resp. topological) group S with identity e on a topological space X and $ex = x$ for all $x \in X$, (S, X) is called a semitopological (resp. topological) transformation group. (S, X) is said to be compact (resp. Hausdorff) if so are S and X .

For $s \in S$ and $x \in X$, we consider the translation operators $L_s = \lambda_s^*$ and $R_s = \rho_s^*$ on $\mathcal{C}(S)$; $\dot{L}_s = (\dot{\lambda}_s)^*$ and $\dot{R}_x = (\dot{\rho}_x)^*$ on $\mathcal{C}(X)$, which are bounded with norms ≤ 1 . Trivially $\dot{L}_{st} = \dot{L}_t \dot{L}_s, \dot{R}_{sx} = R_s \dot{R}_x, L_s \dot{R}_x = \dot{R}_x \dot{L}_s$.

A subset \mathcal{F} of $\mathcal{C}(S)$ (resp. \mathcal{H} of $\mathcal{C}(X)$) is called *translation invariant* if $L_s \mathcal{F} \cup R_s \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ (resp. $\dot{L}_s \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$) for all $s \in S$.

For a semitopological (S, X) , we define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{AP}(X) &= \{f \in \mathcal{C}(X) : \{\dot{L}_s f : s \in S\} \text{ is norm relatively compact in } \mathcal{C}(X)\}, \\ \mathcal{WAP}(X) &= \{f \in \mathcal{C}(X) : \{\dot{L}_s f : s \in S\} \text{ is weak relatively compact in } \mathcal{C}(X)\}, \\ \mathcal{LC}(X) &= \{f \in \mathcal{C}(X) : \text{the map } s \rightarrow \dot{L}_s f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X) \text{ is norm continuous}\}, \\ \mathcal{RC}(X) &= \{f \in \mathcal{C}(X) : \text{the map } x \rightarrow \dot{R}_x f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S) \text{ is norm continuous}\}.\end{aligned}$$

All of these function spaces are translation invariant C^* -subalgebras of $\mathcal{C}(X)$ containing the constant functions. Clearly $\mathcal{AP}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{WAP}(X)$.

The following collects some basic facts about these spaces.

PROPOSITION 1.1

Let (S, X) be semitopological. Then

- (i) $\mathcal{AP}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{LC}(X) \cap \mathcal{RC}(X)$.
- (ii) If S (resp. X) is compact then $\mathcal{AP}(X) = \mathcal{LC}(X)$ (resp. $\mathcal{RC}(X)$).
- (iii) If (S, X) is compact then, $\mathcal{AP}(X) = \mathcal{LC}(X) = \mathcal{RC}(X)$ and $\mathcal{WAP}(X) = \mathcal{C}(X)$.
- (iv) If (S, X) is compact and topological then, $\mathcal{AP}(X) = \mathcal{LC}(X) = \mathcal{RC}(X) = \mathcal{WAP}(X) = \mathcal{C}(X)$.
- (v) If S (resp. X) is compact and Hausdorff then $\mathcal{C}(X) = \mathcal{RC}(X)$ (resp. $\mathcal{LC}(X)$) if and only if the action of S on X is (jointly) continuous.
- (vi) If (S, X) is compact and Hausdorff then $\mathcal{LC}(X) = \mathcal{RC}(X) = \mathcal{C}(X)$ if and only if the action of S on X is continuous.

Proof. (i) follows from Lemma 1.1(d) of [3]. The proof of (ii) is easy. (iii) follows from (ii) and Lemma 1.1(a) of [3]. (iv) is a consequence of (iii) and Lemma 1.1(b) of [3]. To prove (v), note that the action of S on X is continuous if and only if for each $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ the map $(s, x) \rightarrow f(sx) : S \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is continuous. By ([1], B.3), this is equivalent to norm continuity of $x \rightarrow \dot{R}_x f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S)$ (resp. $s \rightarrow \dot{L}_s f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X)$) when S (resp. X) is compact. (vi) follows from (v). \square

There is no inclusion relation between $\mathcal{WAP}(X)$ and $\mathcal{LC}(X)$ or $\mathcal{RC}(X)$ that holds for every semitopological (S, X) . But if S (resp. X) is compact then, by Proposition 1.1(ii), $\mathcal{LC}(X)$ (resp. $\mathcal{RC}(X)$) $\subseteq \mathcal{WAP}(X)$. Here we are going to obtain some conditions for establishing the reverse inclusion.

By a *homomorphism* from (S, X) into a transformation semigroup (T, Y) we mean a pair (ϕ, ψ) , where $\phi : S \rightarrow T$ is a semigroup homomorphism and $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a map with the property $\psi(sx) = \phi(s)\psi(x)$ for each $s \in S$ and $x \in X$. We say (ϕ, ψ) is one-to-one (resp. onto) if both ϕ and ψ are one-to-one (resp. onto). A transformation semigroup homomorphism that is one-to-one and onto is called an *isomorphism*. When S and X are topological spaces, we say (ϕ, ψ) is continuous if both ϕ and ψ are continuous.

By a right (resp. left) topological compactification of a semitopological (S, X) we mean a pair $((\phi, \psi), (T, Y))$, where (T, Y) is a compact Hausdorff right (resp. left) topological transformation semigroup, and $(\phi, \psi) : (S, X) \rightarrow (T, Y)$ is a continuous homomorphism such that $(\phi(S), \psi(X))$ is a dense semitopological sub-transformation semigroup of (T, Y) . If (T, Y) is semitopological (resp. topological), $((\phi, \psi), (T, Y))$ is a semitopological (resp. topological) compactification of (S, X) .

Let $((\phi, \psi), (T, Y))$ and $((\phi', \psi'), (T', Y'))$ be right (resp. left) topological compactifications of (S, X) . We call a continuous homomorphism (π, γ) of (T, Y) onto (T', Y') such that $\pi \circ \phi = \phi', \gamma \circ \psi = \psi'$, a *homomorphism* of $((\phi, \psi), (T, Y))$ onto $((\phi', \psi'), (T', Y'))$. If such a homomorphism exists we say $((\phi, \psi), (T, Y))$ is an *extension* of $((\phi', \psi'), (T', Y'))$ and we write

$$(\pi, \gamma) : ((\phi, \psi), (T, Y)) \rightarrow ((\phi', \psi'), (T', Y')).$$

If (π, γ) is also one-to-one then it is an *isomorphism* of compactifications.

We call a compactification of (S, X) having a property P , a *P-compactification* of (S, X) . By a *universal P-compactification* of (S, X) we mean a *P-compactification* of (S, X) that is an extension of every other *P-compactification* of (S, X) , which is unique up to isomorphism.

We say a compactification $((\phi, \psi), (T, Y))$ of (S, X) has the *joint continuity property* if the actions of S on T and on Y , i.e. the maps

$$(s, t) \rightarrow \phi(s)t : S \times T \rightarrow T, (s, y) \rightarrow \phi(s)y : S \times Y \rightarrow Y,$$

are (jointly) continuous.

For a semitopological (S, X) , by an application of Theorem 1.3 of [3], $((\epsilon, \delta), (S^{\mathcal{WAP}}, X^{\mathcal{WAP}}))$ (resp. $(S^{AP}, X^{AP}))$) is a universal semitopological (resp. topological) compactification of (S, X) .

Furthermore, it is shown that $((\epsilon, \delta), (S^{\mathcal{LC}}, X^{\mathcal{LC}}))$ (resp. $(S^{RC}, X^{RC}))$ is a right (resp. left) topological compactification of (S, X) that is universal with respect to the joint continuity property (see [2]).

Now, we aim to describe our main results. In [4] and [5], some conditions which entail the left norm continuity of weakly almost periodic functions on a transformation semigroup are discussed. Here we are going to give more precise conditions to the same effect. For this we first need a lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Let (S, X) be a compact Hausdorff semitopological transformation semigroup.

- (i) *If the subsemigroup $T := \{t \in S : tS = S, tX = X\}$ is dense in S , then for each $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, the map $s \rightarrow \dot{L}_s f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X)$ is norm continuous at each point of T . Hence the action of S on X is continuous at each point of $T \times X$, and so (T, X) is a topological sub-transformation semigroup of (S, X) .*
- (ii) *If the subsemigroup $T' := \{t \in S : St = S\}$ is dense in S , then for each $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, the map $x \rightarrow \dot{R}_x f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S)$ is norm continuous at each point of $Y := \{y \in X : Sy = X\}$. Hence the action of S on X is continuous at each point of $S \times Y$, and so (T', Y) is a topological sub-transformation semigroup of (S, X) .*

Proof. To prove (i), suppose that $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, $t_0 \in T$ and $\epsilon > 0$. By ([1]; B.1), the function $(s, x) \rightarrow f(sx) : S \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is (jointly) continuous at each point of $\{s_0\} \times X$ for some $s_0 \in S$. Hence, by ([1]; B.3), the set $N := \{s \in S : \|\dot{L}_s f - \dot{L}_{s_0} f\| < \epsilon/2\}$ is a neighborhood of s_0 .

By definition of T , $s_0 = t_0 u_0$ for some $u_0 \in S$. Since T is dense in S and $t_0 S = S$, $t_0 T$ must be dense in S . Choose $t \in T$ such that $t_0 t \in N$. Then $\rho_t^{-1}(N)$ is a neighborhood of t_0 , and if $s \in \rho_t^{-1}(N)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\dot{L}_s f - \dot{L}_{t_0} f\| &= \sup_{x \in X} |f(stx) - f(t_0tx)| \\
&\leq \|\dot{L}_{st} f - \dot{L}_{t_0u_0} f\| + \|\dot{L}_{t_0u_0} f - \dot{L}_{t_0} f\| \\
&< \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

since $s_0 = t_0u_0$ and $st, t_0t \in N$.

Therefore $s \rightarrow \dot{L}_s f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X)$ is norm continuous at t_0 . By ([1]; B.3), the action of S on X is continuous at each point of $T \times X$.

To prove (ii), let $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, $y_0 \in Y$ and $\epsilon > 0$. By ([1]; B.1), the function $(x, s) \rightarrow f(sx) : X \times S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is (jointly) continuous at each point of $\{x_0\} \times S$ for some $x_0 \in X$. Hence, by ([1]; B.3), the set $B := \{x \in X : \|\dot{R}_x f - \dot{R}_{x_0} f\| < \epsilon/2\}$ is a neighborhood of x_0 .

The assumptions imply that for any $y_0 \in Y$ there exists an $s_0 \in S$ such that $x_0 = s_0y_0$, and $T'y_0$ is dense in X . Hence $ty_0 \in B$ for some $t \in T'$, and so $\lambda_t^{-1}(B)$ is a neighborhood of y_0 . Now if $x \in \lambda_t^{-1}(B)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\dot{R}_x f - \dot{R}_{y_0} f\| &= \sup_{s \in S} |f(stx) - f(sty_0)| \\
&\leq \|\dot{R}_{tx} f - \dot{R}_{s_0y_0} f\| + \|\dot{R}_{s_0y_0} f - \dot{R}_{ty_0} f\| \\
&< \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

since $x_0 = s_0y_0$ and $tx, ty_0 \in N$. Thus $x \rightarrow \dot{R}_x f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S)$ is norm continuous at y_0 . By ([1]; B.3), the action of S on X is continuous at each point of $S \times Y$. \square

For a semitopological (S, X) , we say S acts *transitively* (resp. *point transitively*) on X if $Sx = X$ for all (resp. for some) $x \in X$. S acts *topologically transitively* on X , if Sx is dense in X for all $x \in X$. Also, we say S acts *surjectively* (resp. *topologically surjectively*) on X if $sX = X$ (resp. sX is dense in X) for all $s \in S$.

The following extends Theorem 4.10 of [1] to transformation semigroup setting.

Theorem 2.2. *Let (S, X) be semitopological.*

- (i) *If S is topologically right simple and if S acts topologically surjective on X , then $\mathcal{WAP}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{LC}(X)$.*
- (ii) *If S is topologically left simple and if S acts topologically transitive on X , then $\mathcal{WAP}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{RC}(X)$.*

Proof. To prove (i), let S be topologically right simple and let S act topologically surjective on X . Let $((\epsilon, \delta), (T, Y))$ denote the universal semitopological compactification of (S, X) . Then the subsemigroup $T_1 = \{t \in T : tT = T, tY = Y\}$ of T contains $\epsilon(S)$, and hence by Lemma 2.1(i), for each $f \in \mathcal{C}(Y)$ the map $t \rightarrow \dot{L}_t f : T \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Y)$ is norm continuous at each point of $\epsilon(S)$. Since

$$\delta^*(\dot{L}_{\epsilon(s)} f) = \dot{L}_s \delta^*(f) \quad (s \in S, f \in \mathcal{C}(Y)),$$

where $\delta^* : \mathcal{C}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{WAP}(X)$ denotes the dual of the evaluation map $\delta : X \rightarrow X^{\mathcal{WAP}}$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{WAP}(X) = \delta^* \mathcal{C}(Y) \subseteq \mathcal{LC}(X).$$

The proof of (ii) is similar. \square

COROLLARY 2.3

Let (S, X) be a semitopological transformation group. Then $\mathcal{WAP}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{LC}(X)$. In particular if S acts point transitively on X then $\mathcal{WAP}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{LC}(X) \cap \mathcal{RC}(X)$.

Proof. Suppose that (S, X) is a semitopological transformation group. By Theorem 1.1.17 of [1], S is left simple and right simple. Let e be the identity of S and $s \in S, x \in X$, then $x = ex = ss^{-1}x \in sX$ and so $sX = X$. This means that S acts surjectively on X and so, by Theorem 2.2(i), the first assertion holds. To prove the second assertion, let $Sx_0 = X$ for some $x_0 \in X$. Then for each $y \in X$ we have $y = s_0x_0$ for some $s_0 \in S$, and so $Sy = Ss_0x_0 = Sx_0 = X$, i.e. S acts transitively on X . Now the conclusion follows from Theorem 2.2(ii). \square

References

- [1] Berglund J F, Junghenn H D and Milnes P, *Analysis on Semigroups (Function Spaces, Compactifications, Representations)* (New York: Wiley) (1989)
- [2] Jalilian A and Pourabdollah M A, Universal compactifications of transformation semigroups, to appear in *J. Sci. I. R. I.*
- [3] Junghenn H D, Almost periodic compactifications of transformation semigroups, *Pac. J. Math.* **57(1)** (1975) 207–216
- [4] Ebrahimi-Vishki H R and Pourabdollah M A, More on norm-continuity of weakly almost periodic functions, *J. Sci. Univ. Tehran.* **2** (1997) 29–33
- [5] Pourabdollah M A, On norm-continuity of weakly almost periodic functions, *J. Sci. Univ. Tehran.* **1** (1996) 39–42