

## On subsemigroups of semisimple Lie groups

D KELLY-LYTH and M McCRUDDEN

Department of Mathematics, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester,  
M13 9PL, UK

MS received 30 August 1994; revised 17 October 1994

**Abstract.** In this paper we classify the subsemigroups of any connected semisimple Lie group  $G$  which are  $K$ -bi-invariant, where  $G = KAN$  is an Iwasawa decomposition of  $G$ .

**Keywords.** Lie group; semisimple; subsemigroup.

In a recent investigation of the support behaviour of certain Gauss measures on a connected semisimple Lie group (see [KM]), we encountered the following question.

Let  $G$  be a connected semisimple Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  having a Cartan decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{p}$  (in the usual notation of Helgason [He]), and let  $K$  be the analytic subgroup corresponding to  $\mathfrak{t}$ . Can one classify the subsemigroups  $S$  of  $G$  such that  $K \subseteq S$ ? Here “subsemigroup” means only a subset of  $G$  which is closed under the group multiplication. In this note we show that this problem has a very simple answer.

To describe this, we let

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_n$$

be the decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  into its simple ideals  $\mathfrak{g}_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , and we recall that  $[\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_j] = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ , and  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_j$  are orthogonal w.r.t. the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . If  $\mathfrak{g}_j = \mathfrak{t}_j + \mathfrak{p}_j$  is a Cartan decomposition for  $\mathfrak{g}_j$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}$  has a Cartan decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{p}$ , where  $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}_1 + \mathfrak{t}_2 + \cdots + \mathfrak{t}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_1 + \mathfrak{p}_2 + \cdots + \mathfrak{p}_n$ .

Let  $N$  be a subset of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and form  $\mathfrak{g}_N := \mathfrak{t} + \sum_{j \in N} \mathfrak{p}_j$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathfrak{g}_N$  is a reductive subalgebra containing  $\mathfrak{t}$ , and if  $G_N$  is the corresponding analytic subgroup, then  $G_N = (\prod_{j \in N} G_j)(\prod_{j \notin N} K_j)$ , where  $G_j, K_j$  are the analytic subgroups determined by  $\mathfrak{g}_j$  and  $\mathfrak{t}_j$ , respectively.

Our question raised above is now answered by the following result.

**Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be a connected semisimple Lie group and let  $S$  be any subsemigroup of  $G$  bi-invariant under  $K$ . Then  $S = G_N$  for some subset  $N$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .*

In the special case when  $G$  is simple (and noncompact) this theorem tells us that  $K$  is a maximal proper subsemigroup of  $G$ . This special case therefore implies the observation of Hilgert and Hofmann that  $SO(2)$  is a maximal proper subsemigroup of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  ([Hi H], Corollary 4.20, p. 49) and extends the theorem of Brun (see [B] or [He], Exercise A.3, p. 275) that  $K$  is a maximal proper subgroup of  $G$ , in the simple case.

**PROPOSITION 1**

Any subgroup of a connected semisimple Lie group  $G$  which contains  $K$  is of the form  $G_N$ , for some  $N \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $x \in G \setminus K$  and let  $H_x$  denote the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $K$  and  $x$ . We may write  $x = x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$ , where  $x_j \in G_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , and set  $N_x = \{1 \leq j \leq n: x_j \notin K_j\}$ . Since  $x$  determines  $x_j$  up to translation by a central element, and the centre of  $G$  lies inside  $K$ ,  $x$  determines  $N_x$  uniquely.

For each  $j \in N_x$ ,  $H_x \cap G_j$  contains  $K_j$  and  $x_j K_j x_j^{-1}$ . As  $G_j$  is simple, Brun's theorem implies that the normaliser of  $K_j$  in  $G_j$  is  $K_j$ , hence  $H_x \cap G_j \neq K_j$ , so by Brun's theorem again,  $H_x \cap G_j = G_j$ . It follows that  $H_x$  contains  $G_{N_x}$ . As  $G_{N_x}$  clearly contains  $K$  and  $x$ , we conclude that  $H_x = G_{N_x}$ .

(ii) Now let  $H$  be an arbitrary subgroup of  $G$  containing  $K$  and with  $H \neq K$ . Then

$$H = \bigcup_{x \in H} G_{N_x} = G_N,$$

where  $N = \bigcup_{x \in H} N_x$ . □

Given semisimple  $\mathfrak{g}$  with Cartan decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{p}$ , we choose a maximal abelian subspace  $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}$  of  $\mathfrak{p}$  and denote by  $\Sigma$  the set of all roots of  $\mathfrak{g}$  relative to  $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}$  (see [He], p. 263, and note that we follow the notation there except that  $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}$  replaces  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$  and the subscript 0 on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and the subspaces of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is dropped). We write  $N_K(\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p})$  for the normaliser of  $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}$  in  $K$ .

**PROPOSITION 2**

There exists  $k \in N_K(\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p})$ , and some  $m \geq 1$ , such that for all  $X \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}$ ,

$$-X = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \text{Ad}(k^j)(X).$$

*Proof.* For each  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ , let  $r_\alpha: \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}$  denote the reflection in the hyperplane  $\{Y \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}: \alpha(Y) = 0\}$  w.r.t. the restriction to  $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}$  of the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We choose a basis of simple roots  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$  from  $\Sigma$ , and set

$$s = r_{\alpha_1} \circ r_{\alpha_2} \circ \dots \circ r_{\alpha_l},$$

which is a Coxeter element of the Weyl group  $W$  of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p})$ . Since  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l$  are linearly independent in  $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}^*$ , we have  $s(Y) = Y$  for  $Y \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}$  if and only if  $r_{\alpha_j}(Y) = Y$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq l$  (c.f. [Ca], Proposition 10.5.6, p. 165). Hence  $s(Y) = Y$  if and only if  $\alpha_j(Y) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq l$ , which is equivalent to  $Y = 0$  since  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l$  span  $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}^*$ . Hence the linear map  $I - s: \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}$  is invertible.

Let the order of  $s$  be  $m$ , then from the identity

$$(I + s + \dots + s^{m-1})(I - s) = I - s^m = 0$$

and the invertibility of  $I - s$ , it follows that on  $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}$ ,

$$I + s + \dots + s^{m-1} = 0. \tag{1}$$

Because  $W$  can also be realised as  $N_K(\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p})/C_K(\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p})$ , where  $C_K(\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p})$  is the centraliser of  $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}$  in  $K$ , we can find  $k \in N_K(\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p})$  such that  $s = \text{Ad } k|_{\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}}$ . Then (1) gives that for all  $X \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}$ ,

$$X + \text{Ad}(k)(X) + \text{Ad}(k^2)(X) + \dots + \text{Ad}(k^{m-1})(X) = 0,$$

which gives the result. □

**COROLLARY 1**

There exists  $k \in N_K(\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p})$  and  $m \geq 1$  such that for each  $a \in A = \exp \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}$ ,

$$a^{-1} = (ka)^{m-1} k^{-m+1} \quad \square$$

**COROLLARY 2**

In any connected semisimple Lie group  $G$ , any  $K$ -bi-invariant subsemigroup of  $G$  is a subgroup containing  $K$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a  $K$ -bi-invariant subsemigroup and let  $x \in S$ , then  $x = k_1 a k_2$  for some  $a \in A$  and  $k_1, k_2 \in K$ . Hence  $x^{-1} = k_2^{-1} a^{-1} k_1^{-1} \in S$  by Corollary 1. Also  $1 \in S$  and so  $K \subseteq S$ . □

The proof of the theorem stated earlier is now immediate by Propositions 1 and 2, Corollary 2.

*Remark.* We note the following consequence of the theorem. If  $G$  is a connected semisimple Lie group and  $C$  is any  $K$ -bi-invariant subset of  $G$ , then there is some  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $C^r$  is a neighbourhood of the identity in  $G(C)$ , the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $C$ .

For, by the theorem above,

$$G(C) = \bigcup_{s=1}^{\infty} C^s,$$

so if  $\lambda$  is Haar measure on  $G(C)$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\lambda(C^n) > 0$ . But we may write  $C = KDK$  for  $D \subseteq A$ , and by Proposition 2, Corollary 2,

$$D^{-1} \subseteq (KDK)^{n-1}$$

and so

$$C^n C^{-n} \subseteq C^{nm}.$$

The result now follows because  $C^n C^{-n}$  is a neighbourhood of the identity in  $G(C)$ , by [W], bottom of page 50.

**Acknowledgements**

One of the authors (DKL) thanks the Science and Engineering Research Council for their financial assistance during the completion of some of this work. Both authors would like to thank the referee for a number of helpful suggestions.

**References**

[B] Brun J, Sur la simplification par les variétés homogènes, *Math. Ann.* **235** (1977), 175–183  
 [Ca] Carter R W, *Simple groups of Lie type* (1972) (London, New York: J. Wiley)

- [He] Helgason S, *Differential geometry, Lie groups and symmetric spaces* (1978) (New York, London: Academic Press)
- [Hi H] Hilgert J and Hofmann K H, Old and new on  $S(2)$ . *Manuscripta Math.* **54** (1985) 17–52
- [K M] Kelly-Lyth D and McCrudden M, Supports of Gauss measures on semisimple Lie groups, Preprint *Math. Zeit.* (to appear)
- [W] Weil A, *L'integration dans les groupes topologiques et ses applications* (Paris, 1953)