

## Symplectic structures on locally compact abelian groups and polarizations

R RANGA RAO

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, 1409 West Green Street,  
Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA

Dedicated to the memory of Professor K G Ramanathan

**Abstract.** Let  $X$  be a locally compact abelian group and  $\omega(\cdot, \cdot)$  a symplectic structure on it. A polarization for  $(X, \omega)$  is a pair of totally isotropic closed subgroups  $G, G^*$  of  $X$  such that  $X = G \cdot G^*$  and  $\omega(\cdot, \cdot)$  defines a dual pairing of  $G$  and  $G^*$ . In this paper we describe a class of such groups which always admit a polarization and also discuss their structure.

**Keywords.** Symplectic structures.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  denote the class of locally compact Hausdorff, abelian and second countable groups. For  $X \in \mathcal{L}$ , consider an alternating bicharacter  $\omega$  on  $X$ , i.e. (i) For each  $x, y \rightarrow \omega(x, y)$  is character in  $y \in X$ , and for each  $y, x \rightarrow \omega(x, y)$  is character in  $x$  and (ii)  $\omega$  is alternating i.e.,  $\omega(x, x) = 1$  for each  $x \in X$ . Such  $\omega$  is known to provide a classification of central extensions of  $X$  by the circle group  $T$ . For the central extension  $1 \rightarrow T \rightarrow E_\omega \rightarrow X \rightarrow 1$  corresponding to the classifying invariant  $\omega$ , the analogue of the Stone–von Neumann theorem has been proved under two sets of assumptions. The first one is

$$(X, \omega) \text{ is nondegenerate} \tag{1}$$

i.e.,  $\omega(x_0, y) = 1$  for all  $y$  implies  $x_0 = 0$  (the identity element of  $X$ ) and if  $\chi$  is any continuous character of  $X$ , then there exists an  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\chi(y) = \omega(x_0, y)$  for all  $y$ . This assumption makes it possible to apply Mackey's theory of systems of imprimitivity to  $E_\omega$  to get Stone–von Neumann theorem (see for example [M]). On the other hand, Weil [W1] used a different kind of assumption on  $(X, \omega)$  to obtain the same result. This assumption may be succinctly described by saying that  $(X, \omega)$  admits a polarization i.e., there exist closed subgroups  $G, G^*$  of  $X$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $G \cap G^* = \langle 1 \rangle$ ,  $X = G \cdot G^*$
- (ii)  $\omega(G, G) = 1$ ,  $\omega(G^*, G^*) = 1$  i.e.,  $\omega(x, y) = 1$  whenever  $x, y \in G$ , and also whenever  $x, y \in G^*$
- (iii) the mapping  $x, y \rightarrow \omega(x, y)$  of  $G \times G^*$  into  $T$  is a dual pairing of  $G$  and  $G^*$ .

Note the assumption (i) means that  $X$  is a direct sum (or direct product) of the groups  $G$  and  $G^*$  and the assumption (iii) identifies  $G^*$  as the character group of  $G$ .

Note then

$$\omega(x + x^*, y + y^*) = \omega(x, y^*)\omega(y, x^*)^{-1}. \tag{2}$$

Conversely if  $G \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $G^*$  is its dual group, then  $(G \times G^*, \omega)$  is nondegenerate, where  $\omega(x, x^*) = x^*(x)$  and  $\omega(\cdot, \cdot)$  in general is defined by (2). The problem we are concerned about in this paper is to find the class of groups  $X$  such that any nondegenerate symplectic structure  $\omega$  on  $X$  will admit a polarization. The main result is.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}_0$  denote the class of groups  $X \in \mathcal{L}$  possessing the following properties:*

- (i) *The maximal compact connected subgroups of both  $X$  and its dual  $X^*$  are tori*
- (ii)  *$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{hom}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p) < \infty$ , for all primes  $p$*
- (iii) *The subgroup  $\{x \in X : p \cdot x = 0\}$  is finite for each prime  $p$ .*

*If  $X \in \mathcal{L}_0$  and  $\omega$  a nondegenerate symplectic structure on  $X$ , then  $(X, \omega)$  admits a polarization.*

A proof of this theorem is given in §3. In §2, we recall certain basic results on structure of groups in  $\mathcal{L}$  and also discuss the structure of groups in class  $\mathcal{L}_0$  in some detail. In §3 we consider symplectic structures  $(X, \omega)$  and prove various decomposition theorems, leading to a proof of Theorem 1. In a sequel we will discuss applications of these to the metaplectic representation.

## 2. The structure of the class of groups in $\mathcal{L}_0$

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  denote the class of second countable, Hausdorff, locally compact abelian groups. For any  $G \in \mathcal{L}$ , let  $G^* = \text{hom}(G, T)$  be the character group of  $G$ . Let  $G^0$  be the connected component of  $G$ , and let  ${}^0G = \{x \in G : x \text{ is a compact element of } G, \text{ i.e., the subgroup generated by } x \text{ has compact closure}\}$ . Then we have.

**Lemma 2.** *For any  $G \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  ${}^0G$  is a closed subgroup and it is the annihilator in  $G$  of the connected component of  $G^*$ . Moreover*

$${}^0G = \cap \{ \ker \xi : \xi \in \text{hom}(G, R) \}.$$

(For proof see [HR] p. 382 and p. 390).

**Lemma 3.** *For any  $G$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ , let  $K = {}^0G \cap {}^0G$ —the subgroup of compact elements in  $G^0$ . Then  $K$  is compact, connected and is the maximal compact connected subgroup of  $G$ . Moreover  $G^0 \simeq R^n \cdot K$  for some  $n$ . (See [HR] page 95).*

**Lemma 4.** *The subgroup  ${}^0G \cdot G^0$  is open in  $G$  and its annihilator in  $G^*$  is the maximal compact connected subgroup of  $G^*$ . In particular  $G/({}^0G \cdot G^0)$  is discrete and torsion free. ([HR], §9.26(a), p. 103).*

Using these we can next prove.

### PROPOSITION 5

*For a group  $G$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *the maximal compact connected subgroup of  $G^*$  is a torus, i.e., is isomorphic to  $T^m$  for some  $m$*

- (ii)  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \text{hom}(G, \mathbb{R}) < \infty$ , and if  $\xi \in \text{hom}(G, \mathbb{R})$  is such that  $\xi(G) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ , then either  $\xi(G) = (0)$  or  $\xi(G)$  is a lattice  
 (iii) For some integers  $n$  and  $k$ ,  $G \simeq {}^0G \times \mathbb{R}^n \cdot \mathbb{Z}^k$ . (direct sum)

*Proof.* (i)  $\rightarrow$  (iii). From Lemma 4, it follows that  $G/({}^0G \cdot G^0)$  is the character group of the maximal compact connected component of  $G^*$ . Thus  $G/({}^0G \cdot G^0)$  is  $\simeq \mathbb{Z}^k$  for some  $k$ . This implies that  $G \simeq ({}^0G \cdot G^0) \cdot \mathbb{Z}^k$ . Since  $({}^0G \cdot G^0) \simeq {}^0G \cdot \mathbb{R}^n$ , the conclusion (iii) follows.

(iii)  $\rightarrow$  (i). For (iii) implies that  $G/({}^0G \cdot G^0) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^k$  so that the maximal compact connected subgroup of  $G^*$  is  $\simeq T^k$ .

(iii)  $\rightarrow$  (ii). This is clear.

(ii)  $\rightarrow$  (i). Let  $D = G/({}^0G \cdot G^0)$ . Note the property (ii) for  $G$  implies that the same is valid for  $D$ . Let  $\dim \text{hom}(D, \mathbb{R}) = d$  and let  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d$  be a basis for  $\text{hom}(D, \mathbb{R})$ . Consider the map  $\varphi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d)$  of  $D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ . It is then clear that the subspace spanned by  $\xi(D)$  is  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $v_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, d$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , with  $v_j \in \xi(D)$  for all  $j$ . Let  $A$  be a  $d \times d$  matrix, nonsingular such that  $Av_j = e_j$ , where  $e_j$  is the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $\eta = A\xi$ , and  $\psi = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_d)$ . Then  $e_j \in \psi(D)$  and so  $\psi(D) \supseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ . Also if  $\xi_j(x) = 0$  for all  $j$ , then  $x \in \cap \{\ker \xi: \xi \in \text{hom}(D, \mathbb{R})\} = {}^0D = \langle 0 \rangle$ . Thus  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are both injective. Let  $x_j \in D$ , be such that  $\psi(x_j) = e_j$  and let  $D_0 = \Sigma \mathbb{Z}x_j$ , be the subgroup generated by  $x_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, d$ . From the construction it is clear that  $D/D_0$  is torsion. For if  $x \in D$ , such that  $\pi(x) \in D/D_0$  is an element of infinite order,  $\pi$  being the canonical map  $D \rightarrow D/D_0$ , then there exists a  $\lambda \in \text{hom}(D/D_0, \mathbb{R})$ , such that  $\lambda(\pi(x)) \neq 0$ . Consider  $\mu = \lambda \circ \pi$ . Then  $\mu \in \text{hom}(D, \mathbb{R})$  and so  $\mu = \Sigma c_j \eta_j$ . But then  $\mu(x_j) = 0$  for all  $j$  implies that  $c_j = 0$  for all  $j$ , since  $\eta_j(x_i) = \delta_{ij}$ . Thus  $\mu = 0$ , contradicting  $\lambda(\pi(x)) \neq 0$ . Thus  $D/D_0$  is torsion. This implies that  $\mathbb{Z}^d \subseteq \psi(D) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^d$ . Thus each  $\eta_j$  is such that  $\eta_j(D) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $D$  also satisfies (ii), it follows that  $\eta_j(D)$  is a lattice. Thus there exists an integer  $N$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}^d \subseteq \psi(D) \subseteq (1/N)\mathbb{Z}^d$ . So  $\psi(D) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^d$ , or  $\psi$  being injective, it follows that  $D \simeq \mathbb{Z}^d$ . This proves that  $G$  has property (i)  $\square$

#### COROLLARY 6

Let  $G \in \mathcal{L}$  be such that the maximal compact connected components of both  $G$  and  $G^*$  are tori. Then there exist closed subgroups  $G_\infty$  and  $G_f$  of  $G$  such that

- (i)  $G = G_\infty \cdot G_f$  (direct sum)  
 (ii)  $G_\infty \simeq \mathbb{R}^m \times T^n \times \mathbb{Z}^k$   
 (iii)  $G_f$  is totally disconnected and every element of  $G_f$  is compact.

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be the maximal compact connected subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $K \simeq T^n$ . Since subgroups which are isomorphic to tori are direct summands, it follows that there exists a closed subgroup  $G_f$  of  ${}^0G$ , such that  ${}^0G \simeq K \cdot G_f$  direct sum. Since  $K$  is the connected component of  ${}^0G$ , it follows that  $G_f$  is totally disconnected. The rest follows from Proposition 5.

*Remark-Definition 7.* From Lemma 1, it follows that  $G$  is totally disconnected if and only if every element of  $G^*$  is compact. Thus the following statements are equivalent and the class of groups satisfying them is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}$ .

- (i)  $G$  is totally disconnected and every element of  $G$  is compact. (ii) Both  $G$  and  $G^*$  are totally disconnected. (iii) Every element of both  $G$  and  $G^*$  is compact.

To analyze the structure of this class further we recall the notion of topological  $p$ -group (see [A] or [B] for details). For  $G \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $p$  a prime, define  $G_p = \{x \in G:$

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p^n \cdot x = 0$ ). (Note here we have used the additive notation for  $G$ . However for some groups such as  $T$  we use the multiplicative notation.) Clearly  $G_p$  is a subgroup (not in general closed) of  $G$  and is called the topological  $p$ -primary component of  $G$ . The group is said to be  $p$ -primary if  $G = G_p$ . For example it is known that  $T_p =$  the  $p$ -primary component of  $T$  is the subgroup  $= \{\exp 2\pi i(m/p^n): m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  or  $T_p \simeq \mathbb{Z}[1/p]/\mathbb{Z}$  as a subgroup. Let  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  be the field of  $p$ -adic numbers and  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ —the ring of  $p$ -adic integers. Then  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is compact and its character group is isomorphic to  $T_p$  (with discrete topology).

*Lemma 8* (i) An element  $x \in G_p$  if and only if the map  $x \rightarrow n \cdot x$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  into  $G$  extends as a continuous homomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  into  $G$ .

(ii) If  $G$  is totally disconnected then  $G_p$  is closed and is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module.

(iii) If  $G$  is  $p$ -primary, so is  $G^*$ . (For proofs and other details see [A] or [B]).

*Lemma 9* Let  $G \in \mathcal{C}$  i.e., both  $G$  and  $G^*$  are totally disconnected. Let  $K$  be a compact open subgroup of  $G$  and let  $G_p, K_p$  denote their  $p$ -primary components. Then  $K_p$  is a compact open subgroup of  $G_p$  and  $G \simeq \Pi G_p$  is the restricted direct product with respect to  $\{K_p\}$ . (For proof see [B]).

This leads us to introduce the class  $\mathcal{C}_p$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_p$  consist of all  $G \in \mathcal{C}$  which satisfy the following conditions:

- (i)  $G$  is  $p$ -primary,
- (ii)  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{hom}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p) < \infty$
- (iii) The subgroup  $= \{x \in G: p \cdot x = 0\}$  of elements of order  $p$  is finite.

We then have.

**PROPOSITION 10**

Let  $G \in \mathcal{C}_p$ . Then  $G$  is isomorphic to a group of the form  $G \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p^a \times \mathbb{Z}_p^b \times T_p^c \times B$ , where  $B$  is a finite  $p$ -group, where  $a, b, c$  are finite integers.

The proof will be carried out in a number of steps.

*Step 1.* Let  $\Gamma = \cap \{\ker \gamma: \gamma \in \text{hom}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p)\}$ . Then for some integer  $a, b, a + b = d = \dim \text{hom}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p), G/\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p^a \times \mathbb{Z}_p^b$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d$  be a basis for  $\text{hom}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Let  $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^d, \varphi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d)$ . Then the linear independence of  $\xi_j$ 's implies that  $\xi(G)$  spans  $\mathbb{Q}_p^d$ . Thus  $\xi(G)$  contains a basis of  $\mathbb{Q}_p^d$ , is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module and so  $\xi(G)$  is an open  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module or a lattice in  $\mathbb{Q}_p^d$ . From the known structure of such lattices (see [W2], Chapter 2), it follows that

$$\xi(G) = \sum_{j=1}^a \mathbb{Q}_p v_j + \sum_{a+1}^d \mathbb{Z}_p v_j \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p^a \times \mathbb{Z}_p^b.$$

*Step 2.* The subgroup  $\Gamma$  is discrete and  $\simeq T_p^c \times B$ , for some finite group  $B$ .

*Proof.* Using the earlier notation, let  $x_j \in G$  be such that  $\varphi(x_j) = v_j$ . Let  $M = \sum \mathbb{Z}_p x_j$ . Then  $M$  is a compact subgroup of  $G, \Gamma \cap M = \langle 0 \rangle$  and  $\Gamma \cdot M = \varphi^{-1}(\sum \mathbb{Z}_p v_j)$  and so  $\Gamma \cdot M$  is open in  $G$ . Let  $\Gamma_0$  be a compact open subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\Gamma_0 \cdot M$  is a compact

open subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $\Gamma_0^*$ —the dual group of  $\Gamma_0$  is a discrete  $p$ -primary group. Also  $\Gamma_0^*$  is reduced or has no divisible subgroup. For if it has, then being  $p$ -primary it has subgroups of the form  $T_p$  and  $\Gamma_0$  will then have quotients of the form  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  (for  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is the character group of  $T_p$ ). But such a quotient gives rise to a homomorphism of  $\Gamma_0$  into  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . This can be extended first to  $\Gamma_0 M$ , since  $\Gamma_0 \cap M = \langle 0 \rangle$ , and then to  $G$ , since  $\Gamma_0 M$  is open in  $G$ . But this contradicts that  $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma = \ker \text{hom}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Thus  $\Gamma_0^*$  is reduced and so has a cyclic direct summand from a general theorem on abelian groups (see [K], page 21, Theorem 9).

Clearly then  $\Gamma_0$  also has a cyclic direct summand  $\Gamma_0 = C \cdot \Gamma_1$ , and the argument can be repeated for  $\Gamma_1$ . But the number of cyclic direct summands arising in this way has to be finite since  $\{x \in \Gamma_0 : p \cdot x = 0\}$  is finite. Thus  $\Gamma_0$  itself is finite. This implies that  $\Gamma$  is discrete, since  $\Gamma_0$  is open. Clearly  $\Gamma_d$ —the maximal divisible subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , is a direct summand and is  $\simeq T_p^c$ ,  $c$  being finite integer, since elements of order  $p$  in  $\Gamma$  are finite. Thus  $\Gamma = \Gamma_d \cdot B$ . The subgroup  $B$  is then finite by a similar argument.

Finally note that if  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  is  $p$ -primary and  $L_0 \subset L$  is closed subgroup then  $L_0$  is a direct summand either when  $L/L_0 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^b$  for some finite  $b$  or when  $L_0$  is discrete and  $\simeq T_p^b$ . Here the second part comes out of duality. Applying this to  $G$ , we get  $G \simeq T_p^c \times \mathbb{Z}_p^b \cdot H$ , where  $H$  is a closed subgroup, with the property that  $B \subset H$ ,  $H/B \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p^a$  and  $B$  is finite. If  $L$  is the annihilator of  $B$  in  $H^*$ , then  $L \simeq (\mathbb{Q}_p^a)^* \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p^a$  and  $H^*/L \simeq B^* \simeq B$ . Thus  $L$  is open. An open divisible subgroup is a direct summand and thus  $H^* \simeq L \cdot B^*$  or  $H \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p^a \cdot B$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 11**

*If  $G \in \mathcal{C}_p$ , so does  $G^*$ .*

This is clear since character groups of the form  $\mathbb{Q}_p^a \times \mathbb{Z}_p^b \times T_p^c \times B_p$  are again of the same form. Also any group of the above form belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_p$ .

Putting together these results one can describe the structure of the class  $\mathcal{L}_0$  as follows. (See Theorem 1 in § 1 for definition of the class  $\mathcal{L}_0$ ).

**PROPOSITION 12**

*If  $G \in \mathcal{L}_0$ , so does its dual  $G^*$ . Moreover there exist closed subgroups  $G_\infty, G_p$  such that  $G = G_\infty \cdot \prod_p G_p$ —a restricted direct product with respect to  $\{K_p\}$ , where  $K_p$  is a compact open subgroup of  $G_p$ . Also the group  $G_\infty$  is isomorphic to a group of the form  $R^m \cdot T^n \cdot \mathbb{Z}^k$  and  $G_p \in \mathcal{C}_p$  for all  $p$ .*

**3. Symplectic spaces  $(X, \omega)$**

Let  $\omega(\cdot, \cdot)$  be a continuous alternating bicharacter of  $X$ ,  $X \in \mathcal{L}$ . The alternating property viz:  $\omega(x, x) = 1$  for all  $x \in X$  implies that  $\omega(x, y) = \omega(y, x)^{-1}$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ . If  $\omega_x : y \rightarrow \omega(x, y)$  is the character of  $X$  defined by  $x$ , then nondegeneracy is equivalent to the statement that  $x \rightarrow \omega_x$  is an isomorphism of  $X$  with its dual  $X^*$ . Thus, in what follows we consider symplectic spaces  $(X, \omega)$ ,  $X \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $\omega$  nondegenerate, and identify the dual  $X^*$  with  $X$  itself.

*Lemma 13. Let  $(X, \omega)$  be symplectic, i.e.,  $X \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $\omega$  is nondegenerate. Let  $Z, U$  be closed subgroups of  $X$  such that (i)  $Z \subseteq Z_* = \text{Annihilator of } Z \text{ in } X = \{y \in X : \omega(x, y) = 1\}$*

for all  $x \in Z$  and (ii)  $X = (Z_*) \cdot U$  direct sum. Then  $X_1 = Z \cdot U$  is closed; and if  $X_2 = (X_1)_*$ , then  $X = X_1 \cdot X_2$  direct sum. Note this gives a decomposition of  $X$  as orthogonal direct sum of symplectic spaces  $(X_j, \omega)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\omega$  is nondegenerate,  $X/Z_*$  is isomorphic to the character group of  $Z$ . In particular the map  $x, u \rightarrow \omega(x, u)$  is a dual pairing of  $Z$  and  $U$ . Let  $H = U_* \cap Z_*$ . Then  $H$  is closed. Let  $x_0 \in Z_*$  be arbitrary. Consider the character  $\omega_{x_0}|_U$ . Then there exists an element  $z_0 \in Z$ , such that  $w_{x_0} = \omega_{z_0}$  on  $U$ . Thus  $x_0 = z_0 \cdot h$ , for some  $h \in H$ . Since we are working within the class of second countable groups, it follows that  $Z_* = Z \cdot H$  direct sum and thus  $X = Z \cdot H \cdot U$  direct sum. This implies that  $X_1 = Z \cdot U$  is closed, and if we write  $X_2 = H$ , then  $X = X_1 \cdot X_2$  is an orthogonal symplectic decomposition.

**PROPOSITION 14.**

Let  $(X, \omega)$  be symplectic. Assume that the maximal compact connected subgroup of  $X$  is a torus. Then there exists an orthogonal symplectic decomposition  $X = X_1 \cdot X_2 \cdot X_3$  (i.e.,  $\omega(X_i, X_j) = 1$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $(X_j, \omega)$  are nondegenerate) such that  $X_1 \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ ,  $X_2 \simeq T^k \times \mathbb{Z}^k$  and  $X_3$  is totally disconnected or  $X_3 \in \mathcal{C}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be the maximal compact connected subgroup of  $X$ . Then  $K = ({}^0X \cap X^0)$  and  $K_* = ({}^0X \cdot X^0)$ . Also  $X/K_*$  is isomorphic to the character group of  $K$  and so  $\simeq \mathbb{Z}^k$ . Thus  $K_*$  is direct summand. Or there exists a closed subgroup  $D, \simeq \mathbb{Z}^k$  such that  $X \simeq K_* \cdot D$ . Thus by Lemma 13,  $X = X_1 \cdot Y$  with  $X_1 = K \cdot D$  and  $Y = (X_1)_*$ . Since  $(Y, \omega)$  is nondegenerate and  $Y$  has no compact connected subgroups, it follows that  $Y = Y^0 ({}^0Y)$  direct sum,  $Y^0 \simeq \mathbb{R}^m$  and  ${}^0Y$  is totally disconnected. Since the character group of  ${}^0Y$  is also totally disconnected it follows that  $\omega(Y^0, {}^0Y) = 1$ . Thus if  $X_2 = Y^0$  and  $X_3 = {}^0Y$ ,  $X = X_1 \cdot X_2 \cdot X_3$  is the required decomposition.

**PROPOSITION 15.**

Let  $(X, \omega)$  be nondegenerate. Assume that  $X$  is totally disconnected. Let  $X_p$  be the  $p$ -primary component of  $X$ . Then  $\omega(X_p, X_q) = 1$  where  $p \neq q$ , and  $(X_p, \omega)$  is nondegenerate. If  $K$  is any compact open subgroup of  $X$ , such that  $\omega(K, K) = 1$ , then  $X = \prod_p X_p$ —a restricted direct product with respect to  $\{K_p\}$  is also an orthogonal symplectic decomposition of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Note that if  $\chi$  is a continuous character of a  $p$ -primary group  $G$ , then  $\chi(G) \subset T_p$ . Thus if  $x \in X_p$  and  $y \in X_q, p \neq q$ , then  $\omega(x, y) \in T_p \cap T_q = \langle 1 \rangle$ . Thus  $\omega(X_p, X_q) = 1$ . Next let  $U$  be a neighborhood of the identity in  $T$ , such that  $U$  contains no subgroups other than the trivial one. Consider the open subset  $W = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : \omega(x, y) \in U\}$ . Since compact open subgroups form a basis of neighborhoods of the identity in  $X$ , there exist a compact open subgroup  $K$ , such that  $K \times K \subset W$ . Thus  $\omega(K, K) = 1$ . The rest follows easily (see Lemma 9).

**PROPOSITION 16.**

Let  $(X, \omega)$  be nondegenerate with  $X \in \mathcal{C}_p$ . Then there exists an orthogonal symplectic decomposition  $X = X_1 \cdot X_2 \cdot X_3$  (direct sum) such that  $X_1 \simeq T_p^n \times \mathbb{Z}_p^n, X_2 = \mathbb{Q}_p^m$  and  $X_3$  is finite.

*Proof.* Since  $X \in \mathcal{C}_p$ , let  $X = H_1 \cdot H_2 \cdot H_3 \cdot H_4$ , where  $H_1 \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p^m$ ,  $H_2 \cong T_p^n$ ,  $H_3 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^k$ ,  $H_4$  finite. Then  $H_2 H_4$  is the closed torsion subgroup  $X_0$  of  $X$  and  $H_2$  is the divisible part of  $X_0$ . Since  $X \simeq X^*$  and the divisible part of the torsion subgroup of  $X^* \simeq H_3^* \simeq T_p^k$ . Thus  $T_p^n \simeq T_p^k$  and so  $n = k$ . Next since  $H_1 H_2$  is divisible, while  $H_2 H_4$  is torsion, it follows that  $\omega(H_1 H_2, H_2 H_4) = 1$ . Thus  $(H_2)_* = H_1 \cdot H_2 \cdot D \cdot H_4$ , for some closed subgroup  $D$  of  $H_3$ . So  $X/(H_2)_* \simeq H_3/D$  and on the other hand  $\simeq H_2^* \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^n \simeq H_3$ . Thus  $H_3 \simeq H_3/D$ , forces  $D = \langle 1 \rangle$ , or  $(H_2)_* = H_1 H_2 H_4$ . If you now use Lemma 13, it follows that  $X = X_1 \cdot Y$  is an orthogonal symplectic decomposition with  $X_1 = H_2 H_3$  and  $Y = (X_1)_*$ . Clearly  $Y \simeq X/X_1 \simeq H_1 H_4$ . Thus  $Y_d$ —the maximal divisible subgroup of  $Y \simeq H_1 \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p^m$  and the torsion subgroup  $Y_0$  of  $Y$  is  $\simeq H_4$ . Clearly  $\omega(Y_d, Y_0) = 1$ , and  $Y = Y_d \cdot Y_0$ . Thus if we take  $X_2 = Y_d, X_3 = Y_0$ , then  $X = X_1 \cdot X_2 \cdot X_3$  is an orthogonal symplectic decomposition with the required properties.

As a final step in the proof of Theorem 1 we need the following.

*Lemma 17.* Let  $(X, \omega)$  be nondegenerate. In each of the following cases (i)  $X \simeq R^m$ , (ii)  $X \simeq T^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ , (iii)  $X \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p^m$ , (iv)  $X \simeq T_p^n \times \mathbb{Z}_p^n$  and (v)  $X$  is finite,  $(X, \omega)$  admits a polarization. Moreover the polarizing groups  $G, G^*$  are of some type as  $X$ .

*Proof.* In cases (i) and (iii),  $\omega(x, y) = \chi(\Omega(x, y))$  for some nondegenerate symplectic bilinear form on  $X (= R^m$  or  $\mathbb{Q}_p^m)$ , where  $\chi$  is a non-trivial character on  $R$  or  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Existence of symplectic bases for  $\Omega$  gives polarizations for  $X$ . The case (v) is a classical result of Frobenius. The cases (ii) and (iv) are proved similarly. We sketch the argument for (ii). Note  $X^0 \simeq T^n$ . Choose a closed subgroup  $S_1 \subset X^0, S_1 \simeq T$ . Then  $X/(S_1)_* \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus  $X = (S_1)_* \cdot D_1$ , for some closed subgroup  $D_1 \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ . By Lemma 13,  $X = X_1 \cdot X_2$  is an orthogonal symplectic decomposition,  $X_1 = S_1 \cdot D_1$ , the subgroups  $S_1, D_1$  defining a polarization for  $X_1$ . Note  $X_2$  is again of the same type and so induction works. The case (iv) is handled similarly.

*Remark.* Although the class of groups  $\mathcal{L}_0$ -includes most of the examples arising in applications, it is not known whether, for a general  $X \in \mathcal{L}$ , a nondegenerate symplectic structure always admits a polarization.

### Acknowledgements

This work was done while the author was visiting the Tata Institute in Fall 92 and the author would like to thank Professor M S Raghunathan for his hospitality and for many stimulating conversations.

### References

- [A] Armacost D L, *The structure of locally compact abelian groups* (Marcel Dekker, Inc) (1981)
- [B] Braconnier J, Sur les groupes topologiques localement compacts, *J. Math. Pure. Appl., N. S.* 27 1–85 (1948)
- [HR] Hewitt E and Ross K A, *Abstract harmonic analysis*, (Springer-Verlag) Vol. I (1963)
- [K] Kaplansky I, *Infinite abelian groups* (University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor) (1969)
- [M] Mumford D, (with Madhav Nori and Peter Norman), *Tata Lectures on Theta III*, Birkhauser (1992)
- [W1] Weil A, Sur certain groupes des opérateurs unitaires, *Acta Math.* 111 (1964) 143–211
- [W2] Weil A, *Basic number theory* (Springer-Verlag) (1974)