

Finite arithmetic subgroups of GL_n , III

YOSHIYUKI KITAOKA

Department of Mathematics, School of Science, Nagoya University, Japan

Dedicated to the memory of Professor K G Ramanathan

Abstract. Let G be an algebraic group in $GL_n(\mathbf{C})$ defined over \mathbf{Q} , and K an algebraic number field with the maximal order O_K . If the group $G(O_K)$ of rational points of G in $M_n(O_K)$ is a finite group and if it satisfies a certain condition, which is satisfied, for example, when K is a nilpotent extension of \mathbf{Q} and 2 is unramified, then $G(O_K)$ is generated by roots of unity in K and $G(\mathbf{Z})$.

Keywords. Algebraic group; algebraic number field; quadratic form; finite arithmetic subgroup.

Let K be a Galois extension of the rational number field \mathbf{Q} with Galois group $G(K/\mathbf{Q})$, and O_K the maximal order of K . Let G be a finite subgroup in $GL_n(O_K)$ such that G is stable under the action of $G(K/\mathbf{Q})$, that is $u(g) := (u(g_{ij})) \in G$ for every $g := (g_{ij}) \in G$ and $u \in G(K/\mathbf{Q})$. Our problem is whether G is of A -type in the sense of [2], that is, whether there is an element $h \in GL_n(\mathbf{Z})$ such that

$$\{hgh^{-1} | g \in G\} \subset \{\text{diag}(\varepsilon_1 A_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m A_m) | A_i \in GL_n(\mathbf{Z}), \varepsilon_i: \text{root of unity}\}.$$

Here $\text{diag}(\varepsilon_1 A_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m A_m)$ denotes the matrix in which $\varepsilon_1 A_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m A_m$ are diagonally arranged. If, hence $\{\pm 1\}$ are the only roots of unity in K , then G being of A -type means $G \subset GL_n(\mathbf{Z})$.

The lattice-theoretic meaning is: Let L be a free module of rank n over \mathbf{Z} , and let \mathcal{G} be a linear algebraic group in GL_n defined over \mathbf{Q} . Suppose that K is a Galois extension of \mathbf{Q} and that $\mathcal{G}(O_K)$ is a finite group, which is canonically regarded as a subgroup of automorphisms of $O_K L$. Then $\mathcal{G}(O_K)$ being of A -type implies that there exists a direct sum decomposition $L = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k L_i$ so that every $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}(O_K)$ and for roots of unity $\varepsilon_i \in K$ dependent on σ , we have

$$\varepsilon_i \sigma(L_i) = L_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

We know that the above question is affirmative if either K is totally real and $G(K/\mathbf{Q})$ is nilpotent or $G(K/\mathbf{Q})$ is abelian ([1], [2]). The aim of this paper is to show that this is affirmative if $G(K/\mathbf{Q})$ is nilpotent and the complex conjugation induces an element of the center of $G(K/\mathbf{Q})$. In § 2, we give miscellaneous results.

1. Main result

Lemma 1. Let K/\mathbf{Q} be a Galois extension with Galois group $\Gamma := G(K/\mathbf{Q})$. Denote by W the set of all roots of unity in K . We fix an element $a_\sigma \in W$ for $\sigma \in \Gamma$. If $a_{\mu\sigma} = a_\mu a_\sigma$

holds for every $\sigma, \mu \in \Gamma$, then there exists an element $a \in K$ such that $a_\mu = \mu(a^{-1})a$ for $\mu \in \Gamma$ and $a^w \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$, where w is the cardinality of W .

Proof. We can take a non-zero element $u \in K$ such that $a := \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} a_\sigma \sigma(u) \neq 0$. For $\mu \in \Gamma$, we have $\mu(a) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} \mu(a_\sigma) \mu \sigma(u) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} a_\mu^{-1} a_{\mu\sigma} \mu \sigma(u) = a_\mu^{-1} a$, and hence $a_\mu = \mu(a^{-1})a$. $a_\mu \in W$ implies $a_\mu^w = 1$ and therefore $\mu(a^w) = a^w$. This yields $a^w \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$. ■

Lemma 2. Let p be a prime number and let $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ be of finite order and suppose that $A \equiv 1_n \pmod p$. If $p \neq 2$, then we have $A = 1_n$. If $p = 2$, then $A = T \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} T^{-1}$ for some $T \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. This is due to Minkowski. But we give the proof for the convenience. For $B \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ with $B \equiv 1_n \pmod p$, we write $B = 1_n + p^r C$, where C is integral and $C \not\equiv 0 \pmod p$. Then for a natural number h , the following is clear:

$$B^h = 1_n + hp^r C + \sum_{k=2}^h \binom{h}{k} (p^r C)^k. \tag{1}$$

Suppose that the order of A is not a power of p . Then some power B of A is of order h , where h is a prime different from p . Eqn. (1) implies $1_n \equiv 1_n + hp^r C \pmod{p^{2r}}$, which contradicts $C \not\equiv 0 \pmod p$. Thus the order of A is a power of p .

Suppose $p \neq 2$ and $A \neq 1_n$. Let B be a power of A whose order is p . Applying (1) with $h = p$, $1_n \equiv 1_n + p^{r+1} C \pmod{p^{2r+1}}$ follows from $r \geq 1$ and $\binom{p}{k} \equiv 0 \pmod p$ for $k \neq 0, p$. This contradicts $C \not\equiv 0 \pmod p$, and so we have $A = 1_n$ if $p \neq 2$.

Let us consider the case of $p = 2$.

Suppose that the order of $A = 1$ or 2 . Let L be a \mathbb{Z} -module with basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and define a linear mapping u by $(u(e_1), \dots, u(e_n)) = (e_1, \dots, e_n)A$. Then $A \equiv 1_n \pmod 2$ and $A^2 = 1_n$ imply $u(x) \equiv x \pmod{2L}$ and $u^2 = id$. Hence $x = (x + u(x))/2 + (x - u(x))/2$ implies $L = \{x \in L \mid u(x) = x\} \oplus \{x \in L \mid u(x) = -x\}$. Therefore this completes the proof if $A^2 = 1_n$.

Lastly, supposing that the order of $A = 2^k$ ($k \geq 2$), we will show the contradiction. Applying (1) for $B = A$, we have $A^2 \equiv 1_n \pmod 4$. Hence in the expression of $B := A^{2^{k-1}} = 1_n + 2^r C$, we have $r \geq 2$. Then we have $1_n = B^2 = 1_n + 2^{r+1} C + 2^{2r} C^2$, which yields the contradiction $C \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{r-1}}$. Thus we have completed the proof. ■

Lemma 3. Let K/\mathbb{Q} be a Galois extension with Galois group $\Gamma := G(K/\mathbb{Q})$ and O_K the maximal order of K . Let G be a finite subgroup of $GL_n(O_K)$ which is stable under the action of Γ . Let Γ' be the commutator subgroup of Γ and K' be the maximal abelian subfield of K corresponding to Γ' . Suppose the following conditions:

- (I) if F is a proper subfield of K and F/\mathbb{Q} is a Galois extension, then $G \cap GL_n(F) \subset GL_n(K')$.
- (II) At least two rational primes ramify in K .

Then G is of A -type.

Proof. First we give a remark on elements in $G' := G \cap GL_n(K')$. Putting $P := \sum_{g \in G'} g \bar{g}$, ${}^t \bar{P} = P$ is a positive definite Hermitian matrix. Since K' is an abelian extension and $\mu(G') = G'$ for $\mu \in G(K'/\mathbb{Q})$, we have $\mu(P) = \sum_{g \in G'} \mu(g) \overline{\mu(g)} = P$ for $\mu \in G(K'/\mathbb{Q})$. Hence P is an integral positive definite symmetric matrix. Put $L := \mathbb{Z}^n$ (column vectors) and

for $x, y \in O_K$, $L = (O_K)^n$ we introduce an inner product by $(x, y) := {}^t x P \bar{y}$. Note that $x, y \in L$ implies $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $L = \perp_{i=1}^m L_i$ be an orthogonal decomposition of L to indecomposable submodules. Then $O_K \cdot L_i$ is also indecomposable with respect to the inner product by Lemma on p. 142 in [2]. Every $g \in G'$ satisfies ${}^t g P \bar{g} = P$ and hence $x \mapsto gx$ induces an isometry σ of $O_K \cdot L$. From theorems on p. 140 and p. 141 it follows that there exist roots of unity ε_i in K' such that $\varepsilon_i \sigma(L_i) = L_i$.

Take any element $g \in G$. We will show $g \in GL_n(K')$.

Let q be a rational prime which ramifies in K . We take a rational prime p different from q which also ramifies in K . Let \tilde{p}, \tilde{q} be prime ideals of K on p, q respectively. First, let us show that for every element μ in the inertia group $T(\tilde{q})$, there is an integral matrix $T \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ dependent only on the above decomposition $L = \perp L_i$ of L such that

$$g_1 := \mu(g)g^{-1} = TD_\mu T^{-1}$$

for a diagonal matrix D_μ whose diagonal elements are roots of unity in K' . $g_1 \equiv 1_n \pmod{\tilde{q}}$ follows from the definition and hence $\sigma(g_1) = g_1$ for $\sigma \in T(\tilde{p})$ by Lemma 7.5.2 in [3]. Considering other prime ideals lying on p, g_1 is fixed by the subgroup Γ_1 generated by inertia subgroups of prime ideals lying on p . Since Γ_1 is normal in Γ and $\Gamma_1 \neq \{1\}$, the condition (I) yields $g_1 \in GL_n(K')$. By the remark at the beginning of the proof, there exist roots of unity ε_i such that $\varepsilon_i \eta(L_i) = L_i$, where $\eta(x) := g_1 x$. Put $\mu := \varepsilon_i \eta$. Then $\mu(L_i) = L_i$ implies $\eta(O_K \cdot L_i) = O_K \cdot L_i$ and then $g_1 \equiv 1_n \pmod{\tilde{q}}$ implies $\eta(x) \equiv x \pmod{\tilde{q}L_i}$ for $x \in L_i$ and so $\mu(x) \equiv \varepsilon_i x \pmod{\tilde{q}L_i}$ for $x \in L_i$. Comparing the coordinates, ε_i is congruent to some rational integer a modulo \tilde{q} . Since ε_i is a unit, a and q are relatively prime, and there is a rational integer b such that $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. Hence $\eta(x) \equiv x \pmod{\tilde{q}L}$ for $x \in L$ implies $\mu(x) \equiv ab\mu(x) \equiv ab\varepsilon_i x \equiv a^2 bx \equiv ax \pmod{\tilde{q}L_i}$ for $x \in L_i$, and hence $\mu(x) \equiv ax \pmod{qL_i}$ for $x \in L_i$. Let $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ be a basis of L_i and define a matrix $B \in GL_m(\mathbb{Z})$ by $(\mu(f_1), \dots, \mu(f_m)) = (f_1, \dots, f_m)B$. Then $B \equiv a1_m \pmod{q}$ and the order k of μ and hence B is finite. Put $S = \sum_{r \pmod{k}} {}^t B^r B^r$, and take an integer s such that $S_0 := q^{-s} S$ is integral and is not congruent to 0 mod q . If q is odd, then there is a \mathbb{Z} -vector x such that ${}^t x S_0 x \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$. Hence we have ${}^t x S_0 x = (Bx) S_0 (Bx) \equiv a^2 {}^t x S_0 x \pmod{q}$ and hence $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$, which yields $a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{q}$. This is true even for $q = 2$, since $(a, q) = 1$. Thus we have $\mu(x) \equiv \pm x \pmod{qL_i}$ for $x \in L_i$. Since $\mu = \varepsilon_i \eta$ is of finite order, $\mu = \pm id$ on L_i follows from Lemma 2 and the indecomposability of L_i . If $\mu = -id$, then taking $-\varepsilon_i$ instead of ε_i , we may assume that $\varepsilon_i \eta$ is id on L_i . Taking the union of bases of L_i 's as a basis of L , and denoting the base change matrix by $T \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, we can conclude that $g_1 = \mu(g)g^{-1} = TD_\mu T^{-1}$ for a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are roots of unity in K . Thus (1) has been proved.

If $\mu_i \in G(K/\mathbb{Q})$ ($i = 1, 2$) satisfies $\mu_i(g)g^{-1} = TD_{\mu_i} T^{-1}$, then we have $\mu_1 \mu_2(g)g^{-1} = \mu_1(TD_{\mu_2} T^{-1} g)g^{-1} = T\mu_1(D_{\mu_2})T^{-1}(TD_{\mu_1} T^{-1}) = T\mu_1(D_{\mu_2})D_{\mu_1} T^{-1}$ and $\mu_1(D_{\mu_2})D_{\mu_1}$ is also a diagonal matrix whose diagonal elements are roots of unity in K . Noting that $G(K/\mathbb{Q})$ is generated by inertia subgroups of all ramified prime ideals, we have $\mu(g)g^{-1} = TD_\mu T^{-1}$ for $\mu \in G(K/\mathbb{Q})$, where D_μ is a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are roots of unity in K . Since $D_{\mu_1 \mu_2} = \mu_1(D_{\mu_2})D_{\mu_1}$ for $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in G(K/\mathbb{Q})$, Lemma 1 implies the existence of diagonal matrix $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n) \in M_n(K)$ such that $D_\mu = \mu(D^{-1})D$ and $d_i^w \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$, where w is the order of the group of roots of unity in K . Hence $\mu(g)g^{-1} = TD_\mu T^{-1} = T\mu(D^{-1})DT^{-1}$ yields $\mu(DT^{-1}g) = DT^{-1}g$ for $\mu \in G(K/\mathbb{Q})$. This means $h := DT^{-1}g \in M_n(\mathbb{Q})$. Taking a rational diagonal matrix h' so that the numbers on any row of $h'h$ are relatively prime integers, then $T^{-1}g = (h'D)^{-1} h'h \in M_n(O_K)$ implies that the diagonal matrix $\tilde{D} := (h'D)^{-1}$ is in $M_n(O_K)$. Moreover

the fact that $\det(T^{-1}g)(= \det(\tilde{D})\det(h'h))$ is in O_K^\times yields that diagonal entries \tilde{d}_i of \tilde{D} are also in O_K^\times by $h'h \in M_n(\mathbf{Z})$. Thus we have $\tilde{d}_i \in O_K^\times$ and $\tilde{d}_i^m \in \mathbf{Q}^\times$ by $d_i^m \in \mathbf{Q}^\times$ and hence \tilde{d}_i is a root of unity. Thus we have proved that $T^{-1}g = \tilde{D}h'h = (h'D)^{-1}h'h$ and hence g is in $GL_n(K')$. Thus G is in $GL_n(O_{K'})$ and hence it is of A -type by Theorem on p. 141 in [2]. ■

Theorem 1. *Let K be an algebraic number field such that (i) K/\mathbf{Q} is a nilpotent extension, and (ii) if H is a subfield of K such that H is a Galois extension over \mathbf{Q} and 2 is the only rational prime that ramifies in H , then the complex conjugation induces an element of the center of $G(H/\mathbf{Q})$. Let G be a finite subgroup of $GL_n(O_K)$ which is stable under the action of $G(K/\mathbf{Q})$. Then G is of A -type.*

Proof. We use induction on $[K:\mathbf{Q}]$. When $[K:\mathbf{Q}] = 1$, we have nothing to do. We note that for a proper subfield F of K which is a Galois extension of \mathbf{Q} , the conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied. Suppose that there are at least two rational primes which ramify in K . Lemma 3 completes the proof, since the condition (I) in Lemma 3 is satisfied by the induction assumption. Hence we may suppose that there is only one rational prime p that ramifies in K . To complete the proof, we have only to claim that K is abelian by induction on $[K:\mathbf{Q}]$, assuming (i) and (ii), since the theorem is proved for every abelian field K .

Let Z be the center of $G(K/\mathbf{Q})$. Then we have $Z \neq \{1\}$ and $G(K/\mathbf{Q})/Z$ is nilpotent, and the subfield of K corresponding to Z satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). By the induction assumption, $G(K/\mathbf{Q})/Z$ is abelian and the complex conjugation is trivial by (ii) if $p = 2$. Thus $G(K/\mathbf{Q})/Z$ is cyclic and hence $G(K/\mathbf{Q})$ is abelian. ■

2. Miscellaneous results

Lemma 4. *Let n be a natural number. Then there exists a finite set S_n of algebraic numbers with $S_n \cap \mathbf{Q} = \emptyset$ which satisfies the following:*

Let K be a Galois extension of degree n of \mathbf{Q} and suppose that $S_n \cap K = \emptyset$ and the complex conjugation is in the center of $G(K/\mathbf{Q})$. Then the maximal order O_K is a positive lattice of E -type with respect to the quadratic form $\text{tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}|x|^2$ in the sense of [3].

Proof. Denote by S_n the set of non-rational algebraic integers x satisfying that $[\mathbf{Q}(x):\mathbf{Q}] \leq n$ and the square of the absolute value of every conjugate of x over \mathbf{Q} is less than $(4/3)^{n-2} + 1/4$. Then S_n is a finite set of algebraic integers and $S_n \cap \mathbf{Q} = \emptyset$. Let K be the field in the statement of Lemma. Then $\text{tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}|x|^2 \geq 0$ for $x \in O_K$ and the equality occurs if and only if $x = 0$. For $x \in O_K$ ($x \neq 0$), we have $\text{tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}|x|^2 \geq n(\prod_{\sigma \in G(K/\mathbf{Q})} |\sigma(x)|^2)^{1/n} = n|N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(x)|^{2/n} \geq n$ and $\text{tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}|1|^2 = n$ is clear. If $x \in O_K$ is not in \mathbf{Z} , then $S_n \cap K = \emptyset$ implies that the absolute value of some conjugate of x^2 is larger than $(4/3)^{n-2} + 1/4$ and hence $\text{tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}|x|^2 > (4/3)^{n-2} + 1/4$. Let $v_1 = 1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ be a basis of O_K over \mathbf{Z} such that the matrix $(\text{tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}} v_i v_j)$ is in the Siegel domain $S_{4/3, 1/2}$, that is $(\text{tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}} v_i v_j) = A[N]$, where $A = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ with $a_i/a_{i+1} \leq 4/3$ and $N = (n_{ij})$ satisfying $n_{ij} = 0$ if $i > j$, $= 1$ if $i = j$ and $|n_{ij}| \leq 1/2$ if $i < j$. Then we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \overline{\text{tr} v_2} \\ \text{tr} \overline{v_2} & \text{tr}|v_2|^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & n_{12} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and hence $a_1 = 1, \overline{\text{tr } v_2} = a_1 n_{12} = n_{12}, \text{tr}|v_2|^2 = n_{12}^2 + a_2 \leq 1/4 + a_2$. $v_2 \notin \mathbf{Q}$ implies $\text{tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}|v_2|^2 > (4/3)^{n-2} + 1/4$ and hence $a_2 > (4/3)^{n-2}$. Thus O_K is of E -type by Exercise 4 in §4 of Chapter 7 in [3]. ■

Remark. By Theorem 7.1.1 in [3], we can assume $S_n = \phi$ if $n \leq 43$.

Theorem 2. *Let n be a natural number. Then there exists a finite set S_n of non-rational algebraic integers which satisfies the following:*

Let K be a Galois extension of degree n over \mathbf{Q} with $S_n \cap K = \phi$ and assume that the complex conjugation is in the center of $G(K/\mathbf{Q})$. If G is a finite group of $GL_n(O_K)$ which is stable under the action of $G(K/\mathbf{Q})$, then G is of A -type.

Proof. Let S_n be the set given in Lemma 4. Then O_K is a positive lattice of E -type by Lemma 4 and hence Theorem on p. 141 in [2] completes the proof. ■

Remark. The set S_n constructed in Lemma 1 contains r -th roots of unity for $r \leq n$. Therefore in Theorem 2 the conclusion “ G is of A -type” is replaced by “ $G \subset GL_n(\mathbf{Z})$ ”.

Lemma 5. *Let K be a Galois extension of \mathbf{Q} . Let G be a subgroup of $GL_n(O_K)$ stable under the action of $G(K/\mathbf{Q})$. For a prime ideal I of K , we put $V_i(I, K/\mathbf{Q}) := \{u \in G(K/\mathbf{Q}) | u(x) \equiv x \pmod{I^{i+1}} \text{ for every } x \in O_K\}$ and $G(I^i) := \{g \in G | g \equiv 1_n \pmod{I^i}\}$. Suppose $G(I^r) \neq \{1_n\}$ and $G(I^{r+1}) = \{1_n\}$ for a natural number $r \geq 1$. If t, m are integers satisfying $t \geq 0, m \geq 1$ and $t + m \geq r + 1$, then $V_t(I, K/\mathbf{Q})$ acts trivially on $G(I^m)$, and $G(I^s)$ is abelian if $2s \geq r + 1$.*

Proof. Take an integer $\pi \in O_K$ so that πI^{-1} is an integral ideal relatively prime to I . Let a, b be non-negative rational integers. We claim

$$u(\pi)^a \equiv \pi^a \pmod{I^{a+b}} \text{ if } u \in V_b(I, K/\mathbf{Q}).$$

When $a = 1$, this is contained in the definition. Suppose $u(\pi)^a \equiv \pi^a \pmod{I^{a+b}}$ for $a \geq 1$. Write $u(\pi) = \pi + x, u(\pi)^a = \pi^a + y$ where $x \in I^{b+1}, y \in I^{a+b}$. Then $u(\pi)^{a+1} = (\pi + x)(\pi^a + y) = \pi^{a+1} + x\pi^a + y\pi + xy \equiv \pi^{a+1} \pmod{I^{a+b+1}}$ is clear. Thus we have completed the proof of the above claim.

Let t, m, r be rational integers such that $t \geq 0, m \geq 1$ and $t + m \geq r + 1$. For $g \in G(I^m)$, we write $g = 1_n + \pi^m A$ for $A \in M_n(O_I)$, where O_I denotes the I -adic completion of O_K . If $u \in V_t(I, K/\mathbf{Q})$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(g) &= 1_n + u(\pi)^m u(A) \\ &= 1_n + (\pi^m \pmod{I^{m+t}})(A \pmod{I^{t+1}}) \\ &\equiv 1_n + \pi^m A \pmod{I^{t+m}} \\ &\equiv g \pmod{I^{t+m}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $u(g)g^{-1} \in G(I^{t+m}) \subset G(I^{r+1}) = \{1_n\}$ and hence $u(g) = g$. Thus $V_t(I, K/\mathbf{Q})$ acts trivially on $G(I^m)$.

Let s be a rational integer such that $2s \geq r + 1$, and $g, h \in G(I^s)$. Writing $g = 1_n + \pi^s A$,

$h = 1_n + \pi^s B (A, B \in M_n(O_I))$, we have $gh - hg = \pi^{2s}(AB - BA) \equiv 0 \pmod{I^{r+1}}$. Hence $ghg^{-1}h^{-1} \equiv 1_n \pmod{I^{r+1}}$ which means $ghg^{-1}h^{-1} \in G(I^{r+1}) = \{1_n\}$. Thus $G(I^s)$ is abelian. ■

Theorem 3. *Let K be a totally real Galois extension of \mathbb{Q} and suppose that there is a rational prime p which is totally ramified in K . If G is a finite subgroup of $GL_n(O_K)$ stable under the action of $G(K/\mathbb{Q})$, then G is in $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. First we note that the inertia subgroup $V_0(I, K/\mathbb{Q})$ for the prime ideal I of K over p is equal to $G(K/\mathbb{Q})$, and $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(I) = p$ is clear. If $p = 2$, then the order of the inertia group is a power of 2 and hence K is nilpotent. Therefore Theorem 3 follows from Theorem 1.

Suppose $p \neq 2$. Define an integer $r \geq 0$ by the condition $G(I^r) \neq \{1_n\}$, $G(I^{r+1}) = \{1_n\}$. Suppose $r \geq 1$; then by Lemma 5, $V_1(1, K/\mathbb{Q})$ acts trivially on $G(I^r)$. Hence $G(I^r) \subset GL_n(O_F)$, where F is the subfield of K corresponding to $V_1(I, K/\mathbb{Q})$, which is normal in $V_0(I, K/\mathbb{Q})$. Since $V_0(I, K/\mathbb{Q})/V_1(I, K/\mathbb{Q})$ is cyclic, F is abelian over \mathbb{Q} . Since p is totally ramified in K , p is also totally ramified in F and $G(F/\mathbb{Q}) = V_0(I, K/\mathbb{Q})/V_1(I, K/\mathbb{Q})$ acts on $G(I^r) (\subset GL_n(O_F))$. By Theorem 1, we have $G(I^r) \subset G(\mathbb{Z})$ and hence by Lemma 2 we have $G(I^r) = \{1_n\}$, which is the contradiction. Thus we have $r = 0$ and hence $G(I) = \{1_n\}$. For $g \in G$ and $u \in G(K/\mathbb{Q}) = V_0(I, K/\mathbb{Q})$, we have $u(g) \equiv g \pmod{I}$ and hence $u(g)g^{-1} \in G(I) = \{1_n\}$. Thus $G(K/\mathbb{Q})$ acts trivially on G . ■

References

- [1] Bartels H -J and Kitaoka Y, Endliche arithmetische Untergruppen der GL_n , *Reine Angew. Math.* 313 (1980), 151–156
- [2] Kitaoka Y, Finite arithmetic subgroups of GL_n , II, *Nagoya Math. J.* 77 (1980) 137–143
- [3] Kitaoka Y, *Arithmetic of quadratic forms*, (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) 1993