

The characters of supercuspidal representations as weighted orbital integrals

JAMES ARTHUR*

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada M5S 1A1

Abstract. Weighted orbital integrals are the terms which occur on the geometric side of the trace formula. We shall investigate these distributions on a p -adic group. We shall evaluate the weighted orbital integral of a supercuspidal matrix coefficient as a multiple of the corresponding character.

Keywords. Supercuspidal representation; weighted orbital integrals.

1. Introduction

Let G be a reductive algebraic group over a non-Archimedean local field F of characteristic 0. Suppose that π is a (smooth) supercuspidal representation of $G(F)$ on a complex vector space V . Let $f(x)$ be a finite sum of matrix coefficients

$$\xi(\pi(x)^{-1}v), \quad x \in G(F), \quad v \in V, \quad \xi \in V^*.$$

Then f is a locally constant function on $G(F)$ which is compactly supported modulo the split component A_G of the centre of G . If Θ_π is the character of π , set

$$\Theta_\pi(f) = \int_{A_G(F) \backslash G(F)} f(x) \Theta_\pi(x) dx.$$

We are going to study the weighted orbital integrals of f .

Suppose that M is a Levi component of some parabolic subgroup of G which is defined over F . The set $\mathcal{P}(M)$ of all parabolic subgroups over F with Levi component M is parametrized by the chambers in a real vector space \mathfrak{a}_M . The weight factor for orbital integrals is a certain function $v_M(x)$ on $M(F) \backslash G(F)$ which arises in the theory of automorphic forms; it is defined as the volume of the convex hull in $\mathfrak{a}_M / \mathfrak{a}_G$ of a set of points indexed by $\mathcal{P}(M)$. Suppose that γ is a G -regular element in $M(F)$ which is M -elliptic over F . This means that the centralizer of γ in $M(F)$ is compact modulo $A_M(F)$. The object of this paper is to prove the following result.

Theorem: *The weighted orbital integral*

$$\int_{A_M(F) \backslash G(F)} f(x^{-1}\gamma x) v_M(x) dx$$

* Supported in part by NSERC Operating Grant A3483.

equals

$$(-1)^{\dim(A_M/A_G)} \Theta_\pi(f) \Theta_\pi(\gamma).$$

Observe that the second expression depends on a choice of invariant measure on $A_G(F) \backslash G(F)$; the first expression depends on choices of invariant measures on $\mathfrak{a}_M/\mathfrak{a}_G$ and $A_M(F) \backslash G(F)$. There is a compatibility requirement between the implicit measure on $A_M(F)/A_G(F)$ and the measure on $\mathfrak{a}_M/\mathfrak{a}_G$.

The theorem is a p -adic version of a similar result for real groups ([1], Theorem 9.1). It tells us that the character values of π on a non-compact torus can be recovered as the weighted orbital integrals of a matrix coefficient of π . There is reason to believe that the result is part of a larger theory. Kazhdan has suggested the possibility of proving a local trace formula for G . The idea would be to try to compute the trace of the left-right convolution operator of a pair of functions, acting on the discrete spectrum of $L^2(G(F))$. Our theorem could be regarded as a special case, in which one of the two functions is the matrix coefficient f . A different special case of this (as yet undiscovered) trace formula is provided by work of Waldspurger [6]. We hope to return to the question on another occasion.

For $G = SL(2)$, the theorem was first established by Kazhdan (unpublished). I am indebted to him for enlightening conversations.

2. Positive orthogonal sets

Let us recall the precise definition of $v_M(x)$. It depends on a special maximal compact subgroup K of $G(F)$ which is in good position relative to M . (This means that the vertex of K in the building of G lies in the apartment of a maximal split torus of M .) For any parabolic subgroup $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, with Levi decomposition $P = MN_P$, and any point $x \in G(F)$, we can write

$$x = n_P(x) m_P(x) k_P(x), \tag{1}$$

with $n_P(x) \in N_P(F)$, $m_P(x) \in M(F)$ and $k_P(x) \in K$. Set

$$H_P(x) = H_M(m_P(x)),$$

where H_M is the usual map from $M(F)$ to the real vector space

$$\mathfrak{a}_M = \text{Hom}(X(M)_F, \mathbb{R}),$$

given by

$$\exp(\langle H_M(m), \chi \rangle) = |\chi(m)|, \quad m \in M(F), \quad \chi \in X(M)_F.$$

There is a canonical map from \mathfrak{a}_M onto \mathfrak{a}_G , whose kernel we denote by \mathfrak{a}_M^G . Since $X(M)_F$ embeds into the character group $X(A_M)$ of A_M , there is also a compatible embedding of \mathfrak{a}_G into \mathfrak{a}_M , and therefore a canonical decomposition

$$\mathfrak{a}_M = \mathfrak{a}_M^G \oplus \mathfrak{a}_G.$$

The function $v_M(x)$ equals the volume of the convex hull of the projection of

$$\{-H_P(x): P \in \mathcal{P}(M)\}$$

onto $\mathfrak{a}_M/\mathfrak{a}_G \cong \mathfrak{a}_M^G$.

It is convenient to choose a suitable Euclidean metric $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathfrak{a}_M , and to use this to normalize the Haar measures on \mathfrak{a}_M , \mathfrak{a}_G and $\mathfrak{a}_M/\mathfrak{a}_G$. These measures then determine Haar measures on $A_M(F)$, $A_G(F)$ and $A_M(F)/A_G(F)$. Indeed,

$$\kappa_M = A_M(F) \cap K$$

is the maximal (open) compact subgroup of $A_M(F)$, and H_M maps $A_M(F)/\kappa_M$ injectively onto a lattice in \mathfrak{a}_M . We take the Haar measure on $A_M(F)$ such that

$$\text{vol}(\kappa_M) = \text{vol}(\mathfrak{a}_M/H_M(A_M(F))).$$

The cases of $A_G(F)$ and $A_M(F)/A_G(F)$ are similar, and we have

$$\text{vol}(\kappa_M/\kappa_G) = \text{vol}(\mathfrak{a}_M/H_M(A_M(F)) + \mathfrak{a}_G). \quad (2)$$

The points $\{-H_P(x)\}$ form a positive orthogonal set. In general, we say that a set

$$\mathcal{Y} = \{Y_P: P \in \mathcal{P}(M)\}$$

of points in \mathfrak{a}_M is a positive orthogonal set for M if it has the following property. For any pair P and P' of adjacent groups in $\mathcal{P}(M)$, whose chambers in \mathfrak{a}_M share the wall determined by a simple root α in $\Delta_P \cap (-\Delta_{P'})$ of (P, A_M) , we have

$$Y_P - Y_{P'} = r\alpha^\vee,$$

for a non-negative number r . As usual, Δ_P is the set of simple roots of (P, A_M) , and $\alpha^\vee \in \mathfrak{a}_M$ is the ‘‘co-root’’ associated to α . Suppose that \mathcal{Y} has this property. Then the volume in $\mathfrak{a}_M/\mathfrak{a}_G$ of the convex hull of $\{Y_P\}$ can be expressed analytically as

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(M)} \exp[\lambda(Y_P)] \theta_P(\lambda)^{-1}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\theta_P(\lambda) = \text{vol}(\mathfrak{a}_M^G/\mathbb{Z}(\Delta_P^\vee))^{-1} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_P} \lambda(\alpha^\vee).$$

(See [3], p. 36.) As in [4], we shall write $d(\mathcal{Y})$ for the smallest of the numbers

$$\{\alpha(Y_P): \alpha \in \Delta_P, P \in \mathcal{P}(M)\}.$$

Fix such a \mathcal{Y} , and let

$$Q = M_Q N_Q, \quad M_Q \supset M,$$

be an element in the set $\mathcal{F}(M)$ of parabolic subgroups of G over F which contain M .

Then

$$\{Y_{P \cap M_Q} = Y_P : P \in \mathcal{P}(M), P \subset Q\} \quad (4)$$

is a positive orthogonal set for M , but relative to M_Q instead of G . As above, \mathfrak{a}_M is the direct sum of the spaces $\mathfrak{a}_M^{M_Q} = \mathfrak{a}_M^Q$ and $\mathfrak{a}_{M_Q} = \mathfrak{a}_Q$. We shall write $S_M^Q(\mathcal{Y})$ for the convex hull of (4) in \mathfrak{a}_M , taken modulo \mathfrak{a}_Q , and we shall let $\sigma_M^Q(\cdot, \mathcal{Y})$ stand for the characteristic function of $S_M^Q(\mathcal{Y})$. The vectors (4) all project onto the same point Y_Q in \mathfrak{a}_Q . Moreover, if we fix the Levi component $L = M_Q$ instead of Q , the set

$$\mathcal{Y}_L = \{Y_Q : Q \in \mathcal{P}(L)\}$$

is a positive orthogonal set for L . For simplicity, we shall usually denote $S_L^G(\mathcal{Y}_L)$ and $\sigma_L^G(\cdot, \mathcal{Y}_L)$ by $S_L(\mathcal{Y})$ and $\sigma_L(\cdot, \mathcal{Y})$ respectively.

The following geometric property is a restatement of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 of [4].

Lemma 1: There is a positive constant δ_M with the following property. If \mathcal{Y} is any positive orthogonal set for M and $L \supset M$ is as above, and if

$$H_M = H_M^L \oplus H_L, \quad H_M^L \in \mathfrak{a}_M^L, \quad H_L \in \mathfrak{a}_L,$$

is a point in \mathfrak{a}_M such that

$$\|H_M^L\| \leq \delta_M d(\mathcal{Y}),$$

then H_M belongs to $S_M(\mathcal{Y})$ if and only if H_L belongs to $S_L(\mathcal{Y}_L)$. \square

Another example of a positive orthogonal set is provided by the Weyl orbit of a point. Let $M_0 \subset M$ be a fixed Levi component of some minimal parabolic subgroup over F , and let W_0 be the Weyl group of (G, A_{M_0}) . Our metric on \mathfrak{a}_M is understood to be the restriction of a Euclidean metric $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathfrak{a}_{M_0} which is invariant under W_0 . Choose an element $P_0 \in \mathcal{P}(M_0)$, and let T_{P_0} be a point in \mathfrak{a}_{M_0} which lies in the chamber associated to P_0 . The Weyl group W_0 acts simply transitively on $\mathcal{P}(M_0)$, and

$$\mathcal{F} = \{T_{P_0} = sT_{P_0} : P_0 = sP_0, s \in W_0\} \quad (5)$$

is a positive orthogonal set for M_0 . By the discussion above (with (M, L) replaced by (M_0, M)), we obtain a positive orthogonal set

$$\mathcal{F}_M = \{T_P : P \in \mathcal{P}(M)\}$$

for M , and it is not hard to show that

$$d(\mathcal{F}_M) \geq d(\mathcal{F}),$$

provided that M is not equal to G . We are of course free to vary the original point T_{P_0} . In future we shall want to choose T_{P_0} so that the number $d(\mathcal{F})$ is large, and of an order of magnitude comparable to the norm

$$\|\mathcal{F}\| = \|T_{P_0}\|.$$

We shall actually work with a combination of the two examples. For a given

$x \in G(F)$, set

$$\mathcal{Y}(x, \mathcal{T}) = \{Y_P(x, \mathcal{T}) = T_P - H_{\bar{P}}(x); P \in \mathcal{P}(M)\}, \quad (6)$$

where \bar{P} denotes the parabolic subgroup opposite to P . Because it is a difference of positive orthogonal sets, rather than a sum, $\mathcal{Y}(x, \mathcal{T})$ need not be a positive orthogonal set. However, if $d(\mathcal{T})$ is large with respect to x , the positivity of \mathcal{T} dominates, and $\mathcal{Y}(x, \mathcal{T})$ becomes a positive orthogonal set. We shall assume this in what follows.

3. The main geometric lemma

We shall now begin the proof of the theorem. Suppose that \mathcal{T} is defined by (5). Let $u(x, \mathcal{T})$ denote the characteristic function in $A_G(F) \backslash G(F)$ of the set of points

$$x = k_1 h k_2, \quad k_1, k_2 \in K, \quad h \in A_G(F) \backslash A_{M_0}(F),$$

such that the projection onto $\mathfrak{a}_{M_0}^G$ of $H_{M_0}(h)$ lies in the convex hull $S_{M_0}(\mathcal{T})$. Since K corresponds to a special vertex,

$$G(F) = K A_{M_0}(F) K.$$

We can consequently force $u(x, \mathcal{T})$ to be identically equal to 1 on any given compact subset of $A_G(F) \backslash G(F)$ simply by choosing \mathcal{T} so that $d(\mathcal{T})$ is sufficiently large.

Our starting point for the study of the matrix coefficient f is a simple consequence of results of Harish-Chandra.

Lemma 2: Suppose that f and γ are as in the theorem. Then

$$\Theta_\pi(f) \Theta_\pi(\gamma) = \int_{A_G(F) \backslash G(F)} f(x^{-1} \gamma x) u(x, \mathcal{T}) dx,$$

whenever $d(\mathcal{T})$ is sufficiently large.

Proof: If g is any function in $C_c^\infty(G(F))$, Theorem 9 of [5] tells us that

$$\Theta_\pi(f) \Theta_\pi(g) = \int_{A_G(F) \backslash G(F)} \left(\int_{G(F)} f(x^{-1} y x) g(y) dy \right) dx. \quad (7)$$

Assume that

$$g(y) = \text{vol}(K)^{-1} \int_K g_0(k y k^{-1}) dk,$$

where g_0 is supported on a small neighbourhood Ω of γ . The right hand side of (7) can then be written

$$\text{vol}(K)^{-1} \int_{A_G(F) \backslash G(F)} \left(\int_{G(F)} \int_K f(x^{-1} k^{-1} y k x) g_0(y) dk dy \right) dx.$$

It is a straightforward consequence of [5, Lemma 13] that the integrand in x is

supported on a compact subset of $A_G(F) \backslash G(F)$ which depends only on Ω . Now let g_0 approach the Dirac measure at γ . The left hand side of (7) approaches

$$\Theta_\pi(f)\Theta_\pi(\gamma),$$

while the right hand side converges to

$$\text{vol}(K)^{-1} \int_{A_G(F) \backslash G(F)} \left(\int_K f(x^{-1}k^{-1}\gamma kx) dk \right) dx.$$

This last integrand in x is compactly supported. We can therefore multiply it with $u(x, \mathcal{F})$ without changing its value, as long as $d(\mathcal{F})$ is sufficiently large. The expression becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{vol}(K)^{-1} \int_{A_G(F) \backslash G(F)} \left(\int_K f(x^{-1}k^{-1}\gamma kx) dk \right) u(x, \mathcal{F}) dx \\ &= \text{vol}(K)^{-1} \int_{A_G(F) \backslash G(F)} \int_K f(x^{-1}k^{-1}\gamma kx) u(x, \mathcal{F}) dk dx \\ &= \int_{A_G(F) \backslash G(F)} f(x^{-1}\gamma x) u(x, \mathcal{F}) dx, \end{aligned}$$

since $u(x, \mathcal{F})$ is bi-invariant under K . This establishes the required formula. \square

In view of the lemma, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_\pi(f)\Theta_\pi(\gamma) &= \int_{A_G(F) \backslash G(F)} f(x^{-1}\gamma x) u(x, \mathcal{F}) dx \\ &= \int_{A_M(F) \backslash G(F)} f(x^{-1}\gamma x) \left(\int_{A_M(F) \backslash A_G(F)} u(ax, \mathcal{F}) da \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

By assumption, the centralizer of γ in $G(F)$ is compact modulo $A_M(F)$. Therefore, the last integral over x may be taken over a compact set of representatives of $A_M(F) \backslash G(F)$ in $G(F)$.

Our task then is to evaluate the integral

$$\int_{A_M(F) \backslash A_G(F)} u(ax, \mathcal{F}) da.$$

The main step is to express the integral in terms of the set $\mathcal{Y}(x, \mathcal{F})$ given by (6).

Lemma 3: For any compact subset Γ of $G(F)$ and any $\delta > 0$, there is a positive constant $c(\Gamma, \delta)$ with the following property. If x belongs to Γ , a belongs to $A_M(F)$, and \mathcal{F} is such that

$$d(\mathcal{F}) \geq \delta \|\mathcal{F}\| \geq c(\Gamma, \delta), \tag{8}$$

then $u(ax, \mathcal{F})$ equals 1 if and only if $H_M(a)$ belongs to $S_M(\mathcal{Y}(x, \mathcal{F}))$.

Proof: If Q is a group in $\mathcal{F}(M)$, we write τ_Q for the characteristic function of

$$\{H \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_0} : \alpha(H) > 0, \alpha \in \Delta_Q\}.$$

It is known that

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}(M)} \sigma_M^Q(H, \mathcal{F}) \tau_Q(H - T_Q) = 1, \quad (9)$$

for \mathcal{F} as in (5), and any $H \in \mathfrak{a}_M$. This is a general property of positive orthogonal sets which is easily deduced, for example, from Langlands' combinatorial lemma ([1], Lemma 2.3), ([2], Lemma 6.3). We shall actually apply the result with $H = H_M(a)$, and \mathcal{F} replaced by the set

$$\varepsilon \mathcal{F} = \{\varepsilon T_{P_0} : P_0 \in \mathcal{P}(M_0)\},$$

for a certain $\varepsilon > 0$. Having been given δ , we choose ε so that $2\varepsilon\delta^{-1}$ is smaller than the numbers δ_M and δ_{M_0} provided by Lemma 1.

Fix the elements $a \in A_M(F)$ and $x \in \Gamma$. The left hand side of (9) is a sum of characteristic functions, so there is a unique group $Q \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ such that

$$\sigma_M^Q(H_M(a), \varepsilon \mathcal{F}) \tau_Q(H_M(a) - \varepsilon T_Q) = 1.$$

Once Q is determined, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} ax &= am_{\bar{Q}}(x)n_{\bar{Q}}(x)k_{\bar{Q}}(x) \\ &= ad(am_{\bar{Q}}(x))n_{\bar{Q}}(x) \cdot am_{\bar{Q}}(x)k_{\bar{Q}}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Consider a root α of (Q, A_Q) . Since $H_M(a)$ is the sum of a vector in \mathfrak{a}_Q^+ , the positive chamber of Q , with a convex linear combination of points

$$\{\varepsilon T_P : P \in \mathcal{P}(M), P \subset Q\},$$

we have

$$\alpha(H_M(a)) \geq \varepsilon \inf_{\{P: P \subset Q\}} \alpha(T_P) \geq \varepsilon d(\mathcal{F}).$$

Having fixed ε , we choose $c(\Gamma, \delta)$ so that $\varepsilon c(\Gamma, \delta)$ is large. Then $\varepsilon d(\mathcal{F})$ will be large whenever \mathcal{F} satisfies (8), and $ad(a)$ will act by contraction on $n_{\bar{Q}}(x)$. In particular, we can force the point

$$ad(am_{\bar{Q}}(x))n_{\bar{Q}}(x)$$

to be close to 1, uniformly for x in Γ . We may therefore assume that the point lies in the open compact subgroup K . Consequently, ax belongs to the double coset

$$Kam_{\bar{Q}}(x)K.$$

The next step is to write

$$am_{\bar{Q}}(x) = k_1 h k_2, \quad h \in A_{M_0}(F), k_1, k_2 \in K \cap M_Q(F). \quad (10)$$

Then ax belongs to KhK . Observe also that

$$H_Q(h) = H_Q(a) + H_Q(m_{\bar{Q}}(x)),$$

so that

$$H_Q(h) = H_Q(a) + H_{\bar{Q}}(x). \quad (11)$$

We write

$$H_M(a) = H_M^Q(a) + H_Q(a), \quad H_M^Q(a) \in \mathfrak{a}_M^Q,$$

for the decomposition of $H_M(a)$ relative to the direct sum $\mathfrak{a}_M = \mathfrak{a}_M^Q \oplus \mathfrak{a}_Q$. Similarly

$$H_{M_0}(h) = H_{M_0}^Q(h) + H_Q(h), \quad H_{M_0}^Q(h) \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_0}^Q.$$

Then there is a constant $c(\Gamma)$ such that

$$\|H_{M_0}^Q(h)\| \leq \|H_M^Q(a)\| + c(\Gamma),$$

for any $x \in \Gamma$ and $a \in A_M(F)$, and for h defined by (10). This follows easily from the standard properties of height functions on $G(F)$. Now, we are assuming that

$$\sigma_M^Q(H_M^Q(a), \varepsilon \mathcal{F}) = 1,$$

so that $H_M^Q(a)$ belongs to the convex set $S_M^Q(\varepsilon \mathcal{F})$. It follows that $\|H_M^Q(a)\|$ is bounded by the norm of the projection of any of the vectors

$$\{\varepsilon T_P : P \in \mathcal{P}(M), P \subset Q\}$$

onto \mathfrak{a}_M^Q . Therefore,

$$\|H_M^Q(a)\| \leq \varepsilon \|T_P\| \leq \varepsilon \|\mathcal{F}\|. \quad (12)$$

Choose $c(\Gamma, \delta)$ to be so large that $\varepsilon \delta^{-1} c(\Gamma, \delta)$ is greater than the constant $c(\Gamma)$ above. Then

$$\|H_{M_0}^Q(h)\| \leq 2\varepsilon \delta^{-1} d(\mathcal{F}) \leq \delta_{M_0} d(\mathcal{F})$$

whenever \mathcal{F} satisfies (8). Recall that the function

$$u(ax, \mathcal{F}) = u(h, \mathcal{F})$$

equals 1 if and only if $H_{M_0}(h)$ belongs to $S_{M_0}(\mathcal{F})$. It follows from Lemma 1 that $u(ax, \mathcal{F})$ equals 1 if and only if $H_Q(h)$ belongs to $S_{M_Q}(\mathcal{F})$.

We are also assuming that

$$\tau_Q(H_Q(a) - \varepsilon T_Q) = \tau_Q(H_M(a) - \varepsilon T_Q) = 1.$$

In particular, $H_Q(a)$ lies in the positive chamber \mathfrak{a}_Q^+ . More precisely,

$$\alpha(H_Q(a)) \geq \varepsilon \alpha(T_Q) \geq \varepsilon d(\mathcal{F}),$$

for any root $\alpha \in \Delta_Q$. We can make this number as large as we wish, for \mathcal{F} satisfying (8),

simply by taking $c(\Gamma, \delta)$ large enough. Now $H_Q(a)$ is related to $H_Q(h)$ by equation (11). Since $H_Q(x)$ remains bounded, we can assume that $H_Q(h)$ also lies in \mathfrak{a}_Q^+ . But according to ([1] Lemma 3.2), the intersection of \mathfrak{a}_Q^+ with $S_{M_Q}(\mathcal{F})$ is the set

$$\{H \in \mathfrak{a}_Q^+ : \varpi(H - T_Q) < 0, \varpi \in \hat{\Delta}_Q\},$$

where $\hat{\Delta}_Q$ is the dual basis of Δ_Q^\vee . Thus, $u(ax, \mathcal{F})$ equals 1 if and only if each of the numbers

$$\varpi(H_Q(h) - T_Q) = \varpi(H_Q(a) - Y_Q(x, \mathcal{F})), \quad \varpi \in \hat{\Delta}_Q,$$

is negative. We have now only to retrace our steps. Since $H_Q(a)$ lies in \mathfrak{a}_Q^+ , the last condition is equivalent to the assertion that $H_Q(a)$ lies in $S_{M_Q}(\mathcal{Y}(x, \mathcal{F}))$. Moreover, $d(\mathcal{F})$ is large relative to x , so we can assume that

$$d(\mathcal{Y}(x, \mathcal{F})) \geq \frac{1}{2}d(\mathcal{F}).$$

It follows from (12) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_M^Q(a)\| &\leq \varepsilon \|\mathcal{F}\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \delta^{-1} d(\mathcal{F}) \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon \delta^{-1} d(\mathcal{Y}(x, \mathcal{F})) \\ &\leq \delta_M d(\mathcal{Y}(x, \mathcal{F})), \end{aligned}$$

whenever \mathcal{F} satisfies (8). Applying Lemma 1 again, we conclude that $H_Q(a)$ belongs to $S_{M_Q}(\mathcal{Y}(x, \mathcal{F}))$ if and only if $H_M(a)$ belongs to $S_M(\mathcal{Y}(x, \mathcal{F}))$. This is equivalent to the original condition that $u(ax, \mathcal{F})$ equals 1, so the proof of the lemma is complete. \square

As an identity of characteristic functions, the lemma asserts that

$$u(ax, \mathcal{F}) = \sigma_M(H_M(a), \mathcal{Y}(x, \mathcal{F})), \quad \alpha \in A_M(F)/A_G(F),$$

for x and \mathcal{F} as stated. It follows that $\Theta_\pi(f)\Theta_\pi(\gamma)$ equals

$$\int_{A_M(F)/A_G(F)} f(x^{-1}\gamma x) \left(\int_{A_M(F)/A_G(F)} \sigma_M(H_M(a), \mathcal{Y}(x, \mathcal{F})) da \right) dx.$$

However, the integral

$$\int_{A_M(F)/A_G(F)} \sigma_M(H_M(a), \mathcal{Y}(x, \mathcal{F})) da$$

is not equal to the volume of $S_M(\mathcal{Y}(x, \mathcal{F}))$. For

$$\{H_M(a) : a \in A_M(F)/A_G(F)\}$$

is a lattice in $\mathfrak{a}_M/\mathfrak{a}_G$; the integral is multiple of the number of lattice points in $S_M(\mathcal{Y}(x, \mathcal{F}))$. We must find a way to relate this to the volume.

It will actually be convenient to replace $A_M(F)$ by a subgroup. Suppose that A'_M

is any subgroup of finite index in $A_M(F)$, which contains $A_G(F)$. Combining Lemmas 2 and 3 as above, we obtain

$$\Theta_\pi(f)\Theta_\pi(\gamma) = \int_{A'_M \backslash G(F)} f(x^{-1}\gamma x) \left(\int_{A'_M \backslash A_G(F)} \sigma_M(H_M(a), \mathcal{Y}(x, \mathcal{T})) da \right) dx, \quad (13)$$

a formula which holds whenever \mathcal{T} satisfies the conditions (8).

4. Counting lattice points

For each reduced root β of (G, A_{M_0}) , we have the co-root β^\vee . Any such β^\vee defines an element in the lattice

$$X_*(A_{M_0}) = \text{Hom}(X(A_{M_0}), \mathbb{Z})$$

in \mathfrak{a}_{M_0} . Suppose that $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ and that α is a root in Δ_P . For any given $P_0 \in \mathcal{P}(M_0)$, with $P_0 \subset P$, there is a unique root $\beta \in \Delta_{P_0}$ whose restriction to A_M equals α ; the ‘‘co-root’’ $\alpha^\vee \in \Delta_P^\vee$ is, by definition, the projection of β^\vee onto \mathfrak{a}_M . The lattice $\mathbb{Z}(\Delta_P^\vee)$ in \mathfrak{a}_M^G , generated by Δ_P^\vee , is the projection of $\mathbb{Z}(\Delta_{P_0}^\vee)$ onto \mathfrak{a}_M^G . Since $\mathbb{Z}(\Delta_{P_0}^\vee)$ is independent of P_0 , $\mathbb{Z}(\Delta_P^\vee)$ is independent of P . The lattice $\mathbb{Z}(\Delta_P^\vee)$ need not be contained in

$$X_*(A_M) = \text{Hom}(X(A_M), \mathbb{Z}).$$

However, it is easily seen to be a subgroup of

$$\text{Hom}(X(M)_F, \mathbb{Z}),$$

which is in turn a finite extension of $X_*(A_M)$. Consequently, there is an integer k such that $k\mathbb{Z}(\Delta_P^\vee)$ is a subgroup of $X_*(A_M)$.

Recall that

$$\exp(\langle H_M(m), \chi \rangle) = |\chi(m)|,$$

for any $\chi \in X(M)_F$ and $m \in M(F)$. It follows easily that $H_M(A_M(F))$ equals the lattice

$$\log(q_F)X_*(A_M)$$

in \mathfrak{a}_M , where q_F is the degree of the residue field of F . Define

$$\Lambda_{M,k} = k \log(q_F)\mathbb{Z}(\Delta_P^\vee) = \log(q_F^k)\mathbb{Z}(\Delta_P^\vee)$$

for any $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ and any positive integer k . For any such P , the vectors

$$\mu_{\alpha,k} = k \log(q_F)\alpha^\vee, \quad \alpha \in \Delta_P,$$

form a \mathbb{Z} -basis of $\Lambda_{M,k}$. We fix k so that $\Lambda_{M,k}$ is contained in $H_M(A_M(F))$. Set

$$A_{M,k} = \{a \in A_M(F) : H_M(a) \in \Lambda_{M,k}\}.$$

Then

$$A'_{M,k} = A_{M,k}A_G(F)$$

is a subgroup of finite index in $A_M(F)$; it is this group which we will employ in the formula (13). The first step will be to calculate the integral

$$\int_{A'_{M,k}/A_G(F)} \sigma_M(H_M(a), \mathcal{Y}(x, \mathcal{F})) da. \quad (14)$$

The kernel of H_M in $A'_{M,k}$ equals the group

$$\kappa_M = A_M(F) \cap K.$$

It follows easily that the quotient of $A'_{M,k}/A_G(F)$ by κ_M/κ_G is isomorphic under H_M to $\Lambda_{M,k}$. We can therefore write (14) as the product of the volume of κ_M/κ_G with the number of points in the intersection of $\Lambda_{M,k}$ with $S_M(\mathcal{Y}(x, \mathcal{F}))$. Consequently, (14) may be rewritten as

$$\text{vol}(\kappa_M/\kappa_G) \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \sum_{\xi} e^{\lambda(\xi)} \right\}, \quad (15)$$

the sum being taken over ξ in $\Lambda_{M,k} \cap S_M(\mathcal{Y}(x, \mathcal{F}))$. We shall calculate this by the method in ([1], § 3).

Take λ to be a point in $\mathfrak{a}_{M,\mathbb{C}}^*$ whose real part $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}} \in \mathfrak{a}_M^*$ is regular. If $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, we shall write

$$\Delta_P^\lambda = \{\alpha \in \Delta_P : \lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(\alpha^\vee) < 0\}.$$

Let ϕ_P^λ denote the characteristic function of the set of $H \in \mathfrak{a}_M$ such that $\varpi_\alpha(H) > 0$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta_P^\lambda$, and $\varpi_\alpha(H) \leq 0$ for any α in the complement of Δ_P^λ in Δ_P . (Recall that

$$\hat{\Delta}_P = \{\varpi_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_P\}$$

is the basis of $(\mathfrak{a}_M^{\mathbb{C}})^*$ which is dual to $\{\alpha^\vee : \alpha \in \Delta_P\}$.) It follows easily from Langlands' combinatorial lemma that

$$\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(M)} (-1)^{|\Delta_P^\lambda|} \phi_P^\lambda(H - Y_P(x, \mathcal{F})), \quad H \in \mathfrak{a}_M,$$

equals the characteristic function of $S_M(\mathcal{Y}(x, \mathcal{F}))$. (See Lemma 3.2 of [1] for the special case that H lies in the complement of a finite set of hyperplanes. The general case follows in the same way from [2], Lemma 6.3.) Therefore, the expression in the brackets in (15) equals

$$\sum_{\xi \in \Lambda_{M,k}} (-1)^{|\Delta_P^\lambda|} \phi_P^\lambda(\xi - Y_P(x, \mathcal{F})) \exp(\lambda(\xi)). \quad (16)$$

We shall write Y_P^λ for the extreme point in

$$\{\xi \in \Lambda_{M,k} : \phi_P^\lambda(\xi - Y_P(x, \mathcal{F})) = 1\}. \quad (17)$$

That is,

$$Y_P^\lambda = Y_P(x, \mathcal{F}) + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_P^\lambda} t_\alpha \mu_{\alpha,k} - \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_P - \Delta_P^\lambda} (1 - t_\alpha) \mu_{\alpha,k},$$

for positive numbers t_α , with $0 < t_\alpha \leq 1$. The set (17) can then be written as

$$\left\{ Y_P^\lambda + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_P^+} n_\alpha \mu_{\alpha,k} - \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_P - \Delta_P^+} n_\alpha \mu_{\alpha,k} \right\},$$

where each n_α ranges over all positive integers. Expression (16) becomes a multiple geometric series, which equals

$$(-1)^{|\Delta_P^+|} \exp[\lambda(Y_P^\lambda)] \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_P^+} (1 - \exp[\lambda(\mu_{\alpha,k})])^{-1} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_P - \Delta_P^+} (1 - \exp[-\lambda(\mu_{\alpha,k})])^{-1}.$$

If $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ belongs to the negative chamber $-(\mathfrak{a}_P^*)^+$ of P in \mathfrak{a}_M^* , we shall denote Y_P^λ simply by

$$Y_P^+ = Y_P(x, \mathcal{F})^+ = (T_P - H_{\bar{P}}(x))^+.$$

Then for general λ ,

$$Y_P^+ = Y_P^\lambda + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_P - \Delta_P^+} \mu_{\alpha,k}.$$

Expression (16) may therefore be written as

$$\exp[\lambda(Y_P^+)] \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_P} (\exp[\lambda(\mu_{\alpha,k})] - 1)^{-1}.$$

We have shown that (14) equals

$$\text{vol}(\kappa_M/\kappa_G) \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(M)} (\exp[\lambda(Y_P^+)] \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_P} (\exp[\lambda(\mu_{\alpha,k})] - 1)^{-1}).$$

Let us rewrite this last formula for (14) as

$$\text{vol}(\kappa_M/\kappa_G) \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(M)} c_P(\lambda, x, \mathcal{F}) d_P(\lambda) \theta_P(\lambda)^{-1},$$

where

$$c_P(\lambda, x, \mathcal{F}) = \exp[\lambda(Y_P^+)] = \exp[\lambda((T_P - H_{\bar{P}}(x))^+)], \quad (18)$$

and

$$d_P(\lambda) = \theta_P(\lambda) \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_P} (\exp[\lambda(\mu_{\alpha,k})] - 1)^{-1}.$$

We leave the reader to check that

$$\{Y_P^+ : P \in \mathcal{P}(M)\}$$

is a positive orthogonal set for M . This implies that $\{c_P(\lambda, x, \mathcal{F})\}$ is a (G, M) family, in the language of ([3], § 6). Moreover, $\{d_P(\lambda)\}$ is also a (G, M) family. Applying ([3], Lemma 6.3) to the product of (G, M) families in the expression above, we see that (14) equals

$$\text{vol}(\kappa_M/\kappa_G) \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}(M)} c_M^Q(x, \mathcal{F}) d'_Q.$$

This follows the notation of ([3], § 6). In particular,

$$c_M^Q(x, \mathcal{F}) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\{P \in \mathcal{P}(M) : P \subset Q\}} \exp[\lambda((T_P - H_{\bar{P}}(x))^+)] \theta_P(\lambda)^{-1}.$$

Next, we substitute the formula we have just established for (14) into the identity (13). We see that $\Theta_\pi(f)\Theta_\pi(\gamma)$ equals

$$\text{vol}(\kappa_M/\kappa_G) \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}(M)} d'_Q \int_{A'_{M,k} \backslash G(F)} f(x^{-1}\gamma x) c_M^Q(x, \mathcal{F}) dx.$$

For any group $Q \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ we have

$$c_M^Q(x, \mathcal{F}) = c_M^Q(m_Q(x), \mathcal{F}).$$

It follows easily from this fact that

$$\int_{A'_{M,k} \backslash G(F)} f(x^{-1}\gamma x) c_M^Q(x, \mathcal{F}) dx$$

is a multiple of

$$\int_K \int_{N_{\bar{Q}}(F)} \int_{A'_{M,k} \backslash M_{\bar{Q}}(F)} f(k^{-1}m^{-1}\gamma mnk) c_M^Q(m, \mathcal{F}) dm dn dk.$$

Since f is a supercuspidal form, this expression vanishes for any $Q \neq G$. Consequently,

$$\Theta_\pi(f)\Theta_\pi(\gamma) = \text{vol}(\kappa_M/\kappa_G) d'_G \int_{A'_{M,k} \backslash G(F)} f(x^{-1}\gamma x) c_M(x, \mathcal{F}) dx.$$

Now, by definition,

$$d'_G = d'_G(0) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} d_P(\lambda),$$

for any $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} d'_G &= \text{vol}(\mathfrak{a}_M^G/\mathbb{Z}(\Delta_P^\vee))^{-1} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_P} (\lambda(\alpha^\vee)(\exp[\lambda(\mu_{\alpha,k})] - 1)^{-1}) \\ &= \text{vol}(\mathfrak{a}_M^G/\mathbb{Z}(\Delta_P^\vee))^{-1} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_P} (\lambda(\alpha^\vee)\lambda(\mu_{\alpha,k})^{-1}) \\ &= \text{vol}(\mathfrak{a}_M^G/\Lambda_{M,k})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it follows from (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}(\kappa_M/\kappa_G) &= \text{vol}(\mathfrak{a}_M/H_M(A_M(F)) + \mathfrak{a}_G) \\ &= \text{vol}(\mathfrak{a}_M/\Lambda_{M,k} + \mathfrak{a}_G) |A_M(F)/A'_{M,k}|^{-1} \\ &= \text{vol}(\mathfrak{a}_M^G/\Lambda_{M,k}) |A_M(F)/A'_{M,k}|^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

since the map

$$A_M(F)/A'_{M,k} \rightarrow (H_M(A_M(F)) + \mathfrak{a}_G)/(\Lambda_{M,k} + \mathfrak{a}_G)$$

is an isomorphism. Our formula becomes

$$\Theta_\pi(f)\Theta_\pi(\gamma) = |A_M(F)/A'_{M,k}|^{-1} \int_{A'_{M,k} \backslash G(F)} f(x^{-1}\gamma x) c_M(x, \mathcal{F}) dx. \quad (19)$$

It is valid whenever \mathcal{F} satisfies the conditions (8)

5. Completion of the proof

The formula (19) is close to that of the theorem. The only problem is that it depends on $(T_P - H_{\bar{p}}(x))^+$, rather than the vector $T_P - H_{\bar{p}}(x)$. To overcome this, we shall average \mathcal{F} over a certain compact domain.

Observe that $\Lambda_{M,k}$ is the projection onto \mathfrak{a}_M^G of the lattice

$$\Lambda_{M_0,k} = k \log(q_F) \mathbb{Z}(\Delta_{P_0}^\vee), \quad P_0 \in \mathcal{P}(M_0),$$

in $\mathfrak{a}_{M_0}^G$. Choose an element P'_0 in $\mathcal{P}(M_0)$, and let \mathcal{D} denote the compact fundamental domain

$$\left\{ u = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_{P'_0}^\vee} u_\beta \mu_{\beta,k} \cdot 0 \leq u_\beta \leq 1 \right\}$$

for $\Lambda_{M_0,k}$ in $\mathfrak{a}_{M_0}^G$. (Recall that $\{\mu_{\beta,k}\}$ is a basis of $\Lambda_{M_0,k}$ consisting of positive multiples of the co-roots $\Delta_{P'_0}^\vee$). Suppose that $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$. Then there is an element $s \in W_0$ such that $P_0 = sP'_0$ contains P . For each $\alpha \in \Delta_P$, let $\beta(\alpha)$ be the unique root in $\Delta_{P'_0}^\vee$ such that the restriction of $s\beta(\alpha)$ onto \mathfrak{a}_M equals α . Then $\mu_{\alpha,k}$ is the projection of $s(\mu_{\beta(\alpha),k})$ onto \mathfrak{a}_M . Given a vector $u \in \mathcal{D}$ as above, set

$$u_P = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_P} u_{\beta(\alpha)} \mu_{\alpha,k}.$$

This notation of course holds if M_0 is used instead of M , and the set

$$\mathcal{F}_u = \{T_{P_0} - u_{P_0} : P_0 \in \mathcal{P}(M_0)\}$$

satisfies similar conditions to \mathcal{F} . We may therefore replace $c_M(x, \mathcal{F})$ by $c_M(x, \mathcal{F}_u)$ on the right hand side of (19).

Observe that

$$c_P(\lambda, x, \mathcal{F}_u) = \exp[\lambda((T_P - u_P - H_{\bar{p}}(x))^+)], \quad P \in \mathcal{P}(M).$$

Define

$$c_P(\lambda, x, \mathcal{F}, u) = \exp[\lambda((T_P - u_P - H_{\bar{p}}(x))^+ + u_P)], \quad P \in \mathcal{P}(M),$$

so that

$$c_P(\lambda, x, \mathcal{F}_u) = c_P(\lambda, x, \mathcal{F}, u) \exp[-\lambda(u_P)].$$

This is a product of two (G, M) families. We can therefore apply Lemma 6.3 of [3] to decompose $c_M(x, \mathcal{F}_u)$ into a sum over $Q \in \mathcal{F}(M)$. The second (G, M) family is independent of x . By arguing as in §4, we see that the contribution of any $Q \neq G$ to the integral

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}'_{M,k} \setminus G(F)} f(x^{-1}\gamma x) c_M(x, \mathcal{F}_u) dx$$

vanishes. We may therefore replace $c_M(x, \mathcal{F}_u)$ by $c_M(x, \mathcal{F}, u)$, the term corresponding to $Q = G$. Since this is valid for any $u \in \mathcal{D}$, we may integrate over \mathcal{D} if we choose. It follows that (19) remains valid if the function $c_M(x, \mathcal{F})$ is replaced by

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} c_M(x, \mathcal{F}, u) du.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{D}} c_M(x, \mathcal{F}, u) du \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{D}} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(M)} (c_P(\lambda, x, \mathcal{F}, u) \theta_P(\lambda)^{-1}) du \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(M)} \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}} c_P(\lambda, x, \mathcal{F}, u) du \right) \theta_P(\lambda)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have only to compute

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} E((Y_P - u_P)^+ + u_P) du, \tag{20}$$

where

$$E((Y_P - u_P)^+ + u_P) = \exp[\lambda((Y_P - u_P)^+ + u_P)],$$

with

$$Y_P = Y_P(x, \mathcal{F}) = T_P - H_{\bar{P}}(x).$$

This integral can be written as a multiple integral, over the cube

$$\left\{ \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_P} r_\alpha : 0 \leq r_\alpha \leq 1 \right\},$$

of the function

$$E\left(\left(Y_P - \sum_{\alpha} r_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha, k} \right)^+ + \sum_{\alpha} r_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha, k} \right).$$

Recall that Y_P^+ is the unique point in $\Lambda_{M,k} + \mathfrak{a}_G$ of the form

$$Y_P + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_P} t_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha, k},$$

where $0 < t_\alpha \leq 1$. Taking the integrals in r_α separately over the intervals $[0, 1 - t_\alpha]$ and $[1 - t_\alpha, 1]$, we can change variables; we obtain the integral over $\{r_\alpha\}$ of

$$E\left(Y_P + \sum_\alpha r_\alpha \mu_{\alpha,k}\right).$$

It follows that (20) equals

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} E(Y_P + u_P) du.$$

We have shown that

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} c_M(x, \mathcal{F}, u) du = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \bar{v}_M(x, \mathcal{F}, u) du,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{v}_P(\lambda, x, \mathcal{F}, u) &= \exp[T_P + u_P - H_{\bar{P}}(x)] \\ &= \exp[-\lambda(H_{\bar{P}}(x))] \exp[\lambda(T_P + u_P)]. \end{aligned}$$

This is again a product of (G, M) families. We apply Lemma 6.3 of [3] once more, and decompose $\bar{v}_M(x, \mathcal{F}, u)$ into a sum over $Q \in \mathcal{F}(M)$. Since the second (G, M) family is independent of x , the contribution of any $Q \neq G$ to the integral

$$\int_{A'_{M,k} \setminus G(F)} f(x^{-1}\gamma x) \int_{\mathcal{D}} \bar{v}_M(x, \mathcal{F}, u) du dx = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \int_{A'_{M,k} \setminus G(F)} f(x^{-1}\gamma x) \bar{v}_M(x, \mathcal{F}, u) dx du$$

vanishes. The term corresponding to $Q = G$ is just $\bar{v}_M(x)$, where

$$\bar{v}_P(\lambda, x) = \exp[-\lambda(H_{\bar{P}}(x))], \quad P \in \mathcal{P}(M).$$

This is of course independent of u , so the integral over \mathcal{D} disappears. The formula (19) becomes

$$\Theta_\pi(f) \Theta_\pi(\gamma) = |A_M(F)/A'_{M,k}|^{-1} \int_{A'_{M,k} \setminus G(F)} f(x^{-1}\gamma x) \bar{v}_M(x) dx.$$

The (G, M) -family $\{\bar{v}_P(\lambda, x)\}$ is slightly different from the original (G, M) family

$$v_P(\lambda, x) = \exp[-\lambda(H_P(x))], \quad P \in \mathcal{P}(M).$$

Observe, however, that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{v}_M(x) &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(M)} \exp[-\lambda(H_{\bar{P}}(x))] \theta_P(\lambda)^{-1} \\ &= (-1)^{\dim(A_M/A_G)} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(M)} \exp[-\lambda(H_{\bar{P}}(x))] \theta_{\bar{P}}(\lambda)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (-1)^{\dim(A_M/A_G)} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(M)} \exp[-\lambda(H_P(x))] \theta_P(\lambda)^{-1} \\
&= (-1)^{\dim(A_M/A_G)} v_M(x),
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\theta_{\bar{P}}(\lambda) = (-1)^{\dim(A_M/A_G)} \theta_P(\lambda).$$

In other words, $\Theta_\pi(f)\Theta_\pi(\gamma)$ equals

$$|A_M(F)/A'_{M,k}|^{-1} (-1)^{\dim(A_M/A_G)} \int_{A'_{M,k} \backslash G(F)} f(x^{-1}\gamma x) v_M(x) dx.$$

Now, it is well known that the function $v_M(x)$ is left invariant under $M(F)$. In particular, the integrand is left invariant under $A_M(F)$. We may therefore change the domain of integration to $A_M(F) \backslash G(F)$, if we multiply by the index $|A_M(F)/A'_{M,k}|$. We obtain the identity of $\Theta_\pi(f)\Theta_\pi(\gamma)$ with

$$(-1)^{\dim(A_M/A_G)} \int_{A_M(F) \backslash G(F)} f(x^{-1}\gamma x) v_M(x) dx.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

References

- [1] Arthur J, The characters of discrete series as weighted orbital integrals, *Invent. Math.* **32** (1976) 205–261
- [2] Arthur J, A trace formula for reductive groups I: terms associated to classes in $G(\mathbb{Q})$, *Duke Math. J.* **45** (1978) 911–952
- [3] Arthur J, The trace formula in invariant form, *Ann. Math.* **114** (1981) 1–74
- [4] Arthur J, On a family of distributions obtained from Eisenstein series II: Explicit formulas, *Am. J. Math.* **104** (1982) 1289–1336
- [5] Harish-Chandra, *Harmonic analysis on reductive p -adic groups*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, No. **162** (Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Verlag) (1970)
- [6] Waldspurger J L, A propos des integrales orbitales pour $GL(N)$, preprint