

On a subclass of Bazilevic functions

T N SHANMUGAM

Department of Mathematics, Anna University, Madras 600 025, India

MS received 30 June 1986

Abstract. A new subclass of Bazilevic functions is defined and some of its properties have been studied.

Keywords. Bazilevic functions; subclass.

1. Introduction

Let S denote the class of functions $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ analytic, univalent in the open unit disk $U = \{z: |z| < 1\}$. For functions g and G analytic in U , we say that g is subordinate to G , denoted as $g < G$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$ analytic in U such that $g(z) = G(w(z))$, $z \in U$. In this paper a new class of functions $B(\alpha, h_1, h_2)$ is defined as follows:

Definition 1.1. Let h_1, h_2 be two convex univalent, analytic functions defined on U such that $h_1(U), h_2(U)$ lies on the right-half plane and $h_1(0) = h_2(0) = 1$. We say a function $f \in S$ belongs to the class $B(\alpha, h_1, h_2)$ if $zf'(z)/f^{1-\alpha}(z) g^\alpha(z) < h_2(z)$, $z \in U$ where $zg'(z)/g(z) < h_1(z)$, $z \in U$ with $\alpha \geq 0$.

For $\alpha = 0$ and $h_1(z) = h_2(z) = h(z)$, this class $B(\alpha, h_1, h_2)$ is the class $S^*(h)$, which is the same as the class $S_a^*(h)$ with $a = 1$ defined in [7]. Further if $h(z) = (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$), $S^*(h)$ is the class $S^*(A, B)$ studied by Janowski [4]. For $\alpha = 1$ and for different choices of $h_1(z)$ and $h_2(z)$ the class $B(\alpha, h_1, h_2)$ generalizes various classes of functions introduced in [2, 5, 6, 12, 13]. In particular, for $h_2(z) = (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$) and $h_1(z) = (1 + Cz)/(1 + Dz)$ ($-1 \leq D \leq C \leq 1$), $B(\alpha, h_1, h_2)$ is the class $C[A, B; C, D]$ introduced by Silvia [12]. Further, if $B = D = -1$ and $A = 1 - 2\lambda$ ($0 \leq \lambda < 1$) and $C = 1 - 2\rho$ ($0 \leq \rho \leq 1$), then $B(\alpha, h_1, h_2)$ is the class $B(\alpha, \lambda, \rho)$ was introduced by Gupta and Jain [3]. In this paper it is proved that the class $B(\alpha, h_1, h_2)$ is invariant under some integral operators.

To establish the main results of this paper, we need the following results:

THEOREM A [1]. Let $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ and h be a convex analytic univalent function in U with $h(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta h(z) + \gamma) > 0$, $z \in U$. Let $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + \dots$ analytic in the unit disk. Then

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \nu} < h(z) \implies p(z) < h(z), z \in U.$$

A slight modification of the above result is as follows.

THEOREM B [8]. Let $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, $h(z)$ be a convex analytic univalent function in U with $h(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta q(z) + \gamma) > 0, z \in U$. If $p(z) = 1 + p_1z + \dots$ is analytic in U then

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta q(z) + \gamma} < h(z) \implies p(z) < h(z), z \in U.$$

Let

$$f(z) = z + \sum_2^\infty a_n z^n, g(z) = z + \sum_2^\infty b_n z^n$$

then the Hadamards convolution product of f and g denoted by $(f * g)(z)$ is

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_2^\infty a_n b_n z^n.$$

THEOREM C [10]. Let ϕ be a convex analytic function and $\phi(0) = 0$ and g is a star-like function in U . Then for F analytic in U with $F(0) = 1, [\phi * Fg/\phi * g](U)$ is contained in the convex hall of $F(U)$.

2. Main theorems

THEOREM 2.1. Let $f \in S^*(h)$. Then for $\alpha > 0, \operatorname{Re}(c) > 0, F(z)$ defined as

$$F(z) = \left\{ \frac{\alpha + c}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f^\alpha(t) dt \right\}^{1/\alpha}$$

is also an element of $S^*(h)$.

Proof. This follows easily by the application of Theorem A.

THEOREM 2.2. Let ϕ be a convex analytic function, then for $f \in S^*(h), \phi * f \in S^*(h)$.

Proof. We have

$$\frac{z(\phi * f)'(z)}{(\phi * f)(z)} = \frac{(\phi * zf')(z)}{(\phi * f)(z)} = \frac{\left(\phi * \frac{zf'}{f} \cdot f \right)(z)}{(\phi * f)(z)}.$$

Since $f \in S^*(h)$ we have $zf'(z)/f(z) < h(z)$ in U ; also ϕ is a convex function. Now an application of Theorem C yields that

$$\frac{z(\phi * f)'(z)}{(\phi * f)(z)} < h(z),$$

which establishes the theorem.

COROLLARY. If $f \in S^*(h)$, then

$$F_1(z) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt, \operatorname{Re}(c) > 0$$

$$F_2(z) = \int_0^z \frac{f(h) - f(xh)}{h - xh} dh, |x| \leq 1, x \neq 1$$

also belongs to $S^*(h)$.

Proof. This is immediate from the theorem since F_1 and F_2 are convolutions of f with ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 being two convex functions respectively, where

$$\phi_1(z) = \sum_1^\infty \left(\frac{c+1}{c+n} \right) z^n, \phi_2(z) = \sum_1^\infty \frac{1-x^n}{(1-x)^n} z^n.$$

Remark. For the choice $h(z) = (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$, $-1 \leq A < B \leq 1$ in the above theorem and corollary we deduce theorem 8 and its corollary in [11].

THEOREM 2.3. Let ϕ be a convex function in U with $\phi(0) = 0$. Then $\phi^* f \in B(1, h_1, h_2)$ whenever $f \in B(1, h_1, h_2)$.

Proof. Now consider,

$$\frac{z(\phi^* f)'(z)}{(\phi^* g)(z)} = \frac{(\phi^* z f')(z)}{(\phi^* g)(z)} = \frac{\left(\phi^* \frac{z f'}{g}, g \right)(z)}{(\phi^* g)(z)}.$$

Since $f \in B(1, h_1, h_2)$ there exists a $g \in S^*(h_1)$ such that $z f'(z)/g(z) < h_2(z)$ in U . Hence an application of Theorem C yields the result.

COROLLARY. If $f \in B(1, h_1, h_2)$ then

$$F_1(z) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt, \operatorname{Re}(c) > 0$$

$$F_2(z) = \int_0^z \frac{f(h) - f(xh)}{h - xh} dh, |x| \leq 1, x \neq 1$$

also belongs to $B(1, h_1, h_2)$.

Remark. When $h_1(z) = (1 + Cz)/(1 + Dz)$ ($-1 \leq D < C \leq 1$) and $h_2(z) = (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ ($-1 \leq B \leq A \leq 1$), the above theorem reduces to theorem 4 in [12].

THEOREM 2.4. Let $\alpha > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(c) > 0$ and let $f \in B(\alpha, h_1, h_2)$. Then $F(z)$ is defined by

$$F(z) = \left\{ \frac{\alpha + c}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f^\alpha(t) dt \right\}^{1/\alpha} \tag{1}$$

is also belongs to $B(\alpha, h_1, h_2)$.

Proof. Since f is a Bazilevic function of type $(\alpha, 0)$ by a result due to Ruscheweyh [9], $F(z)$ defined by [1] is also a Bazilevic function of type $(\alpha, 0)$ and hence is univalent in U . Thus $F(z) \neq 0$ in $U - \{0\}$. Now, (1) on differentiation yields:

$$\frac{1}{z^\alpha} \{ \alpha z F^{\alpha-1}(z) + C F^\alpha(z) \} = \frac{(\alpha + c) f^\alpha(z)}{z^\alpha}.$$

Since $f \in B(\alpha, h_1, h_2)$, $\exists g \in S^*(h_1)$ such that

$$zf'(z)/f^{1-\alpha}(z)g^\alpha(z) < h_2(z).$$

Let

$$p(z) = zF'(z)/F^{1-\alpha}(z)G^\alpha(z),$$

where

$$G(z) = \left\{ \frac{C + \alpha}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} g^\alpha(t) dt \right\}^{1/\alpha}.$$

Simplifying we get

$$\frac{zp'(z)}{\alpha \frac{zG'(z)}{G(z)} + C} + p(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{f^{1-\alpha}(z)g^\alpha(z)} < h_2(z).$$

Also by Theorem 2.1, $G \in S^*(h_1)$. Now an application of Theorem B gives that $p(z) < h_2(z)$ when $\text{Re}(c) > 0$, which means F is also in $B(\alpha, h_1, h_2)$ under the stated conditions of the theorem.

Remark 1. If

$$h_1(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\lambda)z}{1 - z}$$

and

$$h_2(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\rho)z}{1 - z}$$

in the above theorem, we get theorem 1 of [2].

Remark 2. If $\alpha = 1$ and $h_1(z) = h_2(z) = (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$), in the above theorem we get a theorem due to Mehrook [6] as a particular case. Further if $A = 1$, $B = -1$ we get theorem 2 in [13].

Acknowledgement

The author thanks Prof. K S Padmanabhan for helpful suggestions during the preparation of this paper.

References

- [1] Eenignburg P, Miller S S, Mocanu P T and Reade M O, On a Briot-Boquet differential subordinations, general inequalities 3 (Berlin: Birkauser Verlag) Box 1, (1983) 339–348
- [2] Goel R M and Mehrotra B S, Some invariance properties of a subclass of close-to-convex functions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **12** (1981) 1240–1249
- [3] Gupta V P and Jain P K, A note on a class of Bazilevic function, *Tamkang J. Math.* **7** (1976) 117–119
- [4] Janowski W, Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions I, *Am. Polon. Math.* **28** (1973) 297–326
- [5] Kaplan W, Close-to-convex Schlicht-functions, *Mich. Math. J.* **1** (1952) 169–185
- [6] Mehrotra B S, A subclass of close-to-convex function, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sin.* **16** (1982) 389–398
- [7] Padmanabhan K S and Parvatham R, Some applications of differential subordinations, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **32** (1985) 321–330
- [8] Padmanabhan K S, Parvatham R and Shanmugam T N, α -convexity and α -close-to-convexity preserving integral operators, (submitted for publication)
- [9] Ruscheweyh St, Eine invarianzeigenschaft der Bazilevic funktionen, *Math. Z.* **134** (1973) 215–219
- [10] Ruscheweyh St and Shiel-Small T, Hadamard products of Schlicht functions and the poly-Schoenburg conjecture, *Comm. Math. Helv.* **48** (1983) 119–135
- [11] Silverman H and Silvia E M, Subclasses of starlike functions to subordinates to convex functions, *Can. J. Math.* **37** (1985) 48–61
- [12] Silvia E M, Subclasses of close-to-convex functions, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **6** (1983) 449–458
- [13] Singh R, On Bazilevic functions, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **38** (1973) 261–271