

Degenerated nonlinear hyperbolic equation with discontinuous coefficients

M E KHALIFA

12, Mohamed Shalaby Street, Hadaek El-Kuba, Egypt

MS received 11 July 1985

Abstract. The partial differential equations with discontinuous coefficients have been extended in various directions by a number of authors [2], [3], [11], [13], [14], [16]. This paper deals with a mixed problem for a degenerated nonlinear hyperbolic equation with discontinuous coefficients.

Keywords. Partial differential equation; discontinuous coefficients; nonlinear hyperbolic equation.

1. Statement of the problem and notations

Let $Q = D \times [0, T]$ where D is a bounded domain in R^n with a smooth boundary Γ , Γ_1 separates D into two domains D_1 and D_2 , $\gamma = \Gamma_1 \times [0, T]$, $S = \Gamma \times [0, T]$, $Q_1 = D_1 \times [0, T]$ and $Q_2 = D_2 \times [0, T]$.

Consider the hyperbolic equation

$$f(t)[u_{tt} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij}(x)u_{x_i x_j})] + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x, t)u_{x_i} = F(x, t, u) \quad (1)$$

with initial conditions

$$u(x, 0) = u_t(x, 0) = 0, \quad (2)$$

boundary condition

$$u(x, t)|_S = 0, \quad (3)$$

and jumping conditions

$$u(x, t)|_{\gamma+0} = u(x, t)|_{\gamma-0},$$

$$K_1(x) \left. \frac{du}{dN} \right|_{\gamma+0} - K_2(x) \left. \frac{du}{dN} \right|_{\gamma-0} = k_3 u, \quad (4)$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, k_3 is a positive constant,

$$K(x) = \begin{cases} K_1(x), & x \in D_1 \\ K_2(x), & x \in D_2 \end{cases},$$

$$\frac{d}{dN} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \cos(v, x_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j},$$

and v is the exterior unit normal of D_1 .

For studying the problem (1)–(4) we have to define the following spaces; $W_2^1(Q) = W_2^1(Q_1) + W_2^1(Q_2)$ is a Sobolev space (see [1]); $E(Q)$ is a set of functions defined in Q and satisfy

$$\iint_Q \frac{\Phi^2(t, x)}{f(t)} < \infty.$$

We will refrain from writing the symbols dx and $dxdt$.

DEFINITION. The function $u(x, t)$ is called the solution of (1)–(4), if it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_Q [K(x)u_{tt}\Phi + \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}u_{x_i}\Phi_{x_j}K(x) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}u_{x_i}K_{x_j}\Phi \\ + \frac{1}{f(t)}\sum_{i=1}^n b_iu_{x_i}X\Phi - \frac{1}{f(t)}K(X)\Phi F(x, t, u)] \\ + \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} k_3u\Phi = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and (2), (3) in L^2 .

2. Assumption and results

THEOREM. Suppose that

(i) $f(t)$, $t > 0$ is continuous, differentiable and for small t satisfies

$$M_1 t^\alpha \leq f(t) \leq M_2 t^\alpha; \quad M_3 t^{\alpha-1} \leq f'(t) \leq M_4 t^{\alpha-1},$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, M_l , $l = 1, 2, \dots$ are positive constants.

(ii) $\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}\xi_i\xi_j \geq \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\partial b_i/\partial t$ is continuous in Q_1, Q_2 .

(iii) $F(x, t, u)$ is measurable with respect to x , continuous with respect to t and has bounded derivatives F_t, F_u , in Q_1, Q_2 and $F(x, t, u) \leq p(x, t)u + q(x, t)$ where $p, q = O(t^{\alpha+3/2})$, $F_t = O(t^{\alpha+1/2})$, then there exists a unique weak solution to problem (1)–(4).

Proof. Let $v_r(x)$ be a linear independent system in $W_2^1(D)$, satisfy condition (4) and compact in $W_2^1(D)$. We seek the solution of problem (1)–(4) in the form (see [9]):

$$u_m(x, t) = \sum_{r=1}^m c_r(t)v_r(x).$$

Substitute $u(x, t)$ with $u_m(x, t)$ in (1), multiply by $v_s(x)$ and integrate over D ; the result is the following system of ordinary differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(t) \sum_{r=1}^m c_r''(t) \int_D K v_r v_s + f(t) \sum_{r=1}^m c_r(t) \int_D \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(v_r)_{x_i} (v_s)_{x_j} K \\
 & + f(t) \sum_{r=1}^m c_r(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} k_3 v_r v_s + \sum_{r=1}^m c_r(t) \int_D \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(v_r)_{x_i} v_s K \\
 & + f(t) \sum_{r=1}^n c_r(t) \cdot \int_D \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(v_r)_{x_i} K_{x_j} \Phi \\
 & = \int_D KF \left(x, t \sum_{r=1}^m c_r v_r \right) v_s,
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

$s = (1, \dots, m)$ with initial conditions,

$$c_r(0) = c'_r(0) = 0. \tag{7}$$

The existence of the solution to the problem (6)–(7) was proved (see [6], [12]).

Now, we will prove that $u_m(x, t)$ converges to $u(x, t)$ which is the weak solution to problem (1)–(4). To do so, we will find some important *a priori* estimates. This is usually where the hard work comes in. Many interesting estimates are discussed in [5]–[8] and [15]. Let $\eta_\epsilon(x, t)$ be an infinitely differentiable function which tends to zero in $Q - Q_\epsilon$, $\eta_\epsilon = 1$ in $Q_{2\epsilon}$ and $|\eta_\epsilon| \leq 1$ in $Q_{2\epsilon} - Q_\epsilon$, where $\epsilon > 0$. Substitute F with F_ϵ , where $F_\epsilon = \eta_\epsilon F$ in system (6) to get the following system:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(t) \sum_{r=1}^m c_r'' \int_D K v_r v_s + f(t) \sum_{r=1}^m c_r \int_D \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(v_r)_{x_i} (v_s)_{x_j} K \\
 & + f(t) \sum_{r=1}^m c_r \int_{\Gamma_1} k_3 v_r v_s + f(t) \sum_{r=1}^m c_r \int_D \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(v_r)_{x_i} (K)_{x_j} v_s \\
 & + \sum_{r=1}^m c_r \int_D \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(v_r)_{x_i} v_s K = \int_D KF_\epsilon \left(x, t, \sum_{r=1}^m c_r v_r \right) v_s.
 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

For convenience, we will adopt the notation of u_m to be understood as $u_{m,\epsilon}$. Multiply (8) by $c'_{s,\epsilon} 1/f(t)t^{\beta+2\alpha}$, $0 < \beta < 1 - \alpha$; sum over s from 1 to m ; integrate with respect to t from 0 to $T_1 < T$; to finally get:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{t^{\beta+2\alpha}} K (u_m)_{tt} (u_m)_t + \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{t^{\beta+2\alpha}} K \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(u_m)_{x_i} (u_m)_{x_j} \\
 & + \int_0^{T_1} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{1}{t^{\beta+2\alpha}} k_3 u_m (u_m)_t + \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{f(t)t^{\beta+2\alpha}} K \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(u_m)_{x_i} (u_m)_t \\
 & = \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{f(t)t^{\beta+2\alpha}} K (u_m)_t F_\epsilon.
 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Integrate by parts to get:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \int_D \frac{1}{t^{\beta+2\alpha}} K(u_m)_t^2 \Big|_{t=T_1} + \frac{1}{2} \int_D \frac{1}{t^{\beta+2\alpha}} K \sum_{ij=1}^n a_{ij}(u_m)_{x_i} (u_m)_{x_j} \Big|_{t=T_1} \\
 & + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{1}{t^{\beta+2\alpha}} k_3 u_m^2 \Big|_{t=T_1} + \frac{B+2\alpha}{2} \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{t^{\beta+2\alpha+1}} K(u_m)_t^2 \\
 & + \frac{\beta+2\alpha}{2} \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{t^{\beta+2\alpha+1}} K \sum_{ij=1}^n a_{ij}(u_m)_{x_i} (u_m)_{x_j} \\
 & + \frac{\beta+2\alpha}{2} \int_0^{T_1} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{1}{t^{\beta+2\alpha}} k_3 u_m^2 \\
 & = \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{f(t)t^{\beta+2\alpha}} KF_3(u_m)_t - \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{f(t)t^{\beta+2\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i K(u_m)_{x_i} (u_m)_t \\
 & - \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{t^{\beta+2\alpha}} \sum_{ij=1}^n a_{ij}(u_m)_{x_i} K_{x_j}(u_m)_t. \tag{10}
 \end{aligned}$$

Estimate the first term on the right hand side using the inequality $|ab| \leq 1/2 a^2 + 1/2 b^2$ and the theorem conditions to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{f(t)t^{\beta+2\alpha}} KF_3(u_m)_t \right| \\
 & \leq \left| \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{f(t)t^{\beta+2\alpha}} P u_m(u_m)_t \right| + \left| \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{f(t)t^{\beta+2\alpha}} (u_m)_t q \right| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{f(t)t^{\beta+2\alpha}} p^2 u_m^2 + \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{f(t)t^{\beta+2\alpha}} (u_m)_t^2 \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{t^{\beta+2\alpha}} q^2. \tag{11}
 \end{aligned}$$

From the above, it is easy to arrive at

$$\int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{f(t)t^{\beta+2\alpha}} p^2 u_m^2 \leq C \frac{T_1^5}{4(2+\beta+2\alpha)} \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{t^{\beta+2\alpha+1}} (u_m)_t^2, \tag{12}$$

where C is a constant independent of m, ε . Similarly, we can estimate the remaining terms in (10), and therefore the inequality (10) can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{A}{t^{1+\beta+2\alpha}} (u_m)_t^2 + \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{B}{t^{1+\beta+2\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^n (u_m)_{x_i}^2 + \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{1}{t^{1+\beta+2\alpha}} u^2 \\
 & \leq \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{f(t)t^{\beta+2\alpha}} q^2, \tag{13}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A = \frac{\beta+2\alpha}{2} - \frac{cnt}{2\phi} - \frac{cnt}{2} - \frac{t}{\phi} - \frac{CT_1^5}{8(2+\beta+2\alpha)},$$

and
$$B = \frac{\beta + 2\alpha}{2} \lambda - \frac{Ct}{2\phi} - \frac{Ct}{2},$$

choose T_1 sufficiently small such that A and B remain positive. From the integral on the right side in (13), together with the condition on $q(x, t)$ we find (see [4]):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{t^{1+\beta+2\alpha}} (u_m)_t^2 &< C, \\ \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{t^{1+\beta+2\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^n (u_m)_{x_i}^2 &< C, \\ \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{t^{1+\beta+2\alpha}} u_m^2 &< C. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Multiply (8) by $1/f(t)$; differentiate with respect to t and multiply the result by $C_{s,s}^*$ $1/t^\beta$; sum over s from 1 to m and integrate with respect to t from 0 to $T_1 < T$ to get:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{t^\beta} K (u_m)_t (u_m)_t + \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{t^\beta} K \sum_{ij=1}^n (u_m)_{x_i} (u_m)_{x_j} \\ &+ \int_0^{T_1} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{1}{t^\beta} k_3 (u_m)_t (u_m)_t + \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{t^\beta f(t)} K \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i)_t (u_m)_{x_i} (u_m)_t \\ &+ \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{t^\beta f(t)} K \sum_{i=1}^n b_i u_m (u_m)_t - \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{f'(t)}{t^\beta f^2(t)} K \sum_{i=1}^n b_i (u_m)_{x_i} (u_m)_t \\ &+ \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{t^\beta} \sum_{ij=1}^n a_{ij} (K)_{x_i} (u_m)_{t x_j} (u_m)_t \\ &= \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{t^\beta f(t)} (F_s)_t K (u_m)_t + \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{t^\beta f(t)} (F_s)_{u_m} (u_m)_t (u_m)_t \\ &- \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{f'(t)}{t^\beta f^2(t)} K F_s (u_m)_t. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

From (15), the fact that $|F_s| \leq |F|$ and the theorem conditions, we get the next *a priori* estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{t^{1+\beta}} (u_m)_t^2 &< C, \\ \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{t^{1+\beta}} (u_m)_{t x_i}^2 &< C, \\ \int_0^{T_1} \int_D \frac{1}{t^{1+\beta}} (u_m)_t^2 &< C. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Using the *a priori* estimates (14) and (16) we can choose subsequences $(u_{mk})_t, (u_{mk})_{x_i}, (u_{mk})_t, (u_{mk})_{t x_i}$, converges to $u, u_{x_i}, u_t, u_{t x_i}$ in L_2 . Regarding the embedding theorem (see [1]) u_m converges strongly to u .

To prove the uniqueness, assume u and \bar{u} are two different solutions and $w = u - \bar{u}$. Using the *a priori* estimates in (14) and (16), we clearly get $w = 0$, i.e., $u = \bar{u}$. Thus, the existence and uniqueness have been proved.

REMARK. Similarly, we can prove the existence theorem for problems (1)–(4), in case of $F(x, t, u) = a(x, t)|u|$ where $\sigma \leq n + 2/n - 1$ in place of condition 3 (see [10]).

References

- [1] Adams R A, *Sobolev spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] Chandirov G and Rzoef E, Approximation of solutions of nonregular mixed problems for equations of parabolic type with discontinuous and constant coefficients by solutions of regular problem, *Az. Gos. Univ. Baku, USSR*. (1981) 102–111
- [3] De Simon L, Inhomogeneous first order linear partial differential equations with coefficients and right hand side c' continuous, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B* (6) 1 (1982) 729–742
- [4] Duvaut G, *Inequalities in mechanics and physics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976
- [5] Egarov E A, Boundary value problem for elliptic-parabolic equations, *Sibirsk. Mat. Z.* 17 (1976) 686–691
- [6] Hartman P, *Ordinary differential equations*, John Wiley, New York, 1964
- [7] John F and Klainerman S, Almost global existence to nonlinear wave equation in three space dimensions, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 37 (1984)
- [8] Klainerman S, Solutions to quasilinear wave equations in three space dimensions, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 36 (1983) 325–344
- [9] Krasnoselskii M A, *Topological methods in the theory of nonlinear integral equations*, Macmillans, New York, 1964
- [10] Nirenberg L, Variational and topological methods in nonlinear problems, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* 4 (1981) 267–302
- [11] Oleinik O A, Boundary value problem for linear parabolic equation with discontinuous coefficients, *Uzv. Akad. Nauk SSR, Ser. Mat.*, 25 (1961) 9–20
- [12] Petrovski T G, *Ordinary differential equations*, Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 1966
- [13] Romaskevich L P, Classical solutions of second order quasilinear degenerate elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients, *Usp. Mat. Nauk* 36 (1980) 205–216
- [14] Shen Y T, Global boundedness of weak solution of quasilinear elliptic equation of order two with strongly singular coefficients, *J. China Univ. Sci. Tech.* 11 (1981) 24–31
- [15] Sigillito V, *Explicit a priori inequalities with applications to boundary value problem*, Pitman, London, 1977
- [16] Venturino M, The membership in $H^3(\Omega)$ of the solutions of a class of elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. Suppl.* (1980) 197–218