

On a generalization of the class of functions with bounded Mocanu variation

J THANGAMANI

The Ramanujan Institute, University of Madras, Madras 600 005, India

MS received 11 October 1980

Abstract. The object of this paper is to generalise the well-known class of functions analytic in the unit disc having bounded Mocanu variation. Certain properties of this more general class are investigated using convolution techniques.

Keywords. Convolution ; Mocanu variation ; bounded argument rotation
 bounded boundary rotation ; hypergeometric function.

Introduction

Let M denote the class of functions $f(z)$ analytic in the unit disc $E(|z| < 1)$ with $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$. We represent by $(f * g)(z)$ the 'convolution' or the 'Hadamard product' of two functions belonging to M . Let $K_a(z)$ denote the function $z(1-z)^{-a}$ where $a \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re } a > 0$. Clearly $K_a \in M$.

In this paper we introduce and study a new class of functions $MV[a, k, a]$ defined as follows :

Let $a, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $a > 0$, $\alpha \geq 0$. We say $f \in MV[a, k, a]$ if and only if $f \in M$;

$$\frac{(K_{\alpha+1} * f)(z)}{z} \neq 0; \quad \frac{(K_a * f)(z)}{z} \neq 0 \quad \text{for } z \in E \text{ and}$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \text{Re} \left\{ \alpha(a+1) \left[\frac{(K_{\alpha+2} * f)(re^{i\theta})}{(K_{\alpha+1} * f)(re^{i\theta})} - \frac{a}{a+1} \right] \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + (1-\alpha)a \left[\frac{(K_{\alpha+1} * f)(re^{i\theta})}{(K_a * f)(re^{i\theta})} - \frac{a-1}{a} \right] \right\} \right| d\theta \leq k\pi$$

where $z = re^{i\theta}$ and $k \geq 2$. (1)

It is easy to observe that when $a = 1$, $MV[a, k, a]$ coincides with the well-known class $MV[a, k]$ consisting of functions having a bounded Mocanu variation introduced by Coonce and Ziegler [1]. Similarly we see that $MV[1, 2, 1] \equiv K$

the class of convex functions; $MV [0, 2, 1] \equiv S^*$ the class of starlike functions; $MV [a, 2, 1] \equiv S_a$ the class of a -convex functions introduced by Mocanu [4]; $MV [0, k, 1] \equiv U_k$ the class of normalized functions having bounded argument rotation (Tammi [6]) and $MV [1, k, 1] \equiv V_k$ the class of normalized functions having bounded boundary rotation (Löwner [2]).

2. Geometric interpretation and preliminary remarks

A geometric interpretation of (1) can be obtained and the class $MV [a, k, a]$ can be defined in a manner analogous to $MV [a, k]$. Let

$$J(a, f, a) \equiv a(a+1) \left[\frac{(K_{a+2} * f)(z)}{(K_{a+1} * f)(z)} - \frac{a}{a+1} \right] + (1-a)a \left[\frac{(K_{a+1} * f)(z)}{(K_a * f)(z)} - \frac{a-1}{a} \right]. \quad (2)$$

If $z = re^{i\theta}$ and χ is the angle defined by

$$\chi = (1-a) \arg(K_a * f)(z) + a \arg(iK_{a+1} * f)(z),$$

using the relation

$$z(K_a * f)'(z) = a(K_{a+1} * f)(z) - (a-1)(K_a * f)(z), \quad (3)$$

it can be shown that $\partial\chi/\partial\theta = \operatorname{Re}\{J(a, f, a)\}$. Thus $f \in MV [a, k, a]$ if and only if the angle χ defined above has a bounded variation as $\arg z = \theta$ increases continuously on $|z| = r$. When $a = 1$, χ is the Mocanu angle given by $\chi = (1-a) \arg f(z) + a \arg(izf'(z))$.

Next we proceed to give an integral representation to members in the class $MV [a, k, a]$. We require the following results.

Let M_k denote the class of real valued functions $m(t)$ of bounded variation on $[-\pi, \pi]$ which satisfy the conditions

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dm(t) = 2, \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |dm(t)| \leq k.$$

The class P_k introduced by Pinchuk [5] consists of analytic functions

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \text{ in } E \text{ satisfying for every}$$

$$r < 1 (z = re^{i\theta} \in E), \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |\operatorname{Re} p(z)| d\theta \leq k\pi, \quad k \geq 2.$$

Clearly P_2 is the class P of functions with positive real part in E . It is known [5] that functions in the class P_k have the representation,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1 + ze^{-it}}{1 - ze^{-it}} dm(t), \quad m(t) \in M_k, \quad (4)$$

and functions in the class U_k can be written in the form

$$f(z) = z \exp \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} -\log(1 - ze^{-it}) dm(t), \quad m(t) \in M_k. \tag{5}$$

3. Theorems and their proofs

Theorem 1. $f \in MV [a, k, a]$ if and only if

$$a z \frac{(K_{a+1} * f)'(z)}{(K_{a+1} * f)(z)} + (1 - a) \frac{z(K_a * f)'(z)}{(K_a * f)(z)} \in P_k. \tag{6}$$

Proof. Using (3) it is easy to check that $J(a, f, a)$ given by (2) is also equal to the left side expression given by (6). Hence the theorem follows from (1) and definition of P_k .

Corollary. Putting $a = 0$ we see $f \in MV [0, k, a]$ if and only if $(K_a * f) \in U_k$ and similarly $f \in MV [1, k, a]$ if and only if $(K_{a+1} * f) \in U_k$. It follows therefore that $f \in MV [1, k, a]$ if and only if $(K_a^{-1} * K_{a+1}) * f \in MV [0, k, a]$. For $a = 1$ we obtain the well-known result [5] $f \in V_k$ iff $zf' \in U_k$.

Theorem 2. $f(z)$ is in $MV(a, k, a)$ if and only if there is an $F(z)$ in U_k such that for a suitable branch

$$(K_a * f)(z) = \left[\frac{a}{\alpha} z^{-(a-1)/\alpha} \int_0^z t^{-1+(a-1)/2\alpha} F(t)^{(a+1)/2\alpha} dt \right]^\alpha, \quad z \in E. \tag{7}$$

Proof. Using (6) we obtain

$$a \frac{(K_{a+1} * f)'(z)}{(K_{a+1} * f)(z)} + (1 - a) \frac{(K_a * f)'(z)}{(K_a * f)(z)} - \frac{1}{z} = \frac{p(z) - 1}{z}$$

where $p(z) \in P_k$.

Integration and simplification making use of (4) and (5) yield

$$(K_{a+1} * f)(z) \{(K_a * f)(z)\}^{1/\alpha-1} z^{(a-1)/2\alpha} = F(z)^{(a+1)/2\alpha} \tag{8}$$

where $F(z) \in U_k$. This on further simplification becomes

$$\frac{d}{dz} \left\{ (K_a * f)(z)^{1/\alpha} z^{(a-1)/\alpha} \right\} = \frac{a}{\alpha} z^{(a-1)/2\alpha-1} F(z)^{(a+1)/2\alpha}, \tag{9}$$

from which we derive (7). The converse can be verified easily.

Corollary. Since $G(z) \in V_k$ if and only if $zG'(z) \in U_k$ we can write (7) also in the form

$$(K_a * f)(z) = \left[\frac{a}{\alpha} z^{-(a-1)/\alpha} \int_0^z G'(t)^{(a+1)/2\alpha} t^{a/\alpha-1} dt \right]^\alpha$$

where $G(t) \in V_k$. (10)

Remark. Putting $a = 1$ we obtain the representation for functions with bounded Mocanu variation (Coonce and Ziegler [1]). When $a = 1$, $k = 2$ we obtain the representation for α -convex functions (Mocanu [4]). When $a = 1$, $a = 1$, the functions satisfying (7) are all known to be in V_k .

The representation formula (7) enables us to obtain a distortion theorem concerning functions in $MV[a, k, a]$. We require the following lemma.

Lemma. If $F(z)$ is in U_k and $|z| = r$ then

$$r(1-r)^{(k-2)/2}(1+r)^{-(k+2)/2} \leq |F(z)| \leq r(1+r)^{(k-2)/2}(1-r)^{-(k+2)/2}. \quad (11)$$

The proof of the lemma can be found in [1].

Theorem 3. If $f(z)$ is in $MV[a, k, a]$ and $M(r) = \max |(K_a * f) re^{i\theta}|$

($0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$), then

$$M(r) = 0 [(1-r)^{-(k+2)(a+1)-4a/4}] \text{ for } 0 \leq a < \frac{(k+2)(a+1)}{4}, \quad (12)$$

$$M(r) = 0 [\log(1-r)^{-1}]^a \text{ for } a = \frac{(k+2)(a+1)}{4} \quad (13)$$

$$M(r) \leq \left[\frac{a}{\alpha} 2^{(k-2)(a+1)/4a} r^{-(a-1)/a} \frac{\Gamma(a/\alpha) \Gamma(1 - (k+2)(a+1)/4a)}{\Gamma(a/\alpha + 1 - (k-2)(a+1)/4a)} \right]^a, \\ \text{for } a > \frac{(k+2)(a+1)}{4}. \quad (14)$$

Proof. By Theorem 2, there exists $F(z)$ in U_k such that

$$(K_a * f)(z) = \left[\frac{a}{\alpha} z^{-(a-1)/a} \int_0^1 t^{-1+(a-1)/2a} F(t)^{a+1/2a} dt \right]^a,$$

and applying (11) to the above we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(K_a * f)(re^{i\theta})|^{1/a} &\leq \frac{a}{\alpha} r^{-(a-1)/a} \int_0^1 \rho^{a/\alpha-1} (1-\rho)^{-(k+2)(a+1)/4a} \\ &\quad \times (1+\rho)^{(k-2)(a+1)/4a} d\rho \\ &\leq \frac{a}{\alpha} r^{-(a-1)/a} 2^{(k-2)(a+1)/4a} \int_0^1 \rho^{a/\alpha-1} (1-\rho)^{-(k+2)(a+1)/4a} d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Changing the variable by putting $\rho = ru$ we obtain

$$|(K_a * f)(re^{i\theta})|^{1/a} \leq \frac{a}{\alpha} r^{1/a} 2^{(k-2)(a+1)/4a} \int_0^1 u^{a/\alpha-1} (1-ru)^{-(k+2)(a+1)/4a} du. \quad (15)$$

using hypergeometric functions given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(l, m, n; z) &= \frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(l)\Gamma(m)} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(l+p)\Gamma(m+p)}{\Gamma(n+p)} \frac{z^p}{p!} \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(l)\Gamma(n-l)} \int_0^1 u^{l-1} (1-u)^{n-l-1} (1-zu)^{-m} du, \tag{16}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Re } l > 0$ and $\text{Re } (n-l) > 0$ we can write

$$|(K_a * f)(re^{i\theta})|^{1/a} \leq r^{1/a} 2^{(k-2)(a+1)/4a} G\left(\frac{a}{\alpha}, \frac{(k+2)(a+1)}{4\alpha}, 1 + \frac{a}{\alpha}; r\right). \tag{17}$$

Hence
$$M(r) \leq r 2^{\frac{1}{2}[(k-2)(a+1)]} \left[G\left(\frac{a}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{4\alpha} [(k+2)(a+1)], 1 + \frac{a}{\alpha}; r\right) \right]^a \tag{18}$$

If we now take $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{4} [(k+2)(a+1)]$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-0} \frac{G\left(\frac{a}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{4\alpha} [(k+2)(a+1)], 1 + \frac{a}{\alpha}; r\right)}{(1-r)^{1-\frac{1}{4\alpha} [(k+2)(a+1)]}} \\
 = \frac{4a}{(k+2)(a+1) - 4\alpha} \quad ([7] \text{ p. 299}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (18) we get

$$M(r) = O\{r(1-r)^{-\frac{1}{2}[(k+2)(a+1)-4\alpha]}\} = O\{(1-r)^{-\frac{1}{2}[(k+2)(a+1)-4\alpha]}\}$$

as $r \rightarrow 1-0$

when $\alpha = \frac{1}{4} [(k+2)(a+1)]$ we obtain from ([7], p. 299)

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1-0} \frac{G\left(\frac{a}{\alpha}, 1, 1 + \frac{a}{\alpha}; r\right)}{\log [(1-r)^{-1}]} = a/\alpha,$$

and combining with (18) we have

$$M(r) = O\{r [\log(1-r)^{-1}]^a\} = O[\log(1-r)^{-1}]^a \text{ as } r \rightarrow 1-0.$$

In the case $\alpha > [(k+2)(a+1)]/4$ we have

$$\int_0^1 \rho^{\frac{a}{\alpha}-1} (1-\rho)^{-\frac{1}{4\alpha} [(k+2)(a+1)]} d\rho = \frac{\Gamma(a/\alpha) \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{1}{4\alpha} [(k+2)(a+1)]\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{\alpha} + 1 - \frac{1}{4\alpha} [(k+2)(a+1)]\right)}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$M(r) \leq \left[\frac{a}{\alpha} 2^{\frac{1}{4\alpha} [(k-2)(a+1)]} r^{-\frac{(a-1)}{\alpha}} \frac{\Gamma(a/\alpha) \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{1}{4\alpha} [(k+2)(a+1)]\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{\alpha} + 1 - \frac{1}{4\alpha} [(k+2)(a+1)]\right)} \right]^\alpha$$

which completes the proof of the theorem.

The function $f(z)$ defined by

$$(K_a * f)(z) = \frac{a}{\alpha} z^{-(a-1)\alpha} \int_0^z t^{a/\alpha-1} (1-t)^{-\frac{1}{4\alpha} [(k+2)(a+1)]} (1+t)^{\frac{1}{4\alpha} [(k-2)(a+1)]} dt$$

shows that the orders given in (12) and (13) are the best possible.

Remark. When $a = 1$ we obtain the results in [1] and when $a = 1, k = 2$ the results in [3].

It is worth noting that when $a = 1, MV[a, k, a] \subset S$, the class of univalent functions iff $k \leq 2 + 2\alpha$ and $MV[a, k, a] \subset MV[\beta, k, a]$ if $\alpha \geq \beta \geq 0$; [1]. These results are under investigation by the author for other values of $a \in \mathbf{R}$.

Acknowledgement

The author is thankful to Prof. K S Padmanabhan for his help and suggestions in the preparation of this paper.

References

- [1] Coonce H B and Ziegler M R 1974 *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl.* **19** 1093
- [2] Löwner K 1917 *Ber. der Kon. Sachsischen Gesellschaft der Wiss Zu Leipzig* 26
- [3] Miller S S 1973 *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **38** 311
- [4] Mocanu P T 1969 *Math. Cluj.* **11** 127
- [5] Pinchuk B 1971 *Isr. J. Math.* **10** 6
- [6] Tammi O 1952 *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fennicae Ser. A.I. Math. Phys.* **114** 51
- [7] Whittaker E T and Watson G N 1927 *A course of modern analysis* (Cambridge Univ. Press)