

Poisson formulae of Hecke type

By

S RAGHAVAN and S S RANGACHARI

1. Introduction

In his lectures on "Forms of higher degree", Igusa [10] gave a simple proof of a Poisson formula due to Yamazaki [19] associated with coefficients of Dirichlet series with functional equations involving a single Γ -factor, by introducing an operator of order 2 in a function space, via the Mellin transform. Our object here is to show that this Poisson formula can be generalised a little, so as to bring within its ambit, functional equations of Dirichlet series involving multiple Γ -factors (and, in particular, those associated with Mellin transforms of non-analytic automorphic functions). We also indicate an adelic interpretation of certain Poisson formulae above just to highlight the fact that such a formula in adelic form constitutes an important step in the proof of theorem 5 in § 3 of [7] on a global representation of $(GL_2)_A$.

2. Mellin transforms

Let $\mu_{0,1}, \dots, \mu_{0,a}, \mu_{1,1}, \dots, \mu_{1,a}, \mu_{2,1}, \dots, \mu_{2,a}$

be a sequence of a -tuples of complex numbers such that $\text{Re}(\mu_{k,j}) = \lambda_k$ for every j with $1 \leq j \leq a$ and for every $k \geq 0$ and further let $0 \leq \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \dots \rightarrow \infty$. For every $k \geq 0$, let $m_k \geq 1$ be a fixed natural number.

Following Igusa [10], we define corresponding function spaces \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{X} by the conditions:

(A) \mathcal{F} consists of all complex valued C^∞ functions F on the space \mathbb{R}_+^a of positive real numbers behaving like Schwartz functions at infinity such that with well-determined complex constants $a_{k,j,m}$ the function F has a termwise differentiable asymptotic expansion

$$F(x) \approx \sum_{0 \leq k < \infty} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq a} \sum_{1 \leq m \leq m_k} a_{k,j,m} x^{\mu_{k,j}} (\log x)^{m-1}, \quad (1)$$

as x tends to 0, and

(B) \mathcal{Z} consists of all complex valued functions Z meromorphic on \mathbf{C} with poles at most at the points $-\mu_{k,j}$ ($k \geq 0$; $1 \leq j \leq a$) and having principal part at $-\mu_{k,j}$ of the form.

$$\sum_{1 \leq m \leq m_k} b_{k,j,m} (s + \mu_{k,j})^{-m}$$

and further such that for every polynomial P in s and any vertical strip $B_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} = \{s = \sigma + ti \in \mathbf{C} ; \sigma_1 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_2\}$ with arbitrary σ_1, σ_2 , the function $P(s)Z(s)$ is bounded in B_{σ_1, σ_2} with small neighbourhoods of the poles of Z deleted therefrom.

For $k \geq 0$ and any j, m with $1 \leq j \leq a$ and $1 \leq m \leq m_k$, let us define

$$\varphi_{k,j,m}(x) = x^{\mu_{k,j}} (\log x)^{m-1} \text{ for } x \in \mathbf{R}_+^\times ;$$

then $\varphi_{k,j,m} = o(\varphi_{k+1,l,n})$ as x tends to 0,

for every j, l, m and n . Further if we define, for $k \geq 0$,

$$R_k(x) = F(x) - \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq a} \sum_{1 \leq m \leq m_i} a_{i,j,m} x^{\mu_{i,j}} (\log x)^{m-1} \tag{2}$$

then the asymptotic expansion (1) for F in \mathcal{F} simply means that $R_k(x) = O(\varphi_{k+1,p,q})$ for every $k \geq 0$ and any p, q as x tends to 0.

The space \mathcal{F} is non-empty, since it contains the constant function $F = 0$ on \mathbf{R}_+^\times . Further it is stable under homothety-invariant differential operators; this property of \mathcal{F} corresponds to \mathcal{Z} being stable under multiplication by polynomials in s .

Theorem 1. There exists a bijective correspondence

$$M : \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{Z} \text{ given by } F \rightarrow MF \text{ where}$$

$$(MF)(s) = \int_0^\infty F(x) x^s d(\log x), \tag{3}$$

defined for $s = \sigma + ti$ in \mathbf{C} with $\sigma = \text{Re}(s) > 0$ has a meromorphic continuation to the whole of \mathbf{C} . Conversely, for every Z in \mathcal{Z} , the inverse transform

$$(M^{-1}Z)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma - \infty i}^{\sigma + \infty i} Z(s) x^{-s} ds \tag{4}$$

gives rise to an element of \mathcal{F} , independently of σ for $\sigma > 0$. Moreover, we have the relation

$$b_{l,j,m} = (-1)^{m-1} (m-1)! a_{l,j,m}, \tag{5}$$

for every l, j, m .

The proof of this theorem is exactly the same as in [10] and for the sake of completeness, we shall quickly sketch its proof.

(i) $F \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow Z = MF \in \mathcal{Z}$:

Let $s = \sigma + ti$ with $0 < \sigma_1 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_2 < \infty$. It is easy to see that $x^s F(x)$ is dominated by a φ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+^\times, d(\log x))$; therefore $Z(s)$ is holomorphic for $\text{Re } s > 0$, in view of its being defined by an absolutely convergent integral and the integrand being holomorphic. It is clear, for a similar reason that $Z_2(s) = \int_1^\infty F(x) x^s d(\log x)$ is an entire function of s and further bounded in vertical strips. For every $\rho < \lambda_{k+1}$, $R_k(x) = o(x^\rho)$ as x tends to 0 and therefore, for every σ_1 with $-\lambda_{k+1} < \sigma_1 \leq \sigma$ and $-\sigma_1 \leq \rho < \lambda_{k+1}$, the function $x^{\sigma_1} R_k(x)$ is dominated by an element of $L^1((0,1], d(\log x))$. As a result, $Z_1(s) = \int_0^1 R_k(x) x^s d(\log x)$ is holomorphic in s for $\text{Re } (s) > -\lambda_{k+1}$ and bounded in vertical strips. Splitting up the integral in (3) over $(0, 1]$ and $[1, \infty)$, it is easy to see from (2) that for $\text{Re } s > 0$,

$$Z(s) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \sigma} \sum_{1 \leq m \leq m_i} b_{i,j,m} (s + \mu_{i,j})^{-m} + Z_1(s) + Z_2(s)$$

with $b_{i,j,m}$ satisfying (5). It is now immediate that Z satisfies the first half of condition (B) and also the second half with $P \equiv 1$. For the case of arbitrary P , one has only to invoke the homothety-invariance of \mathcal{F} and it now follows that Z is in \mathcal{Z} .

(ii) $Z \in \mathcal{Z} \Rightarrow F = M^{-1}Z \in \mathcal{F}$:

For $0 < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2$, let L denote the boundary (covered anticlockwise) of the rectangle in the complex s -plane with vertices at $\sigma_1 \pm t_0 i, \sigma_2 \pm t_0 i$ for some $t_0 > 0$. By Cauchy's theorem, $\int_L Z(s) x^{-s} ds = 0$ for any $x > 0$. As t_0 tends to ∞ , the contribution to this integral from the "horizontal" sides of L tends to 0, in view of the second part of condition (B) for Z . Thus the integral (4) is defined independently of σ and moreover converges absolutely in view of condition (B). Now, for any $k \geq 0$,

$$x^k \frac{d^k F(x)}{dx^k} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma - \infty i}^{\sigma + \infty i} (-1)^k s(s+1) \dots (s+k-1) Z(s) x^{-s} ds$$

and this integral converges absolutely by condition (B). Thus F is in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)$ and behaves like a Schwartz function at infinity. We then see that $R_k(x)$ defined by (2) satisfies the condition $R_k(x) = o(x^\rho)$ for any ρ with $\lambda_k < \rho < \lambda_{k+1}$ and $k \geq 0$. If L_1 is the boundary traversed anticlockwise of the rectangle in the s -plane with vertices at $s \pm t_0 i, -\rho \pm t_0 i$ for a large enough $t_0 > 0$, one shows by choosing a proper contour and using condition (B) that

$$F(x) = S + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\rho - \infty i}^{-\rho + \infty i} Z(s) x^{-s} ds,$$

where S is the sum of the residues of the integrand at the poles $-\mu_{0,1}, \dots, -\mu_{0,a}, -\mu_{1,1}, \dots, -\mu_{1,a}, \dots$, lying inside L_1 . But $F(x) - S$ is precisely $R_k(x)$ and the required o -estimate for $R_k(x)$ follows, in view of (B), by majorising the integral. Now $-sZ(s) = (\mu_{i,p} - (s + \mu_{i,p}))Z(s)$ is in \mathcal{X} with

$$b'_{i,j,p} = \begin{cases} \mu_{i,j} b_{i,j,p} - b_{i,j,p+1} & 1 \leq p < m_i \\ \mu_{i,j} b_{i,j,p} & p = m_i \end{cases}$$

in place of the earlier $b_{i,j,p}$. Working with $-sZ(s)$ in place of $Z(s)$, we get $xF'(x)$ instead of $F(x)$ and further

$$xF'(x) \approx \sum_{0 \leq i < \infty} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq a} \sum_{1 \leq m \leq m_k} \tilde{a}_{k,j,m} x^{\mu_{k,j}} (\log x)^{m-1}$$

with $\tilde{a}_{k,j,m} = (-1)^{m-1} b'_{k,j,m} / (m-1)!$

$$= \begin{cases} \mu_{k,j} a_{k,j,m} + ma_{k,j,m+1}, & 1 \leq m < m_k \\ \mu_{k,j} a_{k,j,m}, & m = m_k. \end{cases}$$

Thus the asymptotic expansion of F is once termwise differentiable; interaction shows that F is in \mathcal{F} .

Remarks : (i) If the condition $\lambda_k \geq 0$ for every k is replaced by a condition of the form $\lambda_k \geq \lambda$ for every k for some fixed real $\lambda \leq 0$, the corresponding \mathcal{X} -space is obtained from the earlier one by a mere translation of the variable s by λ ; similarly, the corresponding space \mathcal{F} is obtained by multiplying the elements of the original \mathcal{F} -space by x^λ .

(ii) One can also consider more general sequences of the form

$$\mu_{0,1}, \dots, \mu_{0,a}, \mu_{1,1}, \dots, \mu_{1,a}, \mu_{2,1}, \dots, \mu_{2,a}, \dots$$

with $\text{Re}(\mu_{k,j}) = \lambda_k$ for every fixed $k \geq 0$ and for $1 \leq j \leq a_k$. However, arithmetically interesting situations arise when, for example, the sequence of $\mu_{k,j}$'s coincides with the set of poles of a product of Γ -factors, say

$$G(s) = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq a} \Gamma(a_j s + \beta_j)^{m_j} \text{ with } a_j > 0, \text{ Re } \beta_j \geq 0 \text{ and}$$

$a_i \beta_j - a_j \beta_i$ not of the form $ma_j - na_i$ for $m, n \geq 0$ in \mathbb{Z} if $i \neq j$. In such a situation, \mathcal{X} can be characterised as the space of meromorphic functions Z on \mathbb{C} such that $Z(s)/G(s)$ is entire and for every polynomial P in s , $P(s)Z(s)$ is bounded in vertical strips. In the corresponding space \mathcal{F} , we have for every $\kappa > 0$, an involution $F \mapsto WF$ defined by

$$\frac{(M(WF))(s)}{G(s)} = \frac{(MF)(\kappa - s)}{G(\kappa - s)}. \tag{6}$$

(As we shall see later, an analogue of this operator W exists already in the non-archimedean case as well). We shall be interested in obtaining Poisson formulae involved with functional equations containing multiple Γ -factors.

3. Poisson formula of Hecke type

Let $\{\varphi_j(s) = \sum_{n \neq 0} a_n^{(j)} |n|^{-s}; 1 \leq j \leq N\}$ and

$$\{\psi_j^*(s) = \sum_{n \neq 0} b_n^{(j)} |n|^{-s}; 1 \leq j \leq N\}$$

be two sets of N Dirichlet series each converging in some (right) s -halfplane such that if we set, for $1 \leq j \leq N$ and a fixed $A > 0$,

$$\xi_j(s) = A^s G(s) \varphi_j(s), \quad \eta_j^*(s) = A^s G(s) \psi_j^*(s), \tag{7}$$

then we have the functional equations

$$\xi_j(\kappa - s) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq N} c_{jk} \eta_k^*(s), \quad 1 \leq j \leq N \tag{8}$$

with real c_{jk} . We may assume ξ_1, \dots, ξ_N to be linearly independent over \mathbb{C} ; then, $(c_{jk})^2$ is the N -rowed identity matrix. We also assume that ξ_k, η_i^* have only finitely many poles in \mathbb{C} .

From (6), (7) and (8), we obtain

$$(MWF)(s) A^s \varphi_k(s) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} c_{ki}(MF)(\kappa - s) A^{\kappa-s} \psi_i^*(\kappa - s). \tag{9}$$

In view of the absolute convergence of the Dirichlet series $\varphi_j(s)$ in some right half plane, we see that the integral of the left hand side of (9) from $\sigma - \infty i$ to $\sigma + \infty i$ for any fixed sufficiently large σ is simply

$$2\pi i \sum_{n \neq 0} a_n^{(k)} (WF)(|n|/A).$$

Thus, for large σ_1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \neq 0} a_n^{(k)} (WF)(|n|/A) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\kappa - \sigma - \infty i}^{\kappa - \sigma + \infty i} (M(WF))(\kappa - s) A^{\kappa-s} \varphi_k(\kappa - s) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} c_{ki} \int_{\kappa - \sigma - \infty i}^{\kappa - \sigma + \infty i} (MF)(s) A^s \psi_i^*(s) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} c_{ki} \int_{\sigma_1 - \infty i}^{\sigma_1 + \infty i} \frac{(MF)(s)}{G(s)} \eta_i^*(s) ds - S^* \end{aligned}$$

where $S^* = \sum \operatorname{Res} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} c_{ki} \frac{(MF)(s)}{G(s)} \eta_i^*(s) \right),$

the sum of the residues of the sum inside at all the poles. Using the absolute convergence of the Dirichlet series $\psi_i^*(s)$, we have

$$\sum_{n \neq 0} a_n^{(k)} (\mathbf{W}F) (|n|/A) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} c_{ki} \sum_{n \neq 0} b_n^{(i)} F(|n|/A) - S^*$$

which, on replacing F by $\mathbf{W}F$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \neq 0} a_n^{(k)} F(|n|/A) &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} c_{ki} \sum_{n \neq 0} b_n^{(i)} (\mathbf{W}F) (|n|/A) - \\ &- \sum \operatorname{Res} \left(\frac{(M(\mathbf{W}F))(s)}{G(s)} \zeta_k(\kappa - s) \right) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $\Sigma \operatorname{Res}$ is the sum of the residues at all poles, as before.

For fixed $y > 0$, let us replace F in (10) by F_y where $F_y(x) = F(xy)$. Then

$$\mathbf{W}(F_y) = y^{-\kappa} (\mathbf{W}F)_{y^{-1}}, \tag{11}$$

where $(\mathbf{W}F)_{y^{-1}}(x) = (\mathbf{W}F)(xy^{-1})$

for every $x > 0$. Therefore

$$M(\mathbf{W}(F_y))(s) = y^{-\kappa} M((\mathbf{W}F)_{y^{-1}})(s) = y^{s-\kappa} (M(\mathbf{W}F))(s)$$

and (10) now becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \neq 0} a_n^{(k)} F(|n|/yA) &= \\ &= y^{-\kappa} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} c_{ki} \sum_{n \neq 0} b_n^{(i)} (\mathbf{W}F) (|n|/(Ay)) - \\ &- \sum \operatorname{Res} \left(\frac{(M(\mathbf{W}F))(s)}{G(s)} y^{s-\kappa} \zeta_k(\kappa - s) \right) \end{aligned} \tag{10}'$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= y^{-\kappa} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} c_{ki} \sum_{n \neq 0} b_n^{(i)} (\mathbf{W}F) (|n|/(Ay)) + \\ &+ \sum \operatorname{Res} \left(y^{-s} \frac{(MF)(s)}{G(s)} \zeta_k(s) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{10}''$$

The second term in (10)'' is a 'residual function' in the sense of Bochner [3] and (10)'' gives rise to a "generalised modular relation",

For the sake of simplicity, we assume that no $\xi_k(s)$ has a pole on the line $\text{Re}(s) = \kappa/2$. Let u_1, \dots, u_p be the poles of $\xi_k(s)$. Then we may rewrite (10) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \neq 0} a_n^{(k)} F(|n|/A) + \sum_{\text{Re } u_j > \kappa/2} \text{Res}_{s=u_j} \frac{(M(WF))(s)}{G(s)} \xi_k(\kappa - s) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} c_{ki} \sum_{n \neq 0} b_n^{(i)}(WF)(|n|/A) - \sum_{\text{Re } u_j < \kappa/2} \text{Res}_{s=u_j} \frac{(M(WF))(s)}{G(s)} \xi_k(\kappa - s). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The second term on the left hand side of (12) is the same as

$$- \sum_{\text{Re } u_j > \kappa/2} \text{Res}_{s=\kappa-u_j} \frac{MF(s)}{G(s)} \xi_k(s) = - \sum_{\text{Re } u_j < \kappa/2} \text{Res}_{s=u_j} \frac{(MF)(s)}{G(s)} \xi_k(s)$$

while the one on its right hand side is equal to

$$- \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} c_{ki} \sum_{\text{Re } u_j < \kappa/2} \text{Res}_{s=u_j} \frac{(M(WF))(s)}{G(s)} \eta_i^*(s)$$

Thus we have a Poisson formula of Hecke type given by the following *Theorem 2*. For any F whose Mellin transform MF is such that $(MF)(s)/G(s)$ is entire and $P(s)MF(s)$ is bounded in vertical strips for every polynomial P and for $\xi_1(s), \dots, \xi_N(s), \eta_1^*(s), \dots, \eta_N^*(s)$ satisfying functional equations (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \neq 0} a_n^{(k)} F(|n|/A) - \sum_{\text{Re } u_j < \kappa/2} \text{Res}_{s=u_j} \frac{MF(s)}{G(s)} \xi_k(s) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} c_{ki} \left(\sum_{n \neq 0} b_n^{(i)}(WF)(|n|/A) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{\text{Re } u_j < \kappa/2} \text{Res}_{s=u_j} \frac{(M(WF))(s)}{G(s)} \eta_i^*(s) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

4. Known cases of Poisson formulae above

Formula (13) generalises some well-known relations of a similar nature due to Hecke, Maass and Yamazaki and may be called a "generalized modular relation".

(1) First let $a = 1, N = 1, \mu_{k,1} = k$ for $k \geq 0, G(s) = \Gamma(s),$

$$\xi_1(s) = \zeta(s) = \gamma \zeta(\kappa - s) = \eta_1^*(s), \quad c_{11} = \gamma, \quad \varphi_1(s) = \varphi(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s},$$

$A = \lambda/2\pi$; let $s = 0$, κ be the only poles (and indeed of order 1) of $\xi(s)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Res}_{s=0} \frac{MF(s)}{G(s)} \xi_1(s) &= b_{0,1,1} \operatorname{Res}_{s=0} \xi(s) && \text{by Theorem 1} \\ &= a_{0,1,1} \operatorname{Res}_{s=0} \xi(s) && \text{by Theorem 1} \\ &= F(0) \times (-\gamma \operatorname{Res}_{s=\kappa} A^s \Gamma(s) \varphi(s)) \\ &= -\gamma F(0) A^\kappa \Gamma(\kappa) \operatorname{Res}_{s=\kappa} \varphi(s) \\ &= -CF(0), \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

Replacing F by WF in the argument above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Res}_{s=0} \frac{(M(WF))(s)}{G(s)} \eta_1^*(s) &= \operatorname{Res}_{s=0} \frac{(M(WF))(s)}{G(s)} \xi(s) \\ &= -C(WF)(0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get from (13) the Poisson formula due to Yamazaki [19] in the form derived by Igusa [10]: namely, for every F in \mathcal{F} (with $G(s) = \Gamma(s)$)

$$CF(0) + \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n F(2\pi n/\lambda) = \gamma (C(WF)(0) + \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n (WF)(2\pi n/\lambda)). \tag{14}$$

(2) Let us take the same situation in (1) above and in particular,

$$\varphi(s) = \sum_{1 \leq n < \infty} \tau(n) n^{-s}$$

where $\tau(n)$ is Ramanujan's function; then $\kappa = 12$, $A = 1/2\pi$, $\xi(s)$ is entire; and for every F in the space \mathcal{F} , we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq n < \infty} \tau(n) F(2\pi n) = \sum_{1 \leq n < \infty} \tau(n) (WF)(2\pi n). \tag{15}$$

For $F(x) = \exp(-xy/2\pi)$ with fixed $y > 0$, we obtain in view of (11) and (15), formula (4) of [4]. On the other hand, if we take $F(x) = \exp(-s\sqrt{x})/\sqrt{x}$ for fixed $s > 0$, then we obtain *formally* from (15), formula (7) of [4]. One has to note that in the situation of (1) above, we can define for any F in \mathcal{F} , the function WF also by

$$(WF)(x) = \int_0^\infty (xt)^{-\nu/2} J_\nu(2\sqrt{xt}) F(t) t^\nu dt, \tag{16}$$

with $\nu = \kappa - 1$. (See [10]), where J_ν is the usual Bessel function of order ν . However, the function $x \mapsto [\exp(-s\sqrt{x})]/\sqrt{x}$ is *not* in the space \mathcal{F} above. If we approximate to it by a sequence $\{F_n\}$ from $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$ (and indeed therefore with F_n in \mathcal{F}) and note that $\{MF_n\}$ converges to the (usual) Mellin transform MF

of F while $\{WF_n\}$ converges to WF (defined in the same way as in (16)), the validity of formula (7) of [4] can be deduced from above, in view of the two sides of this formula being absolutely convergent series. Again, for *integral* $\rho > 0$, formula (5) of [4] can be derived from (15), by taking for F , the function in \mathcal{F} given by

$$F(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho + 1)} (1 - tx)^\rho & \text{for } 0 < t \leq x^{-1} \\ 0 & \text{for } t > x^{-1}, \end{cases}$$

with *fixed* $x > 0$. On the other hand, for getting the same formula for non-integral $\rho > 0$, a more refined argument as above has to be used; the case $\rho = 0$ also needs a more careful argument.

(3) When $\varphi(s)$ is the Dedekind zeta function associated with an imaginary quadratic field of discriminant d over the field of rational numbers and $A = \sqrt{|d|}/2\pi$ in (1) above, then we get the Poisson formula due to Hecke [8].

(4) The relation in Kubota [11] corresponding to our relation (9) above is, in his own notation,

$$M\Psi_b(s) Z_{a,b}(s) = M\tilde{\Psi}_{-b}(2n - 2 - s) Z_{-a,-b}(2n - 2 - s),$$

and proceeding exactly as above, one can derive his Poisson formula

$$\sum_{(m)} c'_m \Phi_b(m^{1/n}) = \sum_{(m)} c'_m \Phi_b^*(m^{1/n})$$

and also his relation

$$\begin{aligned} -AM(\Psi_0, 2n - 2) + \sum_{(m)} c'_m \Phi_0(m^{1/n}) \\ = -AM(\tilde{\Psi}_0, 2n - 2) + \sum_{(m)} c'_m \Phi_0^*(m^{1/n}) \end{aligned}$$

(see pages 187-188 in [11]).

(5) Let now $a = 2$, $\mu_{k,1} = 2k + ir$, $\mu_{k,2} = 2k - ir$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and a *fixed* $r \geq 0$, $m_k = 1$ or 2 according as $r \neq 0$ or $r = 0$,

$$A = \lambda/\pi, \varphi_k(s) = \psi_k^*(s) = \sum_{\substack{n \neq 0 \\ n \equiv b_k \pmod{q}}} a_n^{(k)} |n|^{-s} \quad (1 \leq k \leq N) \quad \text{with } a_n^{(k)} = 0$$

unless $n \equiv b_k \pmod{q}$ for fixed integers $q \geq 1$ and

$$b_1, \dots, b_N, \kappa = 1, G(s) = \Gamma\left(\frac{s - ir}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s + ir}{2}\right)$$

and $\xi_k(s) = \eta_k^*(s) = A^s G(s) \varphi_k(s)$ for $1 \leq k \leq N$.

Let
$$\varphi_k(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha_k}{s - 1 - ir} + \frac{\beta_k}{s - 1 + ir} & (r \neq 0) \\ \frac{\alpha_k}{s - 1} + \frac{\beta_k}{(s - 1)^2} & (r = 0) \end{cases}$$

be entire (and of finite genus) for $1 \leq k \leq N$. *Equivalently* ([13]), if now C denotes Euler's constant and

$$M_0 = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + ir\right) (\lambda/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}+ir},$$

$$\text{Let } \xi_k(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{4M_0 a_k}{s-1-ir} + \frac{4\bar{M}_0 \beta_k}{s-1+ir} - \frac{4M_0 \rho_k}{s+ir} - \frac{4\bar{M}_0 \sigma_k}{s-ir} & (r \neq 0) \\ \frac{4M_0}{s-1} (a_k + \beta_k (\log(\lambda/4\pi) - C)) + \frac{4M_0 \beta_k}{(s-1)^2} - \frac{4M_0}{s} \times \\ \times (\rho_k + \sigma_k) (\log(\lambda/4\pi) - C) + \frac{4M_0 \sigma_k}{s^2} & (r = 0) \end{cases}$$

be entire in s (and of finite genus) with

$$\rho_k = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} c_{ki} a_i$$

and $\sigma_k = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} c_{ki} \beta_i$, for $1 \leq k \leq N$.

Then for F in the corresponding \mathcal{F} -space, we have as t tends to 0, the asymptotic expansion

$$F(t) \approx \begin{cases} a_{0,1,1} t^{ir} + a_{0,2,1} t^{-ir} + \sum_{1 \leq k < \infty} (a_{k,1,1} t^{2k+ir} + a_{k,2,1} t^{2k-ir}) & (r \neq 0) \\ a_{0,1,1} + a_{0,1,2} \log t + \sum_{1 \leq k < \infty} (a_{k,1,1} + a_{k,1,2} \log t) t^{2k} & (r = 0). \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 1 above,

$$(MF)(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{a_{0,1,1}}{s+ir} + \frac{a_{0,2,1}}{s-ir} & (r \neq 0) \\ \frac{a_{0,1,1}}{s} - \frac{a_{0,1,2}}{s^2} & (r = 0). \end{cases} \text{ is regular at } s = \pm ir. \tag{17}$$

If $r \neq 0$, the only poles of $\xi_k(s)$ to the left of the line $\text{Re } s = \frac{1}{2}$ are at $s = \pm ir$ and of order 1. Then

$$\begin{aligned} -\text{Res}_{s=ir} \frac{(MF)(s)}{G(s)} \xi_k(s) &= - \frac{(MF)(s)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+ir}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s-ir}{2}\right)} \Big|_{s=ir} \times \text{Res}_{s=ir} \xi_k(s) \\ &= 2\bar{M}_0 a_{0,2,1}(F) \sigma_k / \Gamma(ir), \end{aligned}$$

writing $a_{0,j,m}(F)$ instead of $a_{0,j,m}$ to emphasise the dependence on F . Similarly we have

$$-\text{Res}_{s=-ir} \frac{(MF)(s)}{G(s)} \xi_k(s) = 2M_0 a_{0,1,1}(F) \rho_k / \Gamma(-ir).$$

In order to find

$$-\operatorname{Res}_{s=\pm ir} \frac{(M(WF))(s)}{G(s)} \eta_i^*(s),$$

we have only to work with WF instead of F in the arguments above.

If $r = 0$, the only pole of $\xi_k(s)$ to the left of the line $\operatorname{Re} s = 1$ is at $s = 0$ and indeed it is of order 2. Using (17) and the expansion $1/\Gamma^2(s/2) = (s^2/4)(1 + Cs + \dots)$ at $s = 0$, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Res}_{s=0} \frac{(MF)(s)}{\Gamma^2(s/2)} \xi_k(s) = a_{0,1,1}(F) M_0 \sigma_k + a_{0,1,2}(F) M_0 (\rho_k + \sigma_k (\log(\lambda/4\pi) - 2C)).$$

The residue of $(M(WF))(s) \xi_k(s)/\Gamma^2(s/2)$ at $s = 0$ is obtained by arguing with WF in lieu of F above. Thus, from (13), we have, for any F in the space \mathcal{F} , the Poisson formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \neq 0} a_n^{(k)} F(|n|/A) + \\ & \left\{ \begin{aligned} & 2M_0 \rho_k a_{0,1,1}(F)/\Gamma(-ir) + 2\bar{M}_0 \sigma_k a_{0,2,1}(F)/\Gamma(ir) \\ & - M_0 \sigma_k a_{0,1,1}(F) - M_0 (\rho_k + \sigma_k (\log(\lambda/4\pi) - 2C)) a_{0,1,2}(F) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (18) \\ & = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} c_{ki} \left[\sum_{n \neq 0} a_n^{(i)}(WF)(|n|/A) \right. \\ & \left. + \left\{ \begin{aligned} & 2M_0 \rho_i a_{0,1,1}(WF)/\Gamma(-ir) + 2\bar{M}_0 \sigma_i a_{0,2,1}(WF)/\Gamma(ir) \\ & - M_0 \sigma_i a_{0,1,1}(WF) - M_0 (\rho_i + \sigma_i (\log(\lambda/4\pi) - 2C)) a_{0,1,2}(WF) \end{aligned} \right\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

according as $r \neq 0$ or $r = 0$. The roles of $F(0)$ and $(WF)(0)$ in (14) are played now by the coefficients $a_{0,i,m}(F)$ and $a_{0,i,m}(WF)$ in their asymptotic expansions. If we take $F(t) = 4\sqrt{y} K_{ir}(2ty)$ for $t > 0$ with a fixed $y > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} a_{0,1,1}(F) &= 2y^{\frac{1}{2}+ir} \Gamma(-ir), \quad a_{0,2,1}(F) = 2y^{\frac{1}{2}-ir} \Gamma(ir), & (r \neq 0) \\ a_{0,1,1}(F) &= -4\sqrt{y}(C + \log y), \quad a_{0,1,2}(F) = -4\sqrt{y}, & (r = 0) \\ MF(s) &= y^{\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+ir}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s-ir}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Similar formulae for WF are valid; we have only to replace y by $1/y$ and F by WF in the formulae above. With this specialisation, formula (18) is the same as the "automorphic" relation

$$F_k^*(1/y) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} c_{ki} F_i^*(y)$$

of Maass ([13], p. 152).

(6) If $k = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ is a real quadratic field of discriminant d over the field \mathbf{Q} of rational numbers, then the Dedekind zeta function $\zeta_k(s)$ satisfies the functional equation $(\pi/\sqrt{d})^{-s} \Gamma^2(s/2) \zeta_k(s) = \xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$. The function $\zeta_k(s)$ has a pole only at $s = 1$ and the residue at this (simple) pole is $2h(\log \epsilon)/\sqrt{d}$ where h is the class number of K and ϵ is the fundamental unit in K . Moreover, $\zeta_k(0) = 0$ and $\zeta'_k(0) = -h(\log \epsilon)/2$. If we take

$$\varphi(s) = (\pi/\sqrt{d})^{-2s} \zeta_k(2s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a(n) \lambda_n^{-s}$$

with $\lambda_n = \pi^2 n^2/d$, then $\varphi(s) \Gamma^2(s) = \varphi(1/2-s) \Gamma^2(1/2-s)$. From Theorem 1 of Berndt [2], we get (on noting that his $E_2(y)$ is just $2K_0(2\sqrt{y})$) the following Poisson formula,

$$2 \sum_{1 \leq n < \infty} a(n) K_0(2\pi n y/d) = 2y^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq n < \infty} a(n) K_0(2\pi n/(y\sqrt{d})) + P(y^2)$$

where K_0 is the usual Bessel function. Here $P(y^2) =$ sum of the residues of $y^{-2s} \Gamma^2(s) \varphi(s)$ is seen to be equal to $h(\log \epsilon)/y + 2\zeta'_k(0) = h(\log \epsilon)(y^{-1} - 1)$. Now this formula reduces to a special case of the Poisson formula of Maass mentioned in (5) above.

5. A Poisson formula associated with a generalised Γ -function

In this section, we derive a Poisson formula for a situation involving Dirichlet series with functional equations containing a generalised Γ -function $\Gamma(s; \alpha, \beta)$ introduced by Maass ([14], [15]). This function $\Gamma(s; \alpha, \beta)$ is not, in general, a product of usual Γ -functions although, however, $\Gamma(s; \alpha, \beta)/(\Gamma(s) \Gamma(s + 1 - \alpha - \beta))$ is an entire function of s (with finite genus). The question is one of defining correctly the W -operator geared to the Poisson formula in this case; one has been guided here by the definition of a “Hankel transform” through the two-component Mellin transform for L^2 -functions on $\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$ defined in ([16], Theorem 10) (see also [17]).

First we recall the definition of the Whittaker functions $W_{l,m}(y)$ for $l, m \in \mathbf{C}$ and $y > 0$ as the “unique” solution $W(y)$ of the differential equation

$$4y^2 \frac{d^2 W}{dy^2} + (1 - 4m^2 + 4ly - y^2) W(y) = 0,$$

with the asymptotic behaviour

$$W(y) \sim \exp(-y/2) y^l \left\{ 1 + \sum_{1 \leq n < \infty} \frac{1}{y^n n!} \prod_{r=1}^n (m^2 - (l + \frac{1}{2} - r)^2) \right\}$$

as y tends to ∞ . Let

$$W(y; \alpha, \beta) = y^{-(\alpha+\beta)/2} W_{(\alpha-\beta)/2, (\alpha+\beta-1)/2}(2y),$$

for $y > 0$ and

$$\Gamma(s; a, \beta) = \int_0^\infty W(y; a, \beta)y^{s-1} dy,$$

for $\text{Re } s > K_0 = \max(0, |\text{Re}(a + \beta)| - 1)$. Then $\Gamma(s; a, \beta)$ is regular for $\text{Re } s > K_0$, noting that $W(y; a, \beta) = O(y^{-K})$ for $K > K_0$ as y tends to 0 and further it has a meromorphic continuation to the entire s -plane satisfying the condition

$$\Gamma(s; a, \beta) = 2^{(a-\beta)/2} \frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(s+1-a-\beta)}{\Gamma(s+1-a)} F(\beta, 1-a, s+1-a; \frac{1}{2})$$

where $F(a, b, c; z) = 1 + \sum_{1 \leq n < \infty} \frac{a(a+1)\dots(a+n-1)b(b+1)\dots(b+n-1)}{c(c+1)\dots(c+n-1) \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \dots n} z^n$

is the hypergeometric function. It is also known that for every polynomial $P(s)$ $\Gamma(s; a, \beta)$ is bounded in vertical strips in the s -plane. If we set

$$M(s; a, \beta) = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma(s; a, \beta) & \Gamma(s; \beta, a) \\ \Gamma(s+1; a, \beta) & -\Gamma(s+1; \beta, a) \end{pmatrix}$$

then we know from Maass [15] that its determinant $D(s)$ is $-2 \Gamma(s) \times \Gamma(s+1-a-\beta)$; further, the entries of the inverse matrix are entire functions of s . Let us write q for $a + \beta$ in the sequel and assume that $q \neq 1$.

Before we go on to the Poisson formula, let us introduce the Dirichlet series whose functional equations involve the function $\Gamma(s; a, \beta)$.

$$\text{Let } \varphi(s) = \sum_{1 \leq n < \infty} a_n n^{-s} \text{ and } \psi(s) = \sum_{-\infty < n < 0} a_n |n|^{-s},$$

be two Dirichlet series converging absolutely in some s -half plane and let, for some $\lambda > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(s) &= (\lambda/2\pi)^s (\Gamma(s; a, \beta) \varphi(s) + \Gamma(s; \beta, a) \psi(s)), \\ \eta(s) + \lambda((a - \beta)/4\pi) \xi(s) &= (\lambda/2\pi)^{s+1} (\Gamma(s+1; a, \beta) \varphi(s) - \\ &\quad - \Gamma(s+1; \beta, a) \psi(s)) \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

satisfy the functional equations

$$\xi(q-s) = \gamma \xi(s), \eta(q-s) = -\gamma \eta(s), \tag{20}$$

with fixed $\gamma = \pm 1$. Further, let us assume that

$$\xi(s), \eta_1(s) = \eta(s) + \lambda((a - \beta)/4\pi) \xi(s)$$

have poles at most at $s = 0, 1, q - 1, q$ with principal parts as given by the conditions:

$$\xi(s) - \frac{a_0}{s(s+1-q)} - \frac{\gamma a_0}{(q-s)(1-s)} + \frac{b_0}{s} + \frac{b_0}{q-s}$$

is entire, and

$$\eta_1(s) - \lambda \frac{a - \beta}{2\pi} \left(\frac{b_0}{s - q} + \gamma \frac{a_0}{(s - q)(s - 1)} \right) \tag{21}$$

is entire with suitable constants a_0, b_0 . The relations (19) can be inverted to read

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(s) &= -\frac{\Gamma(s + 1; \beta, a)}{D(s)} (2\pi/\lambda)^s \xi(s) - \frac{\Gamma(s; \beta, a)}{D(s)} (2\pi/\lambda)^{s+1} \eta_1(s) \\ \psi(s) &= -\frac{\Gamma(s + 1; a, \beta)}{D(s)} (2\pi/\lambda)^s \xi(s) + \frac{\Gamma(s; a, \beta)}{D(s)} (2\pi/\lambda)^{s+1} \eta_1(s). \end{aligned} \tag{19}'$$

The only poles of φ and ψ are at $s = 1, q$. Now functional equations (20) go over into

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda/2\pi)^s M(s; a, \beta) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi(s) \\ \psi(s) \end{pmatrix} &= (\lambda/2\pi)^{q-s} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a- & \beta-1 \end{pmatrix} M(q-s; a, \beta) \\ &\quad \begin{pmatrix} \varphi(q-s) \\ \psi(q-s) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}'$$

From (19)' and (21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(0) &= \frac{1-q}{2} w(1; \beta, a) \left(\frac{a_0}{1-q} - b_0 \right) + \frac{\pi}{\lambda} w(0; \beta, a) \eta_1(0), \\ \varphi(q-1) &= -\left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}\right)^{q-1} w(q; \beta, a) \frac{a_0}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}\right)^q w(q-1; \beta, a) \eta_1(q-1), \\ \psi(0) &= \frac{1-q}{2} w(1; a, \beta) \left(\frac{a_0}{1-q} - b_0 \right) - \frac{\pi}{\lambda} w(0; a, \beta) \eta_1(0) \times \\ \psi(q-1) &= -\left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}\right)^{q-1} w(q; a, \beta) \frac{a_0}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}\right)^q w(q-1; a, \beta) \\ &\quad \times \eta_1(q-1) \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where $w(s; a, \beta) = -2\Gamma(s; a, \beta)/D(s)$ and $w(s; \beta, a)$ is defined similarly.

Let us now consider the space \mathfrak{F} of C^∞ functions F on \mathbb{R}_+^\times which behave like Schwartz functions at infinity and which have the termwise differentiable asymptotic expansion

$$F(x) \approx \sum_{0 \leq n < \infty} a_n(F) x^n + x^{1-q} \sum_{0 \leq n < \infty} \beta_n(F) x^n,$$

as x tends to 0. From Theorem 1, we, know that $MF(s)/(\Gamma(s)\Gamma(s+1-q))$ is entire and further, for every polynomial P in s , $P(s)MF(s)$ is bounded in vertical strips. We assume that $0 < \text{Re } q < 1$ in the sequel.

Let F_1, F_2 in \mathfrak{F} above satisfy the conditions:

(i) for every $\epsilon > 0$, $MF_j(s) = O(\exp(-\epsilon|t|))$ as $s = \sigma + ti$ tends to infinity in vertical strips B_{σ_1, σ_2} , for $j = 1, 2$, and (23)

(ii) $(MF_1(s)MF_2(s))M(s; a, \beta)^{-1} = (G_1(s)G_2(s))$ with entire G_1, G_2 . For such a pair F_1, F_2 , we define WF_1, WF_2 through the functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} & ((M(WF_1))(s) M(WF_2)(s)) M(s; a, \beta)^{-1} \\ &= (G_1(q-s) G_2(q-s) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a- & \beta-1 \end{pmatrix}). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

From (23) and (24), it is clear that the left hand side of (24) is entire. Further for every polynomial P in s , $P(s) (M(WF_i))(s)$ is bounded in vertical strips, for $i = 1, 2$ (condition (i) in (23) has been imposed in order to ensure this and if perhaps

$$M(q-s; a, \beta)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a- & \beta-1 \end{pmatrix} M(s; a, \beta)$$

consists of functions bounded at infinity in vertical strips, then condition (i) can be waived). Thus, as x tends to 0, WF_i has the termwise differentiable asymptotic expansion

$$(WF_i)(x) \approx \sum_{0 \leq n < \infty} a_n(WF_i) x^n + x^{1-a} \sum_{0 \leq n < \infty} \beta_n(WF_i) x^n.$$

Replacing s by $q-s$ in (20)' and then multiplying out relations (20)' and (24) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda/(2\pi)^{a-s} ((M(WF_1))(q-s) \varphi(q-s) + (M(WF_2))(q-s) \psi(q-s)) \\ &= \gamma (\lambda/2\pi)^s (MF_1(s) \varphi(s) + MF_2(s) \psi(s)). \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

In order to get the Poisson formula, we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2. In view of the absolute convergence of φ, ψ for sufficiently large $\text{Re } s$, we see that the integral of the right hand side of (25) from $\sigma - \infty i$ to $\sigma + \infty i$ for σ large enough, is simply

$$2\pi i \gamma \left(\sum_{n > 0} a_n F_1(2\pi n/\lambda) \right) + \sum_{n < 0} a_n F_2(2\pi |n|/\lambda),$$

which, by (25), is therefore equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\sigma - \infty i}^{\sigma + \infty i} (\lambda/2\pi)^{a-s} ((M(WF_1))(q-s) \varphi(q-s) + (M(WF_2))(q-s) \psi(q-s)) ds \\ &= \int_{a-\sigma - \infty i}^{a-\sigma + \infty i} (\lambda/2\pi)^s [(M(WF_1))(s) \varphi(s) + (M(WF_2))(s) \psi(s)] ds \\ &= \int_{\sigma_1 - \infty i}^{\sigma_1 + \infty i} (\lambda/2\pi)^s (M(WF_1))(s) \varphi(s) + (M(WF_2))(s) \psi(s) ds - 2\pi i S^*, \end{aligned}$$

for σ_1 large enough, with S denoting the sum of the residues of the integrand at all the poles encountered when the line of integration is shifted from

Re (s) = q - σ to Re (s) = σ₁ far to the right. Because of the absolute convergence of the Dirichlet series φ(s), ψ(s) again, we obtain the relation

$$\left(\sum_{n>0} a_n F_1(2\pi n/\lambda) + \sum_{n<0} a_n F_2(2\pi |n|/\lambda) \right) = \sum_{n>0} a_n (WF_1)(2\pi n/\lambda) + \sum_{n<0} a_n (WF_2)(2\pi |n|/\lambda) - S^*.$$

This can be rewritten as in the proof of Theorem 2 as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n>0} a_n F_1(2\pi n/\lambda) + \sum_{n<0} a_n F_2(2\pi |n|/\lambda) - \sum_{\text{Re } u_j < q/2} \text{Res } ((\lambda/2\pi)^s MF_1(s) \varphi(s) \\ & + MF_2(s) \psi(s)) \\ & = \gamma \sum_{n>0} a_n (WF_1)(2\pi n/\lambda) + \gamma \sum_{n<0} a_n (WF_2)(2\pi |n|/\lambda) - \sum_{\text{Re } u_j < q/2} \text{Res } ((\lambda/2\pi)^s \times \\ & \times ((M(WF_1))(s) \varphi(s) + (M(WF_2))(s) \psi(s)), \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where the third summations on both sides are over the residues at all the poles u_j satisfying the condition stated. In view of our assumption that 0 < Re q < 1, s = 0, q - 1 are the only poles involved in these summations. The residue of (λ/2π)^s (MF₁(s) φ(s) + MF₂(s) ψ(s)) at 0 is seen to be equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & ((1 - q)/2) (a_0/(1 - q) - b_0) (a_0 (F_1) w(1, \beta, a) + a_0 (F_2) w(1, a, \beta)) - \\ & - (\pi/\lambda) \{w(0, \beta, a) a_0 (F_1) - w(0, a, \beta) a_0 (F_2)\} \eta_1(0), \end{aligned}$$

in view of (22). The expression inside the curly brackets is 0, since it is essentially the residue at s = 0 of the right hand side of (25) while the left hand side of (25) is regular at s = 0. Similarly, the residue at q - 1 of

$$(\lambda/2\pi)^s (MF_1(s) \varphi(s) + MF_2(s) \psi(s))$$

is seen to be just

$$- a_0 (\beta_0 (F_1) w(q; \beta, a) + \beta_0 (F_2) w(q, a, \beta))/2.$$

The residues involved in the third summation on the right hand side of (25) are computed just as above, replacing F_i by WF_i everywhere. Thus formula (26) leads to

Theorem 3. For C[∞] functions F₁, F₂ on R[±] behaving like Schwartz functions at infinity and satisfying (23) and Dirichlet series φ(s), ψ(s) for which the functional equations (20) hold, we have, for 0 < Re q < 1, the Poisson formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n>0} a_n F_1(2\pi n/\lambda) + \sum_{n<0} a_n F_2(2\pi |n|/\lambda) - \frac{1}{2} a_0 (a_0 (F_1) w(1; \beta, a) \\ & + a_0 (F_2) w(1; a, \beta) - \beta_0 (F_1) w(q; \beta, a) - \beta_0 (F_2) w(q, a, \beta)) - \\ & - b_0 (q - 1) (a_0 (F_1) w(1, \beta, a) + a_0 (F_2) w(1, a, \beta)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \gamma \left[\sum_{n>0} a_n(\mathbf{WF}_1) (2\pi n/\lambda) + \sum_{n<0} a_n(\mathbf{WF}_2) (2\pi |n|/\lambda) - \right. \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2} a_0(a_0(\mathbf{WF}_1) w(1; \beta, a) + a_0(\mathbf{WF}_2) w(1; a, \beta) \\
 &\quad - \beta_0(\mathbf{WF}_1) w(q; \beta, a) - \beta_0(\mathbf{WF}_2) w(q; a, \beta) \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} b_0(q-1) (a_0(\mathbf{WF}_1) w(q; \beta, a) + a_0(\mathbf{WF}_2) w(1; a, \beta)) \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

where $a_0(F)$, $\beta_0(F)$, etc., are coefficients in the asymptotic expansions at 0.

Note. The values of $w(0; a, \beta)$, etc., are given explicitly as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 w(0; a, \beta) &= 2^{a/2}/\Gamma(1-a), & w(1; a, \beta) &= \Gamma(1; a, \beta)/\Gamma(2-q), \\
 w(0; \beta, a) &= 2^{a/2}/\Gamma(1-\beta), & w(1; \beta, a) &= \Gamma(1; \beta, a)/\Gamma(2-q), \\
 w(q-1; a, \beta) &= 2^{1-a/2}/\Gamma(\beta), & w(q; a, \beta) &= \Gamma(q; a, \beta)/\Gamma(q), \\
 w(q-1; \beta, a) &= 2^{1-a/2}/\Gamma(a), & w(q; \beta, a) &= \Gamma(q; \beta, a)/\Gamma(q).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let now $F_1(x) = W(xy; a, \beta)$, $F_2(x) = W(xy; \beta, a)$ for fixed $y > 0$. Then by (11), $(\mathbf{WF}_1)(x) = W(xy^{-1}; a, \beta) y^{-a}$, $(\mathbf{WF}_2)(x) = W(xy^{-1}; \beta, a) y^{-a}$. Further

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_0(F_1) \Gamma(1-a) &= a_0(F_2) \Gamma(1-\beta) = 2^{a/2} \Gamma(1-q), \\
 \beta_0(F_1) \Gamma(\beta) &= \beta_0(F_2) \Gamma(a) = 2^{1-a/2} y^{1-a} \Gamma(q-1), \\
 a_0(\mathbf{WF}_1) \Gamma(1-a) &= a_0(\mathbf{WF}_2) \Gamma(1-\beta) = 2^{a/2} y^{-a} \Gamma(1-q), \\
 \beta_0(\mathbf{WF}_1) \Gamma(\beta) &= \beta_0(\mathbf{WF}_2) \Gamma(a) = 2^{1-a/2} y^{a-1} \cdot y^{-a} \Gamma(q-1), \\
 \Gamma(a) \Gamma(q; \beta, a) + \Gamma(\beta) \Gamma(q; a, \beta) \\
 &= 2^{a/2} \Gamma(q) \{B_{1/2}(\beta, a) + B_{1/2}(a, \beta)\} = 2^{a/2} \Gamma(q) B_1(a, \beta) \\
 &= 2^{a/2} \Gamma(a) \Gamma(\beta) \text{ where } B_\sigma(a, \beta) = \int_0^x t^{a-1} (1-t)^{\beta-1} dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

and $\Gamma(1-\beta) \Gamma(1; \beta, a) + \Gamma(1-a) \Gamma(1; a, \beta) = 2^{1-a/2} \Gamma(1-a) \Gamma(1-\beta)$

(See [1]). This gives us in particular, the first of the two formulae (6) of ([15], p. 230) with $0 < \text{Re}(a + \beta) < 1$. It seems likely from [16], that there are quite a few of pairs F_1, F_2 which satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3 and for which therefore a Poisson formula holds.

6. A p -adic analogue of the W-operator

Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{Q}_p^\times)$ be now the space of locally constant complex-valued functions F on $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times = \mathbf{Q}_p \setminus \{0\}$ with $F(x) = 0$ for all x with $|x|_p$ sufficiently large and $F(x) = a\mu_1(x) |x|_p^{\frac{1}{2}} + b\mu_2(x) |x|_p^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for all x with $|x|_p$ sufficiently small, where μ_1, μ_2 are quasicharacters of \mathbf{Q}_p^\times , $|x|_p$ is a 'normalised' valuation of \mathbf{Q}_p and a, b are complex constants. Such spaces \mathcal{F} occur as "Kirillov models" $\mathcal{K}(\pi)$ for irreducible admissible representations π_p of $GL_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$; associated with π_p , we

have the L -function $L(s, \pi_p) = \{(1 - \mu_1(p) p^{-(s-\frac{1}{2})})(1 - \mu_2(p) p^{-(s-\frac{1}{2})})\}^{-1}$ where $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and more generally,

$$L(s, \chi, \pi_p) = \{(1 - (\mu_1 \chi^{-1})(p) p^{\frac{1}{2}-s})(1 - (\mu_2 \chi^{-1})(p) p^{\frac{1}{2}-s})\}^{-1},$$

for any character χ of $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times = \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus p\mathbb{Z}_p$. If $(MF_\chi)(s) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^\times} F(x) \chi(x) |x|_p^s d^\times x$

for any F in \mathcal{F} , then $(MF_\chi)(s)/L(s, \chi, \pi_p)$ is entire in s and in particular, for the identity character χ_0 , $(MF_{\chi_0})(s)$ is in the space \mathcal{Z} defined by Igusa ([10] chapter I, § 5.2). There exists F_0 in \mathcal{F} such that $(M(F_0)\chi)(s) = L(s, \chi, \pi_p)$ (see [7], § 1.14-1.16) and for $\chi = \chi_0$, F_0 is given by

$$F_0(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \notin p^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p \\ |x|_p^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i+j=v_p(x)} \mu_1(p^i) \mu_2(p^j) & \text{if } x \in p^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p \text{ and } x \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $v_p(x)$ is defined by $|x|_p = |p|_p^{v_p(x)}$ for $x \neq 0$. This relation can also be proved by using Theorem 5.3 of Igusa ([10], chapter I) taking $\Lambda = \{s_1 + \frac{1}{2}, s_2 + \frac{1}{2}\}$ where $\mu_i(x) = |x|_p^{s_i}$, $i = 1, 2$.

Corresponding to F in \mathcal{F} , let $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_F$ be the Whittaker function on $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$; then if

$$L_{\mathcal{W}}(g, \chi, s) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^\times} \mathcal{W} \left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g \right) \chi^{-1}(x) |x|_p^{2s-1} d^\times x,$$

or $g \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, we have the functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\mathcal{W}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \chi^{-1}, 1-s \right) &= \epsilon(s, \chi, \pi_p) \frac{L(1-s, \chi^{-1}, \pi_p)}{L(s, \chi, \pi_p)} \times \\ &\times L_{\mathcal{W}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \chi, s \right) \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where $\epsilon(s, \chi, \pi_p)$ is of the form $c p^{-vs}$ for suitable constants c, v . By using the inverse M^{-1} of the Mellin transform M in Theorem 5.3 (Chapter I) of Igusa [10], the \mathcal{W} -operator in the space $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ can be defined by rewriting the functional equation above as

$$\frac{(M(\mathcal{W}F)\chi^{-1})(1-s)}{L(1-s, \chi^{-1}, \pi_p)} = \epsilon(s, \chi, \pi_p) \frac{(MF_\chi)(s)}{L(s, \chi, \pi_p)},$$

(see also § 1.3, [7]). The function $\epsilon(s, \chi, \pi_p)$ satisfies of course the condition $\epsilon(s, \chi, \pi_p) \epsilon(1-s, \chi^{-1}, \pi_p) = 1$ (see [7]) and therefore the \mathcal{W} -operator is of order 2

7. An adelic version of the Poisson formula

Let $F_\infty \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}_p^\times)$ such that for its Mellin transform $(MF_\infty)(s)$, the quotient $(MF_\infty)(s)/L(s, \pi_\infty)$ is an entire function of s , where [5]

$$L(s, \pi_\infty) = \begin{cases} (2\pi)^{-s-(+1)/2} \Gamma(s+(p+1)/2), & p \geq 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{Z} \\ \pi^{-s-\nu} \Gamma((s+\nu)/2) \Gamma((s-\nu)/2), & \nu \in \mathbf{C}. \end{cases}$$

Define WF_∞ by

$$\frac{(M(WF_\infty))(s)}{L(s, \pi_\infty)} = \frac{(MF_\infty)(1-s)}{L(1-s, \pi_\infty)}.$$

Let \mathbf{Q}_A be the ring of \mathbf{Q} -adeles and \mathbf{Q}_A^\times , the group of \mathbf{Q} -ideles; denote elements x of \mathbf{Q}_A^\times by $(x_\infty, \dots, x_p, \dots)$ with $x_\infty \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $x_p \in \mathbf{Q}_p \setminus \{0\}$ and write \mathbf{Q}^\times for $\mathbf{Q} \setminus \{0\}$. Let π_p be irreducible unitary representations of $GL_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ for primes p such that the tensor product $\pi = \pi_\infty \otimes_p \pi_p$ gives an irreducible unitary representation of $GL_2(\mathbf{Q}_A)$ and further

$$\prod_p L(s, \pi_p) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}} a_n |n|^{-s}$$

is a Dirichlet series converging in a right s -half-plane and for every $\chi \in \widehat{\prod_p \mathbf{Z}_p^\times}$,

$$L(s, \chi, \pi) := L(s, \pi_\infty) \prod_p L(s, \chi, \pi_p) = \prod_p \epsilon(s, \chi, \pi_p) L(1-s, \chi^{-1}, \pi). \tag{27}$$

In particular, the functional equation implies that $\prod_p L(s, \pi_p)$ is at most of order

$|s|^r$ for some constant $r = r(B)$ in vertical strips B . On the other hand, $MF_\infty(s)$ and $L(s, \pi_\infty)$ are rapidly decreasing at infinity in vertical strips. Let W_p^0 be the Whittaker function [7] on $GL_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ whose Mellin transform (with respect to \mathbf{Q}_p^\times) is precisely $L(s, \pi_p)$ for every prime p . Define for $x = (x_\infty, \dots, x_p, \dots) = (x_\infty, x_f)$ in \mathbf{Q}_A^\times , the function F on \mathbf{Q}_A^\times by

$$F(x) = F_\infty(|x_\infty|) \prod_p W_p^0 \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = F_\infty(|x_\infty|) F_f(x_f)$$

and the function WF on \mathbf{Q}_A^\times by

$$(WF)(x) = WF_\infty(|x_\infty|) \prod_p W_p^0 \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

From the properties of F , the series

$$\sum_{\xi \in \mathbf{Q}^\times} F(x\xi)$$

converges absolutely to a function $\varphi_F(x)$ and further $\varphi_F(x)$ is rapidly decreasing as $|x_\infty|$ tends to ∞ or 0 (see [5]). Thus for every character χ on $\mathbf{Q}^\times \setminus \mathbf{Q}_A^\times$ and for $\text{Re}(s)$ sufficiently large,

$$L_F \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \chi, s \right) = \int_{\mathbf{Q}^\times \setminus \mathbf{Q}_A^\times} \varphi_F(x) \chi^{-1}(x) |x|^{2s-1} d^\times x$$

$$= \int_{\mathbf{Q}_A^\times} F(x) \chi^{-1}(x) |x|^{2s-1} d^\times x,$$

is holomorphic in s and admits of a meromorphic continuation to the whole plane; for $\chi \neq \chi_0$ (the identity) it represents an entire function of s . For large enough $\text{Re}(s)$, it is just

$$(MF_\infty)(s) \prod_p L(s, \chi, \pi_p) = (MF_\infty)(s) \sum_{n \neq 0} \chi(n) a_n |n|^{-s};$$

in vertical strips the first factor is rapidly decreasing at infinity (by Theorem 1) while the second factor is at most of order $|s|^a$ for some a (in view of the functional equation and Stirling's formula). Thus $L_F \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \chi, s \right)$ is rapidly decreasing at infinity in vertical strips.

From the local functional equations (27) and (27)', we get

$$L_{WF} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \chi^{-1}, 1-s \right) = L_F \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \chi, s \right). \tag{28}$$

Let us define for $x \in \mathbf{Q}_A^\times$.

$$F'(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{\chi'} \int_{\text{Re } s = \sigma} L_F \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \chi', s \right) |x|^{1-2s} ds$$

and
$$F''(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{\chi'} \int_{\text{Re } s = \sigma_1} L_{WF} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \chi'^{-1}, 1-s \right) |x|^{1-2s} ds$$

where σ and $-\sigma_1$ are sufficiently large and χ' runs over characters of $\prod_p \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$.

Because of the properties of the integrand mentioned above and the functional equation (28), we obtain by the usual argument

$$F'(x) - F''(x) = \text{Sum of the residues of } L_F \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \chi_0, s \right) |x|^{1-2s}$$

(at the poles encountered while shifting the integration from the far right to the far left, i.e. at all the poles).

Since
$$L_F \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \chi_0, s \right) = \frac{MF_\infty(s)}{L(s, \pi_\infty)} \left(L(s, \pi_\infty) \sum_{n \neq 0} a_n |n|^{-s} \right),$$

the poles arise from the function inside the simple brackets; the residue can be computed and seen to be of the form c_0 or $c_1 \mu_1(x_\infty) + c_2 \mu_2(x_\infty)$ with

constants c_0, c_1, c_2 , depending on the nature of π_∞ . The last relation involving $F'(x) - F''(x)$ may thus be viewed as the adelic formulation of the Poisson formula. When no poles are encountered, it reduces to an 'automorphic' relation $F'(x) = F''(x)$.

References

- [1] Bateman H 1953 *Higher transcendental functions* (New York: McGraw-Hill), Vol. 1, Bateman Manuscript Project
- [2] Berndt B C 1969 Identities involving the coefficients of a class of Dirichlet series I. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **137** 345-359
- [3] Bochner S 1951 Some properties of modular relations. *Ann. Math.* **53** 332-363
- [4] Chandrasekharan K and Raghavan Narasimhan 1961 Hecke's functional equation and arithmetical identities. *Ann. Math.* **74** 1-23
- [5] Gelbart S 1975 Automorphic forms on Adele groups. *Ann. Math. Studies* No. 83 (Princeton University Press)
- [6] Jacquet H and Langlands R P 1970 Automorphic forms on $GL(2)$. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* (New York: Springer-Verlag)
- [7] Godement R 1970 Notes on Jacquet-Langlands' Theory. *Lecture Notes*, Institute for Advanced Study.
- [8] Hecke E 1936 Über die Bestimmung Dirichletscher Reihen durch ihre Funktionalgleichungen. *Math. Ann.* **112** 664-699; *Gesamm. Abhand* 591-626
- [9] Igusa J.-I 1974 Complex powers and asymptotic expansions. I: Functions of certain types. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **268-269** 110-130
- [10] Igusa J.-I 1978 *Lectures on forms of higher degree*. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research
- [11] Kubota T 1974 On an analogy to the Poisson summation formula for generalised Fourier transformation. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **268-269** 180-189
- [12] Maass H 1949 Automorphe Funktionen und indefinite quadratische Formen. Sitz.-ber. Heidelberg. *Akad. Wiss.* 1-42
- [13] Maass H 1949 Über eine neue Art von nichtanalytischen automorphen Funktionen und die Bestimmung Dirichletscher Reihen durch Funktionalgleichungen. *Math. Ann.* **121** 141-183
- [14] Maass H 1953 Die Differentialgleichungen in der Theorie der elliptischen Modul-funktionen. *Math. Ann.* **125** 233-263
- [15] Maass H 1964 *Lectures on modular functions of one complex variable*. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research
- [16] Ranga Rao R 1977 Unitary representations defined by boundary conditions—the case of $sl(2, \mathbb{R})$. *Acta. Math.* **139** 185-216
- [17] Sally P J 1967 Analytic continuation of the irreducible unitary representations of the universal covering group of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$. *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* No. 69
- [18] Weil A 1971 Dirichlet series and automorphic forms. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* No. 189 (Springer-Verlag)
- [19] Yamazaki T 1978 On a generalisation of the fourier transformation. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **25** 237-252

School of Mathematics,
Tata Institute of Fundamental Research,
Bombay 400 005