RESEARCH ARTICLE



Genetic diversity and cultivar variants in the NCGR cranberry (*Vaccinium macrocarpon* Aiton) collection

B. SCHLAUTMAN^{1,2}, G. COVARRUBIAS-PAZARAN², L. RODRIGUEZ-BONILLA², K. HUMMER³, N. BASSIL³, T. SMITH⁴ and J. ZALAPA^{2,5*}

¹The Land Institute, 2440 E. Water Well Road, Salina, KS, 67401, USA

²Department of Horticulture, University of Wisconsin, 1575 Linden Drive, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA

³USDA-ARS National Clonal Germplasm Repository, 33447 Peoria Rd, Corvallis, OR 97333, USA

⁴Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, 960 Carling Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario, K1A 0C6, Canada

⁵USDA, Agricultural Research Service, Vegetable Crops Research Unit, 1575 Linden Drive, Madison, WI53706, USA

*For correspondence. E-mail: jezalapa@wisc.edu; juanzalapa@ars.usda.gov.

Received 27 March 2018; revised 11 July 2018; accepted 12 July 2018; published online 30 November 2018

Abstract. The American cranberry (*Vaccinium macrocarpon*) is an endemic domesticated species that has become an economically important commercial fruit crop. The USDA-ARS National Clonal Germplasm Repository (NCGR) houses the national *Vaccinium* collection, which includes representatives of historical cranberry cultivars and wild-selected germplasm. The objective of this study was to examine the genotypes of 271 cranberry plants from 77 accessions representing 66 named cultivars using 12 simple-sequence repeats to assess clonal purity and cultivar relatedness. Using principal components analysis and neighbour-joining based on estimated genetic distances between individuals, we identified 64 unique genotypes and observed that intracultivar variants (i.e. subclones) existed in the germplasm collection and in the commercial bogs where some accessions originated. Finally, through a comparison of the genotypes of this study with the previous studies, pedigree analysis and the study of the geographic distribution of cranberry diversity, we identified consensus genotypes for many accessions and cultivars. We highlight the important role that the NCGR collection plays for *ex situ* conservation of cranberry germplasm for future breeders and researchers. The NCGR continues to search for historically relevant cultivars absent from the collection in an effort to preserve these genotypes before they are lost and no longer commercially grown.

Keywords. genetic resources; ex situ conservation; wild selections; microsatellites; simple sequence repeats; consensus genotypes.

Introduction

The American cranberry (*Vaccinium macrocarpon* Ait.) is a North American native species belonging to the family Ericaceae (Vander Kloet 1983a; Darrow and Camp 1945), which is naturally found in the acidic bogs of the northeastern United States, primarily east of the Mississippi river and southeastern Canada (Vander Kloet 1983a). The US is the global leader in cranberry production, with over 41,400 acres of an estimated 53,000 bearing acres worldwide, principally planted in Wisconsin (20,900 acres), Massachusetts (13,000 acres), New Jersey (3100 acres), Oregon (2700 acres) and Washington (1700 acres) with an estimated value of \$292.29 million (USDA-NASS 2017).

Cranberry domestication and cultivation began in the mid-1800s, and since then, more than 132 wild selections have been documented (Chandler and Demoranville 1958; Dana 1983; Eck 1990). Historically, many of these wild selections were distributed and shared among growers as named cultivars, but most of them are no longer grown and have been lost or forgotten. Until the

Electronic supplementary material: The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s12041-018-1036-3) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

BS, NB, KH, TS and JZ conceived the research and designed experiments. BS, GCP, LRB and JZ performed genetic analyses and analysed data. BS, JZ, NB, KH and TS interpreted the results and developed discussion points. BS and JZ wrote the paper. JZ oversaw the study. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

development of hybrid cultivars in the 1950s, wild selections dominated the cranberry industry as clonally preserved and propagated cultivars (Eck 1990). The first American cranberry genetic improvement efforts were initiated in the 1930s as a collaboration between the USDA-ARS and the Agricultural Experiment Stations of Massachusetts, New Jersey and Wisconsin. Since then approximately a dozen hybrid cultivars have been developed and released. From 1950 to 1970, the first cranberry breeding cycle was implemented with crosses between wild selections and resulted in the release of seven first generation artificial hybrid cultivars ('Beckwith', 'Bergman', 'Crowley', 'Franklin', 'Pilgrim', 'Stevens' and 'Wilcox') (Eck 1990). The second breeding cycle generated seven additional cultivars ('HyRed', 'GH1', 'DeMorranville', 'Crimson Queen', 'Mullica Queen', 'Sundance' and 'BG'). These cultivars were generated by crossing first-generation hybrids and elite wild selections, and resulted in the improvement of fruit quality (e.g. fruit anthocyanin content) and increased productivity (Fajardo et al. 2013). The current breeding programmes are located in New Jersey at Rutgers and Wisconsin at the USDA-ARS, University of Wisconsin-Madison.

One problem which has continually affected the industry and breeding programmes has been the uncertainty of true-to-type cranberry germplasm. Genetic inconsistencies of named cultivars are common in different growing areas and even within plantings of a single cultivar (Dana 1983; Eck 1990; Fajardo et al. 2013). Most of the potential genetic heterogeneity stems from the lack of control by cranberry growers in the preservation, propagation and distribution of both wild and hybrid cranberry cultivars in a clonally propagated system (Fajardo et al. 2013). Additionally, many commercial plantings are considerably old (i.e. 25-100 years) and have become contaminated with seedlings over time (Novy et al. 1994). Thus, even using modern molecular markers, it is difficult or impossible to identify a single consensus genotype for many named varieties (Novy and Vorsa 1995; Novy et al. 1996; Fajardo et al. 2013). To compound the problem, some wild cultivars were released as selection mixtures (Dana 1983; Eck 1990), which further contributed to the challenge of preserving true-to-type clonal cranberry cultivars.

The USDA-ARS National Clonal Germplasm Repository (NCGR) in Corvallis, Oregon has preserved and maintains a large collection of *V. macrocarpon* accessions. Most of the accessions in the collection are wild selections that are no longer commercially grown or are in danger of being lost. The NCGR cranberry collection is an important resource for worldwide cranberry researchers and growers. The collection preserves and freely distributes cranberry genetic resources and represents an important reservoir of genes for improving agronomic traits such as yield, quality, disease and insect resistance. Preliminary fingerprinting of 16 accessions from the NCGR collection using blueberry microsatellites or simple sequence repeats (SSRs) identified different genetic profiles in two 'Searles' accessions obtained from Wisconsin (Bassil *et al.* 2009). Also using blueberry SSRs, intracultivar variants or 'subclones' with different genetic profiles were identified from vines growing in the same pot and representing a single accession (Bassil, unpublished). Therefore, single runners from each accession were used to propagate new clones of each accession and were subsequently genetically fingerprinted as described below.

In an effort to ensure genetic purity of cultivars in the NCGR collection, the objective of this study was to determine the genetic purity and trueness-to-type of cultivars and important selections of *V. macrocarpon* in the NCGR collection. We used microsatellite markers from previous cranberry genetic diversity studies (Zhu *et al.* 2012; Fajardo *et al.* 2013; Zalapa *et al.* 2015), which are randomly distributed across nine of the 12 cranberry linkage groups (Schlautman *et al.* 2015a). The specific objectives of this study were to (i) determine clonal purity and genetic integrity of each NCGR cranberry accession and (ii) assess the genetic diversity and relatedness of the cranberry cultivar collection. This research will provide genetic information for management, maintenance and use of the accessions in the NCGR collection.

Materials and methods

Plant material and DNA isolation

Leaf tissue was collected from 271 plants belonging to 78 accessions representing 66 named cultivars from the NCGR collection (table 1). A BenchTop lyophilizer (Virtis, Gardiner, USA) was used to freeze-dry leaves from single runners of each of the 271 plants for 72 h. Total genomic DNA from 0.1 g leaf tissue was extracted using a Macherey-Nagel Plant II kit (Düren, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions.

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification and fragment analysis

PCR was performed according to Schlautman *et al.* (2015b) using carboxyfluorescein (FAM) and hexachlorofluorescein (HEX) M13 tags (5'-CACGTTGTAAAA-CGAC-3'). Briefly, reactions were performed in 8 μ L total volume using 3.5 μ L 1× JumpStart REDTaq ReadyMix (Sigma, St Louis, USA), 1.0 μ L of 15 ng/ μ L DNA, 2.0 μ L of ddH₂O, 0.5 μ L of 5 μ M forward primer, 0.5 μ L of 50 μ M reverse primer and 0.5 μ L of 0.5 μ M M13-FAM, M13-HEX, or M13-NED primer. Thermocycling conditions included a 3 min melting step of 94°C, followed by 33 cycles of 94°C for 15 s, 55°C for 90 s and 72°C for 2 min, and a final extension step of 72°C for 30 min. One microlitre each of FAM and HEX labelled PCR product was mixed with 10 μ L formamide and a carboxy-X-rhodamine

Accession (PI)	Cultivar name	Origin/pedigree	n	Plant code	
554978 ^a	No. 35	Howes×Searles	6	491.001006	
1758 ^b	No. 41	Uncertain	1	1758.001	
554979 ^a	AJ	Searles×Early Black	6	492.001006	
618042 ^b	Bain 2	Wild, WI	1	1029.001	
618043 ^b	Bain 3	Wild, WI	1	1030.001	
618044 ^b	Bain 4	Wild, WI	1	1031.001	
618045 ^b	Bain 5	Wild, WI	1	1032.001	
618046 ^b	Bain 6	Wild, WI	1	1033.001	
618047 ^b	Bain 7	Wild, WI	1	1034.001	
618048 ^b	Bain 8	Wild, WI	1	1035.001	
618049 ^b	Bain 9	Wild WI	1	1036.001	
618050 ^b	Bain 10	Wild WI	1	1037.001	
618041 ^{<i>a</i>}	Bain Favorite No. 1	Wild WI	6	1028 001- 006	
618051 ^{<i>a</i>}	Bain Favorite No. 2	Wild, WI	5	1038.001003005006	
618052^{b}	Bain McFarlin	Wild MA	1	1039 001	
657266 ^b	BE 4	Uncertain	1	1825.001	
554990 ^a	Beckwith	McFarlin × Early Black	4	496.001.004-006	
554983 ^a	Ben Lear	Wild, WI	5	503.001005	
554973 ^b	Bennett	Wild, WI	1	112.002	
657166 ^c	Bennett (bog4)	Wild, WI	2	1677.001002	
554982 ^a	Bergman	Early Black × Searles	6	662.002007	
618053 ^b	Biron selection	Uncertain	1	1040.001	
555008 ^a	Black veil	Wild, MA	6	770.001006	
555024 ^a	Bugle: Mashpee type	Wild, MA	6	827.001006	
555023 ^b	Bugle: Wareham type	Wild, MA	1	826.001	
555009 ^a	Centennial	Wild, MA	6	771.001006	
554999 ^a	Centerville	Wild, MA	5	745.001005	
555000 ^a	Champion	Wild, MA	6	/46.001006	
554980 ^a	Cropper	Wild, NJ McEarlin × Prolific	3 6	493.001003	
657167 ^c	Crowley (bog5)	McFarlin × Prolific	2	1678 001- 002	
657170 ^c	Crowley (bog12)	McFarlin×Prolific	$\frac{1}{2}$	1681.001002	
618054^{b}	Drever	Uncertain	1	1041.001	
554986 ^a	Early Black	Wild, MA	5	741.002006	
555001 ^b	Foxboro Howes	Wild, MA	1	747.002	
554998 ^a	Franklin	Early Black × Howes	6	743.001006	
555010 ^a	Garwood Bell	Wild, NJ	6	772.001006	
555011 ^a	Gebhardt Beauty	Wild, WI	5	773.001005	
638768 ^b	Grygleski 2	Earl Rezin×McFarlin	1	1447.001	
618055 ^b	Habelman 2	Uncertain	1	1042.001	
554995 ^b	Hamilton (genetic dwarf)	Wild, MA	1	708.002	
618056 ^b	Hollison	Wild, MA	1	1043.001	
614076 ^a	Howes	Wild, MA	6	1296.001006	
554996 ^a	Langlois form	Uncertain	6	709.001006	
554985 ^a	LeMunyon	Wild, NJ	5	499.001005	
618057 ^b	Matthews	Wild, MA	1	1044.002	
614075 ^a	McFarlin	Wild, MA	5	1296.001003, .005006	
657165 ^c	McFarlin (bog3)	Wild, MA	2	1676.001002	
618058 ^b	Middleboro	Wild, MA	1	1045.001	
03/109° 554097a	Olson's Honkers (bog11)	Wild, NJ Wild, NJ	2	1680.002	
555003 <i>a</i>	OISOII S HONKERS	Wild MA	0	202.001006 749.001_006	
555005 ^a	Perry Red	Wild MA	6	751 001-006	
614077 ^a	Pilgrim	Prolific x McFarlin	5	1297.001-005	
657168 ^c	Pilgrim (bog10)	Prolific×McFarlin	2	1679.001002	
555012 ^a	Potter's Favorite	Wild, WI	6	774.001006	

Table 1. List of the 78 cranberry (*V. macrocarpon*) accessions (n = 271) representing 66 cultivars preserved at the USDA-ARS NCGR analysed using 12 SSR loci.

Accession (PI)	Cultivar name	Origin/pedigree	п	Plant code
555004 ^a	Pride	Wild, MA	6	750.001006
554993 ^a	Prolific	Wild, MI	6	666.001006
518060 ^b	Rezin McFarlin	Wild, MA	1	1047.001
518061 ^b	Rezin Native	Wild, WI	1	1048.001
555002 ^a	Round Howes	Wild, MA	6	748.002007
555013 ^a	Searles	Wild, WI	10	775.001009,.011
555014 ^a	Shaw's Success	Wild, MA	5	776.001005
554972 ^a	Stankavich	Uncertain	5	110.001005
518059 ^b	Stanley	Wild, MA	1	1046.001
514078 ^a	Stevens	McFarlin×Potter	3	1298.001003
557161 ^c	Stevens (bog1)	McFarlin×Potter	2	1672.001002
557162 ^c	Steven (bog2)	McFarlin×Potter	2	1673.001002
557163 ^c	Stevens (bog8)	McFarlin×Potter	2	1674.001002
557164 ^c	Stevens (bog9)	McFarlin×Potter	2	1675.001002
557171 ^c	Stevens (bog6)	McFarlin×Potter	2	1682.001002
557172 ^c	Stevens (bog7)	McFarlin×Potter	2	1683.001002
555015 ^a	Thunder Lake 3	Wild, WI	6	777.001006
555016 ^b	Thunder Lake 4	Wild, WI	1	778.001
555006 ^a	Wales Henry	Wild, MA	6	752.001006
555007 ^a	Whiting Randall	Wild, MA	6	753.001006
514079 ^a	Wilcox	Howes×Searles	6	1299.001006
555028 ^a	Yellow Bell (mutant yellow fruit)	Wild, ME	6	832.001006

 Table 1 (contd)

^{*a*}Core cultivars (n = 39) represented by 3–10 plants.

^bCultivars (n = 27) represented by a single plant.

^cCultivars (n = 6) with 2–12 samples collected from 12 cultivated bogs.

(ROX; GeneFlo-625 ROX; CHIMERx, Milwaukee, USA) ladder and the pool-plexed mix was sent to the University of Wisconsin Biotechnology Center DNA Sequencing Facility for fragment analysis using a ABI 3730 fluorescent sequencer (Pop-6 and a 50 cm capillary array; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA). Allele genotyping was performed using the GeneMarker software v 1.91 (Soft-Genetics LLC, State College, USA). To avoid technical and human error during genotyping, PCR was repeated as needed (up to three times) and multiple scorers were used to ensure the repeatability of the results.

Assessing the genetic diversity of cranberry genotypes in the NCGR

We used 12 microsatellite loci to genotype all 271 plants in this study according to Fajardo *et al.* (2013) and 36 additional SSR loci from Zhu *et al.* (2012) were used to test four plants to provide a test of robustness of power to differentiate samples based on 12 versus 48 loci. Diversity statistics, such as the observed number of alleles (N_a), number of effective alleles (N_e), Shannon's information index (*I*) and observed (H_o) and expected (H_e) heterozygosity were calculated using GenAlEx 6.4 (Peakall and Smouse 2006). Additionally, the average nonexclusion probability for identity of two unrelated individuals per locus (NE-I) was calculated using Cervus v. 3.0 (Slate *et al.* 2000; Field Genetics Ltd.). Unique clones were initially detected by calculating a simple genetic distance matrix between all 271 plants based on the 12 microsatellite loci. Then, the alleles of each genotype were individually examined to determine clones. When two genotypes had identical allelic constitutions at all 12 microsatellite markers used, they were considered clones. When slight allelic differences were observed (1-3 alleles) among two genotypes, we repeated the PCR and confirmed the results. Missing data was almost nonexistent in this dataset with only 10 missing points in a data matrix consisting of 271 rows and 24 columns. After the clones were clearly identified, the final genetic distance between plants was estimated with R v3.2.3 using the Rogers' genetic distance formula implemented in the adegenet package (Rogers 1972; Jombart 2008; R Core Team 2015). Finally, for known hybrids between wild parents, we performed an analysis to confirm their pedigree based on simple allelic comparison across genotypes.

Analysing geographic patterns of diversity and identifying intracultivar variants

The 64 unique genotypes in the collection were classified into three separate groups (i.e. east of the Appalachians, west of the Appalachians and first generation hybrids) based on their assumed geographic origin from information provided in published descriptions of cranberry cultivars (Chandler and Demoranville 1958; Dana 1983; Eck 1990). Because cranberries are not native to the Pacific Northwest (Vander Kloet 1983b), all germplasm collected from marshes in Washington and Oregon were classified as of uncertain origin because they are presumed to have been imported from elsewhere. Two methods, neighbour-joining (NJ) and principal components analysis (PCA), were used to determine the relatedness of the cranberry clones, to identify potential cultivar variants and to determine if there are any east versus west differentiation with the Appalachian Mountains potentially serving as a migration geographic barrier between wild cranberry populations. NJ trees based on the estimated Rogers' genetic distances between unique clones were generated using the adegenet package with 100 bootstrap replicates performed using the ape package (Paradis et al. 2004; Jombart 2008). PCA using the 12 microsatellite loci was also performed with the adegenet package (Jombart 2008). Because including both wild and intra-specific hybrid plants can sometimes complicate the resulting phylogenies (Camp 1945; Vander Kloet 1983b; Schlautman et al. 2016), PCA and NJ trees were calculated first using all unique clones and then a second time after excluding the first generation artificial hybrids (east×west crosses) from the dataset.

Results and discussion

SSR diversity in the NCGR collection

This analysis included 271 cranberry plants belonging to 78 accessions from 66 named cultivars representing all major wild selections and the seven available firstgeneration hybrids (table 1; Eck 1990). Of the 66 named cultivars studied in the core collection, 27 were represented by a single plant while 39 were represented by 3–10 plants obtained from single-cutting propagules. Additionally, six cultivars from 12 Oregon commercial bogs were also represented by two plants from each bog collected as single cuttings (table 1). Based on the 168 alleles in the NCGR collection observed for the 12 SSR loci, the estimated genetic distances between plants and resulting NJ tree revealed 64 unique multilocus genotypes (table 2; figure 1). The number of alleles per locus (N_a) for these 64 genotypes ranged from 7 to 21 and effective alleles per locus $(N_{\rm e})$ ranged from 3.73 to 9.66 (table 3). Shannon's information index (I) for each locus ranged from 1.51 to 2.50. The observed heterozygosity ranged from 0.47 to 0.88. The average non-exclusion probability for the identity of two unrelated individuals (NE-I) for each locus ranged from 0.12 to 0.02. These diversity estimates are equivalent or higher than in other woody plant species (Muzzalupo *et al.*) 2014; Teixeira et al. 2014; Brunet et al. 2016).

The results of this study suggest that these 12 nuclear SSRs are more useful for cranberry diversity studies than the 54 SSRs from the cranberry plastid and mitochondrial

genomes developed by Schlautman et al. (2016), which did not distinguish nine commercial cranberry cultivars. A comparison with the only two other cranberry diversity studies using the same nuclear SSRs showed that the genetic diversity of the accessions evaluated from the NCGR collection is greater than that observed in the 21 commonly grown cultivars sample study by Fajardo et al. (2013) and in five wild populations from Wisconsin intensely studied by Zalapa et al. (2015). In fact, while the current study found 64 unique genotypes among 271 plants from 66 cultivars preserved in the NCGR collection, Fajardo et al. (2013) studied 164 plants from 21 commonly grown cultivars and found only 36 unique genotypes. Similarly, Zalapa et al. (2015) detected only 42 unique genotypes in 192 plant samples from five native populations in Wisconsin. Further, in the current study, the average number of alleles, effective number of alleles and Shannon's information index were all higher (table 3) than in the studies of both Fajardo *et al.* (2013) $(N_a = 9.75,$ $N_{\rm e} = 3.79$ and I = 1.56) and Zalapa *et al.* (2015) $(N_{\rm a} = 8.09, N_{\rm e} = 3.32 \text{ and } I = 1.38).$

SSR discrimination power

Although SSR loci are the molecular markers of choice for DNA fingerprinting in the plant sciences due to their reliability, repeatability and multiallelic codominant nature (Zalapa et al. 2012), a lack of SSR discrimination power could lead to the inability to differentiate cultivars which are truly unique. Cranberry is an outcrossing species, but it is also highly self-fertilizing (Zalapa et al. 2015). Thus, a high probability exists of 'volunteer' seedlings arising from self-pollination, which over time can cause inbreeding that would be difficult to detect using low numbers of SSR markers. To test the power and robustness of the 12 SSR loci to discriminate different genotypes, we re-analysed and compared two pairs of cultivars deemed genetically identical (table 2) in the current study using an existing dataset of 48 SSRs (Zhu et al. 2012): 'Prolific' (554993, 666.002) versus 'Crowley' (554976, 111.003) and 'Potter's Favorite' (555012, 774.005) versus 'Ben Lear' (554983, 503.001). 'Potter's Favorite' and 'Ben Lear' accessions were genetically identical based on 64 alleles from 48 SSR loci (dataset from Zhu et al. 2012) versus 18 alleles from the 12 SSR loci (current dataset; table 1 in electronic supplementary material at http://www.ias.ac.in/ jgenet/). Similarly, 'Prolific' and 'Crowley' were also genetically identical based on 69 alleles from 44 SSR loci versus 23 alleles from 12 SSR loci. Therefore, these two pairs of accessions are genetically identical, and the 12 SSR loci appear to provide a good level of discrimination.

The high discrimination power of the loci used was also reflected by the low NE-I = 6.20×10^{-17} (average/locus=0.05) for the 12 SSRs (i.e. the probability that two cultivars, selected by chance, cannot be differentiated

Table 2. Unique (n = 64) cranberry (*V. macrocarpon*) genotypes detected among 78 cranberry plants (n = 271) from 78 accessions representing 66 named cultivars housed at the USDA-ARS NCGR using 12 SSR loci.

Genotype ID	Cultivar composition	Consensus cultivar	
1	McFarlin (bog3)_1676.001, 1676.002; Stevens (bog8)_1674.001; FoxboroHowes_747.002; Bennett (bog4)_1677.001, 1677.002; Crowley_111.001, 111.002, 111.003, 111.004, 111.005, 111.006; Pride_750.001, 750.002, 750.003, 750.004, 750.005, 750.006;	_	
•	Prolific_666.001, 666.002, 666.003, 666.004, 666.005, 666.006	xx ab	
2	Howes_1296.003	Howes ^{<i>a</i>,<i>b</i>}	
3	Howes_1296.001, 1296.002, 1296.004, 1296.005, 1296.006	Howes self ^{v}	
4	Olsons Honkers (bog11) _1680.002	—	
5	Searles_// 5.004 , / 5.005 , / 5.006 , / 5.007 , / 5.008 ; Bain0 1036 001	-	
6	Vellow Bell 832 001 832 002 832 003 832 004 832 005	Yellow Bell ^a	
7	No. 35 491 001 491 002 491 003 491 004 491 005 491 006	No $35^{a,b}$	
8	Stevens 1298 001		
9	Wilcox_1299.001, 1299.002, 1299.003, 1299.004, 1299.005, 1299.006	Wilcox ^{<i>a</i>,<i>b</i>}	
10	BF4 1825 001	$BE4^c$	
11	Franklin 743 001 743 002 743 003 743 004 743 005 743 006	Franklin ^{<i>a</i>,<i>b</i>}	
12	Centennial _771.001, 771.002, 771.003, 771.004, 771.005, 771.006 Pilgrim (hog10) 1679 002		
13	Crowley (bog10)_1681.001; PerryRed_751.001, 751.002,	-	
	751.003, 751.004, 751.005, 751.006		
14	Yellow Bell_832.006	- -	
15	Bugle: Mashpee Type_827.001, 827.002, 827.003, 827.004,	Bugle: Mashpee type	
16	827.003, 827.000 Stankavich 110.001 110.002 110.003 110.004 110.005	Stankavich ^C	
17	Black Veil 770 001 770 002 770 003 770 004 770 006	Black Veil ^c	
18	Paradise Meadow _749.001, 749.002, 749.003, 749.004, 749.005, 749.006	Paradise Meadow ^c	
19	Middleboro 1045.001	Middleboro ^c	
20	Early Black 741.003	Early Black self ^b	
21	Early Black 741.005	Early Black self ^b	
22	Bugle: Wareham Type_826.001; Centerville_745.001, 745.002, 745.003, 745.004, 745.005		
23	Hamilton_708.002	Hamilton ^c	
24	Beckwith_496.001, 496.004, 496.005, 496.006	-	
25	Cropper_493.001, 493.002, 493.003	Cropper ^c	
26	Champion_746.001, 746.002, 746.003, 746.004, 746.005	Champion ^{<i>c</i>}	
27	Round Howes _748.003, 748.007; Stanley _1046.001	- 01 0 (
28 29	Shaws Success_//6.001, //6.002, //6.003, //6.004, //6.005 WhitingRandall_753.001, 753.002, 753.003, 753.004, 753.005, 753.006; Plack Vill 770.005	Whiting Randall ^c	
30	Crowley (hog12) $1681\ 002$	_	
31	Langlois Form_709.001, 709.002, 709.003, 709.004, 709.005, 709.006	Langlois Form ^c	
32	Bain Favorite No. 1_1028.001, 1028.002, 1028.003, 1028.004, 1028.005, 1028.006	_	
33	Rezin McFarlin 1047.001	McFarlin ^{<i>a</i>,<i>b</i>}	
34	Olsons Honkers _505.001, 505.002, 505.003, 505.004, 505.005, 505.006	Olsons Honkers ^c	
35	Bain Favorite No. 2_1038.001, 1038.002, 1038.003, 1038.005, 1038.006	Bain Favorite No. 2 ^{<i>c</i>}	
36	LeMunyon_499.001, 499.002, 499.003, 499.004, 499.005	—	
37	Searles_775.002		
38	Thunder Lake 3 _3777.001, 3777.002, 3777.004, 3777.005, 3777.006	Thunder Lake 3 ^c	
39	RoundHowes_748.002, 748.005	- ,	
40	Bergman_662.002, 662.003, 662.004, 662.005, 662.006, 662.007	Bergman ^{<i>a</i>,<i>b</i>}	

Table 2.	(contd)
----------	---------

Genotype ID	Cultivar composition	Consensus cultivar	
41	Hollison_1043.001; Olsons Honkers (bog11)_1680.001; Habelman2_1042.001; Drever_1041.001; Champion_746.006; Bennett 112.002; Bain McEarlin 1039.001	Putative Potter's Favorite ^b	
42	Grygleski? 1447 001	$GH2^{a,b}$	
43	No. 41 1758 001: Crowley ($hog5$) 1678 001 1678 002:	Stevens ^{<i>a</i>,<i>b</i>}	
	Stevens (bog2)_1673.001, 1673.002; Stevens (bog7)_1683.001, 1672.002; Stevens (bog9)_1675.001; Stevens (bog7)_1683.001, 1683.002	Sectors	
44	Rezin Native_1048.001	Rezin Native ^c	
45	McFarlin_1295.001, 1295.002, 1295.003, 1295.005, 1295.006	_	
46	Stevens (bog9)_1675.002	—	
47	Wales Henry_752.001, 752.002, 752.003, 752.004, 752.005, 752.006	Wales Henry ^c	
48	Garwood Bell_ 772.001, 772.002, 772.003, 772.004, 772.005, 772.006	Garwood Bell ^c	
49	Round Howes_ 748.004, 748.006; Gebhardt Beauty_ 773.001, 773.002, 773.003, 773.004, 773.005	_	
50	Biron Selection_1040.001	_	
51	ThunderLake 4_4778.001	_	
52	Early Black_741.002; Thunder Lake 3_3777.003	_	
53	Early Black_741.004, 741.006; Stevens (bog6)_1682.001, 1682.002	-	
54	Matthews_1044.002	Matthews ^c	
55	Searles_775.003, 775.009, 775.010, 775.011	_	
56	Bain 8_1035.001	Bain 8^c	
57	Bain 10_1037.001	Bain 10^c	
58	Bain 2_1029.001; Bain 4_1031.001, Bain 5_1032.001;	_	
59	Bain 7_1034.001	Bain 7^c	
60	Bain 6_1033.001	Bain 6^c	
61	Bain 3_ 1030.001	Bain 3^c	
62	Pilgrim _1297.001, 1297.002, 1297.003, 1297.004, 1297.005; Pilgrim (bog10)_1679.001	Pilgrim ^b	
63	Ben Lear_503.005	_	
64	AJ_492.001, 492.002, 492.003, 492.004, 492.005, 492.006; Ben Lear_503.001, 503.002, 503.003, 503.004; PottersFa- vorite_774.001, 774.002, 774.003, 774.004, 774.005, 774.006	Ben Lear ^{<i>a</i>,<i>b</i>}	

The cultivar composition column indicates accessions, according to the NCGR plant codes in table 1, with the same genotype. The consensus cultivar for each genotype was determined as a match with a genotype from Fajardo *et al.* (2013), contains alleles consistent with its expected pedigree, and/or is a cultivar represented by a single genotype that grouped with genotypes of similar geographic origin in principal component and NJ analyses as hypothesized in Schlautman *et al.* (2015b).

^{*a*}Matches with a genotype from Fajardo *et al.* (2013).

^bContains alleles consistent with its expected pedigree.

^cCultivar represented by a single genotype that grouped with genotypes of similar geographic origin in principal component and NJ analyses as hypothesized in Schlautman *et al.* (2015b).

by their SSR profile). Using 48 SSRs, the NE-I was 3.46×10^{-32} (average/locus=0.31) in 25 cranberry cultivars from Zhu *et al.* (2012). According to Polashock and Vorsa (2002), differentiation of a cranberry parental genotype from its selfed progeny is possible using only 10–20 dominant markers. In the current study, 12 SSR markers would be expected to differentiate selfed progeny from their parents nearly 100% ((0.50)¹²) of the time assuming that markers are heterozygous, unlinked and exhibit Mendelian segregation. Outcrossed progeny resulting from intercrosses between a mother genotype and different pollen

genotype/s should be also easy to differentiate using 12 SSR loci with 168 alleles due to the segregation of a large number of alleles per locus (Polashock and Vorsa 2002).

Analysing geographic patterns of cranberry diversity

Because cranberries are a recently domesticated crop, less than 200 years ago, and since the collection represents mostly wild selections with a few cultivars product of artificial breeding, it is possible that the cranberry NCGR collection could be useful to study the natural differentiation



Figure 1. PCA (left) and NJ (right) tree based on the 12 SSR loci used to genotype the 64 unique cranberry (*V. macrocarpon*) genotypes from the USDA-ARS NCGR. Each genotype is coloured based on the assumed location where it was originally collected (table 1) to test the hypothesis that the Appalachian mountains may serve as a barrier to cranberry migration. The numbers at nodes are the proportion of occurrence in 100 bootstrap replicates. Genotypes which appear to violate the hypothesis (table 2 in electronic supplementary material) are given their own colour.

of populations in the wild. Although a more in-depth sampling of the entire cranberry natural range will be required in the future to investigate the population structure of cranberries in the wild, we conducted PCA and NJ analyses based on the origin of the accessions as a preliminary assessment of the population structure. We chose these two methods due to the focus of the collection to preserve cultivated and breeding materials. We found some evidence of two groupings consistent with population structure east versus west with the Appalachian Mountains possibly serving as a major geographical barrier to gene flow, which together with the dispersal of bog ecosystems may make it difficult for insect pollinators to carry cranberry pollen across long distances (Schlautman et al. 2015b). Information regarding different genetic lineages across geographical regions could be used for conservation efforts and to create complementary genetic pools and heterotic crosses. In terms of breeding, significant heterosis for yield has already been observed in certain crosses between east versus west parents (e.g. 'Stevens'

(Massachusetts×Wisconsin) released in 1950 represents 40% of total US acreage).

The 12 SSR loci separated all 64 clonal genotypes in the NJ and PCA analysis (table 2; figure 1). Principle component 2, which showed 9.43% of the total genetic variation separated the genotypes with a presumed origin east of the Appalachian Mountains (quadrants 1 and 2) from the genotypes with a presumed origin west of the Appalachian Mountains (quadrants 3 and 4) (figure 1). However, the NJ tree did not provide conclusive evidence supporting the east versus west differentiation hypothesis (figure 1) possibly due to confounding of 1st generation artificial hybrids in the dataset which appear randomly distributed among genotypes in both the NJ tree and PCA (figure 1).

Removing the artificial hybrids improved the separation of genotypes of eastern and western origin in the NJ tree; however, bootstrapping branch support was still lacking to support significant population structure east versus west (figure 2). In the PCA that excluded hybrids, the wild selections collected east of the Appalachian mountains were

Table 3. Genetic estimates for 12 SSR loci (168 alleles) based on 64 unique cranberry (*V. macrocarpon*) genotypes among 78 cranberry accessions (n = 271) housed at the USDA-ARS NCGR.

Locus	N	Na	Ne	Ι	Ho	$H_{\rm S}$	NE-I
vm04084 vm25796 vm26877 vm28527 vm28527	64 64 64 64	14 21 13 16	7.41 5.77 6.18 4.00	2.22 2.19 2.07 1.92 2.16	0.81 0.47 0.78 0.66 0.70	0.87 0.83 0.84 0.75 0.84	0.03 0.05 0.05 0.08
vm31/01 vm38401 vm39030 vm40600	63 64 63 64	15 15 12 9	0.318.317.664.20	2.10 2.31 2.19 1.68	0.70 0.75 0.67 0.80	0.84 0.88 0.87 0.76	0.04 0.03 0.03 0.08
vm51985 vm52682 vm55441 vm78806 Average	64 64 64 63.83	7 15 19 12 14.00	3.73 6.07 9.66 6.62 6.33	1.51 2.09 2.50 2.16 2.08	$\begin{array}{c} 0.77 \\ 0.75 \\ 0.88 \\ 0.61 \\ 0.72 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.73 \\ 0.84 \\ 0.90 \\ 0.85 \\ 0.83 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.12 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.05 \end{array}$

N, sample size; N_a , number of alleles; N_e , number of effective alleles; I, Shannon's information index; H_o , observed heterozygosity; H_e , expected heterozygosity; NE-I, average nonexclusion probability for identity of two unrelated individuals.

separated from the selections that originated west of the Appalachian mountains by the line y = 1x (figure 2). Seven of the wild selections appeared to violate the barrier (figures 1 and 2; table 2 in electronic supplementary material). However, we cannot ascertain if this inconsistency is due to genetic heterogeneity or to contamination or error during the preservation of the clonal genotypes in the commercial cranberry industry. A total of 69 alleles across the 12 loci were shared among the wild selections made from east and west of the Appalachians mountains; there were 70 private alleles held only by eastern selections and 11 private alleles held only by western selections (table 3 in electronic supplementary material). The limited number of private alleles in the western selections could reflect the fact that fewer western genotypes (all which were from Wisconsin) were included in this study or lack of gene flow and concomitant genetic differentiation between eastern and western populations.

The observed genetic diversity among the wild cranberry selections from multiple geographic regions currently held within the NCGR collection highlights the need for an expansion of this study in the future. Thorough



Figure 2. PCA (left) and NJ (right) based on the 12 SSR loci used to genotype the unique genotypes of wild cranberry (*V. macrocarpon*) selections held in the USDA-ARS NCGR. Each genotype is coloured based on the assumed location where it was originally collected (table 1) in order to test the hypothesis that the Appalachian Mountains may serve as a barrier to cranberry migration. Genotypes which appear to violate the hypothesis (table 2 in electronic supplementary material) are given their own colour.

collection and sampling of wild cranberry germplasm from across its entire native range could lead to better understanding of the genetic relationships and geographic barriers to migration which exist among wild cranberry populations and could have important implications for breeding. A study of this sort would not only improve the NCGR collection *ex situ* conservation efforts and provide potential new sources of genetic variation for commercial cranberry genetic improvement, but also provide valuable insights about the locations of unique cranberry diversity hotspots which are candidates for *in situ* conservation and breeding efforts (Pavek *et al.* 2003; Meilleur and Hodgkin 2004).

Identification of intra-accession and intra-cultivar variants in the NCGR collection

In addition to the genetic diversity observed among the 64 unique genotypes in the NCGR collection, we also observed within accession diversity and intra-cultivar variation (table 2; figure 1). Of the 39 core cultivars represented by multiple plants, 27 were homogeneous with no variation in their genetic profiles based on the three-six representative plants fingerprinted (table 2; figure 1). The remaining 12 core cultivars had at least one genetic variant among the cuttings sampled with the majority (seven) displaying two genetic variants. However, 'Round Howes' (27, 39, 49) and 'Searles' (5, 37, 55) showed three distinct genotypes while 'Early Black' (20, 21, 52, 53) showed four (table 2). Additionally, 14 of the 64 unique genotypes were shared by multiple cultivars, ranging from two-seven cultivars per genotype. Nine of these genotypes were associated with samples from two cultivars (5, 'Searles' and 'Bain9'; 11, 'Franklin' and 'Centennial'; 13, 'Crowley' and 'Perry Red'; 22, 'Bugle Wareham' type and 'Centerville'; 27, 'Round Howes' and 'Stanley', 29, 'Whiting Randall' and 'Black Veil', 49, 'Round Howes' and 'Gephardt Beauty', 52, 'Early Black' and 'Thunder Lake', 53, 'Early Black' and 'Stevens') (table 2). Similarly, three genotypes were associated with up to three cultivars (43, 'No. 41', 'Crowley', and 'Stevens'; 58, 'Bain2', 'Bain4', and 'Bain5'; 64, 'AJ', 'Potter's Favorite' and 'Ben Lear') (table 2). Finally, two genotypes were associated with seven cultivars each: (1, 'McFarlin', 'Stevens', 'Foxboro Howes', 'Bennet', 'Crowley', 'Prolific' and 'Pride'; and 41, 'Hollison', 'Olson Honkers', 'Hableman2', 'Drever', 'Champion', 'Bennet' and 'Bain McFarlin') (table 2).

Such apparent inconsistencies and intra-cultivar variation are likely due to sampling or labelling errors prior to clonal preservation at the NCGR collection. In essence, the cranberry cultivation model requires a near perfect system of clonal preservation, propagation and distribution of cultivars, but the species has no morphological characters capable of reliably distinguishing among different cultivars (Novy et al. 1994; Novy and Vorsa 1995). In practice, depending on the purity of the starting material, cranberry beds are established using a single genotype and serve as genetic stocks for propagation. Historically, cranberry growers have served as both the keepers and propagators of cultivars and wild selections, but these plantings are managed as production fields and not to maintain genetic purity. Establishment of volunteer seedlings resulting from sexual reproduction or contamination with native and other cultivated clones can occur over many decades (up to 100 years) as long as the commercial cranberry bed remains in production. Over time, genetically diverse or heterogeneous populations can give rise to unique and completely different genetic compositions than the original named cultivar planting (Novy and Vorsa 1995; Novy et al. 1996). The spread of these 'error' genetic variants is likely to happen when the contaminated vegetative materials are used to establish new plantings of the named cultivars. Genetic contamination has been virtually impossible to track in the field or in collections such as NCGR by conventional phenotypic methods until recently with the advent of molecular markers (Novy et al. 1994; Novy and Vorsa 1995; Fajardo et al. 2013).

In an effort to ensure genetic purity of cultivars in the NCGR collection, new clones were propagated from each accession based on the results of the genetic fingerprint analysis. During the propagation of clones, two cuttings were sampled from each of the core cultivars, which were repotted into a 2 gallon-deep pot. Subsequently, we genotyped the two cranberry plants representing each of the 10 accessions that had multiple variants. All but three (Early Black, Round Howes and Thunder Lake 3) accessions had the same genotypes found in the majority of the clones genotyped that represented that cultivar.

Genotypic variation within commercial cranberry bogs

Presumably, the error variants in the cranberry NCRG collection originated through cultivar contamination or misclassification by grower propagators prior to being recorded in the NCGR collection. This idea is supported by the fact that all six core NCGR cultivars for which two samples were collected from 12 commercial cranberry bogs displayed intra-cultivar and intra-accession heterogeneity. No variation in cultivar fingerprints was found in seven out of the 12 bogs (bogs 1–7) sampled (table 2; figure 1). However, five of the 12 bogs (bogs 8-12) contained a mixture of genotypes. Of the six 'Stevens' bogs, three were trueto-type to the consensus 'Stevens' of Fajardo et al. (2013) (bogs 1, 2 and 7), and one bog was homogeneous, but its genetic fingerprint matched one of the five 'Early Black' (not true 'Early Black') genetic variants in the NCGR core collection rather than 'Stevens' (table 2; figure 1). In the remaining two 'Stevens' bogs (8 and 9), one of the two samples matched 'Stevens' while the other contained genotype 1 (which is shared among 'Crowley', 'Prolific', 'Pride' and 'Foxboro Howes' from the main NCGR collection) in bog 8 and genotype 49 in bog 9. The 'Crowley' bog contained 'Stevens' while the genetic profiles of the 'Bennett' and 'McFarlin' bogs (3 and 4) were identical and also corresponded to genotype 1 (table 2; figure 1). In Bog 10, one of the 'Pilgrim' samples was true-to-type to the 'Pilgrim' accession (62) held at NCGR while the other had a unique genetic profile (12). Neither of the two samples in the 'Olson's Honkers' bog 11 (4) or the 'Crowley' bog 12 (30) matched the NCGR cultivar with the same name (34 and 1, respectively).

Consensus cultivar genotypes and proposed updates to the NCGR collection

We compared the 64 unique genotypes identified in the NCGR collection to fifteen reference consensus cultivar genotypes identified by Fajardo et al. (2013). Among the reference consensus cultivars used for comparison were all seven second-generation hybrids ('BG', 'Crimson Queen', 'Demoranville', 'GH1', 'HyRed', 'Mullica Queen' and 'Sundance'), four wild selections ('Ben Lear', 'Howes', 'Yellow Bell' and 'No. 35'), four first-generation hybrids ('Bergman', 'Franklin', 'Stevens' and 'Wilcox') and two other hybrids ('GH2' and 'LoRed'). By comparing genotypes obtained in this study to previously established consensus genotypes and additional pedigree analyses based on allele calls conducted herein, several NCGR genotypes were designated as true-to-type: 'Howes'=2, 'Yellow Bell'=6, 'No. 35'=7, 'Wilcox'=9, 'Franklin'=11, Putative 'McFarlin'=33, 'Bergman'=40, Putative 'Potter's Favorite'=41, 'GH2'=42, 'Stevens'=43 and 'Ben Lear'=64 (table 2). Based on pedigree analysis, several genotypes appear to have resulted from selfing including: 'Howes' self=3, 'Early Black' self=20 and 'Early Black' self=21. 'No. 35', 'Bergman', 'Howes', 'GH2', 'Yellow Bell' and 'Wilcox' had unique no other named cultivars associated with them. However, the 'Franklin' consensus genotype (11) included plants from 'Franklin' and 'Centennial'. Similarly, the 'Stevens' consensus genotype matched the 'No. 41' and 'Crowley' accessions. Additionally, many of the named cultivars which were represented by a single unique genotype and seemed to match the hypothesized geographic origin where the selection was originally made were also considered putative consensus genotypes (table 2; figure 1).

Although the 'Potter's Favorite' accession held by the collection (555012) turned out to be 'Ben Lear', we found a putative 'Potter's Favorite' genotype (41), whose alleles complement those of the putative 'McFarlin' genotype (33=McFarlin Rezin at NCGR) to match our consensus 'Stevens' genotype (43), as expected since 'Stevens' is a hybrid of 'Potter's Favorite' and 'McFarlin'. Genotype 41 was composed of plants from seven named

cultivars, most of them from eastern provenance ('Hollison', 'Olsons Honkers', 'Habelman2', 'Drever', 'Champion', 'Ben Lear' and 'Bain McFarlin') (table 2). The putative 'Potter's Favorite' genotype (41) did not group with other western genotypes in the PCA and NJ trees (figures 1 and 2) as expected based on its reported Wisconsin origin (Dana 1983; Eck 1990). However, if our putative 'Potter's Favorite' genotype is true, it is possible that the original 'Potter's Favorite' genotype collected in Wisconsin (1895) could have been lost or become contaminated by eastern genotypes prior to being used as a parent in the crosses (1930s) which generated 'Stevens'. Another possibility is that the original 41 genotype actually originated in the east and somehow was renamed 'Potter's Favorite' in Wisconsin. Both ideas are supported by the eastern grouping of genotype 41 and the eastern provenance of most of the named cultivars that correspond to this genotype, from which at least one cultivar, 'Hollison' or 'Holliston' (Dana 1983; Eck 1990), is older (1885) than 'Potter's Favorite' (1895). Therefore, the different named cultivars represented by genotype 41 highlight the possibility of genetic contamination, misclassification or even that the same genotype was selected for domestication and named in multiple occasions by different people and at different times, all of which complicate the preservation of cranberry germplasm.

The remaining unique genotypes which were composed of multiple named cultivars or which did not contain the expected allele combinations in the pedigree analysis are still valuable sources of diversity and will be maintained in the NCGR collection. For example, no consensus genotype was found for the cultivar Searles, which has historically been one of the most important commercial cultivars and parent in cranberry breeding (Peltier 1970; Eck 1990; Fajardo et al. 2013). However, multiple accessions listed as 'Searles' (i.e. 5 and 37) did have 'Searles' alleles at many loci which are also present in first generation hybrids with 'Searles' in their pedigree. Further, these genotypes fit the hypothesized geographic distribution based on their assumed origin (figures 1 and 2). Therefore, the genotypes could potentially be related to 'Searles' or offspring of 'Searles' and still harbour some of the diversity from the historic cultivar.

Although consensus and true-to-type cranberry genotypes were found within the NCGR collection, a few historically important wild selections and cultivars were missing (e.g. 'Beckwith', 'Crowley', 'Early Black', 'LeMunyon', 'Prolific' and 'Searles') (Dana 1983; Eck 1990). In the past few decades, commercial cranberry growers have been steadily replacing plantings of these native selections or the 1st generation hybrids with newer 2nd and 3rd generation hybrid varieties; therefore, new collections should be made as soon as possible, genetically analysed, and the most likely genotypes need to be preserved from the few remaining commercial beds of these missing cultivars before the genotypes are lost or no longer available.

In conclusion, this study revealed that the V. macrocarpon collection held at the NCGR contains highly diverse cranberry germplasm which is useful to cranberry researchers and breeders. More importantly, the collection serves as a means of ex situ conservation for many historically important wild cranberry selections which were once commercial cultivars in the cranberry industry but are now in danger of being lost or forgotten. Additionally, the distribution of genetic diversity in the PCAs and NJ trees based on 12 SSR loci used to genotype wild selections held at NCGR provided evidence supporting the hypothesis that genetic diversity is spread across the native range of cranberry with genetic differentiation in extant wild populations east versus west. Intra-cultivar and intra-accession genotypic variants were observed, a problem that is likely due to past misidentification or contamination (mixed clones) of the accessions acquired by NCGR. Consensus and true-to-type genotypes were found for many cultivars and wild selections; however, others were apparently absent suggesting that the collection can still be improved by sampling genotypes in cranberry bogs on commercial marshes in the Pacific Northwest, Wisconsin, along the East Coast and in Canada.

Acknowledgements

This project was supported by USDA-ARS (project no. 3655-21220-001-00 and 5090-21220-004-00 provided to JZ); WI-DATCP (SCBG Project no. 14-002); National Science Foundation (DBI-1228280); Ocean Spray Cranberries, Inc.; Wisconsin Cranberry Growers Association; Cranberry Institute. B.S. was supported by the Frank B. Koller Cranberry Fellowship for Graduate Students. LRB was supported through the UW Madison, SciMed GRS. GCP was supported by the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACYT, Mexico). We thank Eric Weisman, Emily Gustin, Tiffany Bougie and Tierney Bougie for their help in the lab. JZ and BS wish to express their gratitude through PS:30:12. We thank all the anonymous reviewers who helped enhance the quality of this paper.

References

- Bassil N. V., Oda A. K. and Hummer K. E. 2009 Blueberry microsatellite markers identify cranberry cultivars. *Acta Hortic.* 810, 181–186.
- Brunet J., Zalapa J. and Guries R. 2016 Conservation of genetic diversity in Slippery elm (*Ulmus rubra*) in Wisconsin despite the devastating impact of Dutch elm disease. *Conserv. Genet.* 17, 1001–1010.
- Camp W. 1945 The North American blueberries with notes on other groups of Vacciniaceae. *Brittonia* **5**, 203–275.
- Chandler F. and Demoranville I. 1958 Cranberry varieties of North America, 513th edition. Massachusetts Agricultural Experimental Station Bulletin.

Dana M. 1983 Cranberry cultivar list. Fruit Var. J. 37, 88-95.

Darrow G. and Camp W. 1945 *Vaccinium* hybrids and the development of new horticultural material. *Bull. Torrey Bot. Club* **72**, 1–21.

- Eck P. 1990 *The American cranberry*. Rutgers University Press, New Brunswick.
- Fajardo D., Morales J., Zhu H., Steffan S., Harbut R., Bassil N. et al. 2013 Discrimination of American cranberry cultivars and assessment of clonal heterogeneity using microsatellite markers. Plant Mol. Biol. Rep. 31, 264–271.
- Jombart T. 2008 adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers. *Bioinforma* 24, 1403–1405.
- Meilleur B. A. and Hodgkin T. 2004 In situ conservation of crop wild relatives: status and trends. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 13, 663– 684.
- Muzzalupo I., Vendramin G. G. and Chiappetta A. 2014 Genetic biodiversity of Italian olives (*Olea europaea*) germplasm analyzed by SSR markers. *Sci. World J.* 2014, article ID 296590.
- Novy R. and Vorsa N. 1995 Identification of intracultivar genetic heterogeneity in cranberry using silver-stained RAPDs. *Hort. Sci.* **30**, 600–604.
- Novy R. G., Kokak C., Goffreda J. and Vorsa N. 1994 RAPDs identify varietal misclassification and regional divergence in cranberry [*Vaccinium macrocarpon* (Ait.) Pursh]. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **88**, 1004–1010.
- Novy R. G., Vorsa N. and Patten K. 1996 Identifying genotypic heterogeneity in 'McFarlin' cranberry: a randomly-amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) and phenotypic analysis. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 121, 210–215.
- Paradis E., Claude J. and Strimmer K. 2004 APE: analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R language. *Bioinformatics* 20, 289–290.
- Pavek D. S., Lamboy W. F. and Garvey E. J. 2003 Selecting in situ conservation sites for grape genetic resources in the USA. *Genet. Resour. Crop Evol.* 50, 165–173.
- Peakall R. and Smouse P. E. 2006 GENALEX 6: Genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Mol. Ecol. Notes* 6, 288–295.
- Peltier G. 1970 A history of the cranberry industry in Wisconsin, Harlo Press, Detroit, Michigan.
- Polashock J. and Vorsa N. 2002 Development of SCAR markers for DNA fingerprinting and germplasm analysis of American cranberry. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. **127**, 677–684.
- Rogers J. 1972 Measures of genetic similarity and genetic distance. In *Studies in genetics VII*, pp. 145–153. University of Texas, Publ. No. 7213.
- Schlautman B., Covarrubias-Pazaran G., Diaz-Garcia L. A., Johnson-Cicalese J., Iorrizo M., Rodriguez-Bonilla L. *et al* 2015a Development of a high-density cranberry SSR linkage map for comparative genetic analysis and trait detection. *Mol. Breed.* 35, 177.
- Schlautman B., Fajardo D., Bougie T., Wiesman E., Polashock J., Vorsa N. *et al* 2015b Development and validation of 697 novel polymorphic genomic and EST-SSR markers in the American cranberry (*Vaccinium macrocarpon* Ait.). *Molecules* 20, 2001– 2013.
- Schlautman B., Covarrubias-Pazaran G., Fajardo D., Steffan S. and Zalapa J. 2016 Discriminating power of microsatellites in cranberry organelles for taxonomic studies in *Vaccinium* and Ericaceae. *Genet. Resour. Crop Evol.* **64**, 451–466.
- Slate J., Marshall T. and Pemberton J. 2000 A retrospective assessment of the accuracy of the paternity inference program CERVUS. *Mol. Ecol.* 9, 801–808.
- Teixeira H., Rodríguez-Echeverría S. and Nabais C. 2014 Genetic diversity and differentiation of *Juniperus thurifera* in Spain and Morocco as determined by SSR. *PLoS One* 9, e88996.
- R Core Team 2015 R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- Vander Kloet S. 1983a The taxonomy of *Vaccinium* section Oxycoccus. *Rhodora* 85, 1–43.

Vander Kloet S. 1983b The taxonomy of *Vaccinium* section Cyanococcus: a summation. *Can. J. Bot.* **61**, 256–266.

- Zalapa J. E., Cuevas H., Steffan S., Zhu H., Senalik D., Zeldin E. et al 2012 Using next generation sequencing approaches for the isolation of simple sequence repeats (SSR) in the plant sciences. Am. J. Bot. 99, 193–208.
- Zalapa J. E., Bougie T. C., Bougie T. A., Schlautman B. J., Wiesman E., Guzman A. et al 2015 Clonal diversity and genetic

Corresponding editor: MANOJ PRASAD

differentiation revealed by SSR markers in wild *Vaccinium macrocarpon* and *Vaccinium oxycoccos*. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* **166**, 196–207.

Zhu H., Senalik D., McCown B. H., Zeldin E. L., Speers J., Hyman J. *et al* 2012 Mining and validation of pyrosequenced simple sequence repeats (SSRs) from American cranberry (*Vaccinium macrocarpon Ait.*). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **124**, 87–96.