

ONLINE RESOURCES

Development and characterization of chloroplast microsatellite markers in *Pseudoroegneria* and *Leymus* (Poaceae: Triticeae)

QUANLAN LIU*, LEI LI, NINGNING ZHANG, JIE LIU and YANJING SHI

Department of Bioengineering and Biotechnology, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao, Shandong 266042, People's Republic of China

Pseudoroegneria (Nevski) Á. Löve and *Leymus* Hochst. are important genera of forage and turf grasses in temperate regions. Many of the members are valuable sources of germplasm, and are used for introducing desirable characters like salt tolerance and pathogen resistance into the small grain crops. As a diploid outbreeder, *Pseudoroegneria* can easily hybridize with other allogamous *Agropyron* Gaertner, *Australopyrum* (Tavelev) Á. Löve, and *Hordeum* L. species to form *Elymus* L. and *Douglasdeweya* C. Yen, J. L. Yang and B. R. Baum after polyploidization (Dewey 1984; Lu 1994; Yen 2005). Because of their importance, they have been the focus of numerous phylogenetic and evolutionary studies considering morphological, cytogenetic (Dewey 1984; Löve 1984), and molecular characteristics (Hsiao *et al.* 1995; Liu *et al.* 2006, 2008). However, there is a lack of chloroplast DNA markers capable of detecting high levels of polymorphism in *Pseudoroegneria*, *Leymus*, and their closely related genera.

By contrast, chloroplast simple sequence repeat (cpSSR) markers have been used in other taxa for various purposes, including the assessment of paternal versus maternal plastid inheritance (Cato and Richardson 1996), the detection of hybridization and introgression (Bucci *et al.* 1998), and the analysis of the genetic structure and phylogeography of plant populations (Palmé and Vendramin 2002; Grivet and Petit 2003). These studies indicated that the corresponding SSR regions in related species could be amplified by PCR and that chloroplast microsatellites had the ability to investigate phylogenetic relationships.

As sequence information is lacking in perennial Triticeae species, cpSSR markers of *Pseudoroegneria* and

Leymus may be developed from the cpSSRs pools of the related species. Previous studies have shown that microsatellites are transferable across grass species for example, 24 cpSSRs were identified to evaluate genetic diversity of *Triticum* and *Aegilops* species (Ishii *et al.* 2001) based on the entire chloroplast DNA sequence of common wheat (*T. aestivum* cv. Chinese Spring). Also five cpSSRs suitable to success amplification in grasses were developed from the complete chloroplast genome sequences of *Oryza sativa* (rice), *Zea mays* (maize) and *Triticum aestivum* (wheat) (Provan *et al.* 2004). In the present study, cpSSR markers from *Lolium perenne*, *Hordeum*, and various Poaceae were tested to determine their potential for the phylogenetic and population studies of *Pseudoroegneria* and *Leymus*.

A total of 139 taxa were used in this study (table 1). All seeds were collected from the field or kindly provided by American National Plant Germplasm System (Pullman, Washington, USA) and Sichuan Agriculture University, P. R. China. Total DNA was extracted from leaf tissue using a CTAB method as previously described by Liu *et al.* (2006) and kept at -20°C for long term storage or 4°C for immediate use. A set of 18 chloroplast microsatellite primer pairs (Provan *et al.* 1999, 2004; Mcgrath *et al.* 2006) was selected according to their ability to be transferable to the studied accessions and their potential polymorphism. Among the selected chloroplast microsatellite primer pairs, nine primers (TeaSSR1-9) were selected from *Lolium perenne* primers; seven primers (psbK, psbA, rpoA, rps12, trnS1, trnS2, and trnLF) were cited from *Hordeum* primers; two primers (trnK and atpB) were selected from Poaceae primers. Detailed information about the primer pairs is given in table 2.

Amplification of DNA was carried out in 20 μL reaction mixture containing 30 ng template DNA, 0.2 μM of each primer, 2.5 mM MgCl_2 , 0.2 mM of each deoxynucleotide (dATP, dCTP, dGTP, dTTP), 1 unit of *Taq* DNA polymerase

*For correspondence. E-mail: liquanlan@qust.edu.cn; liquanlan@hotmail.com.

[Liu Q., Li L., Zhang N., Liu J. and Shi Y. 2010 Development and characterization of chloroplast microsatellite markers in *Pseudoroegneria* and *Leymus* (Poaceae: Triticeae). *J. Genet.* **89**, e11–e22. Online only: <http://www.ias.ac.in/jgenet/OnlineResources/89/e11.pdf>]

Keywords. chloroplast; microsatellite; *Pseudoroegneria*; *Leymus*.

Microsatellite markers in *Pseudoroegneria* and *Leymus*

Table 1. List of *Pseudoroegneria*, *Leymus*, and closely related genera used in this study.

No.	Taxa	Accession no.	Origin	
<i>Pseudoroegneria</i> (Nevski) Á. Löve				
1	<i>P. alashanica</i>	Z2006	Ningxia, China	
2	<i>P. elytrigioides</i>	Z2005	Tibet, China	
3	<i>P. geniculata</i>	PI565009	Russian Federation	
4		PI632554	Uzbekistan	
5	<i>P. geniculata</i> subsp. <i>pruinifera</i>	PI634248	Russian Federation	
6		PI547374	Russian Federation	
7	<i>P. geniculata</i> subsp. <i>scythica</i>	PI283272	Russian Federation	
8	<i>P. gracillima</i>	PI502271	Russian Federation	
9		PI440000	Stavropol, Russian Federation	
10	<i>P. kosaninii</i>	PI420842	Stavropol, Russian Federation	
11		PI237636	Turkey	
12	<i>P. libanotia</i>	PI228389	Iran	
13		PI228390	Iran	
14		PI228391	Iran	
15		PI228392	Iran	
16		PI229583	Iran	
17		PI330687	Iran	
18		PI330688	Iran	
19		PI330689	Iran	
20		PI330690	Iran	
21		PI343188	Iran	
22		PI401274	Iran	
23		<i>P. spicata</i>	PI232123	United States
24	PI232134		United States	
25	PI232139		United States	
26	PI506259		United States	
27	PI563867		United States	
28	PI563870		United States	
29	<i>P. stipifolia</i>		PI314058	Stavropol, Russian Federation
30	PI325181		Stavropol, Russian Federation	
31	<i>P. strigosa</i>	PI440095	Russian Federation	
32		PI531751	Ukraine	
33	<i>P. strigosa</i>	PI636641	Ukraine	
34		PI499637	Xinjiang, China	
35		PI499638	Xinjiang, China	
36		PI531752	Estonia	
37		PI531753	Estonia	
38		W614049	Russian Federation	
39		<i>P. strigosa</i> subsp. <i>aegilopoides</i>	PI531754	Xinjiang, China
40		<i>P. tauri</i>	PI531755	Xinjiang, China
41	PI565082		Xinjiang, China	
42	PI595164		Xinjiang, China	
43	PI595172		Xinjiang, China	
44	PI636577		Mongolia	
45	W613089		Xinjiang, China	
46	W619723		Mongolia	
47	PI380644		Iran	
48	PI380648		Iran	
49	PI380649		Iran	
50	PI380650	Iran		
51	PI380651	Iran		
52	PI380652	Iran		
53	PI401319	Iran		

Table 1 (contd)

No.	Taxa	Accession no.	Origin
54		PI401320	Iran
55		PI 401321	Iran
56		PI 401322	Iran
57		PI401323	Iran
58		PI401324	Iran
59		PI401325	Iran
60		PI401326	Iran
61		PI401327	Iran
62		PI401331	Iran
63		PI401333	Iran
64		PI401334	Iran
65		PI401336	Iran
66		PI401338	Iran
67		PI401339	Iran
<i>Douglasdeweya</i> C. Yen, J. L. Yang & B. R. Baum			
68	<i>D. wangii</i>	PI401328	Iran
69		PI401329	Iran
70		PI380645	Iran
71		PI380646	Iran
72		PI380647	Iran
<i>Elymus</i> L.			
73	<i>E. dolichatherus</i>	YN3110	Yunnan, China
74	<i>E. kamoji</i>	SH-003	Shanghai, China
75	<i>E. melantherus</i>	ZY3146	Sichun, China
76	<i>E. rectisetus</i>	H3152	Lake Lyndon, Australia
77	<i>E. rigidulus</i>	ZY3113	Gansu, China
78	<i>E. tsukushiensis</i>	H3302	Xinjiang, China
<i>Leymus</i> L.			
79	<i>L. akmolinensis</i>	PI440306	Russian Federation
80		PI531794	Germany
81	<i>L. alaicus</i>	PI499505	China
82	<i>L. alaicus</i> .subsp. <i>karataviensis</i>	PI314667	Russian Federation
83		PI314677	Russian Federation
84	<i>L. ambiguus</i>	PI531795	United States
85		PI547331	United States
86	<i>L. angustus</i>	PI314673	Russian Federation
87		PI429795	Russian Federation
88		PI499508	China
89		PI499509	China
90	<i>L. arenarius</i>	PI272126	Kazakhstan
91		PI531801	Norway
92	<i>L.chinensis</i>	PI499516	China
93		PI499518	Nei Monggol, China
94		PI633715	Mongolia
95	<i>L. cinereus</i>	PI537332	United States
96		PI537336	United States
97		PI537337	United States
98		PI537340	United States
99	<i>L. condensatus</i>	PI442483	Belgium
100	<i>L. hybrid</i>	PI537362	United States
101		PI537363	United States
102	<i>L. innovatus</i>	PI236818	Canada
103	<i>L. karelinii</i>	PI595143	Xinjiang, China
104		PI598541	Xinjiang, China
105		PI598551	Xinjiang, China
106	<i>L. multicaulis</i>	PI314663	Former Soviet Union

Microsatellite markers in *Pseudoroegneria* and *Leymus*

Table 1 (contd)

No.	Taxa	Accession no.	Origin
107		PI440320	Former Soviet Union
108		PI499520	China
109	<i>L. paboanus</i>	PI272135	Kazakhstan
110		PI316234	Former Soviet Union
111		PI422250	Former Soviet Union
112	<i>L. pseudoracemosus</i>	PI531810	China
113	<i>L. racemosus</i>	PI313965	Former Soviet Union
114		PI314928	Former Soviet Union
115		PI598752	Kazakhstan
116		PI598753	Kazakhstan
117	<i>L. racemosus</i> subsp. <i>sabulosus</i>	PI531813	Estonia
118		PI531814	Estonia
119		PI598727	Argentina
120	<i>L. ramosus</i>	PI440333	Former Soviet Union
121		PI499654	China
122	<i>L. salinus</i>	PI531817	United States
123		PI565038	United States
124		PI58748	United States
125	<i>L. salinus</i> subsp. <i>mojavensis</i>	PI531818	United States
126	<i>L. salinus</i> subsp. <i>salinus</i>	PI636574	Mongolia
127	<i>L. secalinus</i>	PI499531	China
128		PI598754	Kazakhstan
129		PI598756	Kazakhstan
130		PI598757	Kazakhstan
131		CG2007	Gansu, China
132	<i>L. secalinus</i> subsp. <i>secalinus</i>	PI639770	Mongolia
133	<i>L. tianschanicus</i>	PI636647	Xinjiang, China
134		PI639827	Xinjiang, China
135	<i>L. triticoides</i>	PI578749	United States
136		PI610977	United States
<i>Psathyrostachys</i> Nevski			
137	<i>P. juncea</i>	PI595142	Xinjiang, China
138		PI595168	Xinjiang, China
139		PI595177	Xinjiang, China

(TaKaRa, Dalian, China) and distilled water to the final volume. The mixture was amplified using the Biorad Mycycler Thermal Cycler (Biorad, California, USA) PCR condition was as follows: one cycle of 4 min at 94°C; 35 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 50–60°C for 1 min (depending on the annealing temperature of the primers), 72°C for 1 min; followed by 10 min at 72°C for the final extension. The PCR products were separated on 6% polyacrylamide denaturing gels and silver stained as described by Song *et al.* (2003). The molecular size of amplified alleles for each SSR locus was determined based on its migration relative to the DL2000 DNA marker (TaKaRa, Dalian, China).

Cytological and molecular data suggested that *Pseudoroegneria* was the maternal donor of *Elymus* and *Douglasdeweya* (Lu 1994; Yen 2005; Liu *et al.* 2006; Baum and Johnson 2008), and *Psathyrostachys* was the maternal donor of *Leymus* (Löve 1984; Liu *et al.* 2008). Thus, cpSSRs of *Pseudoroegneria*, *Elymus*, *Douglasdeweya*, *Leymus*, and *Psathyrostachys* were developed in the present study. Of the

18 tested cpSSR primer pairs, 15 (7, *Lolium perenne*; 6, *Hordeum*; 2, Poaceae) primer pairs were amplified in almost all of the wild taxa (table 3). Our results revealed high marker transferability among *Lolium*, *Hordeum*, *Pseudoroegneria*, *Leymus*, and closely related genera. Several investigators (Provan *et al.* 2004; Mcgrath *et al.* 2006) have also shown that cpSSR primer pairs can amplify DNA of close relatives. In addition, the transferability of cpSSR markers varied, i.e. of nine tested *Lolium perenne* cpSSR markers, seven markers (78%) gave amplification products in almost all the used taxa; of seven tested *Hordeum* markers, six markers (86%) were amplified from almost all the accessions; of two tested Poaceae markers, they all (100%) gave reproducible amplification products from almost all the accessions (table 3). It should be noted that the Poaceae cpSSR primer pair atpB got amplified products from all the accessions in the present study, although the primer pair showed intraspecific variation among 25 accessions of *Anthoxanthum odoratum* (Avenae)

Table 2. Characteristics of cpSSRs used in the present study. This table was modified, based on Mcgrath *et al.* (2006), and Provan *et al.* (1999, 2004).

Locus	Location (gene)	Repeat motif	Primer sequence (5'–3')	Expected size (bp)	Annealing temperature (° C)
cpSSRs cited from <i>Lolium perenne</i> (Mcgrath <i>et al.</i> 2006)					
TeaSSR1	atpB- <i>rbcL</i> intergenic spacer region	(AAC) ₃	ATTGATTTGGGTTGCGCTAT TCATTAAAGAAAATTGAGGGCATA	229 ^a	60
TeaSSR2	<i>trn-L</i> intron and <i>trn-F</i> intergenic spacer region (CT) ₄		GGTTTGGGGATAAAGGGACT	196 ^a	45
TeaSSR3	<i>trn-L</i> intron and <i>trn-F</i> intergenic spacer region	A ₉	TCCATTCCAATTGAATATTTTGT AGGGACTTGAACCCTCACAA	311 ^a , 312 ^a	60
TeaSSR4	23S-5S internal transcribed sapcer	A ₈	GCAAACGATTAATCATGGAACC ACGAACGAACGATTTGAACC	185 ^a , 186 ^a , 188 ^a	60
TeaSSR5	Herbicide binding protein D1(<i>psbA</i>)	(CTT) ₃	TGAAGCCCCAATCCTTGACT GCTATGCATGGTTCCTTGGT	209 ^a	60
TeaSSR6	<i>trnV</i> gene for valine transfer RNA (GAC)	T ₁₂	CGGATTCTAACCGTAGACCTTC	188 ^a	45
TeaSSR7	<i>rpb12</i> gene for ribosomal protein L2 and <i>trnH</i> gene for Histine transfer RNA (CAC)	(ATT) ₅	TCAAAGCCAGGAAGCAATCT GGAATTTGCAATAATGCGATG –	60	
TeaSSR8	<i>rpoA</i> gene for RNA polymerase α sub-unit	T ₁₁	TCGATCGAGGTATGGAGGTC TTGACAGTTTTTCGTATGGAAGA	178 ^a	45
TeaSSR9	<i>trn-L</i> intron and <i>trn-F</i> intergenic spacer region	G ₁₂	GATTGTGCCAAAGATGCAAA TCCTGACCTTTTTCTTGTGCAT AACCCGGTTTTTCGGTTTAT	–	45
cpSSRs cited from <i>Hordeum</i> (Provan <i>et al.</i> 1999)					
psbK	<i>psbK/ORF174</i> intergenic spacer region	(A) ₁₁	TAGCCTTTGTTTGGCAAGCT TAAAACTTCTCGGCCTTTTACCC	121 ^c	60
psbA	Downstream of <i>psbA</i>	(T) ₈	AATGGATAAGGTTTTTCTG CTGAATAGAAAGATTAAGAAGA	146 ^c	50
rpoA	Downstream of <i>rpoA</i>	(T) ₈ (CTT) ₃	CTCTCGTTTTAAATCCATTGCA TGATCCATTTTCGCGAAAATA	122 ^c	60
rps12	<i>rps12</i> intron I	(T) ₈	AAGAAAGGGCTCCGGTGTAT CCACGATTTTTTATTCCACTCC	148 ^c	60
trnS1	Downstream of <i>trnS</i>	(A) ₇ CGC(T) ₁₃	CTTTAGCGGGCATTTCATA ATGGTGGATTTGATAAGAACCC	128 ^c	60
trnS2	Downstream of <i>trnS</i>	(T) ₁₀	CAACTCCTTTGCGCTACACA ACCCCTTTTTTCCCATTC	115 ^c	60
trnLF	<i>trnL/trnF</i> intergenic region	(C) ₉	GAGTATCGGCAAGAAATCTTGG TCAAATTTGAAAGGGGGG	101 ^c	60
cpSSRs cited from Poaceae (Provan <i>et al.</i> 2004)					
trnK		(A) ₁₀ G(A) ₆ b	ATACAGTCTCTTTATCAATATACTG GACGTTAAAAATAGATTAGTGCC	172	58
atpB		(T) ₇ and (A) ₈ b	GATTGGTTCTCATAATTATCAC TATTGAATTAATAATTCATTTC	149	59

^aExpected size in *Bromus erectus*; ^brepeat motif in *Triticum*; ^cexpected size in *Hordeum bogdanii*.

Microsatellite markers in Pseudoroegneria and Leymus

Table 3. Amplification products obtained from 139 accessions. The taxon no. is identical to the taxon no. in table 1.

Taxon no.	Allele size of cpSSR primers								psbK	rpoA	rps12	trns1	trns2	trnLF	trnK	atpB
	TeaSS R1	TeaSS R3	TeaSS R4	TeaSS R5	TeaSS R6	TeaSS R7	TeaSS R8	TeaSS R8								
<i>Pseudoroegneria</i> (Nevski) Á. Löve																
1	238	302	220	220	211	198	192	132	115	121	151	119	99	203	156	
2	238	302	219	220	211	198	192	132	115	121	150	120	99	203	156	
3	238	302	218	220	211	198	190	133	114	121	149	120	99	203	156	
4	238	302	219	220	211	198	190	132	114	121	151	120	99	203	156	
5	238	302	219	220	211	198	190	132	114	121	149	120	99	203	156	
6	238	302	219	220	211	198	190	132	114	121	150	120	99	200	156	
7	238	302	219	220	211	198	190	132	114	121	151	118	99	204	156	
8	238	302	219	220	211	198	190	132	114	121	150	118	99	202	156	
9	238	302	219	220	211	198	192	132	122	121	149	120	99	202	156	
10	238	302	219	220	211	198	192	132	115	121	150	120	99	204	156	
11	238	302	219	220	211	198	190	132	115	121	150	120	99	203	156	
12	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	132	114	121	152	120	99	202	157	
13	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	132	114	121	151	118	99	202	157	
14	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	132	114	121	152	118	99	203	157	
15	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	132	114	121	152	118	99	203	157	
16	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	132	114	121	159	118	99	202	157	
17	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	132	114	121	152	118	99	203	157	
18	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	132	115	121	159	119	99	200	156	
19	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	132	114	121	159	118	99	202	157	
20	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	132	114	121	153	118	99	202	157	
21	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	132	114	121	152	118	99	202	157	
22	238	302	219	229	211	198	202	132	114	121	152	120	99	202	157	
23	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	131	122	175	149	121	99	199	157	
24	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	132	114	175	150	118	99	199	157	
25	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	132	114	175	152	119	99	199	157	
26	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	132	114	175	150	119	99	199	157	
27	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	132	114	175	151	120	99	200	156	
28	238	302	220	229	211	198	203	132	114	175	149	120	99	198	157	
29	238	302	219	220	211	198	192	132	115	121	149	120	99	203	156	
30	238	302	218	220	211	198	192	132	115	121	149	120	99	203	156	
31	238	302	219	220	211	198	192	132	122	121	150	120	99	203	156	
32	238	302	219	220	211	198	192	132	115	121	150	120	99	203	156	
33	238	302	219	220	211	198	192	132	115	121	150	119	99	203	156	
34	238	302	220	229	211	198	196	132	110	175	150	120	99	200	156	
35	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	132	114	175	152	121	99	200	158	
36	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	132	114	175	150	120	99	200	156	
37	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	132	122	175	150	118	99	199	156	
38	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	132	115	175	150	120	99	200	156	
39	238	302	220	229	211	198	196	132	103	175	150	120	99	202	156	
40	238	302	220	229	211	198	196	132	103	175	151	120	99	200	156	
41	238	302	220	229	211	198	196	132	103	175	151	120	99	200	156	
42	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	132	114	175	150	121	99	199	156	
43	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	132	114	175	150	121	99	199	156	

Table 3 (contd)

Taxon no.	Allele size of cpSSR primers								psbK	rpoA	rps12	trns1	trns2	trnLF	trnK	atpB
	TeaSS R1	TeaSS R3	TeaSS R4	TeaSS R5	TeaSS R6	TeaSS R7	TeaSS R8	TeaSS R8								
44	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	133	114	175	150	121	99	199	158	
45	238	302	220	229	211	198	196	132	103	175	150	120	99	199	156	
46	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	132	114	175	150	120	99	200	156	
47	238	302	219	228	211	198	201	132	114	121	159	120	99	203	155	
48	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	132	114	121	152	120	99	203	157	
49	238	302	219	– ^a	211	198	202	132	114	121	152	120	99	202	157	
50	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	132	114	121	152	120	99	203	157	
51	238	302	219	228	211	198	201	132	114	121	152	120	99	203	157	
52	238	302	219	228	211	198	201	132	114	121	150	120	99	203	157	
53	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	132	114	121	150	120	99	203	157	
54	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	132	114	121	152	120	99	203	157	
55	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	132	114	121	159	120	99	203	157	
56	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	132	114	121	159	120	99	203	155	
57	238	302	219	228	211	198	201	132	114	121	159	120	99	203	157	
58	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	133	114	121	153	120	99	203	157	
59	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	131	114	121	152	118	99	202	157	
60	238	302	219	– ^a	211	198	202	132	114	121	152	118	99	202	157	
61	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	131	114	121	152	118	99	202	157	
62	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	132	114	121	153	118	99	202	157	
63	238	302	219	228	211	198	203	132	114	121	153	118	99	202	157	
64	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	132	114	175	152	120	99	199	157	
65	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	132	114	121	152	121	99	203	157	
66	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	132	114	121	152	120	99	203	157	
67	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	132	114	121	152	120	99	202	157	
<i>Douglasdeweya</i> C. Yen, J. L. Yang and B. R. Baum																
68	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	132	122	121	152	118	99	202	157	
69	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	132	122	121	153	118	99	202	157	
70	238	302	219	228	211	198	200	132	114	121	150	120	99	202	157	
71	238	302	219	228	211	198	200	132	114	121	150	– ^a	99	202	157	
72	238	302	219	228	211	198	201	132	114	121	152	120	99	202	157	
<i>Elymus</i> L.																
73	238	302	220	220	211	198	190	132	115	175	150	120	99	200	156	
74	224	302	220	220	211	198	190	132	115	175	151	118	99	200	156	
75	238	302	220	220	211	198	190	132	117	174	151	118	99	200	156	
76	238	302	220	220	211	198	190	132	115	175	150	119	99	199	156	
77	238	302	220	220	211	198	190	132	115	175	152	119	99	200	156	
78	238	302	220	220	211	198	190	132	115	175	151	119	99	200	156	
<i>Leymus</i> L.																
79	238	302	220	229	211	198	203	132	116	176	130	125	101	203	157	
80	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	131	116	176	130	125	101	203	157	
81	238	302	220	229	211	198	201	132	114	175	116	124	101	203	155	
82	238	302	219	228	211	198	– ^a	132	115	176	110	125	101	204	157	
83	238	302	219	228	211	198	204	132	116	176	127	126	101	202	157	
84	240	302	220	220	211	198	203	132	115	176	116	118	101	199	156	

Microsatellite markers in Pseudoroegneria and Leymus

Table 3 (contd)

Taxon no.	Allele size of cpSSR primers								psbK	rpoA	rps12	trns1	trns2	trnLF	trnK	atpB
	TeaSS R1	TeaSS R3	TeaSS R4	TeaSS R5	TeaSS R6	TeaSS R7	TeaSS R8	TeaSS R8								
85	242	302	220	220	211	198	202	132	115	176	116	118	101	199	156	
86	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	132	116	176	127	125	101	203	157	
87	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	132	116	176	127	125	101	203	157	
88	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	132	116	176	127	125	101	203	157	
89	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	132	116	176	127	125	101	202	157	
90	238	302	220	228	139	198	202	132	116	176	127	125	101	203	157	
91	238	302	220	228	139	198	202	132	116	176	127	125	101	203	157	
92	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	132	116	176	132	125	101	203	157	
93	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	132	116	176	132	125	101	203	157	
94	238	302	219	229	211	198	202	133	115	175	126	117	101	202	157	
95	242	302	220	220	211	198	202	132	115	176	117	117	101	199	156	
96	240	302	220	220	211	198	202	132	115	176	117	117	101	199	156	
97	242	302	220	220	211	198	202	132	115	176	117	117	101	199	156	
98	240	302	220	220	211	198	202	132	115	176	117	117	101	199	156	
99	240	302	220	220	211	198	202	132	115	176	115	118	101	199	156	
100	242	– ^a	220	220	211	198	– ^a	132	128	176	117	117	101	202	156	
101	240	– ^a	220	220	211	198	202	132	115	176	117	117	101	202	156	
102	240	302	219	220	211	198	203	132	116	176	117	117	101	200	158	
103	238	302	219	229	211	198	202	132	116	176	127	125	101	203	157	
104	238	302	219	229	211	198	202	132	116	176	127	125	101	203	157	
105	238	302	219	229	211	198	202	132	116	176	127	125	101	203	155	
106	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	132	116	176	148	125	101	203	157	
107	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	132	116	176	126	125	101	203	157	
108	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	132	116	176	126	125	101	203	157	
109	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	132	116	158	127	125	101	202	157	
110	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	132	116	176	127	126	101	203	157	
111	238	302	219	228	211	198	202	132	116	158	127	125	101	203	157	
112	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	132	116	176	132	125	101	203	157	
113	238	302	220	228	211	198	202	132	116	176	127	125	101	203	157	
114	238	302	220	228	211	198	202	132	116	176	127	125	101	203	157	
115	238	302	220	228	211	198	202	132	116	176	127	125	101	203	157	
116	238	302	220	228	211	198	202	132	116	176	127	125	101	203	157	
117	238	302	220	228	211	198	201	132	115	176	127	125	101	203	157	
118	238	302	220	228	211	198	202	132	115	176	127	125	101	203	157	
119	238	302	220	228	211	198	202	132	117	176	127	125	101	203	157	
120	238	302	220	228	211	198	202	132	117	176	127	125	101	203	157	
121	238	302	220	230	211	198	203	132	117	176	127	125	101	203	157	
122	240	302	219	229	139	198	201	132	116	176	117	118	101	200	156	
123	242	302	219	229	139	198	202	132	116	176	117	118	101	202	156	
124	238	302	219	229	139	198	202	132	117	178	127	125	101	200	156	
125	242	302	219	229	211	198	202	132	117	176	117	123	101	199	156	
126	237	302	219	229	211	198	202	132	117	176	127	125	101	200	156	
127	238	302	219	228	139	198	202	132	117	176	132	125	101	203	157	
128	239	302	219	228	139	198	202	132	117	176	132	125	101	203	157	

Table 3 (contd)

Taxon no.	Allele size of cpSSR primers								psbK	rpoA	rps12	trns1	trns2	trnLF	trnK	atpB
	TeaSS R1	TeaSS R3	TeaSS R4	TeaSS R5	TeaSS R6	TeaSS R7	TeaSS R8	TeaSS R8								
129	238	302	220	228	139	198	202	133	117	176	132	125	101	203	157	
130	238	302	219	228	139	198	200	– ^a	116	176	132	125	101	203	157	
131	238	302	219	228	139	198	202	132	116	175	132	125	101	203	157	
132	238	302	219	228	139	198	201	132	115	175	115	124	101	203	155	
133	238	302	220	229	139	198	202	132	116	175	127	125	101	203	157	
134	238	302	220	229	139	198	201	132	116	175	127	125	101	203	157	
135	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	132	116	175	127	125	101	203	157	
136	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	133	128	175	117	118	101	203	157	
<i>Psathyrostachys</i> Nevski																
137	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	132	116	177	127	125	101	203	157	
138	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	133	116	177	127	125	101	203	157	
139	238	302	220	229	211	198	202	133	116	177	127	125	101	203	157	

–^arepresents no amplified product.

(Provan *et al.* 2004). The high rate of transferability is most likely due to the conservation of the priming sites within the flanking sequences to enable amplification, and on the maintenance of repeat arrays long enough to promote polymorphism as suggested by Fitzsimmons *et al.* (1995). Primer sequence conservation has been tested in a variety of plant species (Ishii and McCouch 2000; Vogel *et al.* 2003; Provan *et al.* 2004; Mcgrath *et al.* 2006). For example, Ishii and McCouch (2000) tested the transferability of *Oryza sativa* cv. Nipponbare cpSSRs within the genus and within eight other Gramineae species. They found that amplified products were obtained in nine out of ten chloroplast microsatellite loci for all samples.

Among the developed cpSSR markers that worked in *Pseudoroegneria*, *Elymus*, and *Douglasdeweya*, five cpSSR markers (TeaSSR1, TeaSSR3, TeaSSR6, TeaSSR7, and trnLF) showed monomorphism, 10 primer pairs showed polymorphism. The number of allele produced by primers of trnS1, trnK, and rpoA was the highest with six alleles, respectively. The number of allele amplified from TeaSSR8 primers was five. The number of allele produced by trnS2 and atpB was four, respectively. Three alleles were found at each of the locus of TeaSSR4, TeaSSR5, psbK, and rps12. The number of alleles detected by each primer excluding five monomorphic primers is listed in table 4.

Among the developed cpSSR markers that worked in *Psathyrostachys* and *Leymus*, three cpSSRs (TeaSSR3, TeaSSR7, and trnLF) showed monomorphism, 12 primer

pairs showed polymorphism. The number of alleles produced by primers of trnS1 was the highest with nine alleles. The number of alleles amplified from primers TeaSSR1, TeaSSR8, trnK, trnS2, and rpoA were five. Four alleles were found for atpB and rps12, three alleles for TeaSSR5 and psbK, and two alleles for TeaSSR4. The number of alleles detected by each primer excluding three monomorphic primers is listed in table 5.

Thus, the present study has shown that 78% of *Lolium perenne*, 86% of *Hordeum*, and 100% of Poaceae cpSSR primer pairs generated reproducible amplification product from *Pseudoroegneria*, *Leymus*, *Elymus*, *Douglasdeweya*, and *Psathyrostachys* species. The amplification success rates of cpSSR primer pairs used in this study are very similar to those of Ishii and McCouch (2000), who were successful in amplifying 90% of *Oryza sativa* cv Nipponbare cpSSR primer pairs tested in *Oryza* and eight other Gramineae species. The high levels of chloroplast microsatellite found by Ishii and McCouch (2000) and in this study are not surprising, considering that comparative maps suggest that grass genomes retain extensive regions of colinearity (Gaut 2002). In addition, results from the present study suggest that *Lolium perenne*, *Hordeum*, and other Poaceae cpSSR primer pairs are a valuable source of polymorphic markers for analysing the relatively unknown *Pseudoroegneria*, *Leymus*, *Elymus*, *Douglasdeweya*, and *Psathyrostachys* gene pool, for genetic mapping and for alignment of genetic maps with other Gramineae species.

Microsatellite markers in *Pseudoroegneria* and *Leymus*

Table 4. Number of alleles produced by 10 polymorphic cpSSRs within *Pseudoroegneria*, *Douglasdeweya* and *Elymus* species.

Taxon	Number of alleles produced									
	TeaSSR	TeaSSR	TeaSSR	atpB	trnK	psbK	rps12	trns1	trns2	rpoA
	4	5	8							
Pseudoroegneria (Nevski) Á. Löve										
<i>P. alashanica</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>P. elytrigioides</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>P. geniculata</i>	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	1
<i>P. geniculata</i> subsp. <i>pruinifera</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>P. geniculata</i> subsp. <i>scythica</i>	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1
<i>P. gracillima</i>	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	2
<i>P. kosaninii</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>P. libanotia</i>	1	2	1	2	3	1	1	4	3	2
<i>P. spicata</i>	1	1	2	2	3	2	1	4	4	2
<i>P. stipifolia</i>	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2
<i>P. strigosa</i>	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	2	3	4
<i>P. strigosa</i> subsp. <i>aegilopoides</i>	1	1	2	2	3	2	1	2	2	2
<i>P. tauri</i>	2	1	3	2	3	3	2	4	3	1
Douglasdeweya C. Yen, J. L. Yang and B. R. Baum										
<i>D. wangii</i>	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	3	2	2
<i>Elymus</i> L.										
<i>E. dolichatherus</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>E. kamoji</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>E. melantherus</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>E. rectisetus</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>E. rigidulus</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>E. tsukushiensis</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Table 5. Number of alleles produced by 12 polymorphic cpSSRs within *Leymus* and *Psathyrostachys* species.

Taxon	Number of alleles produced											
	TeaSSR	TeaSSR	TeaSSR	TeaSSR	TeaSSR	atpB	trnK	psbK	rps12	trns1	trns2	rpoA
	1	4	5	6	8							
<i>Leymus</i> L.												
<i>L. akmolinsensis</i>	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	1
<i>L. alaicus</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>L. alaicus</i> .subsp. <i>karataviensis</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	2
<i>L. ambiguus</i>	2	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>L. angustus</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1
<i>L. arenarius</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>L. chinensis</i>	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
<i>L. cinereus</i>	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>L. condensatus</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>L. hybrid</i>	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2

Table 5 (contd)

Taxon	Number of alleles produced											
	TeaSSR 1	TeaSSR 4	TeaSSR 5	TeaSSR 6	TeaSSR 8	atpB	trnK	psbK	rps12	trns1	trns2	rpoA
<i>L. innovatus</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>L. karelinii</i>	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>L. multicaulis</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1
<i>L. paboanus</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
<i>L. pseudoracemosus</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>L. racemosus</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>L. racemosus</i> subsp. <i>sabulosus</i>	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
<i>L. ramosus</i>	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>L. salinus</i>	3	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	2	2	2
<i>L. salinus</i> subsp. <i>mojavensis</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>L. salinus</i> subsp. <i>salinus</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>L. secalinus</i> 2	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	
<i>L. secalinus</i> subsp. <i>secalinus</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>L. tianschanicus</i>	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>L. triticoides</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	2
<i>Psathyrostachys</i> Nevski <i>P. juncea</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 30600033).

References

Baum B. R. and Johnson D. A. 2008 Molecular confirmation of the genomic constitution of *Douglasdeweya* (Triticeae: Poaceae): demonstration of the utility of the 5S rDNA sequences as a tool for haplome identification. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* **279**, 621–628.

Bucci G., Anzidei M., Madaghiale A. and Vendramin G. G. 1998 Detection of haplotypic variation and natural hybridization in *halepensis* complex pine species using chloroplast simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers. *Mol. Ecol.* **7**, 1633–1643.

Cato S. A. and Richardson T. E. 1996 Inter- and intraspecific polymorphism at chloroplast SSR loci and the inheritance of plastids in *Pinus radiata* D Don. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **93**, 587–592.

Dewey D. R. 1984 The genomic system of classification as a guide to intergeneric hybridization with the perennial Triticeae. In *Gene manipulation in plant improvement* (ed. J. P. Gustafson), pp. 209–280. Plenum Publishing, New York, USA.

Fitzsimmons N. N., Moriz C. and Moore S. S. 1995 Conservation and dynamics of microsatellite loci over 300 million years of marine turtle evolution. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **12**, 432–440.

Gaut B. S. 2002 Evolutionary dynamics of grass genomes. *New Phytol.* **154**, 15–28.

Grivet D. and Petit R. J. 2003 Chloroplast DNA phylogeography of the hornbeam in Europe: evidence for a bottleneck at the outset of postglacial colonization. *Conserv. Genet.* **4**, 47–56.

Hsiao C., Chatterton N. J., Asay K. H. and Jensen K. B. 1995 Phylogenetic relationships of the monogenomic species of the wheat tribe, Triticeae (Poaceae), inferred from nuclear rDNA (internal transcribed spacer) sequences. *Genome* **38**, 221–223.

Ishii T. and McCouch S. R. 2000 Microsatellites and microsynteny in the chloroplast genomes of *Oryza* and eight other Gramineae species. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **100**, 1257–1266.

Ishii T., Mori N. and Ogihara Y. 2001 Evaluation of allelic diversity at chloroplast microsatellite loci among common wheat and its ancestral species. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **103**, 896–904.

Liu Q. L., Ge S., Tang H. B., Zhang X. L., Zhu G. F. and Lu B. R. 2006 Phylogenetic relationships in *Elymus* (Poaceae: Triticeae) based on the nuclear ribosomal transcribed spacer and chloroplast *trnL-F* sequences. *New Phytol.* **170**, 411–420.

Liu Z. P., Chen Z. Y., Pan J., Li X. F., Su M., Wang L. J. et al. 2008 Phylogenetic relationships in *Leymus* (Poaceae: Triticeae) revealed by the nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer and chloroplast *trnL-F* sequences. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **46**, 278–289.

Löve A. 1984 Conspectus of the Triticeae. *Feddes Repert.* **95**, 425–521.

Lu B. R. 1994 The genus *Elymus* L. in Asia: taxonomy and biosystematics with special reference to genomic relationships. In *Proceedings of the 2nd international Triticeae symposium* (ed. R.

Microsatellite markers in Pseudoroegneria and Leymus

- R.-C. Wang, K. B. Jensen and C. Jaussi), pp. 219–233. Logan, Utah, USA.
- Mcgrath S., Hodkinson T. R., Salamin N. and Barth S. 2006 Development and testing of novel chloroplast microsatellite markers for *Lolium perenne* and other grasses (Poaceae) from *de novo* sequencing and *in silico* sequences. *Mol. Ecol. Notes* **6**, 449–452.
- Palmé A. E. and Vendramin G. G. 2002 Chloroplast DNA variation, postglacial recolonization and hybridization in hazel, *Corylus avellana*. *Mol. Ecol.* **11**, 1769–1779.
- Provan J., Russell J. R., Booth A. and Powell W. 1999 Polymorphic chloroplast simple sequence repeat primers for systematic and population studies in the genus *Hordeum*. *Mol. Ecol.* **8**, 505–511.
- Provan J., Biss P. M., Mcmeel D. and Mathews S. 2004 Universal primers for the amplification of chloroplast microsatellites in grasses (Poaceae). *Mol. Ecol. Notes* **4**, 262–264.
- Song Z. P., Xu X., Wang B., Chen J. K. and Lu B.-R. 2003 Genetic diversity in the northernmost *Oryza rufipogon* populations estimated by SSR markers. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **107**, 1492–1499.
- Vogel M., Bänfer G., Moog U. and Weising K. 2003 Development and characterization of chloroplast microsatellite markers in *Macaranga* (Euphorbiaceae). *Genome* **46**, 845–857.
- Yen C., Yang J. L. and Baum B. R. 2005 *Douglasdeweya*: a new genus, with a new species and a new combination (Triticeae: Poaceae). *Can. J. Bot.* **83**, 413–419.

Received 1 September 2009, in revised form 11 November 2009; accepted 26 November 2009

Published on the Web: 20 April 2010