Reappraisal of the ‘early proterozoic gabbro-anorthosite suite’ rocks from the eastern Singhbhum craton, India: Insights from field features, petrography–mineralogy and geochemistry

TUSHAR MOULI CHAKRABORTI, ARIJIT RAY* and GAUTAM KUMAR DEB

Department of Geology, Presidency University, 86/1 College Street, Kolkata 700 073, India.

*Corresponding author. e-mail: rayarjit22@gmail.com

MS received 21 October 2018; revised 22 May 2019; accepted 4 June 2019

The present study focuses on six mafic–ultramafic units from eastern Indian Singhbhum craton: (i) gabbroic rocks of Galudih, (ii) dolerite from North of Bisoi, (iii) Bangripasi wehrlite (BW), (iv) dolerite from North of Kuliana, (v) Kuliana-layered gabbro (KLG) and (vi) dolerite of Jashipur. These rocks had been grouped earlier as a co-genetic unit titled ‘early proterozoic gabbro-anorthosite suite’. Dolerites of Jashipur, North of Bisoi and North of Kuliana are found as undeformed intrusives into the Mesoarchaeoan Mayurbhanj granite (MBG) unit and show hydrothermal alteration features and variable LREE enrichment. Multiply-deformed gabbroic rocks of Galudih also intrude the MBGs with similar hydrothermal alteration features and comparatively lower REE abundances. KLG and BW are found as dismembered rocks, emplaced within a multiply-deformed metasedimentary assemblage of Mid-Proterozoic North Singhbhum mobile belt. Through a critical assessment of their field features, petrography, mineralogy and geochemistry, the earlier grouping was found to be invalid. Instead, these rock units should be categorised into three groups: Group I (Galudih), Group II (Jashipur, North of Bisoi, North of Kuliana) and Group III (KLG and BW). Group I rocks are the oldest among them, but their stratigraphic affinity remains unclear. Group II mafic rocks possibly belong to the Proterozoic newer dolerite dykes, whereas Group III represents fragments of oceanic crust and mantle.

Keywords. Mafic–ultramafic rocks; Singhbhum Craton; petrography; geochemistry; field features.

1. Introduction

The Palaeoarchean Singhbhum Craton (SC) of east India hosts a plethora of mafic–ultramafic rocks of different ages and types (Bose 2009). The oldest mafic rocks reported from this terrain are the metavolcanic rocks from the Palaeo-Mesoarchaeoan Older Metamorphic group (OMG; Manikyamba *et al.* 2015; table 1). Subsequent records of mafic volcanism and plutonism span for almost 2 billion years, up to Neoproterozoic represented by mafic

dykes belonging to the Newer Dolerite Dyke (NDD) swarm (Misra 2006; table 1). These groups of mafic–ultramafic rocks differ significantly in terms of mineralogical and geochemical characteristics and numerous debates exist regarding their petrogenesis. The present study focuses on one such group of mafic–ultramafic rocks from the eastern part of SC, dubbed as the ‘early proterozoic gabbro-anorthosite suite’ by Saha (1994; figure 1). The presence of these rocks was recognised in the first half of the 20th century, but they have not

Table 1. *Stratigraphic succession of the SC, east India.*

Unit	Age (Ga)	Reference
NDDs	2.8–1.0	Misra (2006), Shankar <i>et al.</i> (2014), Kumar <i>et al.</i> (2017)
NSMB metasedimentary rocks	1.6–1.5	Pandey <i>et al.</i> (1986)
Kolhan group	<2.25	Misra and Johnson (2005)
Jagannathpur and Malangtoli lavas	2.25	Misra and Johnson (2005)
Dhanjori and Dalma volcanic	<2.8	Singh <i>et al.</i> (2016)
Tamperkola granite	2.8	Bandyopadhyay <i>et al.</i> (2001)
MBG	3.09	Misra <i>et al.</i> (1999)
Sukinda–Nuasahi complex	3.1	Auge <i>et al.</i> (2003)
BGG	3.12–3.08	Chakraborti <i>et al.</i> (2019)
Simlipal lavas and metasediments	>3.09	Misra (2006)
Singhbhum granite-III (SBG-III)	3.12–3.05	Chakraborty and Majumder (1986), Ghosh <i>et al.</i> (1996)
Bonai granite (BNG)	3.16	Sengupta <i>et al.</i> (1991)
Nilgiri granite (NG)	3.29	Vohra <i>et al.</i> (1991)
Singhbhum granite-II (SBG-II)	3.33–3.30	Ghosh <i>et al.</i> (1996), Misra <i>et al.</i> (1999)
Singhbhum granite-I (SBG-I)	3.44	Ghosh <i>et al.</i> (1996)
Older metamorphic tonalitic gneiss (OMTG)	3.45–3.44 & 3.35–3.32	Upadhyay <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Iron ore group (IOG)	3.5–3.12	Ghosh <i>et al.</i> (1996), Mukhopadhyay <i>et al.</i> (2008)
OMG	3.55–3.44	Misra (2006)
Unstable sialic crust	>3.6	Goswami <i>et al.</i> (1995)

been studied in detail. As a result, many conflicting views exist on the temporal and stratigraphic status of these rocks. Although no census has yet been reached on the tectonic characterisation of these rocks, the grouping of Saha (1994) has never been critically examined and has been carried forward by more recent studies (Auge *et al.* 2003; Misra 2006).

Seven individual units of mafic–ultramafic rocks belonging to this group have been identified in the present study. From the north to the south, they are: (i) gabbroic rocks of Galudih, (ii) dolerite from North of Bisoi, (iii) wehrlite of Bangriposi (Bangriposi wehrlite ‘BW’), (iv) dolerite from North of Kuliana, (v) layered gabbro of Kuliana (Kuliana-layered gabbro ‘KLG’), (vi) dolerite of Jashipur and (vii) mafic–ultramafic rocks of Sukinda–Nuasahi. Among these units, the ultramafic rocks of Sukinda–Nuasahi have been extensively studied, partly due to their ore-bearing nature (Page *et al.* 1985; Banerjee 1997; Mondal *et al.* 2006, 2007). These rocks have yielded a Mesoarchaean age (~3.1 Ga; table 1) and have been hypothesised to form in a supra-subduction zone setting (Mondal *et al.* 2006). Detailed studies have been performed by the current authors on mafic–ultramafic rocks of Bangriposi and Kuliana (Chakraborti *et al.* 2016, 2017, 2018), which revealed that the layered

gabbro and wehrlite units are co-genetic, and represent fragments of oceanic crustal magma chambers and the underlying metasomatised mantle, respectively (Chakraborti 2018).

As of yet, the 3.1 Ga age of the Sukinda–Nuasahi complex (table 1) remains the only precise and direct estimate of age among all of these rock units. Also, comprehensive field and petrological studies have not been initiated on these rock units to understand and evaluate their stratigraphic position which has compounded the problem to a much greater degree. This study presents new data on the mafic rocks of Galudih, North of Bisoi, North of Kuliana and Jashipur, and provides a review on the work about the Gabbro–wehrlite suite of Bangriposi–Kuliana. The Sukinda–Nuasahi complex has an established age (Auge *et al.* 2003) and it was found through straightforward stratigraphic considerations that they represent a much older phase of mafic igneous activity than the rest of the undated units. Hence, they have been treated as a separate entity and have been left out in the present discussion. The principle objective of this work is to examine the present grouping of these mafic–ultramafic rocks of the SC, through detailed field features, mineralogy and geochemistry, and to get an idea about the petrogenetic histories of these rocks.

Figure 1. Lithological map of the study area with the ‘early proterozoic gabbro-anorthosite suite’ rock units marked with black. Inset: Map of India showing the position of the SC. The area of study has been marked with a black rectangle. The published ages of the lithounits depicted in the map have been given (references same as table 1).

2. Regional geology

The mafic-ultramafic rocks of the ‘early proterozoic gabbro-anorthosite suite’ are found in the eastern part of the SC. The northern section of this region is dominated by the 3.09 Ga Mayurbhanj granite (MBG; table 1; Misra *et al.* 2002). Proterozoic supracrustals such as the North Singhbhum mobile belt (NSMB) assemblage and the Simlipal complex dominate the middle and the lowest sections of this region (figure 1). NSMB rocks are mainly represented by alternating quartzite and phyllite bands with conglomerates and minor metamorphosed mafic rocks. Recent workers have considered the NSMB metapelites as Mesoarchaeon (Misra 2006) and associated their deposition and deformation with the intrusion of MBG. However, the reigning popular view is still the older assertion that the NSMB represents a Mid-Proterozoic orogenic belt assemblage (table 1; Pandey *et al.* 1986; Saha 1994; Chatterjee *et al.* 2013; Mazumder *et al.* 2015). The Simlipal complex

is a volcano-sedimentary unit, dominated by spilitic lavas and tuffs, alternating with quartzite bands. Studies on these rocks are sparse, and recent workers have assumed a Mesoarchaeon age (Misra 2006) and a plume-related origin (Banerjee and Ghosh 1994). The mafic rocks of the Galudih mark the northernmost extent of this region and are in close association with the MBG rocks as well. The MBG separates the mafic rocks of Galudih from the Neoarchean Dhanjori rocks (1 Ga; table 1). Isolated bodies of alkali feldspar granite-gneiss outcrop near the NSMB metapelite-dominated Bangriposi sector. This granite body, dubbed as the ‘Bangriposi Granite-Gneiss (BGG)’, has been studied in detail by the present authors (Chakraborti *et al.* 2019). This Mesoarchaeon (~3.08–3.12 Ga; table 1) high-K granitic unit represents a widespread crustal reworking activity in the SC. MBG rocks range from ferrohastingsite-biotite granite to granophyric microgranite and aplite-granite. They are mostly undeformed, except for local N–S trending shearing near its eastern margin (Misra *et al.* 2002), which has been attributed to be the effects of the southern extension of the Singhbhum shear zone (SSZ) (Naha 1965). This extension of SSZ, now categorised as the Bangriposi shear zone (BSZ) (Prabhakar *et al.* 2014) is present close to the gabbro-wehrlite rocks of the Bangriposi-Kuliana sector.

Earliest workers categorised these mafic-ultramafic rocks as coeval with the rocks of the basic volcanics dominated iron ore group, and thus older than the Singhbhum granite (table 1; Dunn and Dey, 1942). Based on field relations from some other locations in the eastern Indian shield, Sarkar and Saha (1962, 1963) interpreted a younger age of the mafic-ultramafic rocks relative to the Singhbhum granite. Furthermore, in contrast to the view of the co-magmatic evolution of these rocks and the MBG, as inferred by Iyengar and Alwar (1965), a relatively older age of the former has been suggested (Saha *et al.* 1977). Saha (1994) subsequently compiled the information together and classified these mafic-ultramafic rocks as ‘early proterozoic gabbro-anorthosite suite’, and associated them with Ti–V bearing ore bodies. Auge *et al.* (2003) proposed a 3.1 Ga age of these rocks based on U–Pb zircon analysis from the Bangur gabbro unit, within the Sukinda–Nuasahi mafic-ultramafic complex. Misra (2006) considered these mafic-ultramafic rocks as coeval with the MBG and also proposed a Mesoarchaeon relative age (~3.09 Ga; table 1). More recently, Prabhakar *et al.* (2014)

Figure 2. (a) Gabbroic rocks of Galudih with a feldspar layer. (b) A xenolith of MBG within the gabbroic rocks of Galudih. (c) A fold hinge of the MBG being cut by a fine-grained dolerite dyke from the North of Bisoi. (d) An apophytic tongue of dolerite from the North of Bisoi within the granitic country rocks. (e) The relatively less serpentinised parts of BW showing a network of magnetite–serpentine veins. (f) Highly serpentinised parts of BW with prominent talc growth.

studied the gabbro and associated wehrlite rocks of Bangriposi, and suggested that the mafic–ultramafic rocks along with the metasediments of the region constitutes a ~ 1.0 Ga tectonic mélange, interleaved with the granitic crustal components. This range of conflicting assertions spanning almost 80 yr, basically stems from the lack of direct geochronological data of these rocks.

3. Geology of the early proterozoic gabbro-anorthosite suite

The gabbroic rocks of Galudih are located in the town of Kalikapur ($22^{\circ}37'N$; $86^{\circ}17'E$), west–southwest of Galudih, and are found within

granitic rocks of the MBG suite. The small, rectangular mafic unit has a maximum lengthwise extension of 2 km (N–S) and is about 500–700 metres wide. The main rock type observed was coarse-grained gabbro, with the presence of finer-grained parts observed near the contact with the granitic rocks. Felsic layers, mainly composed of quartzo-feldspathic minerals, have been seen in some parts of the body (figure 2a). The layering is about 10 – 190° at the southern end of the body and becomes parallel to foliation near its northern parts. Although not conspicuous throughout the whole body, some parts appear foliated in the field with the orientation of the foliation being 140 – 320° . The surrounding area primarily consists of greyish-coloured, foliated granites and

xenoliths of these foliated granites have been found in the mafic body (figure 2b). This suggests that the gabbroic rocks of Galudih are younger than the surrounding MBG rocks (table 1).

Dolerite rocks from North of Bisoi ($22^{\circ}9'30''\text{N}$; $86^{\circ}24'30''\text{E}$) are found within granitic rocks belonging to the MBG pluton (Misra 1999; Misra *et al.* 2002). These mafic rocks are medium- to fine-grained and appear as isolated bodies with low elevations. These generally have lengths < 800 m and widths < 50 to 60 m. Finer grain size varieties are observed near the contact with the granites. In some cases, it was found that the mafic rocks cut across a fold hinge of the granitic rocks (figure 2c). Also, extremely fine-grained apophytic tongues are seen within the granitic rock (figure 2d). The development of a planar structure is not seen, and from the field observation, it can be stated that these mafic rocks are largely undeformed. Enclaves of the foliated MBG are sometimes found in the dyke rocks. Overall, numerous evidence of intrusive activity such as the cross-cutting relationship, apophytic tongues and the presence of enclaves help classify them as mafic dykes.

Wehrlite rocks of Bangripasi ($22^{\circ}9'\text{N}$; $86^{\circ}32'\text{E}$) occur as an N–S trending, elongated Cr-spinel bearing serpentinitised wehrlite unit. The rocks are

situated close to the BSZ within NSMB metapelites, but do not exhibit any evidence of intrusive activity (chilled margins, apophytic tongues, feeder dykes, etc.). The relatively less serpentinitised southern end of this unit is characterised by a network of magnetite–serpentine veins (figure 2e). The northern part has prominent talc growth (figure 2f) and has been completely transformed to talc-tremolite schists. These rocks have an N–S trending east dipping foliation. The gabbro unit is situated south-west of the wehrlite near Kuliana ($22^{\circ}3'\text{N}$; $86^{\circ}38'\text{E}$). They occur within NSMB quartzites and grade from gabbro to anorthositic gabbro. As with the ultramafic rocks, these rocks also lack any evidence of intrusive nature. The rocks display excellent modal layering and mineral laminations, with textural and cryptic layering. The laminations of pyroxene (figure 3a) mark the gabbroic parts of the body, whereas plagioclase laminations (figure 3b) characterise the more felsic domains of this suite. The general trend of layering is NE–SW with a moderate ($\sim 60^{\circ}$) SW dip. Apart from this, there are no signs of deformation. For more details about the field features of these rocks, the reader is referred to Chakraborti *et al.* (2017). No direct geochronological data are available on these rocks and the absence of

Figure 3. (a) Pyroxene laminae within gabbroic rocks from the KLG unit. (b) Feldspar laminae within leucogabbro units of KLG. (c) Lithological map showing the linear, dyke-like, NW–SE trending appearance of the doleritic rocks from North of Kuliana, within granitic rocks of the BGG suite. (d) Ridge-like vein network on the outcrop surface of dolerite from North of Kuliana.

evidence of any intrusive activity in the field also hinders placing age constraints on them based on local stratigraphy. These rocks have been dubbed as the 'BW' and 'KLG' respectively, and will henceforth be referred to as such for the rest of this study.

Dolerite rocks from North of Kuliana occur within the BGG suite, and are medium to fine grained. They exhibit no evidence of deformation in the field. Although no direct contacts were observed in the field, their linear appearance (figure 3c) and restricted occurrence within deformed granitic terrain suggest that these rocks are mafic dykes. Also the marginal parts of these dykes exhibit predominance of relatively finer grain sizes. The general trend of these dykes is NW–SE (some rare E–W trending dykes are also observed), and in the field they appear as small, isolated hillocks, generally < 20 to 25 m in height and < 700 to 800 m in width. Some of the longer dykes (maximum length ~2 km) are found in the western part of the granitic body, and have relatively coarser grain sizes in their central part. Samples have a relatively melanocratic appearance in hand specimen, being primarily composed of feldspar and pyroxene. One interesting feature common throughout the entire suite is the presence of vein-like networks (figure 3d). These dark veins appear as ridges on the weathered outcrop surface and hence are composed of relatively resistant minerals. The network of veins shows no consistent orientation and sometimes becomes highly erratic in appearance, which suggests its secondary hydrothermal origin. Although no geochronological data of these rocks are available, their dyke-like appearance and undeformed nature suggest a probable younger age relative to the deformed-Mesoarchaean BGG suite (table 1).

The town of Jashipur is situated SSW of the Bangriposi–Kuliana region. One small, longitudinal mafic rock unit was located skirting the western margin of the Simlipal volcano-sedimentary complex (figure 1). These rocks are found as boulders and their freshly broken surfaces have a dark-greenish appearance, exhibiting coarse to medium grain size. Although this mafic body could not be traced completely in the field due to accessibility problems, it was evident from fieldwork that this unit has larger dimensions (length >5 km) than what was originally shown in the map of Saha (1994).

4. Petrography

Gabbroic rocks of Galudih have medium to coarse grain size with an inequigranular texture. Sub-ophitic and intergranular textures are also observed. The primary constituent minerals are plagioclase and clinopyroxene, and both have euhedral to subhedral grain shapes. Two-main petrographic features of these rocks are: (i) the presence of two generations of deformation as depicted by the folding of the foliation (figure 4a) and (ii) ubiquitous evidence of low-temperature hydrothermal alteration. The foliation of these rocks is defined by amphibole and biotites. The crenulations are not evident throughout the thin sections, but an overall foliated character of the rocks can be easily discernible. The most conspicuous effects of hydrothermal alteration are sericitisation of plagioclase grains, clouding of plagioclase caused by the crystallisation of opaque phases (figure 4b), and uraltisation of clinopyroxene grains defined by the transformation to fibrous amphiboles (tremolite, actinolite etc.) along the grain margins (figure 4b). A type of quartz–alkali feldspar intergrowth, termed as the micropegmatite intergrowth, is also often seen in the grain interstices (figure 4b). Ilmenite is the only iron oxide phase observed within these rocks. Orthopyroxene is rarely observed and also exhibits effects of hydrothermal alteration in the form of bastitisation.

The majority of the dolerite dykes from North of Bisoi have medium to fine grain size exhibiting inequigranular, sub-ophitic and intergranular textures (figure 4c). These rocks are primarily made up of plagioclase and clinopyroxene, with accessory iron oxides and can be classified as dolerites. Orthopyroxene is found only in rare instances and is restricted to dykes with a coarse grain size. Plagioclase occurs in a bi-modal size distribution, with lath-shaped, euhedral grains and subhedral to anhedral larger grains. Clinopyroxene has a relatively smaller grain size and in some instances the grains show twinning. In one N–S trending dyke about 200 m long, the grain size is the coarsest with a significant amount of orthopyroxene. All mineral phases are euhedral to subhedral and display textural features akin to cumulus texture. Plagioclase also occurs in two modes, but the interesting feature of this dyke is that extremely large plagioclase grains appear to enclose almost all other grains within them (figure 4d). All other grains, even smaller plagioclase grains are observed

Figure 4. (a) Photomicrograph under plane-polars showing folded foliation defined by hornblende grains, from the gabbroic rocks of Galudih. (b) Photomicrograph under cross-polars showing hydrothermal alteration features from the gabbroic rocks of Galudih. (c) Photomicrograph under cross-polars showing the typical doleritic texture defined by plagioclase laths and pyroxene, from mafic rocks of North of Bisoi. (d) Photomicrograph under cross-polars from a coarse-grained dolerite dyke from North of Bisoi, showing large plagioclase grains with inclusions of subhedral pyroxene. (e) Back-scattered electron image showing secondary orthopyroxene rims around serpentinised olivine grains from the BW rocks. (f) Photomicrograph under cross-polars showing the typical mesh-texture defined by serpentine and magnetite with altered pyroxene from BW (Ol: olivine, Px: pyroxene, Mt: magnetite, Srp: serpentine).

as inclusions within the large-plagioclase grains. A probable explanation could be that the residual liquid became plagioclase-rich and formed under relatively slow cooling conditions. Evidence of hydrothermal alterations is minimal, and only some clinopyroxene shows alteration to fibrous amphiboles along grain margins or fractures. Spinel is sometimes seen as inclusions within pyroxene grains. Apart from silicate phases, both

magnetite and ilmenite are observed as iron oxides, with minor sulphides (pyrite and chalcopyrite).

The BW unit shows evidence of pervasive serpentinisation being composed of serpentinised olivine pseudomorphs, clinopyroxene, Cr-spinel, magnetite, tremolite/actinolite, vermiculite, apatite and minor brucite, talc. No relict orthopyroxene grains were observed. Instead, reaction rims of secondary orthopyroxene around serpentinised

Figure 5. (a) Back-scattered electron image from BW showing reaction textures defined by rims of secondary clinopyroxene around serpentinised olivine, and vermiculite (low K phlogopite) in the interstices. (b) Photomicrograph under cross-polars showing cumulus texture defined by sub/euhedral plagioclase grains from the KLG unit. (c) Photomicrograph under cross-polars from KLG showing a poikilitic texture defined by inclusion-laden pyroxene grains and bi-modal size distribution of plagioclase. (d) Photomicrograph under cross-polars of the dolerite from North of Kuliana showing a bi-modal size distribution of plagioclase. (e) Photomicrograph under cross-polars showing the typical doleritic texture of mafic rocks from North of Kuliana. (f) Photomicrograph under cross-polars from mafic rocks of Jashipur showing the doleritic texture modified by hydrothermal alteration.

olivine grains were sometimes seen (figure 4e). Olivine pseudomorphs, cross-cut by numerous serpentine veins with extensive magnetite crystallisation characterise the typical mesh-texture of serpentinised rocks (figure 4f). Clinopyroxene population consists of both relict primary grains and secondary grains. Often secondary clinopyroxene is found as a reaction rim around olivine pseudomorphs, with the vermiculite found in the

interstices between these rimmed grains (figure 5a). Vermiculite, a low-K phlogopite, is a product of hydrothermal alteration from original phlogopite grains. It appears from overall petrography that the BW rocks have experienced another phase of alteration prior to the serpentinisation event. The KLG rocks on the other hand appear fresh and undeformed under a petrographic microscope. The primary constituents are plagioclase, clinopyroxene

and orthopyroxene, with an accessory Cr-spinel. Ten isomodal layers were identified based on the modal proportions of plagioclase and pyroxene grains, and divided into two cycles based on repetitions. Adcumulate and poikilitic are the main textural varieties observed. The adcumulate textural variety is defined by large, zoning-free plagioclase, and large, inclusion-free, often exsolved pyroxene (figure 5b). Poikilitic texture is defined by pyroxene oikocrysts riddled with small plagioclase chadacrysts and relatively larger plagioclase as groundmass (figure 5c). This complex textural character of the KLG suite indicates the involvement of multiple processes during crystallisation.

Dolerites from North of Kuliana are medium to coarse rocks, having plagioclase, clinopyroxene and iron oxides as the primary constituent minerals. Plagioclase is present in two size modes: larger lath shaped, euhedral to subhedral grains and smaller subhedral to anhedral grains in the groundmass (figure 5d). Clinopyroxene grains are relatively smaller than plagioclase, subhedral to anhedral, and, in many cases, display simple/lamellar twinning. Fibrous hornblende (tremolite-actinolite) is present in these rocks as alteration products of primary clinopyroxene grains. The overall texture is characteristic of dolerite dykes because of the predominance of ophitic, sub-ophitic and intergranular textures (figure 5e). Smaller clinopyroxene occurs as inclusions within plagioclase in the groundmass suggesting that plagioclase crystallisation outlasted pyroxene nucleation from the melt. Variable hydrothermal alteration is manifested in the rocks by the presence of secondary hornblende. Most often, the alteration is restricted to the grain margins of the clinopyroxenes, but in extreme cases, replacement of almost the entire grain has been observed. The veins are made up of opaque phases and could be attributed to the process of hydrothermal alteration.

Dolerites of Jashipur have plagioclase and clinopyroxene as the principle mineral constituents, with overall medium grain size. Inequigranular textures are seen, along with sub-ophitic and intergranular texture (figure 5f). Plagioclase grains are all lath-shaped and have only one mode of occurrence. They appear clouded due to the crystallisation of fine-grained opaque phases during hydrothermal alteration. In a few instances, plagioclase laths appear folded/bent probably due to ductile deformation. Clinopyroxene grains are medium- to fine-grained and subhedral to anhedral. They often show twinning and are also in

some case altered to fibrous amphiboles along grain margins. Fine, flaky biotites are seen in areas with relatively greater amounts of deformation. Iron oxides are mostly ilmenites and they have low modes of occurrence: relatively large, euhedral, prismatic grains probably of igneous origin, and smaller, anhedral grains mostly as inclusions formed during hydrothermal activity.

5. Analytical techniques

Selected thin-sections from the mafic rocks of Galudih, North of Bisoi and Jashipur were analysed to obtain major element chemistry of the constituent minerals. The electron probe micro analyser (EPMA) facility (Model: CAMECA SX100) of the Department of Geology and Geophysics, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur (IIT-KGP) was used. The thin-sections were carbon-coated prior to EPMA in the facilities of the Department of Geology, Presidency University, Kolkata. Analyses were performed with a beam current of 15 nA and an acceleration voltage of 15 kV. The dwell time was 10 s at the peak and 5 s for the background. The following standards and emission lines were used: jadeite (Na-K α 1, Si-K α 1), diopside (Ca-K α 1, Mg-K α 1), orthoclase (K-K α 1, Al-K α 1), fluorapatite (F-K α 1, P-K α 1), NaCl (Cl-K α 1), Fe₂O₃ (Fe-K α 1), rhodonite (Mn-K α 1) and TiO₂ (Ti-K α 1). A TAP crystal was used to analyse F, Na, Al, Si and Mg; PET for Ca, Ti, K and Cl; LPET for P and LIF for Mn and Fe. ZAF matrix corrections were performed based on the Cameca-supplied PAPSIL program. More analytical details can be found in Hazarika *et al.* (2015). Selected data are presented in table 2. EPMA data of KLG and BW were obtained from Chakraborti *et al.* (2016, 2017, 2018).

Whole rock major element composition of selected samples was analysed in the X-ray fluorescence facility (model: SIEMENS SRS 3000) of Wadia Institute of Himalayan Geology (WIHG), Dehradun, India. Trace elements, including rare earth concentrations, were determined in WIHG using the inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer facility (model: PerkinElmer SCIEX ELANDRCe). The sample dissolution procedure of Khanna *et al.* (2009) was followed. International rock standards (JB-1a; basalt reference standard from the Geological Survey of Japan) were analysed along with the samples to check precision and accuracy. Analytical precision for the major elements is

Table 2. Compositions of selected plagioclase and pyroxene from mafic rocks of Jashipur, North of Bisoi and Galudih.

Jashipur				North of Bisoi				Galudih			
(a) Plagioclase											
SiO ₂	51.9	52.8	52.6	55.3	53.3	55.2	49.7	52.2	52.1		
TiO ₂	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Al ₂ O ₃	24.8	27.9	28.4	26.0	28.3	25.7	29.6	29.2	29.0		
Cr ₂ O ₃	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
FeO	2.4	1.0	1.0	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.7		
MnO	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
MgO	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		
CaO	13.8	12.1	12.4	9.4	12.2	9.0	13.9	13.1	13.5		
Na ₂ O	5.5	4.5	4.4	5.8	4.6	5.8	3.4	4.1	4.0		
K ₂ O	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.6	0.3	0.5	0.0	0.1	0.1		
Total	98.5	98.8	99.1	97.9	99.3	97.1	97.5	99.3	99.5		
An	57.7	59.0	60.2	45.7	58.7	44.8	69.1	63.5	64.6		
Ab	42.1	39.5	38.9	51.1	39.8	52.3	30.6	35.8	34.8		
Or	0.2	1.5	0.9	3.2	1.5	2.9	0.3	0.7	0.6		
<i>Cations calculated on the basis of 6 oxygen</i>											
Si	2.386	2.427	2.409	2.543	2.436	2.559	2.326	2.389	2.381		
Ti	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.003	0.001	0.000	0.000		
Al	1.343	1.513	1.533	1.408	1.524	1.404	1.633	1.576	1.560		
Cr	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000		
Fe ⁺³	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
Fe ⁺²	0.091	0.037	0.038	0.027	0.020	0.025	0.026	0.020	0.028		
Mn	0.002	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001		
Mg	0.000	0.007	0.009	0.005	0.006	0.005	0.006	0.006	0.006		
Ca	0.678	0.597	0.608	0.464	0.595	0.449	0.696	0.640	0.661		
Na	0.495	0.400	0.392	0.518	0.403	0.525	0.308	0.360	0.356		
K	0.002	0.015	0.009	0.033	0.015	0.029	0.003	0.007	0.007		

Jashipur				North of Bisoi				Galudih				
(b) Pyroxene												
SiO ₂	51.58	50.72	49.92	50.83	51.96	54.17	52.00	51.36	52.83	51.65	50.70	49.06
TiO ₂	0.35	0.74	0.86	0.77	0.40	0.21	0.30	0.55	0.26	0.29	0.26	0.28
Al ₂ O ₃	0.81	1.48	2.80	2.14	2.42	1.26	2.42	2.50	1.01	1.87	2.03	3.75
Cr ₂ O ₃	0.00	0.04	0.15	0.04	0.55	0.20	0.49	0.61	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.00
FeO	23.38	16.33	14.38	14.41	8.94	14.73	9.46	8.65	16.95	8.96	9.14	15.32
MnO	0.55	0.42	0.30	0.36	0.19	0.24	0.19	0.17	0.37	0.21	0.24	0.26
MgO	18.31	13.66	13.70	16.12	16.52	26.83	18.04	15.29	23.18	17.24	14.29	14.70
CaO	4.95	16.62	17.38	14.84	17.88	2.26	16.52	19.67	3.88	17.35	20.26	14.82
Na ₂ O	0.09	0.23	0.21	0.19	0.48	0.03	0.42	0.45	0.05	0.21	0.21	0.19
Total	100.01	100.24	99.71	99.69	99.33	99.94	99.84	99.25	98.54	97.82	97.16	98.37
Wo	10.2	34.4	36.5	30.6	37.4	4.4	33.7	41.2	7.9	35.9	42.9	31.4
En	52.3	39.3	40.0	46.2	48.0	73.1	51.2	44.6	65.3	49.6	42.1	43.3
Fs	37.5	26.4	23.6	23.2	14.6	22.5	15.1	14.2	26.8	14.5	15.1	25.3
<i>Cations calculated on the basis of 6 oxygen</i>												
Si	1.954	1.920	1.889	1.907	1.926	1.953	1.909	1.914	1.966	1.940	1.940	1.871
Ti	0.010	0.021	0.025	0.022	0.011	0.006	0.008	0.016	0.007	0.008	0.007	0.008
Al	0.036	0.066	0.125	0.094	0.106	0.054	0.105	0.110	0.044	0.083	0.091	0.168
Cr	0.000	0.001	0.005	0.001	0.016	0.006	0.014	0.018	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000
Fe ⁺³	0.043	0.068	0.059	0.061	0.037	0.027	0.076	0.046	0.012	0.035	0.029	0.087
Fe ⁺²	0.698	0.449	0.396	0.392	0.240	0.417	0.214	0.224	0.515	0.247	0.264	0.402
Mn	0.018	0.013	0.010	0.012	0.006	0.007	0.006	0.005	0.012	0.007	0.008	0.008
Mg	1.034	0.771	0.773	0.902	0.913	1.442	0.987	0.849	1.285	0.966	0.815	0.836
Ca	0.201	0.674	0.704	0.596	0.710	0.087	0.650	0.785	0.155	0.698	0.830	0.606
Na	0.007	0.017	0.016	0.014	0.034	0.002	0.030	0.033	0.003	0.015	0.015	0.014

within ± 2 –3% and for trace elements is within ± 5 –6%. Accuracy of REE analyses ranges from 2 to 12% and precision varies from 1 to 8% (Khanna *et al.* 2009). The whole rock geochemical data are presented in table 3. Whole-rock data of KLG and BW were obtained from Chakraborti *et al.* (2016, 2018).

6. Mineralogy

The plagioclase grains from the gabbroic rocks of Galudih show a restricted range (An₅₆–An₆₇) of composition, falling in the labradorite field (figure 6a). They have slightly variable K₂O contents of 0.04–1.03 wt%. Clinopyroxenes have relatively larger compositional variability with augite, ferroaugite and magnesian pigeonite types being present (figure 6b). They have very low Na₂O (<0.24 wt%), moderately variable Cr₂O₃ (0.02–0.11 wt%) and highly variable TiO₂ (0.06–0.46 wt%). The Mg# ($100 * \text{Mg}/(\text{Mg} + \text{Fe}^{+2})$) range of the calculated clinopyroxenes is 50–80 with an average of 73. High-MnO (>1.8 wt%) ilmenites are the only iron oxide phase found. Pressure estimates were calculated with the ‘CpxBar’ Microsoft Excel program of Nimis and Ulmer (1998) which yielded ~ 0.8 Gpa. As this geobarometer is optimised for undeformed mafic volcanic rocks, the calculated pressure estimates of the multiply deformed rocks of Galudih probably reflect the pressures of the last deformation episode.

Mineralogical data of dolerites from North of Bisoi are from the coarse-grained dolerite dyke with a bi-modal size distribution of plagioclase. Plagioclase grains exhibit two compositional varieties from andesine (An₄₅–An₄₇) to labradorite (An₅₁–An₅₉) (figure 6a). The relatively smaller plagioclase grains occurring as inclusions within larger plagioclase grains have higher CaO, reflecting formation under higher temperature. A few alkali feldspar grains of sanidine variety (Or₃₇) are also encountered. All analysed clinopyroxene grains are augite with compositional range of Wo_{34–42}En_{44–51}Fs_{13–17} (figure 6b). These clinopyroxene grains have low Na₂O (<0.64 wt%), and moderate Al₂O₃ (1.42–2.5 wt%), Cr₂O₃ (<0.6 wt%) and TiO₂ (<0.7 wt%). Cr-Spinels with Cr# ($100 * \text{Cr}/(\text{Cr} + \text{Al})$) of ~ 60 are sometimes seen as inclusions within the clinopyroxene. Orthopyroxene grains of bronzite variety are also observed with a compositional range of Wo₄En_{73–79}Fs_{17–22}. Both types of pyroxene have relatively high Mg# in the range of 76–83. Using the

CpxBar program, these clinopyroxene grains give pressure estimates of 1.0–1.3 Gpa. As the coarse-grained mafic dykes show little evidence of hydrothermal alteration, the calculated pressures can be said to reflect magmatic conditions. From the co-existing magnetite–ilmenite pair, the Fe–Ti exchange temperature was calculated (Ghiorso and Evans 2008) to be $\sim 400^\circ\text{C}$. This temperature probably reflects the shallow level hydrothermal alteration process during which the magnetite grain formed.

Most of the serpentine grains from BW have Mg# ranging from 80 to 86 with a few values as low as 72–73. XRD analysis revealed that the predominant species of serpentine present are antigorite and lizardite, with minor occurrences of chrysotile. Clinopyroxene grain population consists of two species (figure 6b): augite (more abundant) with a compositional range of Wo_{24–28}En_{62–68}Fs_{4–11} and diopside with a compositional range of Wo_{41–44}En_{47–51}Fs_{7–8}. The Mg# of augite grains ranges between 76 and 93 and relatively higher (86–93) for diopside grains. Augite grains are usually secondary in nature, having lower Cr, Ti, Ca/Mg values than the diopside, which are considered to be the relict primary grains. Cr-Spinels have high Cr# (77–92) and have outer magnetite mantles formed during serpentinisation. Vermiculites have low K₂O and Mg# ranges of 74–82. Secondary orthopyroxene occurs only as rims around serpentinised olivine and have bronzitic composition and low Mg# (62–63). In KLG rocks, plagioclase has a composition of An_{57–66} in adcumulate textural variety, with diopside and augite similar in composition with BW (figure 6a and b). Plagioclase inclusions and groundmass grains have uniform compositions (An_{76–85}) in the poikilitic textural type. Orthopyroxene in KLG rocks occurs as distinct grains and is bronzitic type. Layer-wise variation of mineral composition revealed that the minerals shift to more primitive compositions in the middle part of cycle I, which suggests a possible magma chamber influx event (for more details, see Chakraborti *et al.* 2016). *P*–*T* calculations of the KLG suite yields temperatures of 990°C and 1.3–1.4 Gpa pressure (Chakraborti *et al.* 2017), whereas the pressure estimates of BW are higher at 3.5–4.8 Gpa with the calculated temperature from 1036 to 1146°C (Chakraborti 2018).

Dolerites from North of Kuliana have andesine- and labradorite-type plagioclase grains, showing high-compositional variability (An₃₆–An₅₈) (figure 6a). Clinopyroxenes fall in the augite and magnesian pigeonite compositional fields

Table 3. Whole-rock major, trace elements of mafic rocks from North of Kuliana, Galudih, Jashipur, and North of Bisoi.

Sample no.	North of Kuliana						Galudih					
	B12	B12A	B15	B16	B40	B42	G1	G2B	G6	G8	G10	G12
SiO ₂	51.04	48.25	47.96	48.03	51.21	49.67	50.86	47.65	51.11	50.96	50.85	51.25
Al ₂ O ₃	15.17	14.14	14.19	14.51	12.93	12.58	12.76	14.39	15.01	13.60	13.64	14.34
MgO	7.53	6.08	6.55	6.68	9.06	9.84	7.53	7.29	5.17	6.49	8.38	5.84
Na ₂ O	2.04	2.49	2.34	2.37	1.47	1.36	2.30	2.55	2.91	2.64	2.34	2.73
P ₂ O ₅	0.10	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.05	0.07	0.11	0.12	0.16	0.13	0.09	0.14
K ₂ O	0.33	0.23	0.25	0.24	0.54	0.54	0.84	0.74	0.66	0.96	0.35	0.80
CaO	11.42	11.10	11.59	11.70	11.58	12.14	9.83	10.17	9.53	9.50	10.56	9.69
TiO ₂	0.86	1.48	1.50	1.41	0.61	0.69	0.92	1.10	1.13	1.02	0.75	0.99
MnO	0.17	0.23	0.21	0.21	0.18	0.16	0.16	0.19	0.15	0.16	0.15	0.15
Total Fe ₂ O ₃	11.55	15.78	14.80	14.10	10.38	10.47	12.21	13.96	12.55	12.51	11.13	12.35
Total	100.21	99.92	99.54	99.39	98.01	97.52	97.52	98.16	98.38	97.97	98.24	98.28
LOI	0.30	0.56	0.08	0.11	0.99	0.71	1.89	2.33	1.55	1.99	2.12	1.91
Mg#	47.52	34.86	38.07	39.69	54.80	56.62	46.14	42.04	36.39	41.88	51.12	39.64
Cr	156.00	167.00	212.00	210.00	235.00	353.00	267.00	96.00	70.00	116.00	119.00	81.00
Zr	56.00	80.00	85.00	77.00	32.00	45.00	63.00	77.00	85.00	75.00	46.00	68.00
Ba	100.00	80.00	68.00	65.00	129.00	133.00	75.00	135.00	141.00	136.00	222.00	170.00
Co	85.60	103.00	67.00	67.40	70.50	81.50	57.80	60.80	59.30	69.20	60.50	81.20
Cu	67.00	108.00	109.00	103.00	69.00	79.00	93.00	117.00	97.00	107.00	116.00	117.00
Ga	12.00	35.00	11.00	11.00	14.00	12.00	11.00	14.00	13.00	15.00	16.00	16.00
Nb	4.30	5.30	4.80	5.50	1.90	7.30	3.20	4.50	4.40	7.40	5.80	4.30
Ni	99.00	85.00	100.00	89.00	93.00	151.00	107.00	113.00	96.00	88.00	95.00	107.00
Pb	3.30	5.00	1.30	0.80	11.00	6.70	1.80	1.90	0.90	1.00	4.00	2.40
Rb	10.80	24.80	4.60	5.30	18.50	14.10	9.50	21.60	24.90	16.60	12.70	22.50
Sc	41.60	57.80	51.00	50.80	42.00	46.30	30.10	31.40	29.50	28.50	38.50	30.60
Sr	141.00	127.00	116.00	115.00	126.00	119.00	176.00	190.00	195.00	202.00	169.00	191.00
Th	1.10	0.80	0.90	0.80	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.40	1.60	2.00	1.40	1.60
V	209.00	563.00	259.00	259.00	203.00	206.00	176.00	212.00	192.00	214.00	230.00	202.00
Y	18.00	28.00	29.00	27.00	15.00	16.00	13.00	17.00	18.00	20.00	22.00	19.00
Zn	80.00	121.00	60.00	59.00	68.00	69.00	58.00	73.00	74.00	75.00	86.00	79.00
U	0.30	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.40	0.50	0.60	0.30	0.50
La	7.00	6.50	6.60	6.20	4.60	6.80	6.00	8.60	9.60	11.10	8.10	10.30
Ce	15.30	16.10	16.10	14.70	9.50	14.50	12.70	18.30	20.30	23.70	18.00	22.10
Pr	2.10	2.50	2.40	2.30	1.30	1.90	1.70	2.40	2.70	3.10	2.50	3.00
Nd	9.10	11.60	11.30	10.40	5.80	8.00	7.40	10.00	10.90	12.70	10.60	12.20
Sm	2.30	3.55	3.38	3.14	1.62	2.05	1.86	2.49	2.76	3.12	2.83	3.00
Eu	0.83	1.30	1.18	1.11	0.66	0.74	0.73	0.87	0.96	1.05	1.09	1.07
Gd	2.74	4.53	4.30	3.95	2.03	2.47	2.19	2.88	3.20	3.60	3.47	3.54
Tb	0.49	0.83	0.77	0.72	0.37	0.43	0.37	0.48	0.53	0.59	0.59	0.57
Dy	3.04	5.57	4.94	4.66	2.41	2.72	2.31	2.87	3.25	3.56	3.79	3.49
Ho	0.69	1.21	1.10	1.03	0.55	0.60	0.50	0.62	0.70	0.78	0.83	0.74
Er	1.84	3.33	2.96	2.75	1.49	1.62	1.31	1.64	1.79	2.04	2.21	1.99
Tm	0.29	0.52	0.46	0.42	0.24	0.25	0.20	0.24	0.28	0.31	0.34	0.30
Yb	1.89	3.35	3.03	2.80	1.57	1.70	1.29	1.61	1.80	2.02	2.19	1.95
Lu	0.29	0.52	0.46	0.43	0.24	0.26	0.20	0.25	0.28	0.31	0.34	0.30
Eu/Eu* ¹	1.01	0.99	0.95	0.96	1.11	1.00	1.10	0.99	0.99	0.96	1.06	1.00
(La/Yb) _N ²	2.50	1.31	1.47	1.49	1.98	2.70	3.14	3.60	3.60	3.70	2.49	3.56
ΣREE	47.90	61.41	58.98	54.61	32.38	44.04	38.76	53.25	59.05	67.98	56.88	64.55

Table 3. (Continued.)

Sample no.	Jashipur				North of Bisoi					
	L125	L126	L129	L130A	L90	L91(1)	L91A	L92	L93(1)	L94
SiO ₂	47.24	47.18	47.88	45.57	52.52	53.41	53.61	46.31	50.49	52.91
Al ₂ O ₃	11.52	11.81	10.75	8.96	7.87	10.15	10.39	6.02	12.13	10.46
MgO	6.24	5.33	13.73	13.93	16.52	12.47	11.29	22.50	10.51	11.12
Na ₂ O	2.42	2.51	1.57	1.81	1.57	2.39	2.32	0.36	1.62	1.73
P ₂ O ₅	0.86	0.81	0.14	0.12	0.07	0.10	0.11	0.06	0.07	0.10
K ₂ O	0.84	0.91	1.47	0.30	0.36	0.75	1.00	0.17	1.04	1.35
CaO	8.89	8.98	7.08	7.61	9.09	6.43	6.78	7.00	11.06	7.40
TiO ₂	2.88	2.86	1.44	1.78	0.62	1.05	1.08	0.78	0.74	0.97
MnO	0.23	0.23	0.16	0.22	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.22	0.15	0.15
Total Fe ₂ O ₃	18.29	18.68	12.87	15.89	10.33	12.47	12.67	14.07	10.94	12.36
Total	99.41	99.30	97.09	96.19	99.12	99.38	99.41	97.49	98.75	98.55
LOI	1.29	1.37	3.52	3.39	0.74	1.10	0.90	2.38	1.59	1.70
Mg#	32.15	28.38	59.70	54.91	68.96	58.14	55.31	68.95	57.16	55.55
Cr	64.00	75.00	625.00	710.00	2469.00	1041.00	1004.00	1574.00	364.00	877.00
Zr	236.00	217.00	128.00	153.00	109.00	174.00	172.00	110.00	62.00	153.00
Ba	254.00	214.00	211.00	59.00	97.00	232.00	275.00	19.00	168.00	229.00
Co	76.70	75.20	75.00	83.30	90.00	74.00	78.00	122.00	63.50	68.10
Cu	149.00	85.00	78.00	125.00	155.00	121.00	129.00	100.00	254.00	114.00
Ga	19.00	17.00	18.00	12.00	10.20	16.70	19.00	11.20	14.60	16.90
Nb	4.00	13.80	16.60	6.00	3.50	9.50	9.30	2.10	3.90	7.00
Ni	325.00	115.00	96.00	847.00	410.00	280.00	286.00	515.00	191.00	225.00
Pb	9.70	5.00	1.80	0.90	3.20	6.20	6.50	4.20	4.70	13.20
Rb	46.00	30.50	27.40	14.70	11.00	40.00	44.60	6.80	62.30	68.00
Sc	24.90	35.30	34.70	26.40	35.50	22.00	24.70	25.20	45.50	24.40
Sr	182.00	125.00	131.00	49.00	137.00	173.00	186.00	24.00	131.00	159.00
Th	2.20	3.10	3.40	2.30	0.73	5.80	6.10	1.53	1.92	5.32
V	241.00	327.00	318.00	255.00	171.00	186.00	212.00	171.00	229.00	183.00
Y	20.00	49.00	54.00	22.00	12.50	21.00	23.00	16.00	18.70	20.50
Zn	103.00	158.00	164.00	66.30	43.40	58.00	61.00	54.00	54.30	56.00
U	0.60	0.90	1.00	0.60	0.21	1.96	2.10	0.35	0.58	1.96
La	10.60	23.10	25.10	10.90	6.90	20.60	21.30	19.70	7.70	7.00
Ce	24.30	52.50	56.90	24.60	14.60	42.20	44.00	40.60	15.40	14.80
Pr	3.40	7.20	7.70	3.50	2.00	5.30	5.40	5.10	1.90	2.00
Nd	14.80	31.30	33.40	15.80	8.20	20.20	21.00	19.50	7.60	8.40
Sm	4.01	7.68	8.10	4.40	2.03	4.33	4.49	4.24	2.04	2.25
Eu	1.34	2.36	2.43	1.37	0.69	1.18	1.22	1.19	0.70	0.79
Gd	4.45	8.97	9.34	4.84	2.30	4.69	4.74	4.50	2.54	2.67
Tb	0.71	1.45	1.52	0.76	0.37	0.69	0.72	0.68	0.44	0.46
Dy	4.09	8.88	9.17	4.41	2.07	3.78	3.94	3.74	2.70	2.91
Ho	0.82	1.94	1.99	0.86	0.43	0.76	0.79	0.73	0.56	0.65
Er	1.99	5.08	5.33	2.08	1.15	1.98	2.07	1.93	1.43	1.71
Tm	0.28	0.79	0.81	0.29	0.17	0.29	0.31	0.28	0.21	0.27
Yb	1.77	5.01	5.29	1.81	1.10	1.93	1.94	1.87	1.36	1.76
Lu	0.26	0.77	0.81	0.26	0.16	0.28	0.29	0.28	0.20	0.27
Eu/Eu* ¹	0.97	0.87	0.85	0.90	0.97	0.80	0.80	0.83	0.94	0.98
(La/Yb) _N ²	4.04	3.11	3.20	4.06	4.23	7.20	7.40	7.10	3.82	2.68
ΣREE	72.82	157.03	167.89	75.88	42.17	108.21	112.21	104.34	44.78	45.94

¹ Eu/Eu* = Eu_N/(Sm_N + Gd_N); normalising values taken from Boynton (1984).² Normalising values taken from Boynton (1984).

Figure 6. (a) Plagioclase end-member diagram showing plots of grains from mafic rocks of Jashipur, North of Bisoi, Galudih and KLG. (b) End-member diagram of pyroxene showing plots of grains from mafic-ultramafic rocks of Jashipur, North of Bisoi, Galudih, KLG and BW. The data of KLG and BW are taken from Chakraborti *et al.* (2016, 2017, 2018).

(figure 6b), with a range of Wo_{10-36} En_{39-52} Fs_{22-37} . This variability can be attributed to the effect of deformation and hydrothermal alteration. Mg# of the clinopyroxene is in the range of 60–70, and they have relatively higher TiO_2 (<0.86 wt%), variable Al_2O_3 (0.8–2.8 wt%) with very low Na_2O (<0.26 wt%) and Cr_2O_3 (<0.15 wt%). Ilmenite grains also exhibit variability in terms of TiO_2 concentrations (18–50 wt%). Analysed biotite grains have relatively high Fe^* ($100 \cdot Fe^{+2}/Fe^{+2}+Mg$) values of 75. Fe–Mg exchange temperatures were calculated (Luhr *et al.* 1984) from biotite compositions, and the results are in the range of 880–890°C. None

Figure 7. TAS diagram (Cox *et al.* 1979) showing plots of mafic-ultramafic rocks from Jashipur, North of Bisoi, Galudih, North of Kuliana, KLG and BW. All of the samples classify as 'subalkaline' rocks and all of the rocks, except KLG and BW, plot in either 'basalt' or 'basaltic andesite' field.

of the analysed clinopyroxenes yielded consistent pressure estimates using the CpxBar program.

7. Geochemistry

These gabbroic rocks from Galudih have SiO_2 contents >47 wt% and a plot in the basalt field of the total alkali silica (TAS) plot (Cox *et al.* 1979) (figure 7). They have moderate Mg# numbers (36–51), and Al_2O_3 values in the range of 13–15 wt%. The iron content of these rocks is moderate (11–14 wt%) with low TiO_2 (0.8–1.1 wt%). Immobile element concentrations are relatively low: Cr (70–267 ppm), Ni (88–113 ppm), Zr (46–85 ppm) and Nb (3–7 ppm). Mobile elements, on the other hand, have relatively higher concentrations: Ba (75–222 ppm), Rb (10–25 ppm) and Rb/Sr in the range of 0.05–0.13. Primitive mantle (PM)-normalised (McDonough and Sun 1995) trace element patterns (figure 8a) display a marked enrichment in mobile elements. A prominent trough at Nb is present suggesting crustal contamination. Chondrite normalised (Boynton 1984) REE plots also show clear LREE enrichment with $(La/Yb)_N$ values of 2.5–3.7 (figure 8b). The overall abundance is 10–100 times chondritic values with REE_{total} in the range of 39 to 68 ppm. They have uniform Sm/Nd values of 0.25 and high Eu/Eu* (1.8–2).

Figure 8. (a) PM-normalised (McDonough and Sun 1995) spider diagram of mafic-ultramafic rocks of Jashipur, North of Bisoi, Galudih, North of Kuliana, KLG and BW. (b) Chondrite normalised (Boynton 1984) REE diagram of mafic-ultramafic rocks of Jashipur, North of Bisoi, Galudih, North of Kuliana, KLG and BW. See text for detailed discussions. The data of KLG and BW are taken from Chakraborti *et al.* (2016, 2017, 2018).

Most of the dolerite rocks from the North of Bisoi fall in the basaltic andesite field in the TAS plot, having SiO_2 values of 43–54 wt% (figure 7). A high degree of variability is observed in terms of Al_2O_3 (6–12 wt%), CaO (6–11 wt%) and LOI (0.7–2.4 wt%). $\text{Mg\#}$ also has a wide range from 55 to 69. Iron content has a relatively restricted range of 10–14 wt%. These mafic rocks have high Cr (400–2500 ppm) and Ni (220–520 ppm), and relatively lower Ba (20–270 ppm), Rb (~ 3 to 70 ppm) with variable Rb/Sr (0.08–0.5). A PM normalised (McDonough and Sun 1995) trace element plot (figure 8a) shows highly erratic patterns reflecting the observed elemental variability. The Nb trough and Pb peak are present here as well, with added troughs at Ba and P. Although not conspicuous, a slight overall enrichment in mobile elements is observed. The immobile elements, however, seem depleted and almost reach PM concentrations. Chondrite-normalised (Boynton 1984) REE patterns (figure 8b) also reflect this variability with variable degrees of overall enrichment

($\text{REE}_{\text{total}} \sim 20\text{--}110$ ppm). A clear LREE enrichment is observed with $(\text{La}/\text{Yb})_N$ in the range of 2.7–7.4. However, based on the overall pattern, two groups can be categorised: Group A is almost unfractionated with very low-LREE enrichment and Group B is much more LREE enriched (figure 8b). Eu/Eu^* varies from 1.5 to 1.8 but some of the more enriched members show a slight Eu-anomaly (figure 8b).

High-LOI content $\sim 8\text{--}9.3$ wt% of the BW rocks reflects the effects of extensive serpentinisation. SiO_2 values are 41–44 wt% and they plot outside the field in the TAS plot (figure 7). The $\text{Mg\#}$ of these rocks is low (72–77), which can be attributed to the elevated total FeO contents (12–15 wt%) due to magnetite crystallisation during serpentinisation. LILEs (Ba, Th and U) have enrichment peaks in PM-normalised plots (figure 8a; McDonough and Sun 1995), with accompanying enrichment in Pb and Zr. Distinct depletion troughs can be seen at Sr and Nb and some of the heavier elements (Dy, Y, Yb and Lu)

show systematic depletion patterns. Significant LREE enrichment is observed (figure 8b) in these rocks (of the order of 10 times chondrite values; Boynton 1984) and $(\text{La}/\text{Yb})_N$ ratios range from 3.6 to 4.9. KLG rocks have SiO_2 varying from 46 to 49 wt%, $\text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{K}_2\text{O}$ from 1.2 to 1.9 wt% and $\text{Mg}\#$ from 56 to 70. Most of the KLG samples also plot outside the designated fields in the TAS diagram (figure 7). CaO contents show similar restricted ranges varying from 12.8 to 14.4 wt% and Al_2O_3 varying from 15.4 to 24.24 wt%. FeO contents are moderate (3.86–7.32 wt%), and TiO_2 , MnO and P_2O_5 show very low values. In spider plots (McDonough and Sun 1995), KLG rocks show depletion troughs at Th, Ti with peaks at Pb and Sr (figure 8a). REE plots show no appreciable LREE enrichment with prominent positive Eu anomalies (figure 8b). Overall, the trace element character of the KLG rocks can be considered as unfractionated with low enrichment.

Dolerite dykes from North of Kuliana have SiO_2 values of 48–51 wt% and plot in the basalt field in the TAS plot (figure 7). They also have relatively low $\text{Mg}\#$ (35–57) suggesting their chemically differentiated nature. Al_2O_3 values are 13–15 wt% and the iron contents are in the range of 10–16 wt%. LOI values are relatively less (≤ 1 wt%). Among the immobile elements, Cr contents are moderate (150–350 ppm), low Ni (85–150 ppm) and low Zr (<90 ppm). Ba contents are variable (65–135 ppm) and Rb/Sr values are in the range of 0.04–0.2. PM-normalised (McDonough and Sun 1995) trace element plots show no overall discernible pattern, but a slight enrichment in mobile elements can be identified (figure 8a). The trough at Nb is prominent, and with significant Pb the peak might reflect effects of crustal assimilations. In chondrite normalised (Boynton 1984) REE plots, two groups can be distinguished (figure 8b): (A) unfractionated group with flat REE patterns, $(\text{La}/\text{Yb})_N \leq 1.5$, $\text{Sm}/\text{Nd} \sim 0.3$ and $\text{REE}_{\text{total}} < 62$ ppm; (B) LREE-enriched group with $(\text{La}/\text{Yb})_N \leq 2.7$, $\text{Sm}/\text{Nd} \sim 0.26$ and relatively lower $\text{REE}_{\text{total}}$ values in the range of 32–48 ppm.

Dolerites from the Jashipur plot in the basalt field of the TAS plot (figure 7), having $\text{SiO}_2 < 48$ wt%. They have a wide range of $\text{Mg}\#$ (28–60) and low values of CaO (7–9 wt%) and Al_2O_3 (<12 wt%). LOI values (1.3–3.5 wt%) are also a little bit high, reflecting the effects of pervasive hydrothermal alteration observed in these rocks. High abundance of Fe–Ti oxides in these rocks has resulted in relatively higher values of iron (13–19

wt%) and TiO_2 (1.4–2.9 wt%). Cr and Ni are also high with ranges of 75–710 ppm and 96–847 ppm, respectively. High-Zr (128–236 ppm) values might indicate the presence of metamorphic zircons in these rocks. Ba contents are high (59–254 ppm) and Rb/Sr is in the range of 0.2–0.3. PM-normalised (McDonough and Sun 1995) spider patterns are highly enriched (figure 8a) with troughs at Nb, Sr and Ba. These plots overall show no discernible pattern which is indicative of the deformed nature of these rocks. Similar degrees of enrichment ($\text{REE}_{\text{total}} \sim 70$ –170 ppm) are observed in the chondrite-normalised (Boynton 1984) REE patterns (figure 8b). A slight LREE enrichment is also discernible with $(\text{La}/\text{Yb})_N \sim 3$ –4. These rocks can also be grouped in the same manner as mafic rocks N of Kuliana and Bisoi based on LREE enrichment, Group A has relatively less LREE enrichment and relatively prominent negative Eu anomaly, whereas Group B has much higher LREE enrichment and stronger negative Eu anomalies.

8. Discussion

8.1 ‘Early proterozoic gabbro-anorthosite suite’ group – a critical assessment

8.1.1 Field features

The mafic–ultramafic rocks of this study have different field characteristics. Apart from the KLG and BW rocks, all four are found as intrusive within the MBG rocks. Evidence of intrusive activity ranges from granitic xenoliths in gabbroic rocks of Galudih, to apophytic tongues and cross-cutting relationship of dolerite from North of Bisoi along certain zones within granitic country rocks, to linear dyke-like appearance in the case of North of Kuliana dolerite dykes. Three important conclusions can be drawn from evidence: (i) These mafic rocks were emplaced as melts within the granitic country rocks, (ii) they exclusively intruded the Mesoarchaeon granitic rocks (MBG and BGG) and (iii) they must be younger than the 3.09 Ga MBG and 3.08–3.12 Ga BGG units. This last inference is vital as it rules out the possibility of grouping the mafic–ultramafic rocks of Sukinda–Nuasahi complex together. The Sukinda–Nuasahi rocks are considered to be of 3.1 Ga (Auge *et al.* 2003) and thus have to be treated as a separate entity. In stark contrast to the four mafic intrusive rock units, the KLG and BW are found

within the metapelites of NSMB. Although the contact between the KLG–BW and the metapelites could not be observed in the field, they have no evidences of intrusive activity such as xenoliths, chilled margins or feeder dykes, and are considered to have been emplaced in the solid state by thrust activity (Chakraborti 2018). These rocks are parallel to the regional foliation of the NSMB rocks. It is therefore clear that the four mafic rock units of Galudih, North of Bisoi, North of Kuliana and Jashipur have very different field features compared to the KLG and BW units, which ultimately indicates different modes of emplacement for them.

8.1.2 Structure and petrographical considerations

Among the six mafic–ultramafic rock units described in this study, conspicuous evidence of deformation has only been found in the Galudih rocks. These rocks display effects of at least one generation of deformation in the field in the form of foliation, and two generations of deformation under the microscope, in the form of folded foliations. This feature can be considered as a diagnostic evidence of an older age of these rocks compared to the other members of the group. The mafic–ultramafic rocks of Jashipur, North of Bisoi, North of Kuliana, along with KLG exhibit no signs of deformation and should therefore be relatively younger than the mafic rocks of Galudih. BW rocks do show slightly foliated nature in the field, especially in the more serpentinised zone. But, under the microscope they show no evidence of development of any planar fabric.

Another important distinction among the rock groups arises from petrographical characteristics. All the four mafic dyke units exhibit features of hydrothermal alteration in varying degrees, such as the saussuritisation of plagioclase resulting in clouded grains, amphibolitisation of clinopyroxene and development of quartz–alkali feldspar intergrowth. KLG rocks on the other hand appear fresh under the microscope and are completely devoid of these alteration features. This indicates that in the late/post-crystallisation stage, a fluid phase reacted with the mafic dyke rocks, rendering them with greenschist facies characteristics and evidently, this event did not play a role in the crystallisation history of KLG. BW rocks on the other hand have a complex and multi-stage metasomatic history (Chakraborti *et al.* 2018).

8.1.3 Mineralogical and chemical composition

Subtle chemical differences are present among the six mafic–ultramafic rock units. However, it is important to mention that some of the variations are expected as the mafic dykes represent hypabyssal intrusive rocks, whereas the KLG and BW represent plutonic cumulate and mantle rocks. Plagioclase compositions have much higher anorthite contents in KLG rocks, than the other mafic rock groups (figure 6a). Clinopyroxenes are primarily augitic within the mafic dyke rocks, with minor ferro-augite and pigeonite (figure 6b). KLG and BW rocks have diopsidic variety along with augite, which also has a slightly different compositional range with sub-calcic varieties (figure 6b). Al/Ti of clinopyroxene is an important parameter which is controlled by the cooling rate and silica activity (Nisbet and Pearce 1977; Beccaluva *et al.* 1989). Clinopyroxenes from KLG and BW (primary) display overlap in terms of the Al/Ti values with the other mafic rock units (figure 9). However, the higher Mg# range of the KLG and BW discriminate them from the rest (figure 9). Also, Ti values of clinopyroxene are directly correlated with whole rock TiO_2 values, thus reflecting the low-Ti nature of the KLG compared to others. Overall, KLG and BW have more primitive clinopyroxene with slightly lower Al/Ti and high Ca-plagioclase than the other mafic rock units.

Discrimination in terms of whole rock major and trace element concentrations is much more

Figure 9. Compositional variation among clinopyroxenes from the mafic–ultramafic rocks of Jashipur, North of Bisoi, Galudih, KLG and BW. All of the rocks have more or less overlapping values of Al/Ti ratios. However, the relatively higher Mg# of KLG and BW helps to discriminate them from the rest. The data of KLG and BW are taken from Chakraborti *et al.* (2016, 2017, 2018).

Figure 10. Whole-rock major and trace element plots displaying the geochemical variation among all the studied mafic-ultramafic rock units. (a) $\text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{K}_2\text{O}$ vs. $\text{Mg\#}$ diagram shows that the KLG and BW rocks have relatively lower $\text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{K}_2\text{O}$ and higher $\text{Mg\#}$ values than the rest; (b) Ti vs. Zr diagram shows overlapping plots, with KLG and BW having the lowest values; (c) Ba vs. ΣREE ($\text{REE}_{\text{Total}}$) diagram shows that the KLG and BW rocks have low- $\text{REE}_{\text{Total}}$ and Ba contents, which discriminate from the rest. (d) Eu vs. SiO_2 diagram shows overlap in the x -axis. However, the low-Eu contents of KLG and BW distinguish them from the rest. The data of KLG and BW were taken from Chakraborti *et al.* (2016, 2017, 2018) (see text for details).

ambiguous. The mafic-ultramafic rocks exhibit many similarities, along with subtle differences. In general, the KLG and BW rocks have lower alkali ($\text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{K}_2\text{O}$) content with higher $\text{Mg\#}$ values compared to mafic dykes (figure 10a). All the rock units except the mafic rocks of Jashipur (highly scattered) have similar Ti and Zr concentrations, with KLG and BW representing the lowest values (figure 10b). The higher Zr concentrations of the mafic dyke rocks possibly indicate the assimilation of the crustal material, and the low-Ti content of the KLG and BW rocks has been inferred to be a feature of the mantle source. Depletion troughs of Nb, also an evidence of crustal assimilation is absent in the PM-normalised spider plots of KLG (figure 8a). BW rocks exhibit negative Nb anomaly, but that can be attributed to their complex metasomatic history involving the interaction with an alkali-carbonatite melt at mantle depths and a shallow level serpentinisation event (for more details see Chakraborti *et al.* 2018). In these two diagrams (figure 10a and b), the plotted mafic-ultramafic rocks display an apparent colinearity.

KLG and BW rocks also have relatively lower $\text{REE}_{\text{total}}$ and Ba concentrations than the rest, but there is a minor overlap (figure 10c). Also, their lower Ba concentrations suggest that the addition of LILE elements did not play a significant part in their petrogenesis (figure 10c). Although all the rock units have overlapping SiO_2 contents, relatively low-Eu concentrations of the KLG and BW help discriminate them from the rest (figure 10d). Apart from the KLG and BW rocks, the four mafic rock units exhibit overlapping fields in the bivariate diagrams in figure 10. These overlaps in whole rock major and trace element concentrations among the six mafic-ultramafic rock units highlight the fact that they belong to the same terrain and thus have experienced similar tectonic episodes. Also, each of them have variable contributions from the sub-continental lithospheric mantle of the SC. The apparent colinearity displayed in some of the bivariate diagrams possibly result from these causes as well. Thus, it goes to show that discrimination based solely on whole rock geochemistry should be avoided. Nonetheless, it is

worth mentioning that a few subtle differences exist between the KLG–BW and the other four rock units.

It was found through multi-stage trace element modelling that the BW rocks serve as the source for KLG rocks (Chakraborti 2018). For this modelling process, the calculated equilibrium melts of KLG (Chakraborti *et al.* 2016) were utilised. The multi-stage modelling consisted of calculating selected trace element concentrations of partial melts from BW. Then in the next step, these calculated melts were subjected to fractional crystallisation, and the final melts were calculated with the equilibrium melts of KLG. The results showed a clear match and hence, it was concluded that the BW suffered partial melting to produce mafic melts that underwent substantial amounts of fractionation before crystallising the observed sequence of KLG. However, it is noteworthy that KLG and BW being mid-crustal cumulate and mantle rocks, respectively, show overlapping fields in the Ti *vs.* Zr and Ba *vs.* REE_{total} space. Two possible reasons offer explanations: (i) pervasive serpentinisation of the BW rocks altered their geochemistry and must have affected lighter elements such as Ba; (ii) KLG rocks are cumulate rocks, which means they do not directly reflect the chemical character of their parent melts (Bédard 1994; Chakraborti *et al.* 2016).

As the KLG and BW rocks represent an oceanic magma chamber sequence and the underlying mantle, an important point of consideration is whether the dolerite dykes of Jashipur, North of Bisoi and North of Kuliana belong to that genetic group, representing sheeted dykes of a classical oceanic-magma chamber sequence. Field evidence argues against such a genetic connection. Nonetheless, REE modelling has been performed to examine the possibility of such a connection. The assumption is that, if the mafic dykes are co-genetic with KLG and BW, then they represent melts formed by fractionation from the residual melts after KLG crystallisation. The REE concentrations of the residual melts were calculated in an earlier study (Chakraborti *et al.* 2018) and used here. The modelled melts with 10–50% fractionation were compared with the mafic dyke rocks (figure 11). The modelled melts have a much higher total REE (~100–400 ppm), and stronger Eu anomaly ($\text{Eu}/\text{Eu}^* \sim 0.3\text{--}0.6$) compared to the mafic dykes (total REE ~ 40–160 ppm; $\text{Eu}/\text{Eu}^* \sim 0.8\text{--}1.1$). Although conspicuous distinction by whole rock geochemical data has been avoided,

Figure 11. Chondrite normalised (Boynton 1984) REE diagram for comparison of dolerite dyke rocks of Jashipur, North of Bisoi and North of Kuliana, with modelled residual melts after the crystallisation of the KLG sequence. The residual melts were modelled at 10 to 50% fractionation ($F = 10\text{--}50\%$). The modelled melts have higher total REE, and stronger negative Eu anomalies than the mafic dyke rocks.

the results of this modelling provide another evidence against the mafic–ultramafic rocks being co-genetic.

8.1.4 Reappraisal of the grouping

The previous discussions suggest that although the distinction among the six mafic–ultramafic rock units are subtle and inconspicuous in terms of whole-rock geochemistry, many undeniable discriminating evidence exist in their field features, petrography, structural histories and mineralogy. Based on these evidences, the grouping of these mafic–ultramafic rock units together as the ‘early proterozoic gabbro-anorthosite suite’ is found to be incorrect. It is proposed that instead of a single co-genetic group, these rocks should be categorised into three separate groups:

- (a) Group I: The gabbroic rocks of Galudih represent the oldest units among the rocks, as evidenced by their multiply deformed nature. These rocks have suffered at least two generations of deformations and this feature alone distinguishes these gabbroic rocks from the rest. These mafic rocks of Galudih could possibly belong to one of the older volcano-sedimentary complexes of the SC, but without geochronological data it is difficult to identify the stratigraphic position of these mafic rocks. They share similarities in whole-rock geochemistry with the mafic rocks of Jashipur, North of Bisoi and North of Kuliana. Also, their

Figure 12. Bivariate diagrams for comparison of dolerite dykes of Group II (Jashipur, North of Bisoi and North of Kuliana) with the NDD rocks (shaded polygon). Gabbroic rocks of Galudih, belonging to Group I, have also been spotted for comparison (whole-rock data of NDD rocks taken from Mir *et al.* (2010) and Sengupta and Ray (2012); clinopyroxene data of NDD taken from Sengupta *et al.* (2014)). (a) In the whole-rock $\text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{K}_2\text{O}$ vs. $\text{Mg\#}$ diagram, the Group II rocks plot in the field of NDD rocks; (b) in the clinopyroxene Ca/Mg vs. $\text{Mg/Mg} + \text{Fe}^{+2}$ diagram, the Group II and NDD rocks exhibit overlapping fields. The data of NDD rocks are from the North of Bisoi (light yellow ellipse) taken from Maity *et al.* (2008).

whole rock and clinopyroxene chemistry are comparable to NDDs of SC (figure 12).

- (b) Group II: Dolerites from Jashipur, North of Bisoi and North of Kuliana belong to a single group. All of these rock units are completely undeformed, display various evidences of intrusive activity in the field, have similar petrography, mineralogy and geochemistry. They can be classified as mafic dyke intrusions within the continental crust. The NDD swarm (Saha 1994) represents the major

Figure 13. Nb/La vs. Th/La diagram to assess the input of crustal contamination in the petrogenesis of Group I and Group II mafic rocks. The majority of the data points plot between PM and lower crustal compositions, suggesting the lower crust is the primary contaminant. Evidence of minor amounts of middle crustal contamination is also visible (PM, NMORB, EMORB and ocean island basalt (OIB) values taken from Sun and McDonough 1989; upper crust (UC), middle crust (MC) and lower crust (LC) values taken from Rudnick and Gao 2003).

mafic dyke unit of the SC. The mafic rocks of Jashipur, North of Bisoi and North of Kuliana share identical hydrothermal alteration features with the NDD rocks (Sengupta and Ray 2012). To check the geochemical affinity of the mafic rocks, whole-rock and clinopyroxene data have been compared with NDD rocks (figure 12). In the $\text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{K}_2\text{O}$ vs. $\text{Mg\#}$ (figure 12a) plot, the mafic rocks of Group II show remarkable similarities with NDD rocks. The comparison of clinopyroxene compositions also yields the same result (figure 12b). These rocks have REE patterns that can be subdivided into two groups based on variable LREE enrichment (figure 8b), which is similar to the REE characteristics of the NDD rocks (Sengupta and Ray 2012). It is also worth mentioning here that Maity *et al.* (2008) while carrying out a mineralogical study on the mafic dykes from North of Bisoi, considered them to be a part of NDD rocks. Hence, all the evidences suggest that the mafic intrusive rocks of Jashipur, North of Bisoi and North of Kuliana possibly belong to the NDD swarm of the SC. Misra (2006) divided the emplacement of NDD rocks into three separate pulses: 2.0 Ga, 1.6 Ga and 1.2–1.0 Ga. However, this division is based on older ages and hence, has not been wholly accepted. More recently, Pb–Pb baddeleyite dating of these rocks have provided precise ages of ~ 1.76 Ga (Shankar *et al.* 2014) and ~ 2.8 Ga (Kumar *et al.* 2017). The exact emplacement ages of the NDD still remain a debated issue at this point.

- (c) Group III: The KLG and BW belong to a single co-genetic group. Both of them show no evidence of intrusive activity and are found in proximity to the BSZ. These rocks represent obducted fragments of oceanic crust and metasomatised mantle within metapelites of a mobile belt (Chakraborti 2018). Although Prabhakar *et al.* (2014) have associated these rocks with the 1.0 Ga amalgamation of the Northern and Southern Indian Block, no direct age data have been obtained so far.

8.2 Petrogenetic implications

The tectonic and petrogenetic implications of the KLG and BW of Group III have already been explored in our previous studies. They originally formed in an oceanic setting and later got accreted onto an orogenic belt assemblage (Chakraborti 2018). However, as mentioned earlier, no geochronological data are available on these rocks, which hinders the recognition of the orogenic event that is responsible for their obduction. As a consequence, their stratigraphic position within the SC is still unclear at this point.

The similarity in terms of whole-rock geochemistry between Group I and Group II rocks are noteworthy. Even though Group I rocks are definitely older than Group II and hence not co-genetic, both can be categorised as intrusions of mafic melts into the continental crust. In Nb/La *vs.* Th/La diagram (figure 13), the Group I and Group II rocks exhibit evidence of suffering significant amounts of crustal contamination. This is also in agreement with the elevated Zr contents of these rocks. The contribution of the lower crust is possibly greater (figure 13). In the clinopyroxene discrimination diagrams of Beccaluva *et al.* 1989 (figure 14), the composition of clinopyroxene grains from Group I and II exhibit significant overlap. In the $\text{SiO}_2/100\text{-TiO}_2\text{-Na}_2\text{O}$ diagram, clinopyroxenes from Jashipur and Galudih exhibit large scatter and plot in overlapping fields designated for clinopyroxenes from island arc tholeiites (IAT), boninites (Bon), normal MORBs (NM) and back-arc basin basalts (BABB) (figure 14a). Clinopyroxenes from North of Bisoi fall outside the fields and show minor affinities towards IAT clinopyroxenes (figure 14a). The scatter is much less in the Si *vs.* Al diagram, where clinopyroxenes from both Group I and Group II plot close to each other and display affinities for clinopyroxenes from

Figure 14. Tectonic discrimination diagrams of clinopyroxene (after Beccaluva *et al.* 1989). Both Group I and Group II clinopyroxenes plot in overlapping fields and show affinities towards Bon, IATs, MORBs and BABBs (EM: enriched mid-ocean ridge basalt, NM: normal mid-ocean ridge basalt, OIB: ocean island basalt, Bon: boninite, IAT: island arc tholeiite, BABB: back-arc basin basalt, BA-A: back-arc andesite, WOPB: within plate oceanic basalt, MORB: mid-ocean ridge basalt).

MORBs, IATs and BONs (figure 14b). In general, the low-Ti and Al proportions of clinopyroxenes from Group I and II correspond more closely to clinopyroxenes from boninitic melts. Although tectonic discrimination is not straightforward from these diagrams, it can be stated that the mineralogical and geochemical resemblance shared by Group I and II rocks could possibly indicate similar petrogenetic histories.

As discussed earlier, Group II rocks possibly belong to the NDD swarm of the SC. Melting of a metasomatised mantle wedge and E-MORB type mantle in a subduction-zone setting has been hypothesised for the NDD rocks (Sengupta and Ray 2012), and a similar petrogenesis might also be assumed for the Group II dykes. However, there is

chronological debate surrounding the NDD rocks and ages from the Neoproterozoic to Neoarchaeon have been suggested (Bose 2009; Shankar *et al.* 2014; Kumar *et al.* 2017). The Group I rocks on the other hand with evidence of deformation in at least two-generations, possibly belong to one of the older supracrustal assemblages of the SC. However, without further petrological investigations or geochronological data, it is difficult to identify their petrogenetic history at this point of time.

It is hoped that future studies on these rocks, involving precise isotopic and geochronological work will provide insights into the petrogenetic history of these rocks groups and firmly establish their stratigraphic position in the SC.

9. Summary and conclusions

- (a) The work focuses on mafic–ultramafic rock units from the eastern part of the SC, considered to belong to a single genetic group, dubbed as the ‘early proterozoic gabbro-anorthosite suite’.
- (b) The mafic–ultramafic rock units studied in this work are: (i) gabbro of Galudih, (ii) dolerite from North of Bisoi, (iii) BW, (iv) dolerite from North of Kuliana, (v) KLG and (vi) dolerite of Jashipur.
- (c) Considerable variations exist among the members of this group, in terms of field features, petrography, mineralogy and geochemistry.
- (d) After critical assessment of the grouping of these rocks, it was found that categorising them as one co-genetic group is erroneous. Instead, it is proposed that they should be re-categorised into three separate groups:

Group I: Gabbroic rocks of Galudih.

Group II: Dolerite dykes from Jashipur, North of Bisoi and North of Kuliana.

Group III: Dismembered gabbro-wehrlite rocks of Bangriposi–Kuliana (BW and KLG).

- (e) Group II mafic dykes possibly belong to the NDD array, and Group III rocks represent obducted fragments of oceanic crust and an underlying mantle onto a mobile belt assemblage. The palaeotectonic affinity of the Group I is still unclear at this point.
- (f) Geochemistry and mineralogy of Group I and Group II rocks suggest the involvement of crustal contamination and formation in a subduction-zone setting.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the Head of the Department, Department of Geology, Presidency University, Kolkata, for providing infrastructural support. This work was financially supported by the Department of Science & Technology (DST), India (grant no. SR/S4/ES-646/2012). The authors would also like to extend their gratitude to anonymous reviewers, whose suggestions significantly enhanced the quality of this manuscript. The editorial expertise of Rajneesh Bhutani is also greatly appreciated.

References

- Acharyya S K K, Gupta A and Orihashi Y 2010 Neoarchean–Paleoproterozoic stratigraphy of the Dhanjori basin, Singhbhum craton, eastern India: And recording of a few U–Pb zircon dates from its basal part; *J. Asian Earth Sci.* **39** 527–536, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseae.2010.04.023>.
- Auge T, Cocherie A, Genna A, Armstrong R, Guerrot C, Mukherjee M M and Patra R N 2003 Age of the Baula PGE mineralization (Orissa, India) and its implications concerning the Singhbhum Archaean nucleus; *Precamb. Res.* **121** 85–101, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-9268\(02\)00202-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-9268(02)00202-4).
- Bandyopadhyay P K, Chakrabarti A K, DeoMurari M P and Misra S 2001 2.8 Ga old anorogenic granite-acid volcanics association from western margin of the Singhbhum–Orissa craton, eastern India; *Gondwana Res.* **4** 465–475, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1342-937X\(05\)70346-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1342-937X(05)70346-4).
- Banerjee P K 1997 Geodynamic implications of chrome-poor enstatite bodies in the Sukinda–Baula–Nuasahi ultramafic suites of Orissa; *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Earth Planet. Sci.)* **106** 357–360.
- Banerjee P K and Ghosh S 1994 Is the simplipal complex a product of shallow plume tectonics? *J. Geol. Soc. India* **43** 353–359.
- Beccaluva L, Macciotta G, Piccardo G B, Zeda O and Loucks R R 1989 Clinopyroxene composition of ophiolite basalts as petrogenetic indicator; *Chem. Geol.* **77** 165–182, [https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613\(1990\)018<346:DOOFNU>2.3.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1990)018<346:DOOFNU>2.3.CO;2).
- Bédard J H 1994 A procedure for calculating the equilibrium distribution of trace elements among the minerals of cumulate rocks, and the concentration of trace elements in the coexisting liquids; *Chem. Geol.* **118** 143–153, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2541\(94\)90173-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2541(94)90173-2).
- Bose M K 2009 Precambrian mafic magmatism in the Singhbhum craton, eastern India; *J. Geol. Soc. India* **73** 13–35, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12594-009-0002-3>.
- Boynton W V 1984 Cosmochemistry of the rare earth elements: Meteorite studies; *Dev. Geochem.* **2** 63–114, <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-42148-7.50008-3>.
- Chakraborti T M 2018 Petrology of mafic–ultramafic rocks around Bangriposi and Kuliana, Orissa, eastern India: Implication for paleotectonic setting. PhD Thesis, Presidency University, pp. 1–361.

- Chakraborti T M, Ray A and Deb G K 2016 Computation of parent magma compositions of a layered gabbro suite around Kuliana, Orissa, eastern India: Implications for magmatic evolution and paleotectonic setting; *J. Geol.* **124** 723–741, <https://doi.org/10.1086/688607>.
- Chakraborti T M, Ray A and Deb G K 2017 Crystal size distribution analysis of plagioclase from gabbro-anorthosite suite of Kuliana, Orissa, eastern India: Implications for textural coarsening in a static magma chamber; *Geol. J.* **52** 234–248, <https://doi.org/10.1002/gj.2752>.
- Chakraborti T M, Ray A and Deb G K 2018 Evidence of melt/rock interaction in the Cr-spinel bearing wehrlite rocks of Bangriposi, India: Implications for nature of the metasomatic agent; *Geosci. Front.* **9** 1213–1227, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsf.2017.08.001>.
- Chakraborti T M, Ray A, Deb G K, Upadhyay D and Chakrabarti R 2019 Evidence of crustal reworking in the Mesoarchean: Insights from geochemical, U–Pb zircon and Nd isotopic study of a 3.08–3.12 Ga ferro-potassic granite-gneiss from north-eastern margin of Singhbhum craton, India; *Lithos* **330–331** 16–34, <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.LITHOS.2019.01.026>.
- Chakraborty K L and Majumder T 1986 Geological aspects of the banded iron-formation of Bihar and Orissa; *J. Geol. Soc. India* **28** 109–133.
- Chatterjee P, De S, Ranaivoson M, Mazumder R and Arima M 2013 A review of the ~1600 Ma sedimentation, volcanism, and tectono-thermal events in the Singhbhum craton, eastern India; *Geosci. Front.* **4** 277–287, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsf.2012.11.006>.
- Cox K G, Bell J D and Pankhurst R J 1979 *The interpretation of igneous rocks*; Springer, Netherlands, Dordrecht, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-3373-1>.
- Dunn J A and Dey A K 1942 Geology and petrology of eastern Singhbhum and surrounding areas; *Geol. Surv. India Mem.* **69** 281–456.
- Ghiorso M S and Evans B W 2008 Thermodynamics of rhombohedral oxide solid solutions and a revision of the Fe–Ti two-oxide geothermometer and oxygen-barometer; *Am. J. Sci.* **308** 957–1039, <https://doi.org/10.2475/09.2008.01>.
- Ghosh D K, Sarkar S N, Saha A K and Ray S L 1996 New insights on the early archaean crustal evolution in eastern India: Re-evaluation of lead–lead, samarium–neodymium and rubidium–strontium geochronology; *Indian Miner.* **50** 175–188.
- Goswami J N, Mishra S, Wiedenbeck M, Ray S L and Saha A K 1995 3.55 ga old zircon from Singhbhum–Orissa iron ore craton, eastern India; *Curr. Sci.*, <https://doi.org/10.2307/24097290>.
- Hazarika P, Mishra B and Pruseth K L 2015 Diverse tourmaline compositions from orogenic gold deposits in the Hutti–Maski greenstone belt, India: Implications for sources of ore-forming fluids; *Econ. Geol.* **110** 337–353, <https://doi.org/10.2113/econgeo.110.2.337>.
- Iyengar S V P and Alwar M A 1965 The Dhanjori eugeosyncline and its bearing on the stratigraphy of the Singhbhum, Keonjhar and Mayurbhanj Districts; *Min. Met. Inst. India, D N Wadia Commemorative Volume* **138–162**.
- Khanna P P, Saini N K, Mukherjee P K and Purohit K K 2009 An appraisal of ICP-MS technique for determination of REEs: Long term QC assessment of silicate rock analysis; *Himal. Geol.* **30** 95–99.
- Kumar A, Parashuramulu V, Shankar R and Besse J 2017 Evidence for a neoproterozoic LIP in the Singhbhum craton, eastern India: Implications to Vaalbara supercontinent; *Precamb. Res.* **292** 163–174, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2017.01.018>.
- Luhr J F, Carmichael I S E and Varekamp J C 1984 The 1982 eruptions of El Chichón volcano, Chiapas, Mexico: Mineralogy and petrology of the anhydrite bearing pumices; *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* **23** 69–108, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-0273\(84\)90057-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-0273(84)90057-X).
- Maity B K, Ray J, Chattopadhyay B, Sengupta S, Nandy S and Saha A 2008 Contrasting petrological variants in newer dolerite dyke swarm around Bisoi, eastern Indian shield: Insights from petrography and mineral chemistry; In: *Indian Dykes: Geochemistry, geophysics and geochronology* (eds) Srivastava R K, Sivaji C, Chalapathi Rao N V, Narosa Publishing House Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, pp. 447–470.
- Manikyamba C, Ray J, Ganguly S, Singh M R, Santosh M, Saha A and Satyanarayanan M 2015 Boninitic metavolcanic rocks and island arc tholeiites from the older metamorphic group (OMG) of Singhbhum craton, eastern India: Geochemical evidence for archaean subduction processes; *Precamb. Res.* **271** 138–159, <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PRECAMRES.2015.09.028>.
- Mazumder R, De S, Ohta T, Flannery D, Mallik L, Chaudhury T, Chatterjee P, Ranaivoson M A and Arima M 2015 Palaeo-Mesoproterozoic sedimentation and tectonics of the Singhbhum craton, eastern India, and implications for global and craton-specific geological events; *Geol. Soc. London Memoir.* **43** 139–149, <https://doi.org/10.1144/M43.10>.
- McDonough W F and Sun S-S 1995 The composition of the Earth; *Chem. Geol.* **120** 223–253, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2541\(94\)00140-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2541(94)00140-4).
- Mir A R, Alvi S H and Balaram V 2010 Geochemistry of mafic dikes in the Singhbhum Orissa craton: Implications for subduction-related metasomatism of the mantle beneath the eastern Indian craton; *Int. Geol. Rev.* **52** 79–94, <https://doi.org/10.1080/00206810903211948>.
- Misra S 1999 The Mayurbhanj granite: Its nature, tectonic setting, mode of emplacement and pressure-temperature of magma generation; *Indian J. Geol.* **71** 33–52.
- Misra S 2006 Precambrian chronostratigraphic growth of Singhbhum–Orissa craton, eastern Indian shield: An alternative model; *J. Geol. Soc. India* **67** 356–378.
- Misra S and Johnson P T 2005 Geochronological constraints on evolution of Singhbhum mobile belt and associated basic volcanics of eastern Indian shield; *Gondwana Res.* **8** 129–142.
- Misra S, Deomurari M P, Wiedenbeck M, Goswami J N, Ray S and Saha A K 1999 ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb zircon ages and the evolution of the Singhbhum craton, eastern India: An ion microprobe study; *Precamb. Res.* **93** 139–151, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-9268\(98\)00085-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-9268(98)00085-0).
- Misra S, Sarkar S S and Ghosh S 2002 Evolution of Mayurbhanj granite pluton, eastern Singhbhum, India: A case study of petrogenesis of an A-type granite in bimodal association; *J. Asian Earth Sci.* **20** 965–989, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1367-9120\(02\)00002-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1367-9120(02)00002-0).
- Mondal S K, Ripley E M, Li C and Frei R 2006 The genesis of archaean chromitites from the Nuasahi and Sukinda massifs in the Singhbhum craton, India; *Precamb. Res.* **148** 45–66, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2006.04.001>.

- Mondal S K, Frei R and Ripley E M 2007 Os isotope systematics of mesoarchean chromitite–PGE deposits in the Singhbhum craton (India): Implications for the evolution of lithospheric mantle Sisir; *Chem. Geol.* **244** 391–408, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2007.06.025>.
- Mukhopadhyay J, Beukes N J, Armstrong R A, Zimmermann U, Ghosh G and Medda R A 2008 Dating the oldest greenstone in India: A 3.51-Ga precise U–Pb SHRIMP zircon age for dacitic lava of the southern iron ore group, Singhbhum craton; *J. Geol.* **116** 449–461, <https://doi.org/10.1086/590133>.
- Naha K 1965 Metamorphism in relation to stratigraphy, structure and movement in Singhbhum, East India; *Quart. J. Geol. Mineral. Metall. Soc. India* **37** 41–85.
- Nimis P and Ulmer P 1998 Clinopyroxene geobarometry of magmatic rocks part 1: An expanded structural geobarometer for anhydrous and hydrous, basic and ultrabasic systems; *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.* **133** 122–135, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004100050442>.
- Nisbet E G and Pearce J A 1977 Clinopyroxene composition in mafic lavas from different tectonic settings; *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.* **63** 149–160, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00398776>.
- Page N J, Banerji P and Haffty J 1985 Characterization of the Sukinda and Nuasahi ultramafic complexes, Orissa, India by platinum-group element geochemistry; *Precamb. Res.* **30** 27–41, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-9268\(85\)90027-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-9268(85)90027-0).
- Pandey B K, Gupta J N and Lall Y 1986 Whole rock and Rb–Sr isochron ages for the granites from Bihar mica belt of Hazaribagh, Bihar, India; *Indian J. Earth Sci.* **12** 157–162.
- Prabhakar N, Bhattacharya A, Sathyanarayanan M and Mukherjee P K 2014 Structural, petrological, and chronological constraints from eastern India and implications for the ~1.0 Ga assembly of greater India; *J. Geol.* **122** 411–432, <https://doi.org/10.1086/676459>.
- Rudnick R L and Gao S 2003 Composition of the continental crust; *Treatise Geochem.* **3** 1–64, <https://doi.org/10.1016/B0-08-043751-6/03016-4>.
- Saha A K 1994 *Crustal evolution of Singhbhum–North Orissa, eastern India, memoir 27*; Geological Society of India, Bangalore.
- Saha A K, Bose R, Ghosh S N and Roy A 1977 Petrology and emplacement of the Mayurbhanj granite Batholiths, eastern India; In: *Evolution of the orogenic belts of India (part-2)*, *Geol. Min. Met. Soc. India Bull.* **49** 1–34.
- Sarkar S N and Saha A K 1962 A revision of Precambrian stratigraphy and tectonics of Singhbhum and adjacent region; *Quart. J. Geol. Mineral. Metall. Soc. India* **34** 97–136.
- Sarkar S N and Saha A K 1963 On the occurrence of two intersecting Precambrian orogenic belts in Singhbhum and adjacent areas; *Geol. Mag.* **100** 69–92.
- Sengupta P and Ray A 2012 Newer dolerite dykes, Jharkhand, India: A case study of magma generation, differentiation and metasomatism in a subduction zone setting; *Geochem. J.* **46** 477–491, <https://doi.org/10.2343/geochemj.1.0174>.
- Sengupta S, Paul D K, de Laeter J R, McNaughton N J, Bandopadhyay P K and de Smeth J B 1991 Mid-Archaean evolution of the eastern Indian craton: Geochemical and isotopic evidence from the Bonai pluton; *Precamb. Res.* **49** 23–37, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-9268\(91\)90054-E](https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-9268(91)90054-E).
- Sengupta P, Ray A and Pramanik S 2014 Mineralogical and chemical characteristics of newer dolerite dyke around Keonjhar, Orissa: Implication for hydrothermal activity in subduction zone setting; *J. Earth Syst. Sci.* **123** 887–904, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12040-014-0440-1>.
- Shankar R, Vijayagopal B and Kumar A 2014 Precise Pb–Pb baddeleyite ages of 1765 ma for a Singhbhum ‘newer dolerite’ dyke swarm; *Curr. Sci.* **106** 1306–1310.
- Singh M R, Manikyamba C, Ray J, Ganguly S, Saha A, Rambabu S and Sawant S S 2016 Major, trace and platinum group element (PGE) geochemistry of archaean iron ore group and proterozoic malangtoli metavolcanic rocks of Singhbhum craton, eastern India: Inferences on mantle melting and sulphur saturation history; *Ore Geol. Rev.* **72** 1263–1289, <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.OREGEOREV.2015.04.024>.
- Sun S-S and McDonough W F 1989 Chemical and isotopic systematics of oceanic basalts: Implications for mantle composition and processes; *Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ.* **42** 313–345, <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1989.042.01.19>.
- Upadhyay D, Chattopadhyay S, Kooijman E, Mezger K and Berndt J 2014 Magmatic and metamorphic history of Paleoproterozoic tonalite–trondhjemite–granodiorite (TTG) suite from the Singhbhum craton, eastern India; *Precamb. Res.* **252** 180–190, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2014.07.011>.
- Vohra C P, Dasgupta S, Paul P K, Bishui P K, Gupta S N and Guha S 1991 Rb–Sr chronology and petrochemistry of granitoids from the south-eastern part of the Singhbhum craton, Orissa; *J. Geol. Soc. India* **38** 5–22.