Long-term (2005–2012) measurements of near-surface air pollutants at an urban location in the Indo-Gangetic Basin

N KISHORE^{1,2,*}, A K SRIVASTAVA¹, HEMWATI NANDAN², CHHAVI P PANDEY³, S AGRAWAL⁴, N SINGH⁵, V K SONI⁶, D S BISHT¹, S TIWARI¹ and MANOJ K SRIVASTAVA⁷

¹Indian Institute of Tropical Meteorology (Branch), Prof Ramnath Vij Marg, New Delhi, India.

²Department of Physics, Gurukula Kangri University, Haridwar, India.

³Wadia Institute of Himalaya Geology, Dehradun, India.

⁴Central Pollution Control Board, New Delhi, India.

⁵Aryabhata Research Institute of Observational Sciences, Manora Peak, Nainital, India.

⁶India Meteorological Department, Lodhi Road, New Delhi, India.

⁷Department of Geophysics, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India.

*Corresponding author. e-mail: kishore.nand15@yahoo.com

MS received 25 July 2017; revised 17 May 2018; accepted 17 May 2018; published online 25 February 2019

Simultaneous long-term measurements of near-surface air pollutants at an urban station, New Delhi, were studied during 2005–2012 to understand their distribution on different temporal scales. The annual mean mass concentrations of nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), sulphur dioxide (SO₂), particulate matter less than 10 µm (PM₁₀) and suspended particulate matter (SPM) were found to be 62.0 ± 27.6 , 12.5 ± 8.2 , 253.7 ± 134 and 529.2 ± 213.1 µg/m³, respectively. The 24-hr mean mass concentrations of NO₂, PM₁₀ and SPM were exceeded on ~27%, 87% and 99% days that of total available measurement days to their respective National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) level. However, it never exceeded for SO₂, which could be attributed to reduction of sulphur in diesel, use of cleaner fuels such as compressed natural gas, LPG, etc. The mean mass concentrations of measured air pollutants were found to be the highest during the winter/post-monsoon seasons, which are of concern for both climate and human health. The annual mean mass concentrations of NO₂, PM₁₀ and SPM showed an increasing trend while SO₂ appears to be decreasing since 2008. Air mass cluster analysis showed that north–northwest trajectories accounted for the highest mass concentrations of air pollutants (more prominent in the winter/post-monsoon season); however, the lowest were associated with the southeast trajectory cluster.

Keywords. Air quality; particulate matter; NAAQS; Indo-Gangetic Basin; back trajectory; urban environment.

1. Introduction

The ubiquitous presence of aerosols in the atmosphere affects Earth's energy budget, directly by

scattering and absorbing solar radiation, and/or indirectly through cloud microphysics and thereby precipitation pattern (Ramanathan *et al.* 2001; Poschl 2005), and thus influences climate on a

local as well as global scale (IPCC 2013). While natural aerosols are dominant and an integral part of the atmosphere on a global scale, the major non-natural aerosols are emitted by various anthropogenic sources like industrial processes, domestic fuel burning, transportation activities, etc. The chemically active aerosols such as oxides of nitrogen (NO_x), sulphur dioxide (SO_2), carbon monoxide (CO), hydrocarbon, hydrogen fluoride and particulate matter (PM) as well as other organic and inorganic compounds over South Asia have posed major environmental (i.e., air quality), climatic and health concerns in recent decades (Pope and Dockery 2006; Guttikunda and Calori 2013; Pachauri *et al.* 2013; Maji *et al.* 2015; Chowdhury and Dey 2016).

Many Indian metro cities, especially those in the Indo-Gangetic Basin (IGB), are amongst the most polluted cities in the world. This conglomeration of polluted cities in the IGB makes it one of the world's most populated and polluted river basin (Dey and Di Girolamo 2010). New Delhi is one of the urban mega-cities situated in the northwestern part of IGB. The severely degraded air quality of New Delhi has received much attention in recent years as it poses potential health hazards (Maji *et al.* 2015 and references therein). Recent studies have shown that the emission loads over New Delhi and its surroundings are still increasing rapidly. For example, Sahu *et al.* (2011, 2015) computed the emissions of various sources in New Delhi, e.g., PM, CO and NO_x which showed the concentration of about 105, 703 and 255 kt/yr, respectively, for the year 2010. Mishra and Goyal (2015) have also carried out a quantitative assessment of emission of these pollutants, restricted to New Delhi urbanised area (referred to as National Capital Region) for the same year 2010. It was estimated that the emission of PM, CO and NO_x was about 38, 235 and 133 kt/yr, respectively. According to these studies, the transport sector of New Delhi is defined as one of the major contributors to the total loading of air pollutants. Several studies aiming to address air quality of New Delhi in terms of PM emissions, impact of compressed natural gas (CNG), air toxicity and air quality index have been carried out in the recent past, but for a limited near-surface measurements (Goyal and Sidhartha 2003; Kathuria 2005; Kumar and Foster 2007; Bishoi *et al.* 2009; Tiwari *et al.* 2014). However, these studies could not delineate the annual variability of these criteria pollutants, which are indeed essential to chase the mitigation policy and manage current

emissions of air pollutants. The present study aims to examine overall intra- and inter-annual behaviour of air pollutants at an urban station, New Delhi using long-term (2005–2012) near-surface measurements of NO_2 , SO_2 and PMs alongside a few meteorological parameters. Furthermore, the present study delineates the relationship of measured air pollutants with the meteorological variables and the possible role of long-range transport to the air quality of the station.

2. Methods

2.1 Description and meteorology of the site

New Delhi (28.6°N, 77.2°E and ~215 m above mean sea level) is located in the northwestern part of IGB, covering about 1500 km² and inhabited by about 17 million people (Census 2011). The present study was carried out at the Mayapuri site, situated in the central New Delhi region. The site represents a residential-cum-industrial area with some commercial activities. A population density map of New Delhi, indicating the location of the measurement site, Mayapuri is shown in figure 1. A very high to highest population density area surrounds the measurement site. New Delhi is bounded by the Himalayas in the north, plains of central India in the south and the Thar Desert in the west–southwest. Vehicular and industrial emissions are found to be the highest contributor to total air pollution in New Delhi (CPCB 2012). The contribution of vehicular emissions in New Delhi is estimated to be about 72% of the total air pollution loading (Goyal *et al.* 2006). About 7 million registered vehicles, two coal-fired power plants (Rajghat and Badarpur thermal power station), two gas-fired (Indraprastha gas turbine and Pragati power station) power plants and several small and medium scale industrial facilities are located in New Delhi (Mishra and Goyal 2015). Besides, dust emissions from road dust, construction, mining activities and windblown dust from barren lands also contribute significantly to the total PM loading over this region. Air quality of New Delhi gets worse particularly during the summer season, when this region receives natural dust aerosol from adjacent (e.g., Thar) and/or far-distance (Middle-east) deserts (Srivastava *et al.* 2011, 2014). This region also experiences worse air quality during the early winter season (November–December) due to burning of agricultural biomass residue for land clearing by

Figure 1. New Delhi region showing the location of the measurement site, Mayapuri (filled green square) and population density (filled colours). <https://www.arcgis.com/home/webmap/viewer.html?webmap=9ba9043482e84b608659de3e1f7fc9ab>.

farmers in northwest states (Haryana and Punjab) (Kaskaoutis *et al.* 2014; Sharma *et al.* 2017), leading to degraded air quality and visibility (Singh and Dey 2012; Tiwari *et al.* 2014).

New Delhi experiences a distinct annual weather pattern cycle with hot summer, humid monsoon and cold and dry winter (Srivastava *et al.* 2012). The northern part of India, especially the IGB, also experiences frequent foggy conditions during the winter season as a result of the fair-weather condition and shallow boundary layer height. Owing to this, air pollutants cannot be dispersed or mix with free troposphere resulting in higher loading at the surface which causes poor visibility. The climate of New Delhi is of typical monsoon-influenced humid subtropical climate and semi-arid, with high to extreme variation between summer and winter temperatures and precipitation patterns. Figure 2 shows the monthly mean near-surface meteorological parameters at New Delhi, obtained from the

India Meteorological Department (IMD) over the period 2005–2012. Summer starts in the middle of March and the temperature climbs to greater than 45°C at times from April to June. Monsoon usually arrives around 29th June over this region and the winter weather conditions are marked by fog events and stable atmosphere with the temperature reaching about 2°C at times during December–January. Wind speed is found to be relatively higher during the summer and lower during post-monsoon and winter months. Wind direction shows large variability from east–southeast to west–northwest. The monthly mean visibility is observed to be lower during winter months owing to reduced boundary layer height and stable weather conditions. A slight dip in the visibility during summer months could be attributed to long-range transported dust from nearby or distant deserts (Pandithurai *et al.* 2008; Srivastava *et al.* 2011).

Figure 2. Monthly mean surface meteorological parameters; temperature, wind direction (WD), wind speed (WS) and visibility at New Delhi, averaged over the period from 2005 to 2012.

2.2 Instrumentation and data analysis

Data presented in this work was collected by Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) at Mayapuri site in western New Delhi from 2005 to 2012. Several criteria pollutants are being monitored at this site, including SO₂, nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), respirable suspended particulate matter (RSPM) and suspended particulate matter (SPM). Measurements of these criteria pollutants are not available for latter half of the year 2006, for SO₂ during the year 2010 and for SPM for the year 2012 due to instrument malfunctioning. A total of 556 days of dataset was obtained over the entire study period.

RSPM is the particulates with aerodynamic diameter <10 µm size (hereafter referred to as PM₁₀). PM₁₀ is of great importance as the particles lower than this size can enter into the respiratory system, and therefore, they have received considerable attention in recent times owing to human health concern. PM₁₀ data was measured with a gravimetric method using a respirable dust sampler (RDS; Model: Envirotech APM 460). Air is drawn through a glass fibre filter of 20.3 × 25.4 cm size at a flow rate of 1.1 m³/min. The mass of collected particle is determined by the difference in filter weights before and after sampling. The concentration of PM₁₀ in the chosen size range is calculated by dividing the weight gain of the filter by the volume of air sampled. The sampling was carried out every 8-hr round the clock. SPM is the particulates

with a diameter less than 100 µm, which have a comparatively short lifetime in the atmosphere due to its mass. It is measured by using a high volume sampler (HVS; Model: Envirotech APM 415/430). Similar to PM₁₀, the SPM was sampled using a HVS at a flow rate of 1.1–1.5 m³/min.

SO₂ is measured using the modified West and Gaeke method and UV-fluorescence method. In this method, SO₂ in ambient air was absorbed in a solution of 0.04 M sodium tetrachloromercurate at an average flow rate of 1 l/min. The detection range of the SO₂ concentration of 4–1050 µg/m³ can be achieved; however, SO₂ in the range of 25–1050 µg/m³ can only be measured under normal conditions. It is possible to measure concentration less than 25 µg/m³ by sampling a larger volume of air, but only if, the absorber efficiency of the particular system is first determined and found to be satisfactory.

NO₂ is measured using the modified Jacobs and Hochheiser and chemiluminescence method (Purdue *et al.* 1972), where ambient NO₂ is collected by bubbling air through a solution of sodium hydroxide and sodium arsenite, considering SO₂ as a major interfering compound. In this technique, chemical reaction derived absorbance of the highly coloured azo dye is measured on a spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 540 nm to determine the NO₂ in the sample in the range of 9–750 µg/m³. This method has an average bias of ~3% over the range of 50–300 µg/m³.

CPCB carries out quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) programmes, which is an essential part of any monitoring system. The calibration of the instruments is done using top loading calibrator. In addition, service and repair of the instruments and evaluation of ambient air quality monitoring stations are also done by CPCB under the National Air Quality Monitoring Programme (NAMP). The details of the calibration of the instruments and QA/QC programmes are given elsewhere (CPCB 2012).

Here, we used 8 years (2005–2012) of near-surface measurements of criteria pollutants (NO_2 , SO_2 , PM_{10} and SPM) from the Mayapuri site in the central part of New Delhi. We have performed the systematic analysis to examine intra- and inter-annual variability of the measured criteria pollutants. We further investigated the relationship between several weather parameters and criteria pollutants. The Hybrid Single Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) PC-version model was also used to identify different air mass trajectory clusters based on direction and source region.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Time-series analysis of air pollutants

Figure 3 shows daily (with dots) and monthly (with solid line) mean time series of the measured

near-surface criteria pollutants. The daily mass concentration of NO_2 was found to vary from 13.5 to 142.1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, with a mean value of $62.0 \pm 27.6 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ at New Delhi over the entire time period. For about 27% of data samples of NO_2 was found to exceed the corresponding National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) level (80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$). It is found that the NO_2 levels crossing the safe standard (i.e., NAAQS), particularly in the post-monsoon and winter periods. This could be attributed to the industrial (largely from power plants) along with the vehicular sources (George *et al.* 2013). Furthermore, the daily mass concentration of SO_2 was found to vary from 2 and 48.7 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, with a mean value of $12.4 \pm 8.2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. Surprisingly, the daily mass concentration of SO_2 was found to be six times below its 24-hr NAAQS value (80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$). This could be attributed to the use of low sulphur content fossil-fuel, use of fuel gas de-sulphurisation for industrial processes and/or implementation of CNG vehicles. Also, there has been a change in domestic fuel used from coal to LPG which may have contributed to reduction in ambient levels of SO_2 . However, the former two factors are not widely regulated yet in India. Singh and Dey (2012) also showed the major effect of this policy intervention on the stabilisation of a rapid decrease in atmospheric visibility over New Delhi. More recently, Verma *et al.* (2015) also observed lower mass concentrations of NO_2 and SO_2 than NAAQS levels in Lucknow,

Figure 3. Daily (dots) and monthly (solid line) time series of mass concentrations of NO_2 (black), SO_2 (blue), PM_{10} (green) and SPM (red) at Delhi during the entire study period. The dotted line indicates 24-hr averaged NAAQS level for NO_2 (80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$), SO_2 (80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$), PM_{10} (100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) and SPM (200 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$). Error bars indicate standard deviation.

which is about 500 km to the southeast of New Delhi.

Daily mean PM_{10} mass concentration was found to vary between 10.7 and $843 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, with a mean value of $253.7 \pm 134 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ over the entire time period. The PM_{10} was found to be substantially higher than its 24-hr NAAQS value ($100 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) for about 87% of the days of total observation days. SPM was found to vary from 64 to $1104 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ with a mean value of $529.2 \pm 213.1 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, which was higher than its prescribed 24-hr NAAQS value ($200 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) for about 99% of days of the total observation days. It should be noted here that the standard used for SPM for comparison was available before November 2009; however, the new standards for various air pollutants (except SPM) were formed after November 2009 where concentration has been notified as one area class i.e., industrial, residential, rural and other areas (www.cpcb.nic.in). Recent findings indicate that large concentrations of PM in New Delhi have mainly resulted from construction, industrial processes and vehicular emission (Sahu *et al.* 2011; Verma *et al.* 2015). The re-suspended dust, associated with the vehicular movement on the road, is also thought to be directly related to a number of vehicles, their weight and speed.

3.2 Monthly and seasonal variation of air pollutants

Figure 4 shows monthly mean box-whisker plot for mass concentrations of NO_2 , SO_2 , PM_{10} and SPM. Box-whisker plot shows statistical variables such as mean, median and percentiles (10th, 25th, 75th and 90th). In general, all air pollutants showed more or less similar monthly mean variations with the highest values during the post-monsoon/winter season and the lowest during the monsoon season. The former is attributed to large rate of anthropogenic emission whereas the latter perhaps due to large wet removal of species. For particulate matter (PM_{10} and SPM), a small secondary peak observed during March–June months is associated with the relative contribution from long-range transported dust aerosols from neighbouring and distant desert regions (Pandithurai *et al.* 2008; Srivastava *et al.* 2011, 2014). NO_2 mass concentration was the highest during the post-monsoon season (November, $88.1 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) whereas SO_2 was the highest during the winter season (January, $19.7 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$). Both PM_{10} and SPM mass concentrations were also high during the early winter (419.4 and $783.3 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, respectively). The highest concentrations during the post-monsoon/winter season could be attributed to several factors such

Figure 4. Monthly mean box-whisker plot for NO_2 , SO_2 , PM_{10} and SPM over the entire study period. The horizontal solid red line indicates the median, filled blue dot indicates the mean, top and bottom of the box indicate the 75th and 25th percentile respectively, and top and bottom whiskers indicate the 90th and 10th percentiles, respectively.

as enhanced emission sources due to trash/biomass residue burning during this time of the year and stable weather conditions with calm wind and shallow boundary layer height (Tiwari *et al.* 2013a). A stable atmospheric condition restricts ventilation of near-surface air pollutants to free troposphere during the post-monsoon/winter season (Gaur *et al.* 2014). Furthermore, the burning of fire crackers during Deepawali acts as an additional abrupt source of fine PM over the course of a week or less to the atmosphere during post-monsoon season (Attri *et al.* 2001; Singh *et al.* 2003; Barman *et al.* 2009; Tiwari *et al.* 2012a; Singh *et al.* 2014). The major source of air pollutants during the post-monsoon season mainly includes crop residue burning for clearing agricultural fields for the next crop in surrounding states, including Punjab and Haryana (Hyvarinen *et al.* 2010; Kaskaoutis *et al.* 2014; Sharma *et al.* 2017). New Delhi region being in the downwind of these states receives higher loading of air pollutants. Awasthi *et al.* (2011) reported higher concentrations of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ ($69 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) as compared to its NAAQS, which varied from 44 to $147 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ at Patiala, located northwest of Delhi near the foothills of the Himalayas. They found that $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ increased substantially ($\sim 78\%$ higher than the background value) during the crop residue burning in the post-monsoon (October–November) season. Badarinath *et al.* (2006) and Kaskaoutis *et al.* (2014) also reported similar findings for the duration of the exhaustive burning of rice crop residue in IGB region during post-monsoon. A previous study done by Tiwari *et al.* (2013b) at New Delhi also showed an intra-seasonal variability of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ mass concentration during 2007–2009. They observed the highest $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ concentrations during post-monsoon and winter periods ($\sim 150 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$), which was about 2–3 times higher as compared to the concentrations observed in summer ($\sim 70 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) and monsoon ($\sim 45 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$). Pipal *et al.* (2014) have performed a comparative study between Delhi and Agra (which is urban location at about 200 km to the south of New Delhi) during the winter period of 2011–2012. Though the observed $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ concentrations were higher at both the stations, they were about 27% higher at New Delhi as compared to Agra. On the other hand, secondary organic aerosol, aerosol particles formed from the gas-to-particle and/or particle–particle transformation processes of organic compounds, accounts for a substantial fraction of tropospheric aerosols (Kroll and Seinfeld 2008). Previous studies also

Table 1. Correlation coefficient among all measured air pollutants and meteorological parameters.

	NO ₂	SO ₂	PM ₁₀	SPM	Temp	WS	VIS
NO ₂	1	0.45	0.68	0.55	−0.52	−0.25	−0.54
SO ₂	—	1	0.41	0.41	−0.54	−0.13	−0.32
PM ₁₀	—	—	1	0.91	−0.55	−0.41	−0.64
SPM	—	—	—	1	−0.52	−0.44	−0.58
Temp	—	—	—	—	1	0.48	0.82
WS	—	—	—	—	—	1	0.52
VIS	—	—	—	—	—	—	1

report a high frequency of secondary aerosol formation at New Delhi and other sites in India (Hyvarinen *et al.* 2010; Kanawade *et al.* 2014) which can contribute significantly to the total PM in the atmosphere.

During monsoon, however, the lowest concentrations of all pollutants are linked to either rapid dispersion due to high wind speed or due to rain wash out processes (Tiwari *et al.* 2012b). Previous studies also linked the variation in seasonal concentration of air pollutants with meteorological parameters (e.g., wind direction, mixing layer) (Goyal and Sidhartha 2002; Tiwari *et al.* 2013b; Gaur *et al.* 2014). The criteria pollutants considered in this study showed reasonable negative correlation with temperature, wind speed as well as visibility (table 1), indicating that meteorology plays an important role in the dispersion and transformation of air pollutants in the atmosphere. The correlation coefficient of all the pollutants with temperature and visibility (except for SO₂) is about −0.5; however, it is relatively less negatively correlated (about −0.3) with the wind speed during the study period. Wallace and Kanaroglou (2009) reported that correlation between temperature and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ increased by about 54% during the night and decreased by about 14% during the daytime. This is perhaps due to the low-level inversion and lower temperature. The local-to-regional scale weather pattern may also change the temporal and spatial variation of air pollution levels. Winds, one of the crucial parameters, play a key role in dispersion and rigorous mixing of pollutants within the atmosphere. Strong winds are supposed to flush the air pollutants, and low winds are supposed to allow pollution to stagnate within the region itself. Tiwari *et al.* (2013b) separated $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ mass concentrations in different wind speed conditions such as calm conditions, wind speed $\leq 5 \text{ m/s}$ and wind speed

Figure 5. Yearly mean box-whisker plot for NO₂, SO₂, PM₁₀ and SPM over the entire study period. The horizontal solid red line indicates the median, filled blue dot indicates the mean, top and bottom of the box indicate the 75th and 25th percentile, respectively, and top and bottom whiskers indicate the 90th and 10th percentiles, respectively.

≥ 5 m/s. They observed that the concentrations of PM_{2.5} lowered effectively with increasing wind speed indicating the dilution of pollutants through dispersion. Similar findings were also reported from other parts of world including Sharma *et al.* (2002) in Canada, Cao *et al.* (2009) in China, Ramsey *et al.* (2014) in Oklahoma City, Cheng and Lam (1998) in Hong Kong, Ruellan and Cachier (2001) in Paris and many others. Furthermore, modelling efforts have also been put forward to understand the influence of meteorological parameters on the dispersion of air pollutants (Kesarkar *et al.* 2007; Elshazly *et al.* 2012; Mishra and Goyal 2016).

3.3 Inter-annual variability of air pollutants

Figure 5 shows inter-annual variability of measured near-surface air pollutants with box-whisker plot, with statistical variables such as mean, median and percentiles (10th, 25th, 75th and 90th). The present observations show a rise in NO₂, RSPM and SPM levels whereas a decreasing trend is observed in SO₂. A decreasing trend in SO₂ may be attributed to various interventions that have taken place over the last decade such as shutting down of hazardous industries, reduction of sulphur content in petrol and diesel, use of cleaner fuel for transport (such as CNG) and domestic (such as LPG) purposes (Saxena *et al.* 2012; Gurjar *et al.* 2016). However, at the same time the number of

vehicles has increased exponentially which is one of the major sources of NO₂ and other pollutants. A recent study based on ozone monitoring instrument retrieved tropospheric NO₂ column data showed that there is clearly an increasing trend in NO₂ concentration over Indian industrial sector, mainly reflecting the rapid expansion of the power sector as a result of fast population and economic growth (Krotkov *et al.* 2016). It could be that NO₂ emission from coal-fired power plants is not entirely regulated in India and the installation and operation rates of NO₂ emission control devices are also very low.

The ambient concentrations of PM₁₀ and SPM have been higher than NAAQS for more than a decade now and appear to show a gradually increasing trend. There are several anthropogenic sources of PM to the atmosphere such as industrial process, domestic coal burning, thermal power plants, vehicular emissions, biomass (crop residue, cow dung, etc.) burning and construction activities. The other natural sources may include road dust, wind-blown soil dust or desert dust. Previous studies estimated that industrial processes (including thermal power plants) contribute to about 80% of PM₁₀ emission in New Delhi whereas vehicular emissions contribute only about 15% (Reddy and Venkataraman 2002; Gurjar *et al.* 2004). Among vehicular emissions, diesel driven vehicles were found to be the major contributor to total PM,

Figure 6. Histogram density plots for NO₂, SO₂, PM₁₀ and SPM at Delhi over the entire study period.

with emission ranging from 3% to 22% as per the auto fuel policy report (Mashelkar *et al.* 2002). In addition to these primary sources, burning of municipal solid waste has recently emerged as one of the major sources of PM, particularly in cities of developing nations (Guttikunda *et al.* 2014; Wiedinmyer *et al.* 2014). In the present study, information about total registered motor vehicles in Delhi was obtained from the Offices of State Transport Commissioners/UT Administrations (<https://data.gov.in>) during the study period from 2005 to 2012 and shown in figure S1 of the supplementary material. A gradual increase in vehicle number density over the station during the study period appears to be an important cause for the observed increased concentration of gases and PMs. Other less significant sources, but may be important include non-exhaust particles from electric vehicles (Timmers and Achten 2016) and corrosion of road pavements/vehicle components.

Figure 6 shows histogram density plots for daily mean mass concentrations of NO₂, SO₂, PM₁₀ and SPM over the entire study period. For NO₂, about 66% of data points lie between 25 and 75 µg/m³, with only about 5% of data values less than 5 µg/m³. For SO₂, about 38% of data values lie between 10 and 20 µg/m³, with about 42% of data points less than 10 µg/m³. For PM₁₀, about 38%, 48%, 11% and 2% data points lie in the range of 1–200, 200–400, 400–600 and 600–800 µg/m³, respectively. For SPM, about 7%, 21%, 33% and 28% of data points lie in the range

of 1–200, 200–400, 400–600 and 600–800 µg/m³, respectively. The mass concentration of all the measured air pollutants, except SO₂, was observed to exceed from their respective prescribed NAAQS level. However, the range of mass concentration of the highest contribution of NO₂ is near its NAAQS level, and the range of highest contribution of SO₂ is below its NAAQS. On the other hand, the observed range of mass concentration of the highest contribution of PM₁₀ and SPM was found to be subsequently above their respective NAAQS levels.

3.4 Trajectory cluster analysis

We used PC-version HYSPLIT model (Draxler and Rolph 2010) to calculate 5-day air mass backward trajectories starting from New Delhi at 11:30 am local time at 500 m above ground level, which is being the lower mixed layer and to avoid low-level terrain. Gridded wind field data from the Global Data Assimilation System have been used with a spatial resolution of 1° × 1° and a time resolution of 1 hr (Kanamitsu 1989). Figure 7 shows four different air mass trajectory clusters over the entire time period only for those days when measurements are available; namely ‘north–northwest (N–NW)’, ‘west–southwest (W–SW)’, ‘southeast (SE)’ and ‘local slow moving (LSM)’ air trajectories. LSM trajectories are defined as those are in general vicinity of the measurement site. Air mass backward trajectories are those encountered diverse

Figure 7. HYSPLIT calculated 5-day air mass backward trajectory clusters over entire time period for which measurements are available. Air mass back trajectory cluster obtained based on direction from which air mass is coming, and those could not be identified are indicated by ‘mixed’ cluster (yellow colour).

Table 2. Calculated mean mass concentrations of criteria pollutants for observed trajectory clusters at Delhi.

	Trajectory occurrence (%)	NO ₂ (μg/m ³)	SO ₂ (μg/m ³)	PM ₁₀ (μg/m ³)	SPM (μg/m ³)
N–NW	41.7	71.9±29.6	15.4±8.6	314.2±122	608.8±190.2
W–SW	16.1	25.1±27.5	3.6±5.5	102.4±130.8	209.2±257.3
SE	17.0	23.3±26.6	2.92±5.1	69.1±92.6	141.4±200.1
LSM	9.5	56.9±40.8	9.8±8.1	241.8±188.8	438.2±336.1
M	15.7	50.5±31.2	10.7±8.8	198.2±128.5	408.0±268.2

N–NW: north–northwest; W–SW: west–southwest; SE: southeast; LSM: local slow moving; M: mixed.

source regions grouped as additional ‘mixed’ trajectory cluster. New Delhi received air mass from N–NW direction on about 42% days of total observation days, higher compared to any other directions. However, air mass trajectory cluster was contributed to be lower (9.5%) over the station for LSM. For each of these clusters, we have further calculated mean mass concentrations for air pollutants (table 2). The higher mass concentrations for all pollutants were associated with the trajectories from N–NW region, having the highest mean mass concentrations of NO₂ (71.9 ± 29.6 μg/m³), SO₂ (15.4 ± 8.6 μg/m³), PM₁₀ (314.2 ± 122 μg/m³) and SPM (608.8 ± 190.2 μg/m³). It is not surprising as the wind direction is mostly N–NW during the winter/post-monsoon season. To substantiate this we also analysed trajectory data on a seasonal basis during the entire study period and is shown in figure S2(a–d) of the supplementary material. The figure clearly shows

that the highest contribution (~60% of the total observation days) of air masses reaching over the station is from N–NW direction during the winter/post-monsoon seasons. This further suggests an important role of meteorology in the dispersion of air pollutants, as discussed in table 1. The lowest mean mass concentrations of air pollutants were observed to be associated with the SE trajectory cluster, which could be due to wet scavenging of air pollutants during the monsoon season.

4. Conclusions

Simultaneous measurements of various criteria pollutants such as NO₂, SO₂, PM₁₀ and SPM were carried out at an urban mega city, New Delhi in the western IGB during the period from January 2005 to December 2012. The present study provided long-term investigations of mass concentrations of

these air pollutants and their association with surface weather parameters and air mass trajectories over the station. The major findings of the present study are as follows:

- The mean mass concentrations of NO₂, SO₂, PM₁₀ and SPM at New Delhi were observed to be about 62 ± 28 , 12 ± 8 , 254 ± 134 and $529 \pm 213 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, respectively, with significant intra- and inter-annual variability over the entire study period.
- Mean mass concentrations of NO₂, PM₁₀ and SPM were found to exceed by $\sim 27\%$, 87% and 99% , respectively, compared to their prescribed NAAQS levels. However, the mass concentration of SO₂ never exceeded its NAAQS.
- The measured criteria pollutants showed a reasonable negative correlation (about -0.5) with temperature and visibility; however, relatively less correlation was observed with the wind speed (about -0.3), suggesting that the meteorology plays an important role in dispersion and transformation of air pollutants.
- Air mass cluster analyses suggested that the maximum air mass contribution ($\sim 42\%$ of the total observation days) was from N–NW direction, which was more prominent during the winter/post-monsoon season ($\sim 60\%$ of the total observation days), and was associated with higher loading of all air pollutants at New Delhi urban site. However, lower mean mass concentrations of air pollutants were associated with SE trajectory cluster.

The present study provides insight into near-surface air pollutants at an urban mega-city New Delhi site and their relationship with surface weather parameters. Air quality has become a major challenge in recent times, particularly in developing nations, like India with increasing energy demand due to large population growth. Long-term exposure to bad air quality has potential threat not only to human health but also to the climate and thus our ecosystem. Thus, precautionary measures are needed to chase the mitigate policy and manage current emissions of air pollutants.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the CPCB for providing air quality data and India Meteorological Department for surface meteorological data of New Delhi, which have been used in this study. We are also thankful to NOAA Air Resources

Laboratory (ARL) for providing HYSPLIT PC-version model via <http://www.arl.noaa.gov/ready.php>. NK expresses his gratitude to Director, IITM for allowing him to pursue his Ph.D. thesis and providing the necessary infrastructure facilities at IITM (Delhi Branch). The authors are grateful to the anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments and suggestions to improve the paper.

References

- Attri A K, Kumar U and Jain V K 2001 Formation of ozone by fireworks; *Nature* **411**(6841) 1015, <https://doi.org/10.1038/35082634>.
- Awasthi A, Aggarwal R, Mittal S K, Singh K and Gupta P K 2011 A study of size and mass distribution of particulate matter due to crop residue burning with seasonal variation in rural area of Punjab, India; *J. Environ. Monit.* **13**(4) 1073–1081.
- Badarinath K V S, Chand T R K and Prasad V K 2006 Agriculture crop residue burning in the Indo-Gangetic plains a study using IRS-P6AWiFS Satellite data; *Curr. Sci.* **91**(8) 1085–1089.
- Barman S C, Singh R, Negi M P S and Bhargava S K 2009 Fine particles (PM_{2.5}) in ambient air of Lucknow city due to fireworks on Diwali festival; *J. Environ. Biol.* **30**(5) 625–632.
- Bishoi B, Prakash A and Jain V K 2009 A comparative study of air quality index based on factor analysis and US-EPA methods for an urban environment; *Aerosol. Air. Qual. Res.* **1** 1–17.
- Cao J J, Zhu C S, Chow J C, Watson J G, Han Y M, Wang Ge-hui Shen Z X and Zhi-Sheng A 2009 Black carbon relationships with emissions and meteorology in Xi'an; *China. Atmos. Res.* **94** 194–202.
- Census of India 2011 http://censusindia.gov.in/2011-prov-results/prov_data_products_delhi.html.
- Cheng S and Lam S K 1998 Analysis of winds affecting air pollution concentrations in Hong Kong; *Atmos. Environ.* **32**(14–15) 2559–2567.
- Chowdhury S and Dey S 2016 Cause-specific premature death from ambient PM_{2.5} exposure in India: Estimate adjusted for baseline mortality; *Environ. Inter.* **91** 283–290.
- CPCB (Central Pollution Control Board) 2012 *National ambient air quality status and trends–2012, August 2014*; Central Pollution Control Board, Delhi, India.
- Dey S and Di Girolamo L 2010 A climatology of aerosol optical and microphysical properties over the Indian sub-continent from 9 yr (2000–2008) of multiangle imaging spectroradiometer (MISR) data; *J. Geophys. Res.* **115** D15204, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD013395>.
- Draxler R R and Rolph G D 2010 *HYSPLIT (HYbrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory) model*; <http://ready.arl.noaa.gov/HYSPLIT.php>, NOAA Air Resources Laboratory, Silver Spring, MD.
- Elshazly S M, Takahashi M, EL-Nouby Adam M, Kassem K O and Hassan A A 2012 Simulation of some air pollutants and weather parameters using WRF-Chem model in Cairo and Qena cities/Egypt; *World Environ.* **2**(6) 127–134.

- Gaur A, Tripathi S N, Kanawade V P, Tare V and Shukla S P 2014 Four-year measurements of trace gases (SO_2 , NO_x , CO , and O_3) at an urban location, Kanpur, in Northern India; *J. Atmos. Chem.* **71**(4) 283–301.
- George M P, Kaur B J, Sharma A and Mishra S 2013 Delhi smog 2012: Cause and concerns; *J. Pollut. Eff. Cont.* **1**(1) 103, <https://doi.org/10.4172/jpe.1000103>.
- Goyal P and Sidhartha S 2002 Effect of winds on SO_2 and SPM concentrations in Delhi; *Atmos. Environ.* **36** 2925–2930.
- Goyal P and Sidhartha S 2003 Present scenario of air quality in Delhi: A case study of CNG Implementation; *Atmos. Environ.* **37** 5423–5431.
- Goyal S K, Ghatge S V, Nema P and Tamhane S M 2006 Understanding urban vehicular pollution problem vis-a-vis ambient air quality – Case study of a megacity (Delhi, India); *Environ. Model. Assess.* **119** 557–569.
- Gurjar B R, Van Aardenne J A, Lelieveld I and Mohan M 2004 Emission estimates and trends (1990–2000) for megacity Delhi and implications; *Atmos. Environ.* **38** 5663–5681.
- Gurjar B R, Khaiwal R and Nagpure A S 2016 Air pollution trends over Indian megacities and their local-to-global Implications; *Atmos. Environ.* **142** 475–495.
- Guttikunda S K and Calori G 2013 A GIS band emissions inventory at 1 km $\times$ 1 km spatial resolution for air pollution analysis in Delhi, India; *Atmos. Environ.* **67** 101–111.
- Guttikunda S K, Goel R and Pant P 2014 Nature of air pollution, emission sources, and management in the Indian cities; *Atmos. Environ.* **95** 501–510.
- Hyvarinen A P, Lihavainen H, Komppula M, Panwar T S, Sharma V P, Hooda R K and Viisanen Y M 2010 Aerosol measurements at the Gaul Pahari EUCAARI station: Preliminary results from in-situ measurements; *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **10** 7241–7252.
- IPCC 2013 Summary for policymakers; In: *Climate change 2014: The physical science basis. Contribution of working group I to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change* (eds) Stocker T F, Qin D, Plattner G-K, Tignor M, Allen S K and Boschung J *et al.*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and NY, USA.
- Kanamitsu M 1989 Description of the NMC global data assimilation and forecast system; *Wea. Forecast.* **4** 335–342.
- Kanawade V P, Tripathi S N, Singh D K, Gautam A S, Srivastava A K, Kamra A K, Soni V K and Sethi V 2014 Observations of new particle formation at two distinct Indian subcontinental urban locations; *Atmos. Environ.* **96** 370–379.
- Kaskaoutis D G, Kumar S, Sharma D, Singh R P, Kharol S K, Sharma M, Singh A K, Singh S, Singh A and Singh D 2014 Effects of crop residue burning on aerosol properties, plume characteristics, and long-range transport over northern India; *J. Geophys. Res.* **119** 5424–5444.
- Kathuria V 2005 Impact of CNG on Delhi's air pollution; *Econ. Polit. Wkly.* **40**(9) 1907–1916.
- Kesarkar A P, Dalvi M, Kaginalkar A and Ojha A 2007 Coupling of the weather research and forecasting model with AERMOD for pollutant dispersion modeling. A case study for PM_{10} dispersion over Pune, India; *Atmos. Environ.* **41** 1976–1988.
- Kroll J H and Seinfeld J H 2008 Chemistry of secondary organic aerosol: Formation and evolution of low-volatility organics in the atmosphere; *Atmos. Environ.* **42** 3593–3624.
- Krotkov N A, McLinden C A, Li C, Lamsal L N, Celarier E A, Marchenko S V, Swartz W H, Bucsela E J, Joiner J, Duncan B N, Boersma K F, Veefkind J P, Levelt P F, Fioletov V E, Dickerson R R, He H, Lu Z and Streets D G 2016 Aura OMI observations of regional SO_2 and NO_2 pollution changes from 2005 to 2015; *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **16** 4605–4629, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-4605-2016>.
- Kumar A and Foster T C 2007 Shift in induction mechanisms underlies an age-dependent increase in DHPG-induced synaptic depressions at CA3-CA1 synapse; *J. Neurophys.* **98**(5) 2729–2736, <https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00514.2007>.
- Maji S, Ahmed S and Siddiqui W A 2015 Air quality assessment and its relation to potential health impacts in Delhi, India; *Curr. Sci.* **109**(5) 902–909.
- Mashelkar R A *et al.* 2002 *Interim report of the expert committee on auto fuel policy*; Government of India, New Delhi.
- Mishra D and Goyal P 2015 Quantitative assessment of the emitted criteria pollutant in Delhi urban area; *Aerosol Air. Qual. Res.* **15** 1601–1612.
- Mishra D and Goyal P 2016 Neuro-fuzzy approach to forecast NO_2 pollutants addressed to air quality dispersion model over Delhi, India; *Aerosol Air. Qual. Res.* **16** 166–174.
- Pachauri T *et al.* 2013 SEM-EDX characterization of individual coarse particles in Agra, India; *Aerosol Air. Qual. Res.* **13** 523–536.
- Pandithurai G, Dipu S, Dani K K, Tiwari S, Bisth D S, Devara P C S and Pinker R T 2008 Aerosol radiative forcing during dust events over New Delhi, India; *J. Geophys. Res.* **113** D13209, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD009804>.
- Pipal A S, Tiwari S, Satsangi P G, Taneja A, Bisht D S, Srivastava A K and Srivastava M K 2014 Sources and characteristics of carbonaceous aerosols at Agra 'World heritage site' and Delhi 'capital city of India'; *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **21** 8678–8691.
- Pope III C A and Dockery D W 2006 Health effects of fine particulate air pollution: Lines that connect; *J. Air Waste Manag.* **56** 709–742.
- Poschl U 2005 Atmospheric aerosols: Composition, transformation, climate and health effects; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **44**(46) 7520–7540.
- Purdue L J, Dudley J E, Clements J B and Thompson R J 1972 Reinvestigation of the Jacobs-Hochheiser procedure for determining nitrogen dioxide in ambient air; *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **6**(2) 152–154.
- Ramanathan V *et al.* 2001 Indian ocean experiment: An integrated analysis of the climate forcing and effects of the great Indo-Asian haze; *J. Geophys. Res.* **106** 28371–28398.
- Ramsey N R, Klein P M and Moore III B 2014 The impact of meteorological parameters on urban air quality; *Atmos. Environ.* **86** 58–67.
- Reddy M S and Venkataraman C 2002 Inventory of aerosol and sulphur dioxide emissions from India, Part II – Biomass combustion; *Atmos. Environ.* **36**(4) 677–697.

- Ruellan S and Cachier H 2001 Characterisation of fresh particulate vehicular exhausts near a Paris High flow road; *Atmos. Environ.* **35** 453–468.
- Sahu S K, Beig G and Parkhi N 2011 Anthropogenic emission of PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ for air quality forecasting during Commonwealth Games, 2010, Delhi; *Atmos. Environ.* **45** 6180–6190.
- Sahu S K, Beig G and Parkhi N 2015 High resolution emission inventory of NO_x and CO for mega city Delhi, India; *Aerosol Air. Qual. Res.* **15** 1137–1144.
- Saxena P, Bhardwaj R and Ghosh C 2012 Status of air pollutants after implementation of CNG in Delhi; *Curr. World Environ.* **7**(1) 109–115.
- Sharma S, Brook J R, Cachier H, Chow J, Gaudenzi A and Lu G 2002 Light absorption and thermal measurements of black carbon in different regions of Canada; *J. Geophys. Res.* **107**(D24) 4771.
- Sharma D, Srivastava A K, Ram K, Singh A and Singh D 2017 Temporal variability in aerosol characteristics and its radiative properties over Patiala, northwestern part of India: Impact of agricultural biomass burning emissions; *Environ. Pollut.* **231** 1030–1041.
- Singh A and Dey S 2012 Influence of aerosol composition on visibility in megacity Delhi; *Atmos. Environ.* **62** 367–373.
- Singh R P, Dey S and Holben B 2003 Aerosols behaviour in Kanpur during Diwali festival; *Curr. Sci.* **84**(10) 1302–1303.
- Singh B P, Srivastava A K, Tiwari S, Singh S, Singh R K, Bisht D S, Lalm D M, Singh A K, Mall R K and Srivastava M K 2014 Radiative impact of fireworks at a tropical Indian location: A case study; *Adv. Met.* **ID 197072** 1–8, <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/197072>.
- Srivastava A K, Tiwari S, Devara P C S, Bisht D S, Srivastava M K, Tripathi S N, Goloub P and Holben B N 2011 Pre-monsoon aerosol characteristics over the Indo-Gangetic Basin: Implications to climatic impact; *Ann. Geophys.* **29** 789–804.
- Srivastava A K, Singh S, Tiwari S and Bisht D S 2012 Contribution of anthropogenic aerosols in direct radiative forcing and atmospheric heating rate over Delhi in the Indo-Gangetic Basin; *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **19** 1144–1158.
- Srivastava A K, Soni V K, Singh S, Kanawade V P, Singh N, Tiwari S and Attri S D 2014 An early South Asian dust storm during March 2012 and its impacts on Indian Himalayan foothills: A case study; *Sci. Total Environ.* **493** 526–534.
- Timmers V R J H and Achten P A J 2016 Non-exhaust PM emissions from electric vehicles; *Atmos. Environ.* **134** 10–17.
- Tiwari S, Chate D M, Srivastava M K, Safai P D, Srivastava A K, Bisht D S and Padmanabhamurthy B 2012a Statistical evaluation of PM₁₀ and distribution of PM₁, PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ in ambient air due to extreme firework episodes (Deepawali Festivals) in mega city Delhi; *Nat. Hazards* **61** 521–531.
- Tiwari S, Chate D M, Pragya P, Ali K and Bisht D S 2012b Variations in mass of the PM₁₀, PM_{2.5} and PM₁ during the monsoon and the winter at New Delhi; *Aerosol Air. Qual. Res.* **12** 20–29.
- Tiwari S, Srivastava A K, Bisht D S, Parmita P, Srivastava M K and Attri S D 2013a Diurnal and seasonal variations of black carbon and PM_{2.5} over New Delhi, India: Influence of meteorology; *Atmos. Res.* **125–126** 50–62.
- Tiwari S, Bisht D S, Srivastava A K, Shivashankara G P and Kumar R 2013b Inter-annual and intra-seasonal variability in fine mode particles over Delhi: Influence of meteorology; *Adv. Met.* **2013(740453)** 1–9.
- Tiwari S, Bisht D S, Srivastava A K, Pipal A S, Taneja A, Srivastava M K and Attri S D 2014 Variability in atmospheric particulates and meteorological effects on their mass concentrations over Delhi, India; *Atmos. Res.* **145–146** 45–56.
- Verma A K, Saxena A, Khan A H and Sharma G D 2015 Air pollution problems in Lucknow city, India: A review; *J. Environ. Res. Dev.* **9**(4) 1176–1188.
- Wallace J and Kanaroglou P 2009 The effect of temperature inversions on ground-level nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) using temperature profiles from the Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS); *Sci. Total Environ.* **407**(18) 5085–5095.
- Wiedinmyer C, Yokelson R J and Gullett B K 2014 Global emissions of trace gases, particulate matter, and hazardous air pollutants from open burning of domestic waste; *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **48**(16) 9523–9530.