Syntheses and characterization of two novel 1D Pb(II) Halide supramolecular polymers possessing incomplete Cubane subunit directed by π -conjugated Dication templates

CHENGJIE MA^a, MEI LIU^b, WENLI ZHANG^a, HAIJUAN DU^a, YAO LI^a, CHAOHAI WANG^a and YUNYIN NIU^{a,*}

^aCollege of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, P. R. China

^bPhysical, Chemical and Biological Department, Henan Jiaozuo Teacher's College, Jiaozuo 454000, P. R. China

e-mail: niuyy@zzu.edu.cn

MS received 26 January 2015; revised 25 March 2015; accepted 28 March 2015

Abstract. Two novel cation-templated complexes, $\{(1, 4\text{-PMBP})[\text{Pb}_4\text{I}_{10}]\cdot\text{DMF}\}_n$ (**1**) $\{(\text{DBBP})_2[\text{Pb}_5\text{I}_8\text{Br}_6]\}_n$ (**2**), (1,4-PMBP·2Br = 1,1''-[1,4-phenylene-bis(methylene)]bis-4,4'-bipyridinium dibromide; DBBP·2Br = N, N'-dibenzyl- 4, 4'-bipyridinium dibromide) have been synthesized via the self-assembly reaction in solution. X-ray crystallography showed that compounds **1** and **2** can be regarded as 1D iodoplumbate examples which contain incomplete cubane subunit directed by π -conjugated dication templates. It is the H-bonds and electrostatic interactions between the organic counter cations and inorganic moieties that contribute the crystal packing. These compounds have been further characterized by IR spectroscopy, UV-Vis spectra, elemental analysis and thermostability properties.

Keywords. π -conjugated cation templates; iodoplumbates hybrids; inorganic/organic interactions.

1. Introduction

In the last decade, template-oriented organic-inorganic hybrid materials have gradually become one of the most actively explored area of chemical engineering and molecular science because of their fascinating crystal structures and potential applications in photochromism, electrical conductivity, biology, catalysis, etc.^{1–5} More and more attention has been drawn to this domain not only because of the opportunity to combine the distinctive properties of both inorganic and organic components in one material,⁶ but also because of the possibility of producing novel properties by the synergetic interactions between two counter components.⁷

Among the various families of inorganic-organic hybrid polymers, haloplumbates are an important family of hybrid functional materials.⁸ The compounds have been reported to exhibit rich anion structures, including discrete 0-D oligomers: mononuclear $(\text{PbI}_4)^{2-}$, binuclear $(\text{Pb}_2\text{I}_6)^{2-}$, trinuclear $(\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10})^{4-}$, pentanuclear $(\text{Pb}_5\text{I}_{16})^{6-}$, hexanuclear $(\text{Pb}_6\text{I}_{22})^{10-}$, heptanuclear $(\text{Pb}_7\text{I}_{22})^{8-}$, decanuclear $(\text{Pb}_{10}\text{I}_{28})^{8-}$, and octadecanuclear $(\text{Pb}_{18}\text{I}_{44})^{8-}$ clusters;^{9–13} 1-D chains $(\text{PbI}_5)^{3-}$, $(\text{Pb}_2\text{I}_6)^{2-}$, and $(\text{Pb}_2\text{Cl}_2\text{I}_4)^{2-}$;^{14–17} 2-D layers $(\text{PbI}_4)^{2-}$,

$(\text{PbCl}_4)^{2-}$, $(\text{PbCl}_3)^{18–20}$ and 3-D frameworks $[\text{Pb}_7\text{I}_{18}]^{2n-}$ and $[\text{Pb}_{15}\text{I}_{34}(\text{dmf})_6]^{4-}$.²¹

The structural diversity of the haloplumbate-based hybrid is due to two factors: (i) the coordination number of Pb^{2+} ion ranges from 4 to 8 and its coordination geometry can be distorted tetrahedron, square pyramid, octahedron, triangular prism, monocapped octahedron or bicapped octahedron and so on.^{22–24} (ii) stepwise aggregations of the building units with the above-mentioned coordination geometry give rise to various anionic structural motif, via sharing of X-X-X triangular faces, X-X edges or X apexes ($\text{X}^- = \text{Cl}^-$, Br^- or I^- ion) of the adjacent coordination polyhedra, from the mononuclear or polynuclear species to higher dimensionality. However, the synthesis of compounds directed by π -conjugated N-containing organic dication templates are rarely reported.²⁵ On the basis of our previous work, subtle changes of the organic cationic properties, such as size, shape, and distribution of the positive charge, may lead to diverse aggregates of haloplumbate.²⁶ Recently, we found that π -conjugated 4,4'-bipyridine derivative dication possesses the ideal size for structure-directing for construction of the organic-inorganic hybrid polymers. So in this contribution, we have selected two new cation-templates (scheme 1) and successfully

*For correspondence

Scheme 1. The molecular structure of the dications (a) 1, 4-PMBP·2Br, (b) DBBP·2Br.

synthesized two novel complexes which contain incomplete subunit, $\{(1, 4\text{-PMBP})[\text{Pb}_4\text{I}_{10}]\cdot\text{DMF}\}_n$ (**1**) $\{(\text{DBBP})_2[\text{Pb}_5\text{I}_8\text{Br}_6]\}_n$ (**2**), (1,4-PMBP·2Br = 1,1''-[1,4-phenylene-bis(methylene)]bis-4,4'-bipyridinium dibromide; DBBP·2Br = N, N'-dibenzyl- 4, 4'-bipyridinium dibromide). To the best of our knowledge, compounds **1** and **2** can be regarded as 1D iodoplumbate examples which contain incomplete cubane subunits. We have also investigated the thermal behavior and optical properties.

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials and Instruments

All chemicals and solvents were of A.R. grade and used without further purification. The FT-IR spectra were recorded from KBr pellets in the range from 4000 to 400 cm^{-1} on a Bruker VECTOR 22 spectrometer. Elemental analysis was carried out on a Vario EL III elemental analyzer (C, H and N). Thermal analyses were performed on a SDT 2960 thermal analyzer from room temperature to 800°C at a heating rate of 5°C min^{-1} under air flow. Powder X-ray diffraction (Cu $\text{K}\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 1.5418 \text{ \AA}$, 5.08 second per step, 293 K) patterns were collected on an X'Pert PRO diffractometer in the scan range of 5–70°. The UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS) were taken on a Cary 5000 UV-Vis-NIR spectrophotometer with BaSO_4 as reference from 800 to 200 nm. The absorption spectra were calculated from reflectance spectra using the Kubelka-Munk function: $\alpha/S = (1-R)^2/2R$, where α is the absorption coefficient, S is the scattering coefficient (which is practically wavelength independent when the particle size was larger than 5 μm), and R is the reflectance.

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement details for **1** and **2**.

Complexes	1	2
Empirical formula	$\text{C}_{31}\text{H}_{31}\text{I}_6\text{N}_5\text{OPb}_2$	$\text{C}_{48}\text{H}_{44}\text{Br}_6\text{I}_8\text{N}_4\text{Pb}_5$
Formula weight	1665.39	3207.48
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic
Space group	P2_1	C2/c
$a/\text{\AA}$	4.59957(9)	27.769(3)
$b/\text{\AA}$	19.5863(4)	21.1463(8)
$c/\text{\AA}$	21.8372(4)	15.4603(14)
α (°)	90.00	90.00
β (°)	95.1329(17)	130.086(15)
γ (°)	90.00	90.00
$V/\text{\AA}^3$	1959.39(6)	6945.9(9)
Z	2	4
$\rho/\text{Mg cm}^{-3}$	2.823	3.067
μ/mm^{-1}	53.87	55.310
$F(000)$	1484.0	5616.0
Crystal size/mm	$0.18 \times 0.12 \times 0.06$	$0.26 \times 0.07 \times 0.05$
T/K	291.15	291.15
Reflections collected	14447	12898
Independent reflections	7378 [$R_f = 0.0380$, $R_{\text{sigma}} = 0.0549$]	6215 [$R_f = 0.0423$, $R_{\text{sigma}} = 0.0481$]
data/restraints/parameters	7378/3/408	6215/0/321
GOF on F^2	1.037	1.036
Final R indices [$I > 2\sigma(I)$]	$R_1 = 0.0474$, $wR_2 = 0.1174$	$R_1 = 0.0634$, $wR_2 = 0.1734$
R indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0559$, $wR_2 = 0.1261$	$R_1 = 0.0742$, $wR_2 = 0.1873$
Largest diff. peak	1.80	2.81
hole($\text{e \AA}^{-3}$)	−1.16	−1.32

2.2 Organic cation template syntheses

2.2a Synthesis of 1,4-PMBP·2Br: 1,4-PMBP·2Br was prepared by direct alkylation of 4, 4'-dipyridine with 1, 4-bis(bromomethyl)benzene which were refluxed at 85° for 24 h (acetonitrile served as the solvent for the reaction).²⁷ A brown precipitate was obtained and the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature. It was then filtered off and washed with acetonitrile, and dried under vacuum. Brown powder was obtained in 57% yield.

2.2b Synthesis of DBBP·2Br: DBBP·2Br was prepared through Menshutkin reaction by heating the mixture of 4,4-bipyridine (3.0 mmol) and benzyl bromide (8.3 mmol) in dry DMF (10 mL) under N₂ gas atmosphere (70°C, 24 h). Resulting precipitate was washed with acetone and recrystallized from ethanol to obtain the product as yellow crystals with 84% yield.²⁸

2.3 Synthesis of compounds {(1, 4-PMBP)

[Pb₄I₁₀]·DMF} _n (1) and {(DBBP)₂ [Pb₅I₈ Br₆]} _n (2)

Compounds **1** and **2** were synthesized similarly. A methanol solution (0.5 mL) of 1, 4-PMBP· 2Br or DBBP·2Br (0.05 mmol) was added into a stirring light yellow solution of PbI₂ (0.05 mmol) dissolved in 5.0 mL DMF/H₂O with excess KI (0.25 mmol). The precipitate formed was dissolved by adding more DMF (5 mL) until the precipitate disappeared. The yellowish solution was filtered and slowly evaporated in a vial at room temperature. Two months later, red crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained in about 48% yield (for **1**) and 72% (for **2**) based on lead ion.

IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) for **1**: 3441.34(m), 3019.80(w), 2922.11(w), 2160.92(w), 1647.45(s), 1630.41(s), 1541.65(m), 1522.00(w), 1487.81(w), 1462.74(w), 1428.71(w), 1405.00(m), 1384.03(m), 1345.74(w), 1284.54(w), 1239.18(w), 1216.49(w), 1161.15(w),

Table 2. Selected bonds distances (Å) and angles (°) for compounds **1** and **2**.

1					
Pb1-I1	3.085(1)	Pb1-I2	3.296(1)	Pb1-I2 ¹	3.237(1)
Pb1-I3 ¹	3.223(1)	Pb1-I3	3.162(1)	Pb1-I4	3.405(1)
Pb2-I4	3.257(1)	Pb2-I4 ²	3.310(1)	Pb2-I5	3.207(1)
Pb2-I5 ²	3.201(1)	Pb2-I6	2.968(1)	Pb1 ² -I2	3.237(1)
Pb1 ² -I3	3.223(1)	Pb2 ¹ -I4	3.310(1)	Pb2 ¹ -I5	3.201(1)
I1-Pb1-I2	93.68(3)	I1-Pb1-I2 ¹	94.76(3)	I1-Pb1-I3 ¹	88.42(3)
I1-Pb1-I3	87.36(3)	I1-Pb1-I4	169.61(3)	I2 ¹ - Pb1-I2	89.48(3)
I2 ¹ -Pb1-I4	90.70(3)	I2-Pb1-I4	95.21(3)	I3 ¹ - Pb1-I2	177.57(3)
I3- Pb1-I2	89.16(3)	I3-Pb1- I2 ¹	177.55(3)	I3 ¹ -Pb1-I2 ¹	89.13(3)
I3-Pb1-I3 ¹	92.15(3)	I3- Pb1-I4	87.40(3)	I3 ¹ -Pb1-I4	82.82(3)
I4-Pb2-I4 ²	88.90(3)	I5 ² -Pb2-I4	166.33(3)	I5-Pb2-I4 ²	166.54(3)
I5-Pb2-I4	88.50(3)	I5 ² -Pb2-I4 ²	87.70(3)	I5 ² -Pb2-I5	91.74(3)
I6-Pb2-I4 ²	98.87(4)	I6-Pb2-I4	98.17(4)	I6-Pb2-I5 ²	95.43(4)
I6-Pb2-I5	94.57(4)				
2					
Pb1-I1 ¹	3.327(1)	Pb1-I1	3.327(1)	Pb1-I2 ¹	3.210(1)
Pb1-I2	3.210(1)	Pb1-I3 ²	3.158(1)	Pb1-I3 ³	3.158(1)
Pb2-I1 ²	3.336(1)	Pb2-I2	3.199(1)	Pb2-I3	3.214(1)
Pb2-I4	3.148(1)	Pb2-Br1	2.936(1)	Pb3-I4 ⁴	3.249(1)
Pb3-Br1	3.103(1)	Pb3-Br2	2.772(2)	Pb3-Br3	2.855(1)
Pb2 ² -I1	3.336(1)	Pb1 ² -I3	3.158(1)	Pb3 ³ -I4	3.249(1)
I1-Pb1-I1 ¹	84.77(4)	I2 ¹ -Pb1-I1	94.29(3)	I2-Pb1-I1	86.62(3)
I2-Pb1-I1 ¹	94.29(3)	I2 ¹ -Pb1-I1 ¹	86.63(3)	I2 ¹ -Pb1-I2	178.77(5)
I3 ² -Pb1-I1	171.73(3)	I3 ² -Pb1-I1 ¹	92.25(3)	I3 ³ -Pb1-I1 ¹	171.73(3)
I3 ³ -Pb1-I1	92.25(3)	I3 ² -Pb1-I2	85.91(3)	I3 ² -Pb1-I2 ¹	93.23(3)
I3 ³ -Pb1-I2	93.23(3)	I3 ³ -Pb1-I2 ¹	85.91(3)	I3 ² -Pb1-I3 ³	91.71(4)
I2-Pb2-I1 ³	87.72(3)	I2-Pb2-I3	176.68(3)	I3-Pb2-I1 ³	91.10(3)
I4-Pb2-I1 ³	173.60(3)	I4-Pb2-I2	94.33(3)	I4-Pb2-I3	87.18(3)
Br1-Pb2-I1 ³	86.56(4)	Br1-Pb2-I2	89.85(4)	Br1-Pb2-I3	93.17(4)
Br1-Pb2-I4	87.38(4)	Br1-Pb3-I4 ⁴	172.25(4)	Br2-Pb3-I4 ⁴	92.29(5)
Br2-Pb3-Br1	95.41(5)	Br2-Pb3-Br3	88.09(6)	Br3-Pb3-I4 ⁴	91.96(5)
Br3-Pb3-Br1	87.39(4)				

symmetry codes: ¹-1+X,+Y,+Z; ²1+X,+Y,+Z for **1**; ¹-X,+Y,-1/2-Z; ²-X,1-Y,-Z; ³+X,1-Y,-1/2+Z; ⁴+X,1-Y,1/2+Z; ⁵1/2-X,1/2-Y,-Z; ⁶1-X,+Y,1/2-Z for **2**.

1099.93(w), 1069.56(w), 882.47(w), 813.11(m), 767.20(w), 729.30(w), 711.34(w), 622.68(w), 604.12(w), 599.69(w), 512.59(w). Anal. Calcd for $C_{31}H_{31}I_6N_5OPb_2$: C 22.36, H 1.88, N 4.21%. Found: C 22.18, H 1.76, N 4.11%.

IR (KBr, cm^{-1}) for **2**: 3442.59(s), 3107.81(w), 3042.11(w), 2924.18(w), 2363.33(w), 2169.50(w), 1735.11(w), 1718.38(w), 1701.03(w), 1653.91(w), 1629.15(m), 1558.10(w), 1493.51(w), 1437.43(w), 1384.24(m), 1348.61(w), 1268.25(w), 1208.71(w), 1154.24(w), 1026.79(w), 806.23(w), 742.04(m), 699.79(w). Anal. Calcd for $C_{48}H_{44}Br_6I_8N_4Pb_5$: C 17.97, H 1.38, N 1.75%. Found: C 17.61, H 1.27, N 1.59%.

2.4 X-Ray Crystallography

Crystallographic data for **1** and **2** were collected at RT on a Bruker APEX-II area detector diffractometer equipped with graphite-monochromated Mo- $K\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$). The structures were solved by direct methods and expanded using Fourier techniques. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic

thermal parameters. The hydrogen atoms were assigned with common isotropic displacement factors and included in the final refinement by using geometrical constraints. The structures were refined with full-matrix least-squares techniques on F2 using SHELXTL-97.²⁹ Crystallographic data and structural refinement details for **1** and **2** were summarized in table 1. Selected bond lengths and angles of **1–2** were summarized in table 2.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Crystal Structures and Characterization

The X-ray single-crystal structural analysis reveals that compound **1** crystallizes in the monoclinic system with the space group $P2_1$. The asymmetric unit consists of an anion $[Pb_4I_{10}]^{2-}$, one $[1,4\text{-PMBP}]^{2+}$ cation and one DMF molecule as shown in figure 1a. Compound **1** exhibits a 1D inorganic open-framework structure, in which an incomplete cubane chain (ICC) acts as the building block of the inorganic framework. There are two crystallographically independent Pb atoms,

Figure 1. (a) the structural unit of compound **1**; (b) the 1D inorganic chains for compound **1** view along the *b* axis; (c) The packing diagram of compound **1** view along *a* axis.

which are all situated in a slightly distorted octahedral coordination environment with bond distances ranging from 2.9687(14) to 3.4059(12) Å and bond angles ranging from 87.36(3) to 177.55(3)°. The incomplete cubane units, composed of edge-sharing PbI₆ octahedron centered at Pb1 and Pb2, interlink each other through a crystallographic inversion center to form ICCs extending along a axis, in which neighboring incomplete cubanes are further consolidated by I2 and

I5 atoms as shown in figure 1b. This ICC is structurally similar to the inorganic chain in the 1D compound (C₁₀H₇CH₂NH₃)_n(PbI₃)_n(4).³⁰ In the packing structure, the polyanionic chains are located in the rhombic cavities formed by dications to maintain the neutrality of the whole crystal. Solvent DMF molecules are located in the voids of the compound. It is electrostatic forces and C–H...I weak interactions between cations and inorganic chains that pile these components up into a 3D

Figure 2. (a) the structural unit of compound 2; (b) the 1D inorganic chains for compound 2; (c) The packing diagram of compound 2 view along *c* axis.

Figure 3. Simulated and experimental PXRD pattern of (a) compound 1, (b) compound 2.

stacking structure viewing along *a* axis, as shown in figure 1c.

Compound **2** contains also an incomplete cubane chain (ICC) acting as the building block of the inorganic framework and has only subtle differences in their anionic structure. Compound **2** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *C2/c*. As shown in figure 2a, Pb center exhibits three coordination modes, the Pb1 center is six-coordinated in a distorted octahedral geometry by four μ_2 -I atoms (I2 and I3) and two μ_3 -I atoms (I1), the Pb2 center is six-coordinated in a distorted octahedral geometry by three μ_2 -I atoms (I2, I3 and I4), two μ_3 -I atoms (I1) and one μ_2 -Br atom, the Pb3 center is four-coordinated in a distorted tetrahedral geometry by one μ_2 -I atom (I4), two t-Br atoms (Br2 and Br3) and one μ_2 -Br (Br1) atom. The Pb-I distance ranges from 3.1482(11) Å to 3.3365(11) Å, Pb-Br distance ranges from 2.772(2) Å

to 3.1032(14) Å. The I-Pb-I bond angles of all bridging iodo ligands are in the ranges 84.77(4)-94.33(3)° and 171.73(3)-178.77(5)°, which deviates from the desired bond angles of octahedra. Two Pb(3) atoms, two Pb(2) atoms and one Pb(1) atom located in a line. This one-dimensional chain could be viewed as having two linear Pb5 fragments which are alternatively stacked by edge-sharing along the *c* axis. The structure of compound **2** is similar to the reported compound $[(\text{Pb}_5\text{I}_{14})(\text{BV})_2]^{31}$ and they both directed by the same dication, the only difference is Br atom which from the structure-directing agent coordinates to Pb atom, the coordination environment of Pb has become more complicated. In packing structure, the anions moieties and cations counter cations held together by electrostatic interactions, as shown in figure 2c view along *c* axis. The anions located in the 1D channel stacked by dications along *c* axis.

Figure 4. TG plot of compounds **1** and **2**.

3.2 Power X-ray Diffraction (PXRD) and Thermogravimetric Analysis

The experimental PXRD patterns of **1-2** correspond well to the simulated PXRD patterns, indicating that the bulk phase materials are isomorphous (Figure 3).

The TG curves of the two compounds are shown in figure 4. The TG data indicates that both of the two compounds were thermally stable up to 250°C. The decomposition of **1** mainly proceeded in three stages. The first stage took place in the 45-252°C with 4.09% loss (calculated ratio 4.38%), which corresponded to the elimination of solvent DMF molecule; the second stage in the 357-565°C with 19% loss (calculated ratio 25%) mainly involved the removal of dication; The third stage of compound **1** in the 565-800°C mainly involved the extreme burning of inorganic framework.

Figure 5. (a) UV-Vis absorption spectrum of **1** and **2** taken at room temperature; (b) Determination of the optical band gap of **1** and **2** diffuse reflectance spectrum using the Kubelka-Munk method.

The TG data for **2** shows that the weight loss fast from 276 to 387°C with 23% loss (calculated ratio 21%), and can be attributed to the loss of dication and some inorganic framework. Then the weight almost keeps constant. The second weight loss took place in the range 516–727°C, which is ascribable to the further burning of mineral phase.

All in all, the thermal behavior of compounds **1** and **2** are similar and the loss of organic dications mainly happened near 350–380°C, which means that the weak interactions in quantity of $\text{CH}\cdots\text{I/Br}$ have limited influence on their thermostability.

3.3 UV-Vis absorption

The solid-state UV-Vis absorption spectra of **1-2** are presented in figure 5a. The absorption spectrum exhibits peak at 235 nm for **1** (236 nm for **2**), which is the characteristic band of anions assigned as ligand-to-metal charge-transfer (LMCT) transition ($\text{I}\rightarrow\text{Pb}$). To explore the conductivity of compounds **1-2**, the UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra of their powder samples were tested to obtain their band gaps (E_g) by using the Kubelka-Munk function. As shown in figure 5b. Their energy gaps (E_g) can be estimated as 2.35 eV for **1** and 2.44 eV for **2**, respectively. There are tiny blue shifts of the energy gaps, 0.05 eV for **1** and 0.14 eV for **2**, compared with that of bulk PbI_2 (2.30 eV). The reflectance spectrum measurement revealed the nature of semiconductor.

3.4 Template effect of title dication

The use of organic species as templating agents has widened the number and nature of the microporous crystalline solid phases.³² The trapped organic moieties perform several roles: coulombic balance of the negatively charged framework; filling of the microporous cavities; structuring by the “template effect”. Most significantly, the structural information from the organic template can be imprinted on the final supramolecular frameworks.³³ A template is described as permanent (“internal”) if all the molecular components originally present are also found in the end product.³⁴ A recent interesting study showed that in the template effect of SDA, there exists rigidity/flexibility competition: To some extent, when the spacers are shorter the interaction energies are increased; otherwise, the interaction energies decreased. The rigidity/flexibility competition will achieve a balance at a proper point, at which the most stable conformation can be presented.³⁵

The title SDAs, **1**, 4-PMBP and DBBP, were synthesised from 4, 4'-bipyridinium with 1, 4-bis (bromomethyl) benzene or benzyl bromide in a certain ratio and they are both π -conjugated 4, 4'-bipyridine derivatives dication templates, so the above rule will not be applicable. Single-crystal X-ray study revealed these two PbI_2 compounds both contain incomplete cubane subunit and are similar 1D supramolecules. Compared with the reported molecules, most of them were synthesized directed by ethyl ammonium alkyl chain derivatives,^{11,21(b),36} π -conjugated dication template is rarely reported. Thus, we speculate that PbI_2 prefers to form 1D supramolecule which possesses incomplete cubane subunit directed by π -conjugated dication templates. The studies described above provide initial evidence that the formation of supramolecule complex aggregating from the same initial material is highly dependent on the choice of guest. These novel supramolecule networks provide not only intriguing examples of modular chain-framework but also new insights into the regularity in construction of solid-state materials.

4. Conclusion

Two PbI_2 hybrids are obtained via slow evaporation at room temperature from methanol and DMF system through dication templated self-assembly. As far as we know, compounds **1** and **2** can be regarded as 1D iodoplumbate examples with incomplete cubane subunit directed by π -conjugated dication templates. Hence, similar structured products would be obtained if we introduce similar templates. More importantly, this result provides us a new insight into the regularity in construction of solid-state materials.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21171148, J1210060) and The Graduate Student Self-innovation Project of Zhengzhou University (14LF00620).

Supplementary Information

Crystallographic data reported in this article have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center as supplementary publication. CCDC numbers are 1032768 for **1**, 1032769 for **2**. These data can be obtained free of charge via <http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html> (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; Fax: +44 1223 336 033).

References

1. Yaghi O M, Li H, Davis C, Richardson D and Groy T L 1998 *Acc. Chem. Res.* **31** 474
2. (a) Duan H B, Zhao H R, Ren X M, Zhou H, Tian Z F and Jin W Q 2011 *Discuss. Faraday Soc.* **40** 1672; (b) Mishra S, Jeanneau E, Daniele S, Ledoux G and Swamy P N 2008 *Inorg. Chem.* **47** 9333; (c) Chen Y, Yang Z, Guo C X, Ni C Y, Li H X, Ren Z G and Lang J P 2011 *CrystEngComm*. **13** 243; (d) Mishra S, Jeanneau E, Iasco O, Ledoux G, Luneau D and Daniele S 2012 *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2012** 2749; (e) Krautscheid H, Lode C, Vielsack F and Vollmer H 2001 *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* 1099; (f) Tang Z J and Guloy A M 1999 *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **121** 452
3. (a) Yu T L, An L, Zhang L, Shen J J, Fu Y B and Fu Y L 2014 *Cryst. Growth Des.* **14** 3875; (b) Shen J J, Zhang C F, Yu T L, An L and Fu Y L 2014 *Cryst. Growth Des.* **14** 6337
4. Blake A J, Champness N R, Hubberstey P, Li W S, Schröder M, Withersby M and Schröder M A 1999 *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **183** 117
5. (a) Zheng Z, Yu Z P, Yang M D, Jin F, Ye L N, Fang M, Zhou H P, Wu J Y and Tian Y P 2014 *Dalton Trans.* **43** 1139; (b) Jin F, Wang H Z, Zhang Y, Wang Y, Zhang J, Kong L, Hao F Y, Yang J X, Wu J Y, Tian Y P and Zhou H P 2013 *Cryst. Eng. Comm.* **15** 3687
6. Sanchez C, Julian B, Belleville P and Popall M 2005 *J. Mater. Chem.* **15** 3559
7. (a) Wang M S, Xu G, Zhang Z J and Guo G C 2010 *Chem. Commun.* **46** 361; (b) Pardo R, Zayat M and Levy D 2011 *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **40** 672
8. Wang G E, Jiang X M, Zhang M J, Chen H F, Liu B W, Wang M S and Guo G C 2013 *Cryst. Eng. Comm.* **15** 10399
9. Vincent B R, Robertson K N, Cameron T S and Knop O 1987 *Can. J. Chem.* **65** 1024
10. (a) Dobrzycki L and Woźniak K 2008 *Cryst. Eng. Comm.* **10** 577; (b) Dobrzycki L and Woźniak K 2009 *J. Mol. Struct.* **921** 18
11. Krautscheid H and Vielsack F 1999 *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* **24** 2731
12. Yue J M, Niu Y Y, Zhang B, Ng S W and Hou H W 2011 *Cryst. Eng. Comm.* **13** 2571
13. Cuna A, Aguiar I, Gancharov A, Pérez M and Fornaro L 2004 *Cryst. Res. Technol.* **39** 899
14. Wang S, Mitzi D B, Feild C A and Guloy A 1995 *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **117** 5297
15. Billing D G and Lemmerer A 2006 *Cryst. Eng. Comm.* **8** 686
16. Wang G E, Xu G, Wang M S, Sun J, Xu Z N and Guo G C 2012 *J. Mater. Chem.* **22** 16742
17. Wang C H, Ma C J, Huang Z P, Zai Y X, Yang Q, Li L and Liang Y 2015 *J. Clust. Sci.* **26** 1027
18. (a) Calabrese J, Jones N L, Harlow R L, Herron N, Thorn D L and Wang Y 1991 *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **113** 2328; (b) Mitzi D B 1996 *Chem. Mater.* **8** 791; (c) Zhu X H, Mercier N, Riou A, Blanchard P and Frère P 2002 *Chem. Commun.* 2160; (d) Mercier N 2005 *Cryst. Eng. Comm.* **7** 429; (e) Billing D G and Lemmerer A 2008 *New J. Chem.* **32** 1736
19. (a) Corradi A B, Ferrari A M, Pellacani G C, Saccani A, Sandrolini F and Sgarabotto P 1999 *Inorg. Chem.* **38** 716; (b) Corradi A B, Ferrari A M, Righi L and Sgarabotto P 2001 *Inorg. Chem.* **40** 218
20. Dobrzycki L and Woźniak K 2008 *Cryst. Eng. Comm.* **10** 525
21. (a) Zhang Z J, Xiang S C, Guo G C, Xu G, Wang M S, Zou J P, Guo S P and Huang J S 2008 *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **47** 4149; (b) Krautscheid H, Lekieffre J F and Besinger J 1996 *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **622** 1781
22. Fan L Q, Huang Y Z, Wu L M, Chen L, Li J Q and Ma E 2006 *J. Solid State Chem.* **179** 2361
23. Mercier N and Riou A 2004 *Chem. Commun.* 844
24. Billing D G and Lemmerer A 2009 *Cryst. Eng. Comm.* **11** 1549
25. Wang G E, Wang M S, Jiang X M, Liu Z F, Lin R G, Cai L Z, Guo G C and Huang J S 2011 *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* **14** 1957
26. (a) Billing D G and Lemmerer A 2007 *Cryst. Eng. Comm.* **9** 236; (b) Shan Z M, Wang Y L, Guo H X, Liu Q Y, Zhang N, Yang E L and Li L Q 2011 *Inorg. Chim. Acta*. **366** 141
27. Geudhr W, Hunig S and Suchy A 1986 *Tetrahedron* **42** 1665
28. Higashi T and Sagara T 2013 *Electrochimica Acta* **114** 105
29. Sheldrick G M 1997 SHELXTL-97, Program for Crystal Structure Refinement, University of Göttingen, Germany
30. Papavassiliou G C, Mousdis G A, Raptopoulou C P and Terzis A 1999 *Z. Naturforsch. B.* **54** 1405
31. Chen Y, Wang Z O, Yang Z, Ren Z G, Li H X and Lang J P 2010 *Dalton Trans.* **39** 9476
32. (a) Soler-Illia G J D A A, Sanchez C, Lebeau B, Patarin J 2002 *Chem. Rev.* **102** 4093; (b) Huo Q, Margolese D I, Ciesla U, Demuth D G, Feng P, Gier T E, Sieger P, Firouzi A and Chmelka B F 1994 *Chem. Mater.* **6** 1176
33. (a) Alexander K L, Yuen F H, Antony J W, Antony F M and Thomas M 2012 *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **148** 62; (b) Li H H, Chen Z R, Li J Q, Huang C C, Zhang Y F and Jia G X 2006 *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **12** 2447
34. Hoss R and Vogtle F 1994 *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **33** 375
35. Li H H, Xing Y Y, Lian Z X, Gong A W, Wu H Y, Li Y and Chen Z R 2013 *Cryst. Eng. Comm.* **15** 1721
36. Krautscheid H and Vielsack F 1997 *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **623** 259