Characterization and reactivity of 11-molybdo-1-vanadophosphoric acid catalyst supported on zirconia for dehydration of glycerol to acrolein

BALAGA VISWANADHAM, AMIRINENI SRIKANTH and KOMANDUR V R CHARY*

Catalysis Division, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500 007, India

e-mail: kvrchary@iict.res.in

MS received 18 September 2013; revised 27 December 2013; accepted 30 December 2013

Abstract. A series of vanadium-substituted phosphomolybdic acid (HPA) catalysts supported on zirconia were prepared by impregnation method with varying the HPA active phase content from 10 to 50 wt% on the support. The calcined catalysts were characterized by X-ray diffraction, Raman spectroscopy, temperature-programmed desorption of NH_3 , FT-IR spectra of pyridine adsorption and surface area measurements. XRD results suggest that the active phase of heteropolyacid is present in a highly dispersed state at lower loadings and as a crystalline phase at higher HPA loadings and these findings are well-supported by the results of FT-IR and Raman spectra. Calcination of the samples did not affect the Keggin ion structure of HPA. The ammonia TPD results suggest that acidity of the catalysts was found to increase with increase of HPA loading up to 40 wt% and decreases at higher loadings. FT-IR spectra of pyridine adsorption show that the Brønsted acidic sites increase with increase of HPA loadings up to 40 wt% catalyst. However, Lewis acid sites decrease with increase of HPA loading. Catalytic properties were evaluated during vapour phase dehydration of glycerol to acrolein. The catalyst with 40 wt% HPA has exhibited excellent selectivity towards acrolein formation with complete conversion of glycerol at 225°C under atmospheric pressure. Catalytic performances during dehydration of glycerol are well-correlated with acidity of the catalysts.

Keywords. $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}/\text{ZrO}_2$; acidity; Raman; glycerol dehydration; acrolein.

1. Introduction

Exploitation of glycerol for the production of value-added fine chemical intermediates is a topic of enormous interest in the recent past because glycerol is a by-product formed in huge amounts during the production of biodiesel from renewable sources. Various processes such as hydrogenolysis, dehydration, acetylation, etc., have been investigated for converting glycerol into value-added products. Among these processes, dehydration of glycerol is one of the interesting and challenging route and to produce acrolein in the presence of solid acid catalysts. Acrolein is an important and versatile chemical intermediate used in many industrial applications and it is the starting material for the production of acrylic acid, acrylic acid ester, glutaraldehyde and methionine.^{1–5} This process is widely investigated by many researchers to convert glycerol into value-added chemicals via environmentally benign catalytic pathways. In general, dehydration of glycerol

to acrolein is accompanied by side reactions leading to the formation of some by-products such as hydroxy propanone, propanaldehyde, acetaldehyde, acetone and adducts of acrolein to form polyaromatic compounds. This leads to the formation of coke over the active sites and causes deactivation of the catalyst. However the presence of these by-products with acrolein necessitates high recovery cost for separation and purification of acrolein. Various solid acid catalysts including sulphates, phosphates, zeolites, heteropolyacids and solid phosphoric acid (SPA) have been tested for the dehydration of glycerol in either gaseous or liquid phase.^{6–21} Glycerol is usually produced as a mixture with water. Usage of glycerol with water is advantageous over pure glycerol for the production of acrolein and also a solid acid catalyst with a high redox property of catalyst would be beneficial for obtaining the better catalytic activities which minimizes the coke formation.

Usage of ZrO_2 ^{22,23} as a catalyst support has several advantages over other conventional oxide supports such as alumina, silica and titania. Advantages of using zirconia as a support include: (i) interacts strongly with the active phase, (ii) possesses high thermal stability and more chemically inert than the

*For correspondence

conventional supported oxides and (iii) it has acidic and redox properties. Zirconia-supported heteropolyacids have high surface area and high thermal stability. By varying the amount of $H_4PMo_{11}VO_{40}$ (HPA) on the support leads to an enhancement of the catalytic performance.^{21,24–26} Design of solid acid catalysts containing heteropolyacids is one of the key technologies to establish environment-friendly catalytic processes. The bulk phosphomolybdic acid with primary Keggin unit is well-recognized as the oxidation and also solid acid catalyst in which the redox and acidic functionalities can be tuned by substitution of vanadium metal in the Keggin units. Synthesis of vanadium-containing phosphomolybdic acid is found to be an interesting and promising heteropolyacid catalyst wherein, the molybdenum metal is partially replaced by vanadium metal. Vanadium-containing phosphomolybdic acid possesses positive reduction potentials compared to pure phosphomolybdic acid and enhances the redox properties. Thus, incorporation of V into the structure of phosphomolybdic acid (PMA) enhances the catalytic performance (acid and redox catalysts).^{27,28} Vanadium-containing HPAs have shown excellent redox properties because substitution of vanadium stabilizes the LUMOs.^{29,30} Vanadium-containing HPA catalysts are the basic components of several oxidative and acidic reactions in homogenous and heterogeneous catalysis.^{26,31–35}

In the present study, we report dehydration of glycerol to acrolein over a series of vanadium-containing HPA catalyst as an active phase supported on zirconia. The aim of this investigation is to study the effect of HPA loading on zirconia during the vapour phase dehydration glycerol under mild reaction conditions. We also report the comparison of different supports with HPA as an active phase on the catalytic properties during dehydration of glycerol. The purpose of this study is to estimate acidity of vanadium-substituted phosphomolybdic acid supported on zirconia as a function of HPA loading and to identify the structural changes of HPA with increase of active phase loading and also to understand the relation between selectivity and acidic sites. The calcined catalysts are characterized by XRD, BET surface area, FT-IR, Raman, TPD of NH_3 and FT-IR of pyridine adsorbed samples to obtain the structural and acidic properties of the active species and relate it to the catalytic functionalities during vapour phase dehydration of glycerol. Product distributions during dehydration of glycerol were investigated as a function of different reaction variables such as the effect of active phase loading, reaction temperature, time on stream and the nature of the support.

2. Experimental

2.1 Catalyst preparation

2.1a Synthesis of ZrO_2 support: Various metal oxides employed during the catalysts preparation are vanadium(V) oxide, zirconium(IV) isopropoxide and titanium(IV) isopropoxide supplied by Aldrich. Molybdenum(VI) oxide procured from Fluka Chemie and phosphoric acid from S D Fine-Chem. Ltd. Aluminum oxide supplied by Engelhard Corporation. Zirconia support was prepared using zirconium(IV) isopropoxide as a precursor. About 40 g of zirconium(IV) isopropoxide was hydrolysed by slow addition of 20 ml of distilled water until the formation of a white precipitate. This precipitate was filtered and washed with distilled water and then dried at 100°C for 10 h. The solid product of zirconium(IV) hydroxide is calcined at 500°C for 5 h. Titania support was also prepared by using titanium(IV) isopropoxide as a precursor by similar procedure.

2.1b Synthesis of $H_4PMo_{11}VO_{40}/ZrO_2$ catalyst: Preparation of $H_4PMo_{11}VO_{40}$ has been reported elsewhere.²⁶ $H_4PMo_{11}VO_{40}$ is prepared by adding requisite quantities of MoO_3 , V_2O_5 and H_3PO_4 solutions to distilled water and refluxed at 100°C. The resulting solid is dried at 60°C for 16 h. A series of $H_4PMo_{11}VO_{40}$ catalysts with $H_4PMo_{11}VO_{40}$ loadings ranging from 10–50 wt% supported on ZrO_2 was prepared by the impregnation method. A required amount of HPA was dissolved in distilled water, and then ZrO_2 was added to the above solution. The resultant solution was stirred at room temperature for 5 h. The catalysts were subsequently dried at 110°C for 10 h and calcined in air at 250°C for 4 h.

2.2 Catalyst characterization

2.2a X-ray diffraction: X-ray powder diffraction patterns of the samples were obtained with a model: D8 Diffractometer (Advance, Bruker, Germany), using $Cu K\alpha$ radiation (1.5406 Å) at 40 kV and 30 mA. Measurements were recorded in steps of 0.045° with a count time of 0.5 s in the range of 2–65°.

2.2b BET surface area: Specific surface area of the catalysts were estimated using N_2 adsorption isotherms at –196°C by the multipoint BET method taking 0.162 nm² as its cross-sectional area using Autosorb 1 (Quantachrome instruments).

2.2c Raman spectroscopy: Raman spectra of the catalyst samples were collected with a Horiba-Jobin Yvon LabRam-HR spectrometer equipped with a

confocal microscope, 2400/900 grooves/mm gratings, and a notch filter. Visible laser excitation at 532 nm (visible/green) is supplied by a Yag doubled diode pumped Laser (20 mW). Scattered photons were dried and focused on to a single-stage monochromator and measured with a UV-sensitive LN2-cooled CCD detector (Horiba-Jobin Yvon CCD-3000 V).

2.2d Temperature-programmed desorption of ammonia: Temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) studies of NH_3 were conducted on Auto Chem 2910 (Micromeritics, USA) instrument. In a typical experiment, ca. 100 mg of calcined $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}/\text{ZrO}_2$ sample is taken in a U-shaped quartz cell. The catalyst sample is packed in one arm of the sample tube on a quartz wool bed. Prior to TPD studies, the catalyst sample is pre-treated by passing high purity helium (50 ml/min) at 200°C for 1 h. After pre-treatment, the sample was saturated by passing (50 ml/min) high purity anhydrous ammonia at 80°C for 1 h and subsequently flushed with He flow (50 ml/min) at 150°C for 1 h to remove physisorbed ammonia. TPD analysis was carried out from ambient temperature to 600°C at a heating rate of $10^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$. Ammonia concentration in the effluent stream was monitored with thermal conductivity detector, and the area under the peak was integrated using the software GRAMS/32 to determine the amount of desorbed ammonia.

2.2e Fourier trans infrared spectroscopy: FT-IR spectra of the catalysts were recorded on a IR (Model: GC-FT-IR Nicolet 670) spectrometer by KBr disc method at room temperature. *Ex situ* experiments of FT-IR spectra of pyridine adsorbed samples were carried out to determine the nature of acidity (Brønsted and Lewis acid sites). Before recording the IR spectra, pyridine adsorption experiments were carried out by placing a drop of pyridine on 10 mg of the HPA sample followed by evacuation in air for 1 h at room temperature to remove reversibly adsorbed pyridine on the surface of the catalyst.³⁵

2.3 Dehydration of glycerol

Vapour phase dehydration reaction of glycerol was carried out at $200\text{--}250^\circ\text{C}$ under atmospheric pressure in a vertical fixed-bed pyrex glass reactor of 36 cm length and 0.5 cm internal diameter with a catalyst bed set at the middle of reactor. The reactor is placed in an electrically heated furnace. Temperature was controlled by a thermocouple which is located near the catalyst bed and N_2 gas was used as a carrier gas. The glass reactor was packed with 0.3 g of catalyst, and above the catalyst bed, the reactor was packed with ceramic beads

which serve as pre-heater zone to increase evaporation of liquid glycerol feed before reaching the catalyst bed. The catalyst was pretreated with N_2 gas at 60 ml/min at same reaction temperature for 1 h. An aqueous glycerol (10 wt%) solution (0.5 ml/h) was fed from the top of the reactor through inlet in a flow of N_2 gas at 6 ml/min. Reaction products were collected in a cold trap every hour and were analysed by Gas Chromatograph (Shimadzu GC-2014) equipped with flame ionization detector using DB-wax 123-7033 capillary column and methanol as internal standard. Glycerol conversion and product selectivities are calculated by the following equations.

$$\text{Glycerol conversion (\%)} = \frac{\text{Moles of glycerol reacted}}{\text{Moles of glycerol fed}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Product selectivity (mol \%)} = \frac{\text{Moles of carbon in a product defined}}{\text{Moles of carbon in glycerol reacted}} \times 100$$

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Characterization techniques

3.1a X-ray diffraction: X-ray diffraction patterns of the pure zirconia and zirconia-supported $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}$ catalysts are presented in figure 1. In general, ZrO_2 exists in three crystallographic polymorphs namely monoclinic, tetragonal and cubic. X-ray diffraction pattern of pure zirconia used in the present study was crystalline in nature and having both monoclinic and tetragonal phases. Sharp X-ray diffraction lines at $d = 3.19, 3.73$ and $2.86 \text{ \AA}$ are due to m- ZrO_2 and X-ray diffraction lines at $d = 2.98$ and $1.85 \text{ \AA}$ are due to the t- ZrO_2 .³³ As HPA loading increases on the zirconia support, intensity of X-ray diffractions peaks at $2\theta = 6.8^\circ, 10.9^\circ, 27.5^\circ$ and 33.5° also increase. This result clearly suggests formation of Keggin ion structure of heteropolyacid (HPA) on zirconia support. At lower HPA loadings, the above XRD peaks are absent suggesting that HPA is well-dispersed on the zirconia support. However, at lower loadings, the presence of HPA crystalline species of a size less than 4 nm which is beyond the detection limit of powder X-ray diffraction technique, cannot be ruled out.

3.1b BET surface area: Surface areas of the ZrO_2 -supported HPA catalysts are presented in table 1. Specific surface area of the catalysts decreases with HPA loading. This decline of surface area with increasing of HPA loading is due to blocking the pores of the support by crystalline phase of HPA.

Figure 1. X-ray diffraction patterns of various wt% of $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}/\text{ZrO}_2$ catalysts. (a) Pure ZrO_2 , (b) 10, (c) 20, (d) 30, (e) 40 and (f) 50.

3.1c FT-IR spectroscopy: Figure 2 shows FT-IR spectra of different wt% of $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}$ loadings supported on ZrO_2 recorded in the range of 1100–700 cm^{-1} . Pure HPA material was also characterized by FT-IR spectroscopy for better comparison of results and it is also shown in figure 2 (inset). Figure 2 shows that all the samples exhibit four well-defined infrared bands in the range of 1100–700 cm^{-1} at 1056 cm^{-1} , 950 cm^{-1} , 857, and 790 cm^{-1} .^{36,37} These IR bands are attributed to vibrational bands of P-O, Mo-O, Mo-O_c-Mo (O_c = corner sharing oxygen) and Mo-O_e-Mo (O_e = edge sharing oxygen), respectively. It can be seen from figure 2 that the intensity of these four skeletal vibrations of Keggin ion are found to increase with HPA loading on ZrO_2 support. At higher HPA loading (50 wt%), IR bands of Mo-O_c-Mo and Mo-O_e-Mo are clearly observed suggesting the formation of bulk-like HPA on the ZrO_2 support. Characteristic bands of four skeletal vibrations observed in all the materials confirm that primary Keggin ion structure is retained on

Figure 2. FT-IR spectra of various wt% of $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}/\text{ZrO}_2$ catalysts. (a) 10, (b) 20, (c) 30, (d) 40 and (e) 50.

zirconia support. FTIR results are good agreement with XRD studies.

3.1d Raman spectroscopy: Raman spectra of tetragonal zirconia is characterized by bands at 263, 302, 534 and 645 cm^{-1} . However, monoclinic zirconia show Raman bands with frequencies at 331, 374, 476, 530, 539 and 616 cm^{-1} .³⁸ Laser Raman spectra of pure $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}$ and various loadings of $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}$ supported on ZrO_2 is shown in figures 3 and 4, respectively. Laser Raman spectra of pure $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}$ and various loadings of HPA/ ZrO_2 catalysts showed Raman bands at 1000, 842, 676 and 300 cm^{-1} . These Raman bands are assigned to frequencies of $\nu_s(\text{Mo-O}_t)$, $\nu_{as}(\text{Mo-O}_t)$, $\nu_s(\text{Mo-O}_c\text{-Mo})$ and $\nu_s(\text{Mo-O}_a)$, respectively. As the HPA loading increases from 10 to 50 wt% on zirconia support, intensity of aforementioned Raman bands increase indicating that the Keggin structure of

Table 1. Results of BET surface area and TPD- NH_3 of $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}/\text{ZrO}_2$ catalysts.

HPA loading	BET surface area (m^2/g)	NH_3 uptake (mmol/g)			Total NH_3 uptake (mmol/g)
		Weak ^a	Moderate ^b	Strong ^c	
10	56	0.252	0.230	0.114	0.596
20	42	0.298	0.524	0.078	0.900
30	28	0.340	2.563	—	2.903
40	19	0.493	4.850	—	5.343
50	8	0.696	3.512	—	4.208

^aWeak acidic sites = 150°–300°C

^bModerate acidic sites = 300°–450°C

^cStrong acidic sites = 450°–550°C

Figure 3. Raman spectra of pure $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}$ acid.

heteropolyacid remains intact in all the calcined samples. However, the sample with 40 wt% HPA showed clear characteristic Keggin ion band at 1000 cm^{-1} and this band is shifted to 1005 cm^{-1} at higher loadings. Stretching frequencies of $\nu_{\text{as}}(\text{Mo}-\text{O}_\text{t})$ and $\nu_{\text{s}}(\text{Mo}-\text{O}_\text{a})$ observed clearly at 846 and 300 cm^{-1} at higher loading

Figure 4. Raman spectra of various wt% of $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}/\text{ZrO}_2$ catalysts. (a) Pure ZrO_2 , (b) 10, (c) 20, (d) 30, (e) 40 and (f) 50.

of HPA indicates bulk-like formation of HPA on zirconia. These findings are in good agreement with results of $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}/\text{SiO}_2$ reported by Kanno *et al.*²⁶ Thus, the above results confirm that at lower loadings, the Keggin ion of HPA is well-dispersed on the zirconia support compared to higher loading (50 wt%) and bulk HPA (shown in figure 3).

3.1e Temperature-programmed desorption of ammonia: Temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) profiles of ammonia for $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}/\text{ZrO}_2$ with $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}$ loadings ranging from 10 to 50 wt% are shown in figure 5 and the amount of NH_3 desorbed is reported in table 1. It can be seen from figure 5 that the desorption peak appearing between 150° and 300°C is attributed to weak acidic sites, the peak noticed between 300° and 450°C is attributed to moderate acidic sites and the peak observed between 450° and 550°C is attributed to strong acidic sites of the catalyst. TPD profiles of lower HPA loading of catalyst showed three desorbed peaks indicating that it has three types of acidic sites (weak, moderate and strong). As the HPA loading increases on zirconia support, weak acidic sites increase gradually from 10–50 wt% $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}$ and strong acidic sites decrease with increasing HPA loading. Whereas the moderate acidic sites increase with increase in HPA loading up to 40 wt%, thereafter, it decreases at higher loadings (50 wt%). Ammonia uptake values of different wt% HPA on support are summarized in table 1. It is interesting to see that total acidity of catalyst increases up to 40 wt% and it decreases at 50 wt% HPA loading. Decrease in acidity at higher HPA loading might be due

Figure 5. TPD- NH_3 of various wt% of $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}/\text{ZrO}_2$ catalysts. (a) 10, (b) 20, (c) 30, (d) 40 and (e) 50.

to formation of high crystalline HPA (bulk-like) and it was decomposed at higher loadings leading to decrease in acidity. This was also noticed from the previously described results of FT-IR and Raman studies. There is a considerable amount of strong acidic sites at lower loadings which decreased considerably at higher loadings. TPD of ammonia shows a clear shoulder peak at moderate temperature region and a deconvoluted peak (figure 5). The deconvoluted peak indicates that moderate acidity increases with increase in HPA loading and decreases at higher HPA loadings.

3.1f FT-IR spectroscopy of pyridine adsorption: The nature of acidic sites (Brønsted and Lewis acidic sites) of HPA supported on ZrO_2 is also examined by *ex situ* FT-IR of pyridine-adsorbed samples. *Ex situ* pyridine FT-IR spectra of various wt% of $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}$ on ZrO_2 catalysts in the range of $1600\text{--}1400\text{ cm}^{-1}$ are shown in figure 6. The IR band appearing at 1537 cm^{-1} is due to Brønsted acidic sites, the IR peak appearing at 1486 cm^{-1} is assigned to both Brønsted and Lewis (B+L) acidic sites and the IR band at 1443 cm^{-1} band is assigned exclusively to Lewis acidic sites. As seen from

Figure 6. FT-IR spectra of pyridine adsorption of various wt% of $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}/\text{ZrO}_2$ catalysts. (a) 10, (b) 20, (c) 30, (d) 40 and (e) 50.

Figure 7. FT-IR spectra of pyridine adsorption of 40 wt% of $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}$ on various supports of (a) ZrO_2 , (b) TiO_2 and (c) Al_2O_3 .

in figure 6, Brønsted acidity increases with increase in HPA loading up to 40 wt% and decreases at higher loading. However, Lewis acidity decreases gradually up to 40 wt% of HPA and increases at higher loadings (>40 wt% catalyst). Intensity of IR bands of both Brønsted and Lewis acidic sites at 1486 cm^{-1} increases with HPA loading up to 40 wt% and at higher loading, both the B+L acidic sites decrease. These results are in good agreement with previously described acidity measurements by ammonia TPD method.

A comparative study of acidity exhibited by 40 wt% HPA on different supports (ZrO_2 , TiO_2 and Al_2O_3) are examined by *ex situ* FT-IR spectra of pyridine in the range of $1600\text{--}1400\text{ cm}^{-1}$ (figure 7). As seen in figure 7, intensity of IR peaks for B and B + L acidic sites of $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}/\text{ZrO}_2$ is found to be higher compared to $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}/\text{TiO}_2$ and $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$. However, intensity of L acidic sites peak of $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}/\text{ZrO}_2$ is found to be much lower than $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}/\text{TiO}_2$ and $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$. These results clearly demonstrate that $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}$ supported on ZrO_2 exhibits higher amount of Brønsted acidic sites than HPA supported on TiO_2 , Al_2O_3 .

3.2 Catalytic activity studies

3.2a Effect of HPA loading on ZrO_2 : Catalytic properties exhibited by various HPA catalysts-supported ZrO_2 during vapour phase dehydration of glycerol are shown in figure 8 and the product distribution in table 2.

Figure 8. Conversion of aqueous glycerol/acrolein selectivity and wt% of $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}/\text{ZrO}_2$ catalyst at 225°C.

As seen in figure 8 conversion of glycerol did not appreciably change with HPA loading. It might be due to low concentration of glycerol employed in the feed. However, HPA loading in the catalyst considerably influences acrolein selectivity during glycerol dehydration. It is found that acrolein selectivity increases with increase in HPA loading up to 40 wt% HPA and decreases at higher HPA loadings. It is interesting to note from the ammonia TPD results that moderate or total acidity increases with increase in HPA loading up to 40 wt% and further decreases at higher loading. Thus, the above results clearly suggest that acrolein selectivity depends mainly on acidity of the catalyst. The generally accepted reaction for glycerol dehydration is shown in scheme 1.

According to literature, Brønsted acidic sites are responsible for the formation of acrolein.³ As seen in figure 6 that Brønsted acidic sites increase up to 40 wt% HPA loading and decreases at higher loadings. Acrolein

selectivity also increases with HPA loading in a similar way. Therefore, Brønsted acidic sites play an important role in glycerol dehydration to form acrolein selectively. A considerable decrease in selectivity of acrolein is noticed at 50 wt% HPA due to presence of more number of Lewis acidic sites and also less amount of moderate acidic sites (table 1). The 40 wt% HPA/ ZrO_2 exhibited 89% acrolein selectivity with complete conversion of glycerol. Acetic acid selectivity decreases with increase in HPA loading up to 40 wt% of HPA on the support and increases at higher loadings (table 2). This is due to decrease in intensity of L acidic sites peak up to 40 wt% and increases at higher loading (figure 6). Results of catalytic properties are well-correlated with the nature of acidic sites and also with total acidity of catalysts.

3.2b Effect of reaction temperature: Effect of reaction temperature on dehydration of glycerol was examined over 40 wt% HPA on zirconia support and the product distribution results are reported in table 3. As seen from the results in table 3, selectivity of acrolein and acetic acid varies with reaction temperature. However, conversion of glycerol increases with increase in reaction temperature up to 225°C and remains constant at higher temperatures. Presence of vanadium in heteropolyacid prevents deactivation of the catalyst at higher temperatures. This is due to the oxidative ability of vanadium-containing heteropolyacids during the reaction at higher reaction temperature.^{26,28,31–34,36,39–42} As the reaction temperature increases from 200° to 250°C, acrolein selectivity increases from 65% to 89% and thereafter decreases to 76%, whereas acetic acid selectivity increases from 2% to 10% during the reaction. Significant changes in product selectivity are probably due to variation in the nature of acidic sites of

Table 2. Product distribution results of glycerol dehydration over various wt% of $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}/\text{ZrO}_2$ at 225°C^x.

HPA loading on ZrO_2	$C_{\text{gly}}(\%)^a$	Selectivity (mol%)			
		Ac ^b	Ace ^c	Aceta ^d	Other ^y
10	100	35	38	10	17
20	100	48	25	8	19
30	100	65	21	4	10
40	100	89	3	4	4
50	100	76	16	2	6

^xResults obtained after 4 h. Reaction conditions: catalyst weight = 0.3 g, feed = 0.5 mLh⁻¹, 10 mLmin⁻¹ gas flow rate (N_2), 10 wt% aqueous glycerol solution, reaction temperature = 225°C

^aConversion of glycerol

^bAcrolein selectivity

^cAcetic acid selectivity

^dAcetaldehyde selectivity

^yHydroxyacetone, acetone, other products

Scheme 1. Glycerol dehydration over $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}/\text{ZrO}_2$ catalysts.

vanadium-containing heteropolyacid anion at higher reaction temperature.

3.2c Effect of support: Superior catalytic performance of HPA/ ZrO_2 catalysts is also compared with HPA supported on TiO_2 and Al_2O_3 and the results are reported in table 4. Acrolein selectivity is found to be higher in the case of ZrO_2 -supported HPA compared to TiO_2 and Al_2O_3 -supported catalysts. Enhancement in the selectivity of acrolein over HPA/ ZrO_2 is due to availability of more number of Brønsted acidic sites (figure 7). However, it is observed that acetic acid selectivity is high in the case of Al_2O_3 -supported catalysts compared to other supports such as TiO_2 and ZrO_2 (table 4). This might be due to the presence of more number of L acidic sites in HPA/ Al_2O_3 than the other supports as revealed from the FT-IR spectra of pyridine adsorption of 40 wt% on various supports (figure 7). It is interesting to note that irrespective of support used in dehydration of glycerol, there is no change in the glycerol conversion due to redox nature of vanadium-containing HPA.^{26,28,31–34,36,39–42} However, the supports considerably affect selectivity of acrolein and other products. Acrolein selectivity of $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}$ supported on different supports (table 4) are well-correlated with the results of FT-IR spectra of pyridine-adsorbed samples (figure 7). This results show that catalytic

properties depend on the nature of support and interaction between the support and HPA. Thus, ZrO_2 as a support exhibits much better catalytic performance than other high surface area Al_2O_3 and TiO_2 supports indicate that ZrO_2 support strongly interacts with primary Keggin unit of HPA than other supports.

3.2d Effect of time on stream: Effect of time on stream on catalytic properties during glycerol dehydration by using 40 wt% $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}$ on different supports is shown in figure 9. As seen in figure 9, HPA/ ZrO_2 exhibited complete conversion of glycerol with stable activity over a period of 10 h on stream with high acrolein selectivity (10 wt% aqueous glycerol solution). However, HPA/ TiO_2 shows stable activity up to 8 h and decreases gradually with time on stream. Similarly, acrolein selectivity also decreases with time on stream. In the case of HPA/ Al_2O_3 , stable activity is noticed only up to 7 h and further it decreased. Stable activities of ZrO_2 -supported catalyst compared to other supported catalysts are due to strong interaction between active phase of HPA and zirconia supports than other supports such as alumina and titania. Decrease in glycerol conversion and acrolein selectivity over HPA supported on Al_2O_3 and TiO_2 is due to significant deactivation of HPA catalyst or decomposition of Keggin ion

Table 3. Product distribution results of glycerol dehydration over 40 wt% $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}/\text{ZrO}_2$ catalyst at $200^\circ\text{--}250^\circ\text{C}^x$.

Reaction temperature ($^\circ\text{C}$)	$\text{C}_{\text{gly}}(\%)^a$	Selectivity (mol%)			
		Ac ^b	Ace ^c	Aceta ^d	Other ^y
200	91	65	2	12	21
225	100	89	3	4	4
250	100	78	10	2	10

^xResults obtained after 4 h. Reaction conditions: catalyst weight = 0.3 g, feed = 0.5 mLh⁻¹, 10 mLmin⁻¹ gas flow rate (N_2), 10 wt% aqueous glycerol solution, reaction temperature = $200^\circ\text{--}250^\circ\text{C}$

^aConversion of glycerol

^bAcrolein selectivity

^cAcetic acid selectivity

^dAcetaldehyde selectivity

^yHydroxyacetone, acetone products

Table 4. Product distribution results of glycerol dehydration over 40 wt% $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}$ on different support catalyst at 225°C^x .

HPA on support	BET surface area (m^2/g)	$C_{\text{gly}}(\%)^a$	Selectivity ($\text{mol}\%$)			
			Ac ^b	Acc ^c	Aceta ^d	Other ^y
ZrO_2	19	100	89	3	4	4
TiO_2	15	100	76	18	3	3
Al_2O_3	146	100	53	28	5	13

^xResults obtained after 4 h. Reaction conditions: catalyst weight = 0.3 g, feed = 0.5 mLh^{-1} , 10 mLmin^{-1} gas flow rate (N_2), 10 wt% aqueous glycerol solution, reaction temperature = 225°C

^aConversion of glycerol

^bAcrolein selectivity

^cAcetic acid selectivity

^dAcetaldehyde selectivity

^yHydroxyacetone, acetone, other products

Figure 9. Time on stream studies of 40 wt% HPA on ZrO_2 , TiO_2 and Al_2O_3 support at 225°C .

structure of HPA on the support during the course of the reaction.

4. Conclusion

Vanadium-containing phosphomolybdic acid catalysts supported on ZrO_2 polymorphs are found to be highly active and selective for dehydration of glycerol to acrolein compared to HPA supported on Al_2O_3 and TiO_2 . This is mainly due to the presence of highly dispersed $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}$ Keggin structure on ZrO_2 support leading to strong interaction between primary structure of Keggin ion and ZrO_2 support. XRD results suggest that HPA is present in a highly dispersed state at lower loadings. FT-IR and Raman spectroscopy confirm that the characteristic Keggin ion of HPA remains intact with the support. TPD of ammonia results confirms that

40 wt% HPA loading possesses higher amount of moderate acidic sites and also high total acidity compared to other HPA loadings of the catalysts. *Ex situ* FT-IR of pyridine adsorption results suggests that 40 wt% HPA has higher amount of Brønsted acidity than other loadings. Amount of Brønsted acidity is also higher in HPA supported on ZrO_2 than Al_2O_3 and TiO_2 -supported catalysts. Acrolein selectivity during vapour phase dehydration of glycerol is well-correlated with the moderate or total acidity and Brønsted acidity of the catalysts. Furthermore, this study reveals optimum composition of $\text{H}_4\text{PMo}_{11}\text{VO}_{40}$ over zirconia catalyst (40 wt%) for obtaining good catalytic performance in dehydration of glycerol to acrolein at 225°C under atmospheric pressure (mild reaction conditions).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Director, IICT, Hyderabad. BV thanks the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi for the award of Senior Research Fellowship. This work is supported by CSIR, New Delhi under the Network projects of XII -5 year plan (Indus Magic-WP3).

References

1. Zhou C H, Beltramini J N, Fana Y X and Lu G Q 2008 *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **37** 527
2. Jia C J, Liu Y, Schmidt W, Lu A H and Schüth F 2010 *J. Catal.* **269** 71
3. Katryniok B, Paul S, Baca V B, Rey P and Dumeignil F 2010 *Green. Chem.* **12** 2079
4. Pagliaro M, Ciriminna R, Kimura H, Rossi M and Pina C D 2007 *Ang. Chem. Int. Ed.* **46** 4434
5. Behr A, Eilting J, Irawadi K, Leschinski J and Lindner F 2008 *Green. Chem.* **10** 13

6. Kim Y T, Jung K D and Park E D 2011 *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* **107** 177
7. Tao L Z, Chai S H, Zuo Y, Zheng W T, Liang Y and Xu B Q 2010 *Catal. Today* **158** 310
8. Atia H, Armbruster U and Martin A 2011 *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* **393** 331
9. Deleplanque J, Dubois J L, Devaux J F and Ueda W 2010 *Catal. Today* **157** 351
10. Ulgen A and Hoelderich W F 2011 *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* **400** 34
11. Cavani F, Guidetti S, Marinelli L, Piccinini M, Ghedini E and Signoretto M 2010 *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* **100** 197
12. Pathak K, Reddy K M, Bakhshi N N and Dalai A K 2010 *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* **372** 224
13. Suprun W, Lutecki M, Gläser R and Papp H 2011 *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **342–343** 91
14. Alhanash A, Kozhevnikova E F and Kozhevnikov I V 2010 *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* **378** 11
15. Wang F, Dubois J L and Ueda W 2010 *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* **376** 25
16. Garbay P L, Millet J M M, Loridant S, Baca V B and Rey P 2011 *J. Catal.* **280** 68
17. Yoda E and Ootawa A 2009 *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* **360** 66
18. Gu Y, Cui N, Yu Q, Li C and Cui Q 2012 *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* **429–430** 9
19. Sancho C G, Tost R M, Robles J M, González J S, López A J and Torres P M 2012 *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* **433–434** 179
20. Wang F, Dubois J L and Ueda W 2009 *J. Catal.* **268** 260
21. Chai S H, Wang H P, Liang Y and Xu B Q 2008 *Green. Chem.* **10** 1087
22. Chary K V R, Ramesh K, Naresh D, Rao P V R, Rao A R and Rao V V 2009 *Catal. Today* **141** 187
23. Chary K V R, Ramesh K, Vidyasagar G and Rao V V 2003 *J. Mol. Catal. A: Gen.* **198** 195
24. Chai S H, Wang H P, Liang Y and Xu B Q 2009 *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* **353** 213
25. Devassy B M and Halligudi S B 2005 *J. Catal.* **236** 313
26. Kanno M, Yasukawa T, Ninomiya W, Ooyachi K and Kamiya Y 2010 *J. Catal.* **273** 1
27. Barteau K P, Lyons J E, Song I K and Barteau M A 2006 *Top. Catal.* **41** 55
28. Song I K and Barteau M A 2004 *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **212** 229
29. Weber R S 1994 *J. Phys. Chem.* **98** 2999
30. Baghernejad B, Heravi M M, Oskooie H A and Bamoharram F F 2012 *Bull. Chem. Soc. Ethiop.* **26** 145
31. Kanno M, Miura Y K, Yasukawa T, Hasegawa T, Ninomiya W, Ooyachi K, Imai H, Tatsumi T and Kamiya Y 2011 *Catal. Commun.* **13** 59
32. Benadji S, Eloy P, Léonard A, Su B L, Bachari K, Rabia C and Gaigneaux E M 2010 *Micro. Meso. Mater.* **130** 103
33. Romanelli G P, Villabrille P I, Cáceres C V, Vázquez P G and Tundo P 2011 *Catal. Commun.* **12** 726
34. Erfle S, Armbruster U, Bentrup U, Martin A and Brückner A 2011 *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* **391** 102
35. Kaba M S, Barteau M A, Lee W Y and Song I K 2000 *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* **194–195** 129
36. Zhang J, Tang Y, Li G and Hu C 2005 *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* **278** 251
37. Ilkenhans T, Herzog B, Braun T and Schlögl R 1995 *J. Catal.* **153** 275
38. Shi L, Tin C K and Wong B N 1999 *J. Mater. Sci.* **34** 3367
39. Collins F M, Lucy A R and Sharp C 1997 *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **117** 397
40. Barteau M A, Lyons J E and Song I K 2003 *J. Catal.* **216** 236
41. Liu H and Iglesia E 2003 *J. Phys. Chem.* **B107** 10840
42. Choi J H, Park D R, Park S and Song I K 2011 *Catal. Lett.* **141** 826