Helical chirality induction of expanded porphyrin analogues

JUN-ICHIRO SETSUNE

Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Nada-ku, Kobe 657 8501, Japan
e-mail: setsunej@kobe-u.ac.jp

Abstract. Expanded porphyrin analogues with unique figure-eight conformation were prepared by way of useful pyrrole intermediates such as *bis*(azafulvene)s and 2-borylpyrrole. Supramolecular chirogenesis of cyclooctapyrrole **O1** with 32π -cycloconjugation was successfully applied to determine absolute configuration of chiral carboxylic acids. Dinuclear Cu^{II} complex of cyclooctapyrrole **O2** with interrupted π -conjugation was resolved by HPLC into enantiomers and their helical handedness was determined by theoretical simulation of their CD spectral pattern. Enantioselective induction of helicity in the metal helicate formation in the presence of a chiral promoter was demonstrated by using (*R*)-(+)-1-(1-phenyl)ethylamine that favoured *P, P* helicity. Dinuclear Co^{II} complexes of cyclotetrapyrroletetrapyridine **O3** were found to be substitution labile and pick up amino acid anions in water. Those amino acid complexes of **O3Co**₂ were rendered to adopt a particular unidirectional helical conformation preferentially depending on the ligated amino acid anion.

Keywords. Porphyrin analogues; metal complexes; helical chirality; chirality sensing.

1. Introduction

Chemistry of expanded porphyrins has made great progress in the last couple of decades.¹ Novel structures, properties, and reactions have been reported. The figure-eight conformation as evidenced by X-ray crystal structures of [40]decaphyrin(1.0.1.0.0.1.0.1.0.0), [32]octaphyrin(1.0.1.0.1.0.1.0), [34]octaphyrin(1.1.1.0.1.1.1.0), and [36]octaphyrin(2.1.0.1.2.1.0.1) in the mid 90's is one of the most intriguing aspects of expanded porphyrins.^{2,3} Topological feature of the double half twist of this figure-eight conformation in relation to the number of π -electrons attracts much attention in the context of aromaticity and antiaromaticity.⁴ On the other hand, helical chirality originated from figure-eight conformation of cyclooctapyrroles was demonstrated by Vogel.⁵ That is, HPLC separation of [36]octaphyrin(2.1.0.1.2.1.0.1) on a chiral phase was successful to determine helical handedness of the Pd complex by X-ray crystallography. The relationship between the helical handedness of the free base [36]octaphyrin(2.1.0.1.2.1.0.1) and the chiroptical feature has recently been unambiguously determined by the theoretical study.⁶ Since the electronic circular dichroism arising from the chromophore of porphyrins and the related compounds is a powerful tool for studying chiral materials, porphyrins have been frequently utilized in chiral sensing and memory.^{7,8} For example, complexation with chiral ligands induced the bisporphyrin tweezer to take a particular conformation with an excess of unidirectional screw between the two porphyrin moieties.^{7a–d} In this context, application of

cyclooctapyrroles with a figure-eight conformation to chirality sensing and asymmetric catalysis is of great interest. To this end, we have been interested in developing properly designed expanded porphyrin analogues **O1**, **O2**, and **O3** to be applied for inducing helical chirality (chart 1).

2. Synthesis

2.1 Synthesis by way of *bis*(azafulvene)

Connecting two pyrrole units is a fundamental reaction in the porphyrinoid synthesis and there are two major such reactions to form dipyrromethane units **1**; that as in the Rothemund type reaction from pyrrole and aldehyde (route A-D) and that as in the MacDonald-[2+2] type reaction from dipyrromethane and dipyrromethane-5,5'-dialdehyde (route B) in scheme 1.^{9,10} The latter generates stable dipyrromethane **1** directly by acid catalysed dehydration but strongly acidic reaction conditions are usually employed, while the former uses drastic reaction conditions to make *in situ* oxidation of the initially formed dipyrromethane **2**. Since dipyrromethane and water under acidic conditions proceed to fragmentation as a backward reaction, relatively low efficiency and scrambling of the pyrrole units in the product macrocycles become problematic. Lindsey improved these situations by using two-step, one-flask, room-temperature procedure (route A-D).¹¹ That is, dipyrromethane formation

Chart 1. Expanded porphyrin analogues with figure-eight conformation.

using low reactant concentration in CH_2Cl_2 followed by addition of oxidizing agent such as 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ). Pyrrole-2-carbinol **3** can be a good starting material that is really an intermediate in the reaction of pyrrole and aldehyde of route A. Although Lindsey procedure starting from **3** was successfully applied for regioselective [2+2]-type porphyrin synthesis (route C-D), the generated water still causes undesired results in some cases.

We have found that **3** itself is cleanly dehydrated by the reaction with $(\text{Boc})_2\text{O}$ in the presence of DMAP to give isolable azafulvene **4** in good yield.¹² It turned out that **4** was reactive enough to act as Michael type acceptor when reacted with α -free pyrrole at room temperature in the absence of acid catalyst (route E-D). The reaction of **4** with pyrrole is not dehydration but addition and can be performed under neutral conditions. Therefore, acid-catalysed fragmentation-cyclization sequence responsible for the redistribution equilibrium among cyclooligomers of different ring size to favour thermodynamically more stable small ones as shown in scheme 2 is not occurring in the synthesis of porphyrinoids. This azafulvene methodology is suitable for the preparation of large sized porphyrinoids.

Two examples of synthesis of porphyrin analogues are shown in schemes 3 and 4 where *bis*(azafulvene) **5** and *bis*(azafulvenyl)dimethylmethane **6** were successfully used under neutral conditions. Vilsmeier–Haack aryoylation followed by NaBH_4 reduction according to the Lindsey protocol gave 2,2'-bipyrrole-5,5'-di(arylcarbinol) in good yield and then it was cleanly converted to *bis*(azafulvene) **5** as shown in scheme 3. When **5** was reacted with the parent 2,2-bipyrrole at room temperature and then with DDQ, a mixture of porphyrinoid homologues with 8, 12, 16, 20, and 24 pyrrole units was isolated in 22, 3, 4, 3, and 2% yield, respectively.¹³ Since this reaction does not generate homologues having 6, 10, 14, 18, and 22 pyrrole units, separation of the products mixture is much easier than those derived from TFA-catalysed condensation of 2,2'-bipyrrole and benzaldehyde where cyclohexapyrrole and cyclooctapyrrole **O1** are the only isolable products.¹⁴

An alternative method to get such dipyrrole-5,5'-dicarbinols is Vilsmeier–Haack formylation followed by addition of aryllithium as shown in scheme 4. The resulting *gem*-dimethyl-2,2'-dipyrromethane-5,5'-di(arylcarbinol) was converted to *bis*(azafulvene) **6**.

Scheme 1. Azafulvene **4** as a useful precursor for connecting pyrrole units in the synthesis of porphyrinoids.

Scheme 2. Acid-catalysed fragmentation–cyclization sequence in the porphyrin synthesis.

i) ArCONMe_2 , POCl_3 (Y=70–90%); ii) NaBH_4 (Y=80–90%); iii) $(\text{Boc})_2\text{O}$, DMAP (Y=80–90%).

Ar = C_6H_5 , C_6H_4 -*p*- NO_2 , C_6H_4 -*p*-OMe

Scheme 3. Synthesis of expanded porphyrins using *bis*(azafulvene) **5**.

Scheme 4. Synthesis of expanded porphyrins using *bis*(azafulvene) **6**.

Then, **6** was allowed to react with 2,2'-bipyrrole to generate a mixture of porphyrinoid homologues with different ring sizes from cyclotetrapyrrole to cyclooctacosapyrrole after DDQ oxidation.¹⁵ Up to cyclotetratetracontapyrrole having 44 pyrrole units was separated by GPC and characterized by mass analysis in this reaction and macrocycles having scrambled arrangements of two components was not detected. This cyclooctapyrrole **O2** has two parts of π -conjugated tetrapyrrole chromophores are connected by sp^3 carbons.

2.2 Synthesis by way of 2-borylpyrrole

Development of new building blocks is of great importance to make porphyrin analogues with unique structure and property. Dipyrrolylarenes are potential candidate for making larger macrocycles because the rigid structure having direct connection of pyrrole rings with an arene ring would not reduce cyclization efficiency as compared to 2,2'-bipyrrole. Furthermore, photo, redox, and acid-base functionality intrinsic to the arene moiety can be introduced into the macrocycle. In this context, we have prepared 2-borylpyrrole **7** quantitatively according to the protocol for borylation of aromatic halides starting from 2-carboethoxy-3,4-dialkylpyrrole

that is readily obtainable by Barton–Zard pyrrole synthesis.¹⁶ 2-Borylpyrrole was used successfully for coupling with various commercially available aromatic dihalides in the Suzuki–Miyaura cross coupling reactions as shown in scheme 5.¹⁷ 6,6'-Di-(2-pyrrolyl)-2,2'-Bipyridine was readily obtained from 6,6'-dibromo-2,2'-bipyridine and then used for synthesizing cyclotetrapyrrole tetrapyridine **O3**.¹⁸

3. Helical chirality induction

3.1 Chirality sensing of carboxylic acids by **O1**

Facile methods for the determination of molecular chirality are of increasing interest in view of the role of chirality in natural phenomena and medicine. Based on the circular dichroism (CD) exciton chirality method, the supramolecular chirality induction has been successfully used for the absolute configuration determination of chiral substances.^{7a-d} The unique structure of cyclooctapyrroles is potentially effective in sensing wide spectrum of chiral substances. X-ray crystallography of **O1** indicates figure-eight conformation that leads to a *P, P* helical enantiomer and a *M, M* helical enantiomer (figure 1). **O1** is undergoing rapid inversion

Scheme 5. Synthesis of dipyrrolylarenes by using borylpyrrole **7** and their application to the porphyrin analogue **O3**.

Figure 1. X-ray diagram of **O1** viewed along right axes.

between the *M, M* form and the *P, P* form in solution.¹⁹ The CD spectrum is silent for **O1**, whereas the positive 1st Cotton effect of the bisignate CD signal band at 644 nm arises upon complexation with (*R*)-(-)-mandelic acid.²⁰ The titration experiment shows that the CD band at 644 nm and the UV-vis band at 647 nm due to both 1:1 and 1:2 complexes take the maximum intensity at 50 equiv of (*R*)-(-)-mandelic acid (figure 2a,b). The sign of this induced Cotton effect is solely determined by the absolute configuration of the carboxylic acid thus (*S*)-(+)-mandelic acid induced a mirror image CD spectrum. The ability of sensing the chirality of carboxylic acids by **O1** is remarkable. All the (*S*)-configuration acids examined induce nega-

tive and the (*R*)-acids positive chirality (table 1). The OH group containing acids, **A1–A3**, make complete conversion of **O1** to diprotonated species that give clear CD spectra when added 300-fold excess. Also, the positioning of the 1st Cotton effect and UV-vis absorption maxima are similar. The lactic acid derivative **A4** and the CF₃ group containing **A11** bind strongly to **O1** and easily form tri- and tetraprotonated complexes that show characteristic UV-vis absorption band at around 590 nm when present more than 30 molar equivalents. Weak binding was observed for simple carboxylic acids, **A6–A8**. This is most likely due to their less acidic nature in comparison with the α -hydroxyl acids, **A1–A4**. Although 3-phenyllactic acid **A4** binds much more

Figure 2. CD (a) and UV-vis (b) spectral changes of **O1** (5.9 μM in CH_2Cl_2) upon addition of (*R*)-(-)- or (*S*)-(+)-mandelic acid (18 mM in MeOH) at 293 K.

Table 1. CD and UV-vis spectrometric data for supramolecular chirogenesis upon complexation of **O1** with various chiral carboxylic acids at 298 K in a 1 cm optical cell.^[a]

Ligand	CD sign ($\lambda_{\max}/\text{nm}$) of 1 st Cotton effect	UV-vis ($\lambda_{\max}/\text{nm}$)	$\Delta\theta/\Delta\text{abs}$ (mdeg) ^[f]
(<i>R</i>)-(-)- A1 ^[b]	+(644)	647	87
(<i>S</i>)-(+)- A1 ^[b]	-(644)	647	81
(<i>R</i>)-(-)- A2 ^[b]	+(639)	649	55
(<i>S</i>)-(+)- A2 ^[b]	-(639)	649	49
(<i>S</i>)-(-)- A3 ^[b]	-(642)	647	60
(<i>S</i>)-(-)- A4 ^[b,d]	-(644)	636	17
(<i>S</i>)-(-)- A5 ^[b]	-(611)	638	11
(<i>R</i>)-(-)- A6 ^[c]	+(642)	642	21
(<i>S</i>)-(+)- A6 ^[c]	-(642)	642	27
(<i>S</i>)-(+)- A7 ^[b,e]	-(644)	636	26
(<i>S</i>)-(+)- A8 ^[c,e]	-(641)	641	6
L-A9 ^[b]	-(643)	646	17
(<i>S</i>)-(-)- A10 ^[c,e]	-(622)	630	12
(<i>R</i>)-(+)- A11 ^[c,d]	+(647)	642	19
(<i>S</i>)-(-)- A11 ^[c,d]	-(647)	642	15

^[a] [**O1**] = 6.5 μM in CH_2Cl_2 . [carboxylic acid] = 1.95 mM. ^[b] in MeOH. ^[c] in CH_2Cl_2 .

^[d] C = 195 μM . ^[e] C = 9.75 mM. ^[f] |1st Cotton effect $\lambda_{\max}$ mdeg|/corresponding band

strongly than 2-hydroxyisocaproic acid **A3** but yields smaller intensity CD spectrum, which is consistent with the substituent bulkiness order effect. The large size of the naphthyl derivative substituent in **A7** is a possible reason for the weak binding thus suggesting that **O1** is not able to complex with even larger size carboxylic acids. Surprisingly, the absolute configuration of the remote chiral centre in **A10** can be read out by **O1**. This dynamic helical chirality induction depends on the relative thermodynamic stability of two diastereomeric complexes, for example, (*S*)-(+)-mandelic acid complex of *M, M* helical **O1** and (*S*)-(+)-mandelic

acid complex of *P, P* helical **O1** as shown in scheme 6.

3.2 Enantioselective helical chirality induction

Helical chirality is usually controlled by introducing a chiral auxiliary to cause the generation of a particular helical form in diastereomeric excess as described for **O1**.²¹ However, enantioselective induction of helicate formation with a chiral promoter in the absence of homochiral auxiliary is a challenging research target. **O2** was readily metallated by $\text{Cu}(\text{OAc})_2$ to give

Scheme 6. Generation of diastereomeric excess of helical chirality with homochiral guest.

mononuclear and dinuclear complexes in 43% and 50% yield, respectively, and their figure-eight structures were characterized by X-ray crystallography (figure 3).²² The helical chirality of these metal complexes is fixed and therefore enantiomeric separation of the dinuclear complex **O2Cu₂** was successful by HPLC on a chiral phase. The HPLC first fraction showed a positive 1st Cotton effect at 697 nm and the second fraction showed a negative 1st Cotton effect at the same wavelength (figure 4c). These CD spectral features are very similar to those of **O2** complexed with (*R*)-(-)- and (*S*)-(+)-mandelic acid, respectively (figure 4a). Therefore, a particular helical form is favoured when **O2** as well as **O1** is complexed with homochiral carboxylic acids (scheme 7). TDDFT calculation of the UV-vis

and CD spectra on the basis of the X-ray structure of **O2Cu₂** in the *M, M* helicity satisfactorily reproduced the spectra of the HPLC second fraction. Therefore, the negative 1st Cotton effect was associated with the *M, M* helical form. This assignment is consistent with the prediction made by exciton chirality method that correlates the sign of the 1st Cotton effect with the coupling dipole direction, clockwise or counter-clockwise, of the electronic transition moments of the neighbouring two chromophores. Transition moment of the front bisdipyrrin chromophore in the figure-eight screw of (*M, M*)-**O2Cu₂** couples with that in the backside bisdipyrrin chromophore through counter-clockwise rotation and thus negative 1st Cotton effect is predicted according to the exciton chirality method

Figure 3. X-ray diagram of **O2Cu₂** viewed along right axes.

Figure 4. CD (a) and UV-vis (b) spectral changes of **O2** (18 μ M) in CH_2Cl_2 (3 mL) by adding (S)-(+)-mandelic acid or (R)-(-)-mandelic acid (200 molar equiv) in MeOH (40 μ L). CD (c) and UV-vis (d) spectra of the HPLC fractions of **O2Cu₂** on a chiral phase.

(figure 5, left).²³ Because of the closely related structure of **O1** and **O2**, *M, M* helicity induction is suggested for the (S)-(+)-mandelic acid complex of **O1** that displays a negative 1st Cotton effect (figure 2a).

When metallation reaction was done in the presence of chiral promoter such as (R)-(+)-1-(1-phenyl)ethylamine, excess of *P, P* helicity up to 19% *ee* resulted in the mononuclear **O2Cu** complex ($k_{1P} >$

Scheme 7. Generation of diastereomeric excess of helical chirality with homochiral guest and enantiomeric excess with homochiral promoter (L^*). Rate constants, k_{1M} , k_{1P} , k_{2M} , and k_{2P} , and equilibrium constants, K_M and K_P , are shown.

Figure 5. Coupling dipole direction of electronic transition moments of $(M, M)\text{-O}_2\text{Cu}_2$ (top, left) and $(S)\text{-mandelate}$ complex of $(P, P)\text{-O}_3\text{Co}_2(\text{L})_2$ (top, right) with its side view (bottom, right). Predicted M, M helicity of the $(S)\text{-mandelate}$ complex of diprotonated **O2** (bottom, left).

k_{1M}) if 800/400/1 ratio of amine/Cu/**O2** was used at 0°C . The enantiomeric excess (% *ee*) could be determined by the CD intensity after conversion of the product **O2Cu** complex into **O2Cu₂**. Furthermore, the same chiral amine accelerated the insertion of the second Cu ion to the P, P form of **O2Cu** ($k_{2P} > k_{2M}$).²² That is, when a racemic mixture of **O2Cu** was treated with $(R)\text{-(+)-1-(1-phenyl)ethylamine}$ (10 equiv) and $\text{CuCl}_2 \bullet 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (5 equiv) in CH_2Cl_2 , *ee* value of 33% was observed for $(P, P)\text{-O}_2\text{Cu}_2$ in the initial stage of the metallation (table 2, entry 1), although this reaction condition does not make remarkable difference between the rate constants, k_{1M} and k_{1P} , to result in only 2% *ee* for $(P, P)\text{-O}_2\text{Cu}$. This means that the rate (k_{2P}) for the metal insertion into $(P, P)\text{-O}_2\text{Cu}$ is twice as fast

as the rate (k_{2M}) for the metal insertion into $(M, M)\text{-O}_2\text{Cu}$. As the metallation proceeds, $(P, P)\text{-O}_2\text{Cu}$ is consumed faster, which is consistent with the development of excess of the M, M helicity for **O2Cu**. Thus, 72% *ee* of M, M helicity was observed for **O2Cu** at the final stage of the metallation (table 2, entry 5). These results show that kinetic resolution may be a potential means in preparation of metal porphyrinoids with high optical purity.

3.3 Dynamic helicity change in the metal porphyrinoids

As described above, **O1** and **O2** can be induced to adopt a particular unidirectional helical conformation

Table 2. Conversion of racemic **O2Cu** to **O2Cu₂** by $\text{CuCl}_2 \bullet 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in the presence of $(R)\text{-(+)-1-(1-phenyl)ethylamine}$ at room temperature in CH_2Cl_2 .^[a]

Entry	Time (h)	CD sign		CD sign		Molar ratio O2Cu / O2Cu₂
		669 nm O2Cu	<i>ee</i> (%) ^[b] O2Cu	697 nm O2Cu₂	<i>ee</i> (%) O2Cu₂	
1	3	–	3	+	33	9/1
2	9	–	15	+	29	6/4
3	12	–	25	+	25	5/5
4	16	–	36	+	19	3/7
5	24	–	72	+	6	1/9

^[a] CH_2Cl_2 solution of **O2Cu** (0.36 μM) was reacted with a molar ratio (amine/ $\text{CuCl}_2 \bullet 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ /**O2**) 10/5/1. ^[b] % *ee* was determined using $|\Delta\epsilon_{583} - \Delta\epsilon_{496}|$ as an index after conversion to **O2Cu₂** by $\text{Cu}(\text{OAc})_2 \bullet \text{H}_2\text{O}$, if necessary

preferentially by complexation with homochiral carboxylic acids in CH_2Cl_2 . Such complexes of homochiral carboxylic acids are labile and the CD response at around 600 nm has almost gone in MeOH even if cyclooctapyrrole retains its protonated form. This indicates that binding interaction supplied mainly by hydrogen bondings does not work well in protic media. Metal-ligand coordination bondings are generally much stronger than hydrogen bondings so that helical chirality of cyclooctapyrroles would find much wider application if homochiral ligand molecules coordinate reversibly to cyclooctapyrrole metal complexes. However, examples of porphyrinoid metal complexes having additional ligands are not only limited, but also well-defined substitution labile metal complexes of large porphyrinoids have never been known.²⁴ Furthermore, although metal insertion is useful for the purpose of freezing transiently produced unidirectional helical chirality of cyclooctapyrrole free base as shown for **O2Cu2**,^{22,25} it becomes a serious problem if helical chirality change responding to the stereochemistry of the additional ligand is intended in the framework of cyclooctapyrrole metal complexes.

A hybrid macrocycle **O3** composed of bipyridines and dipyrins gave biscobalt complexes of figure-eight conformation with k^2 -carboxylate ligands at the axial sites.¹⁸ X-ray crystallography of **O3Co2(OCOPh)₂** shows that each hexadentate Co is ligated by dipyrin nitrogens, a bipyridine nitrogen, k^2 -benzoate oxygens, and a water oxygen (figure 6). The 2,2'-bipyridine units

take an anti conformation where the N–C–C–N torsion angles are 146.6 and 139.1°, leading to figure eight macrocycle conformation. Two carboxylate ligands are on the opposite sides of the macrocycle and close to the water ligand at the axial position of the neighbouring Co atom. One bipyridine unit is not coordinating to Co but their nitrogens are involved in the hydrogen bonding with the water ligands (N–O distance: 2.791 and 2.870 Å). The Co–N(pyridine) bonds (2.358 and 2.367 Å) are much longer than the Co–N(pyrrole) bonds (1.965 ~ 2.121 Å) and tilted from the pyridine plane (27.7° and 28.3°). These structural feature causes reversible cleavage of the Co–N(pyridine) bonding that would be crucial for the helicity change of the macrocycle. The axial acetate ligands of **O3Co2(OAc)₂** are readily exchanged with carboxylates of α -hydroxyl acids and α -amino acids in water to cause helical chirality induction in 100% diastereoselectivity in some cases as evidenced by a CD couplet at 550 nm. A typical couplet with a positive Cotton effect at 597 nm and a negative Cotton effect at 538 nm appeared during the CD titration of **O3Co2(OAc)₂** (4.98 μM) in CH_2Cl_2 with a mixture of (*S*)-(+)-mandelic acid (1.99 mM) and triethylamine (2.40 mM) in $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$ (19/1 v/v) (figure 7). Saturation occurred at ca. 20-fold molar equiv of the carboxylate. The product complex was isolated and the NMR analysis demonstrated 88% diastereomeric excess (*de*). Because the UV-vis absorption band of these binuclear Co^{II} complexes at 550 nm can be associated with the electronic transition of the

Figure 6. X-ray diagram of **O3Co2(OCOPh)₂** viewed along right axes.

Figure 7. CD titration of **O3Co₂(OAc)₂** (4.98 μ M) in CH_2Cl_2 with (*S*)-(+)-mandelic acid (1.99 mM) and triethylamine (2.40 mM) in $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$ (19/1). Inset: A titration curve of the CD intensity vs. added molar equiv of the carboxylate.

dipyrrin chromophore, their exciton coupling should be responsible for the CD couplet at around 550 nm. Thus, a positive couplet induced by (*S*)-(+)-mandelate means

P, *P* helicity seen in the exciton coupling diagram in figure 5 (right) according to the exciton chirality method.²³

The ligand exchange is so fast that anionic ligands can be extracted from water layer. When a two-phase mixture of **O3Co₂(OAc)₂** in CH_2Cl_2 and L-threonine (100-fold molar equiv) with NaOH (30-fold molar equiv) in water was stirred for 30 min, the reaction was completed to give **O3Co₂(Thr)₂** in 77% isolated yield. The ^1H NMR spectrum showed that a single diastereomer was generated. In fact, L- and D-threonine generated mirror image CD spectra with the highest CD intensity among amino acids as seen in table 3 where the sign and wavelength of the 1st Cotton effect with its $|\Delta\theta|$ value are listed for 19 L-amino acids. This strict stereo control would be ascribed to two chiral centres present at the α - and β -carbon of threonine. The induced CD intensity is sensitive to the nature of amino acids. Amino acids of an aryl side chain (Phe, Tyr, Trp) induced strong CD intensity as much as Thr

Table 3. UV-vis and CD data of Co complexes ligated by amino acid anions.^[a]

Ligand	UV-vis λ_{max} (ϵ_{rel})/nm	2 nd CE/1 st CE sign(λ_{max})/nm	$ \Delta\theta^{[b]} $ /mdeg
Ala	551 (1.18)	−(540)/+(594)	31
Leu	551 (1.30)	−(535)/+(587)	47
Ile	551 (1.27)	−(533)/+(581)	22
Val	551 (1.19)	−(533)/+(581)	10
Thr	551 (1.16)	+(538)/−(594)	126
D-Thr	552 (1.12)	−(538)/+(594)	122
Ser	552 (1.04)	+(537)/−(592)	32
Cys	547 (0.95)	−(518)/+(558)	25
Met	552 (1.19)	−(539)/+(591)	42
Pro	552 (1.15)	−(540)/+(592)	93
Phe	552 (1.24)	−(536)/+(590)	117
Tyr	552 (1.02)	−(538)/+(591)	123
Trp	552 (1.17)	−(537)/+(590)	116
His	551 (0.59)	n.d.	0
Arg	552 (0.93)	−(537)/+(592)	25
Lys	552 (0.98)	−(537)/+(595)	3
Gln	552 (0.90)	−(540)/+(598)	10
Glu	552 (0.92)	n.d.	0
Asn	551 (1.00)	+(540)/−(593)	7
Asp	552 (0.86)	−(548)/+(599)	7

^[a] Data were taken in CH_2Cl_2 containing **O3Co₂(OAc)₂** (4.7 μ M) after stirring for 30 min with aqueous solution of amino acids (0.47 mM; 100-fold molar equiv) and NaOH (0.14 mM). ^[b] the CD intensity $|\Delta\theta|$ is the difference value between the 1st Cotton and the 2nd Cotton effect $|\theta_{1\text{st}} - \theta_{2\text{nd}}|$.

Scheme 8. Plausible ligand substitution pathway with helicity change.

to suggest very high stereo control. On the other hand, amino acids of an alkyl side chain usually showed weaker CD intensity. When amino acid's side chain contains strongly polar functionality, the CD induction is negligibly small. Since the size of OH, CH₃, and NH₂ groups at the α -carbon of carboxylates fits inside the crevice surrounded by the walls of pyridine rings, the bulkier substituent at the α -carbon hits or circumvents those walls depending on the helical handedness of the macrocycle. This explains universal relationship between a positive 1st Cotton effect at around 590 nm and the (*S*)-configuration at the α -position of carboxylic acids. Thr, and Ser have a hydroxyl group at the β -carbon and Asn has an amide oxygen or nitrogen at the γ -carbon. If these remote functionalities favour the position inside macrocycle crevice to participate in the hydrogen bonding interaction with axial ligand sitting side by side at the next metal site, it would be responsible for their opposite CD sign.

As revealed by X-ray, the bipyridine nitrogens are anchored to Co directly through the weak coordination bonding and indirectly through the hydrogen bonding to the axial water ligands. It is reasonably assumed that the added carboxylate anions would replace the axial water ligands with concomitant cleavage of the weakly coordinating bipyridine nitrogens of the *C*₂-symmetric *bis*(aquo)*bis*(*k*²-carboxylato)*bis*Co complex to generate a *D*₂-symmetric intermediate of dual six-coordinate *bis*(*k*²-carboxylato)(dipyrrinato)Co coordination mode as depicted in scheme 8. The bipyridine units of the latter are free to make helicity change of the macrocycle for a favoured helical screw.

4. Conclusions

The unique figure-eight conformation of cyclooctapyrroles is a potentially useful scaffold for developing molecular tools for chirality science. Properly designed expanded porphyrins for application to chirality induction and chirality sensing are described here. Binding chiral carboxylic acids to **O1** through multiple hydrogen bondings is the basis for generating helical chirality that can be detected by CD response at visible region. Remarkable read-out accuracy of **O1** for the absolute configuration of carboxylic acids was demonstrated. While those dynamic chirality transfer from carboxylate anions to protonated porphyrinoids was weakened in protic media, helicity is memorized in the form of metal complexes. Study on the Cu complexes of **O2** elucidated relationship between the sign of the CD 1st Cotton effect and the helical handedness. Furthermore, enantiomeric excess of the Cu complex of **O2** was induced by chiral promoter in the metallation process. Finally, Co complexes of **O3** with carboxylate ligands were isolated to show that the chirality of the axial ligands has a great influence on the helical chirality of the macrocycle. These Co complexes underwent ligand exchange with concomitant helicity change that is enabled by reversible on-off coordination of the bipyridine nitrogens within the macrocycle. Such dynamic feature of the Co complex enabled to pick up amino acid anions in water and showed characteristic CD response depending on the amino acid. Structural design of expanded porphyrin analogues warrants further development of functional materials for chiral science.

References

- (a) Jasat A and Dolphin A 1997 *Chem. Rev.* **97** 2267; (b) Sessler J L, Gebauer A and Weghorn S J 2000 in *The porphyrin handbook*, vol. 2, K M Kadish, K M Smith, R Guilard (eds) (San Diego: Academic Press) pp55; (c) Sessler J L and Seidel D 2003 *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **42** 5134; (d) Chandrashekar T K and Venkatraman S 2003 *Acc. Chem. Res.* **36** 676; (e) Misra R and Chandrashekar T K 2008 *Acc. Chem. Res.* **41** 265; (f) Stępień M, Sprutta N and Latos-Grażyński L 2011 *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **50** 4288
- Sessler J L, Weghorn S J, Lynch V and Johnson M R 1994 *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **33** 1509
- (a) Vogel E, Bröring M, Fink J, Rosen D, Schmickler H, Lex J, Chan K W K, Wu Y-D, Plattner D A, Nendel M and Houk K N 1995 *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **34** 2511; (b) Bröring M, Jendry J, Zander L, Schmickler H, Lex J, Wu Y-D, Nendel M, Chen J, Plattner D A, Houk K N and Vogel E 1995 *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **34** 2515
- (a) Jux N 2008 *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **47** 2; (b) Shin J-Y, Kim K S, Yoon M-C, Lim J M, Yoon Z S, Osuka A and Kim D 2010 *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **39** 2751
- Werner A, Michels M, Zander L, Lex J and Vogel E 1999 *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **38** 3650
- Rzepa H S 2009 *Org. Lett.* **11** 3088
- (a) Berova N, Di Bari L and Pescitelli G 2007 *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **36** 914; (b) Hembury G A, Borovkov V V and Inoue Y 2008 *Chem. Rev.* **108** 1; (c) Mizuno Y and Aida T 2003 *Chem. Commun.* 20; (d) Kubo Y, Ohno T, Yamanaka J, Tokita S, Iida T and Ishimaru Y 2001 *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **123** 12700; (e) Yagi S, Morinaga T, Nomura T, Takagishi T, Mizutani T, Kitagawa S and Ogoshi H 2001 *J. Org. Chem.* **66** 3848
- (a) Rosaria L, D'Urso A and Mammanna A and Purrello R 2008 *Chirality* **20** 411; (b) Mizuno Y, Aida T and Yamaguchi K 2000 *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **122** 5278; (c) Sugasaki A, Ikeda M, Takeuchi M, Robertson A and Shinkai S 1999 *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* 3259
- Rothmund P 1936 *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **58** 625
- Arsenault G P, Bullock E and MacDonald S F 1960 *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **82** 4384
- (a) Lindsey J S 2000 in *The porphyrin handbook*, vol. 1, K M Kadish, K M Smith and R Guilard (eds) (New York: Academic Press) pp45; (b) Laha J K, Dhanalekshmi S, Taniguchi M, Ambroise A and Lindsey J S 2003 *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **7** 799
- Setsune J, Tanabe A, Watanabe J and Maeda S 2006 *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **4** 2247
- Setsune J and Maeda S 2000 *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **122** 12405
- (a) Sessler J L, Weghorn S J, Morishima T, Rosingana M, Lynch V and Lee V 1992 *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **114** 8306; (b) Setsune J, Katakami Y and Iizuna N 1999 *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **121** 8957
- Setsune J, Tsukajima A and Watanabe J 2006 *Tetrahedron Lett.* **47** 1817
- (a) Barton D H R and Zard S Z 1985 *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* 1098; (b) Sessler J L, Mozaffari A and Johnson M R 1992 *Org. Synth.* **70** 68
- Setsune J, Toda M, Watanabe K, Panda P K and Yoshida T 2006 *Tetrahedron Lett.* **47** 7541
- Setsune J, Kawama M and Nishinaka T 2011 *Tetrahedron Lett.* **52** 1773
- Mori M, Okawa T, Iizuna N, Nakayama K, Lintuluoto J M and Setsune J 2009 *J. Org. Chem.* **74** 3579
- Lintuluoto J M, Nakayama K and Setsune J 2006 *Chem. Commun.* 3492
- (a) Mizutani T, Yagi S, Honmaru A, Murakami S, Furusyo M, Takagishi T and Ogoshi H 1998 *J. Org. Chem.* **63** 8769; (b) Al-Sheikh Ali A, Benson R E, Blumentritt S, Cameron T S, Linden A, Wolstenholme D and Thompson A 2007 *J. Org. Chem.* **72** 4947
- Setsune J, Tsukajima A, Okazaki N, Lintuluoto J M and Lintuluoto M 2009 *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **48** 771
- (a) Hatano M 1986 *Induced circular dichroism in biopolymer-dye systems* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag); (b) Harada N and Nakanishi K 1983 *Circular dichroic spectroscopy* (New York: University Science Books)
- (a) Arnold P L, Patel D, Wilson C and Love J B 2008 *Nature* **451** 315; (b) Mori S and Osuka A 2008 *Inorg. Chem.* **47** 3937; (c) Cuesta L, Tomat E, Lynch V M and Sessler J L 2008 *Chem. Commun.* 3744; (d) Sessler J L, Tomat E, Mody T D, Lynch V M, Veauthier J M, Mirsaidov U and Markert J T 2005 *Inorg. Chem.* **44** 2125
- (a) Mori M and Setsune J 2007 *Chem. Lett.* **36** 244; (b) Setsune J, Mori M, Okawa T, Maeda S and Lintuluoto J M 2007 *J. Organometal. Chem.* **692** 166