Density functional studies of endosulphan and its interaction with glycine and GABA#

C N RAMACHANDRANa, BRIJESH KUMAR MISHRAB and ASHWANI KUMAR TIWARIc,∗
aDepartment of Chemical and Biological Engineering, University at Buffalo, The State University of New York, Amherst, NY 14260, USA
bEnvironmental Chemistry Modeling Laboratory, GR C2 544, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland
cDepartment of Chemical Sciences, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Kolkata, Mohanpur, 741 252, India
e-mail: ashwani@iiserkol.ac.in

Abstract. Density functional theoretic (DFT) methods are employed to study the interactions between endosulphan and two amino acids, namely glycine and γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA). Two conformers of each isomer α- and β-endosulphan are considered in the study. The DFT methods B3LYP, M05, M05–2X, M06 and M06–2X in conjunction with the basis set 6–31++G** are used. The complexes of α- and β-endosulphan with amino acids are stabilized by a strong hydrogen bond. In addition, there are several weak C–H···O interactions present between the two moieties. Among the DFT methods used, M06–2X method shows the highest stabilization energy for all the complexes. The M06–2X/6–31++G** method predicts that among the four conformers of endosulphan, the α conformer in which the S=O points up, forms the most stable complex with both glycine and GABA, with stabilization energies −15.24 kcal/mol and −14.39 kcal/mol, respectively. The β conformer in which the S=O points down, forms the least stable complex with both amino acids with stabilization energies −7.14 and −7.85 kcal/mol, respectively.
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1. Introduction

Endosulphan, a polychlorinated organic pesticide, has been used for many years in agricultural production in India and several other countries. Due to its adverse effects a ban on its use was negotiated under the recent Stockholm convention. Endosulphan is considered as a primary pollutant and is released into the atmosphere during its application and volatilization.1 The excess use of endosulphan has posed a threat to the aquatic environment.2 The presence of endosulphan and its oxidized product endosulphan sulphite contaminates the surface water.3,4 Endosulphan has high affinity for soils.5,6 It was reported that endosulphan causes significant histopathological alterations in fish.7

The toxic effects of the endosulphan in mammals (for example rats, rabbits and humans) have been investigated in the past.8–10 It was reported that the endosulphan may adversely affects the male reproductive system.11 Endosulphan primarily targets the GABA-receptors, which respond to the neurotransmitter GABA in the vertebrate central nervous system. Normally, the binding of GABA to these receptors is accompanied by a change in their shape, thereby allowing the ions, particularly the chloride ions pass through their central channel. However, the presence of endosulphan can influence the binding of GABA to GABA-receptors.

The endosulphan exists in two isomeric forms (i) α-endosulphan and (ii) β-endosulphan. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and X-ray crystallographic studies have shown that the α-endosulphan is asymmetric whereas the β-endosulphan is symmetric.12,13 The ratio between the two isomers depends on the environment in which they are present. For example, it has been reported that the α-isomer is predominant in the air samples, whereas the β-isomer in the rain samples.14–19 It has also been found that α- and β-isomers differ in their biological activity.20

The aim of the present work is to investigate the interaction between endosulphan and amino acids employing density functional methods. Two conformers of both α- and β-endosulphan were considered in the present study. Two amino acids, glycine and GABA were chosen, as they are known to be chief neurotransmitters in the central nervous system. It has been shown
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that glycine and GABA exists as zwitterions in solution phase while as a neutral molecule in gas phase.\textsuperscript{21,22} The scope of this study is limited to the gas phase and hence we have considered the interaction of neutral glycine and GABA with endosulphan. To the best of our knowledge, so far no one has reported an interaction of endosulphan with amino acids using quantum chemical methods.

2. Computational methods

All the computations were performed using the Gaussian 09 suite of programs.\textsuperscript{23} The geometry optimizations of the monomers and the complexes were carried out using various DFT methods. The DFT methods employed in this study are B3LYP, M05, M05–2X, M06 and M06–2X in conjunction with the 6–31+G** basis set. These functionals (except B3LYP) were shown to perform adequately in accounting for noncovalent interactions for a wide variety of systems.\textsuperscript{24} Vibrational frequency calculations were performed to ascertain that the optimized geometries correspond to minima in the potential energy surface. The geometry optimization at the second order Møller-Plesset perturbation (MP2) method has also been carried out wherever it is possible. The stabilization energy was computed using the supermolecular approach using the equation:

\[ \Delta E_{\text{stab}} = E_{\text{complex}} - (E_{\text{Endosulphan}} + E_{\text{glycine/GABA}}). \] (1)

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Isomers of endosulphan

The optimized geometries of glycine, GABA, \( \alpha \)-endosulphan (\( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \)) and \( \beta \)-endosulphan (\( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \)) are depicted in figure 1. Among the four conformers of endosulphan, the \( \beta \)-isomer, in which the S=O bond points upward (\( \beta_1 \)), was found to be the most stable. The energies of the other three conformers \( \alpha_1 \), \( \alpha_2 \) and \( \beta_2 \), with respect to the energy of \( \beta_1 \), were calculated to be 4.26, 4.74 and 7.83 kcal/mol, respectively.

It was experimentally demonstrated that the two isomers of \( \beta \)-endosulphan (\( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \)) remain in equilibrium in solution and the \( \beta \)-endosulphan converts to the \( \alpha \)-endosulphan, while the reverse does not occur.\textsuperscript{12} Using the results from the above experiments combined with the semi-empirical calculations it was also suggested that the conversion of \( \beta_1 \)-conformer to the \( \alpha \)-endosulphan could take place only via its higher energy conformer \( \beta_2 \). We have calculated the free energy change (\( \Delta G \)) associated with the formation of two conformers of \( \alpha \)-endosulphan from the \( \beta \)-endosulphan at room temperature. The results obtained at the M06–2X/6–31++G** method are as follows:

\[ \begin{align*}
\beta_1 &= \Rightarrow \alpha_1 & \Delta G &= +3.90 \text{ kcal/mol}; \\
\beta_1 &= \Rightarrow \alpha_2 & \Delta G &= +4.29 \text{ kcal/mol}; \\
\beta_2 &= \Rightarrow \alpha_1 & \Delta G &= -3.45 \text{ kcal/mol}; \\
\beta_2 &= \Rightarrow \alpha_2 & \Delta G &= -3.06 \text{ kcal/mol}.
\end{align*} \]

The positive values of \( \Delta G \) for the formation of \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \) from \( \beta_1 \) suggest that these transformations are not feasible. On the other hand the formation of \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \) from \( \beta_2 \) is spontaneous which confirms the findings by Schmidt \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{12}

3.2 The complexes of endosulphan with glycine and GABA

The optimized geometries of the complexes of endosulphan with glycine and GABA are depicted in figures 2 and 3. The stabilization energies of the complexes obtained using B3-LYP, M05, M05–2X, M06 and M06–2X methods are listed in table 1. The stabilization energies of the complexes clearly indicate that all the conformers of endosulphan form stable complexes with both the amino acids, by forming a strong hydrogen bond. The stabilization energies obtained
by B3LYP method are significantly less compared to those obtained in other methods. This is understandable because of the inadequacy of the B3LYP functional to describe the weak interactions present in the systems herein. In general, the stabilization energies predicted by the M05–2X and M06 methods are comparable to each other. The M06–2X method predicts the highest stabilization energies for the complexes.

Considering the unavailability of any experimental results, further discussion is based on the results obtained from the M06–2X/6–31+G** level of calculations.

With glycine, the conformer $\alpha_1$ forms the most stable complex, having a stabilization energy of $-15.24$ kcal/mol, followed by the complex $\beta_1$-glycine ($-13.14$ kcal/mol). For the complexes $\alpha_2$-glycine and $\beta_2$-glycine, the stabilization energies are $-15.24$ kcal/mol and $-13.14$ kcal/mol, respectively.

With GABA, the conformer $\alpha_1$ forms the most stable complex, having a stabilization energy of $-15.24$ kcal/mol, followed by the complex $\beta_1$-GABA ($-13.14$ kcal/mol). For the complexes $\alpha_2$-GABA and $\beta_2$-GABA, the stabilization energies are $-15.24$ kcal/mol and $-13.14$ kcal/mol, respectively.
The favourable C–H···O interactions in close packing of molecules are present in the complex β1-glycine. Studies on the C–H···O interactions in close packing of molecules are available in literature. The favourable C–H···O and C–H···N distances corresponding to weak interactions for various complexes are illustrated in figures 2 and 3.

Similarly, GABA also forms a strong hydrogen bond with endosulphan. The stabilization energies for the complexes α1-GABA and β1-GABA are found to be −14.39 and −14.10 kcal/mol, respectively. In α1-GABA and β1-GABA complexes, GABA is attached to the S=O group of endosulphan from above. The stabilization energies for the complexes α2-GABA and β2-GABA, in which GABA is bonded to the endosulphan from below, are −10.78 and −7.85 kcal/mol, respectively. As explained in the case endosulphan-glycine complexes, the binding of GABA to the endosulphan where S=O is pointing upward results in additional favourable C–H···O interactions. It can be seen from figure 3 that, in addition to the hydrogen bond, the complexes α1-GABA and β1-GABA have three and four C–H···O interactions, respectively. No such interactions were seen for β2-GABA, which indeed is the least stable complex.

To understand how the stabilization energy of the complexes obtained at the density functional methods differ from those obtained at the MP2 method, we have carried out the optimization of the complexes formed between endosulphan and glycine. Table 1 also lists the stabilization energies of these complexes obtained at MP2/6–31+G** level. From the table, one can see that the stabilization energies of the complexes obtained at the MP2 level are slightly higher than those obtained at the M06–2X level. This could be due to the overestimation of the binding energy at the MP2 level as discussed widely in literature.

Considering the above as well as the large size of the molecules, we have not carried out the optimization for the complexes formed between GABA and endosulphan. However, the stabilization energies of these complexes have been calculated at the MP2 level using the optimized geometries obtained at the M06–2X method and are given in table 1. The values indicate that the stabilization energies of these complexes are increased

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Stabilization Energy/(kcal/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B3LYP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α1-Glycine</td>
<td>−9.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α2-Glycine</td>
<td>−6.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α2-GABA</td>
<td>−7.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β1-GABA</td>
<td>−9.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β2-GABA</td>
<td>−5.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The values are calculated using the geometries optimized at M06–2X/6–31++G** level.

Table 1. The calculated values of stabilization energy for the complexes of endosulphan with glycine and GABA at various methods and using 6–31++G** basis set.
slightly at the MP2 level similar to the case of the glycine–endosulphan complexes.

4. Conclusions

The values of stabilization energies for various conformers of endosulphan with glycine and GABA suggest that endosulphan has a tendency to bind with amino acids and proteins. Endosulphan forms stable complexes with glycine and GABA by forming a strong hydrogen bond and their stabilization energies fall in the range of −7 to −15 kcal/mol. In the conformers where the S=O bond points upward, the C–H···O interaction plays an important role in the stabilization of the complexes. The formation of the stable complex between various isomers of endosulphan and GABA indicates the possibility that such a complex formation could be a reason for antagonistic effect of the endosulphan in the GABA receptors. We hope that this study would inspire more researches in this direction.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank professor J Samuel Arey and the LMCE laboratory for providing computational resources. One of the authors CNR acknowledges Dr. Vivek Bajpai, Dr. Shameema and Mr. Pradeep Kumar for their valuable suggestions.

References

2. Peterson S M and Batley G E 1993 Environ. Pollut. 82 143
9. Mora F and Ozmen O 2010 Exp. Toxicol. Pathol. 62 75
17. Strachan W M J and Huneault H 1979 J. Great Lakes Res. 5 61
19. Chan C H, Bruce G and Harrison B 1994 J. Great Lakes Res. 20 546