

Dextran from *Leuconostoc mesenteroides*-doped ammonium salt-based green polymer electrolyte

M H HAMSAN¹, M F SHUKUR², S B AZIZ^{3,4} and M F Z KADIR^{5,*}

¹Institute of Graduate Studies, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur 50603, Malaysia

²Fundamental and Applied Sciences Department, Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS, Seri Iskandar 32610, Perak, Malaysia ³Advanced Polymeric Materials Research Lab, Department of Physics, College of Science, University of Sulaimani,

Sulaimani 46001, Iraq

⁴Komar Research Center (KRC), Komar University of Science and Technology, Sulaimani 46001, Iraq

⁵Centre for Foundation Studies in Science, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur 50603, Malaysia

*Author for correspondence (mfzkadir@um.edu.my)

MS received 29 March 2018; accepted 7 August 2018; published online 6 March 2019

Abstract. Biopolymer electrolytes based on dextran from *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* doped with ammonium nitrate (NH₄NO₃) are synthesized *via* a solution cast method. Fourier transform infrared analysis is used to determine the complexation between cation from the salt with functional groups of dextran. The ionic conductivity of undoped dextran film at room temperature is identified as $(8.24 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-11}$ S cm⁻¹. A conductivity of $(3.00 \pm 1.60) \times 10^{-5}$ S cm⁻¹ is achieved with the inclusion of 20 wt% NH₄NO₃ to the pure dextran film. The conductivity at a high temperature of the electrolyte obeys Arrhenius theory. Field emission scanning electron microscopy results show that the highest conducting sample has a porous surface. Results from the dielectric study show a non-Debye characteristic.

Keywords. Biopolymer; dextran; ammonium nitrate; dielectric; ionic conductivity.

1. Introduction

Biomaterials and natural products are good candidates to be used in research activities due to awareness of global crisis. Many researchers have incorporated biopolymers as the polymer host in electrolytes due to its magnificent characteristics, such as easy film formation and compatibility with a wide range of salts and solvents [1]. Furthermore, most biopolymers can be sustainably acquired from a large range of natural resources. Above all natural polymeric materials are eco-friendly and thus their use for electrolyte applications reduces harm towards human health and environment [2,3].

Biopolymers are extracted from a wide range of resources such as cellulose derivatives, chitosan, carrageenan, pectin, starch and lignin [4–10]. These polymers possess a lone pair electron of the heteroatom such as nitrogen or oxygen and hence ionic dopant can be solvated [11]. Dextran is one of the non-toxic and biodegradable polysaccharides obtained from reproduction of bacteria *Leuconostoc mesenteroides*. Dextran possesses a linear polymer backbone with primary linkages of 1,6- α -D-glucopyranosidic [12]. Typically, dextran is used in the medical field as a drug carrier, substitute of blood, in bone curing and plasma modification. In the structure of dextran, the presence of hydroxyl group ensures the polymer to be used as an ionic conductor [13].

There are various types of salt that have been used as ionic dopants e.g., lithium, sodium, silver and ammonium salt.

However, some alkali metal salts such as lithium and sodium salts have disadvantages such as reactive with H_2O and thermally unstable [14]. In addition, polymers in lithium-based polymer electrolytes require rich electron functional groups for the conduction of Li⁺ ions [15]. The solvent for inorganic salts such as sodium iodide and potassium iodide are limited [16]. Ammonium salts show a high conductivity value. The use of ammonium salt is also helpful to avoid the use of expensive lithium metal as an electrode in battery applications [17]. In this work, dextran and ammonium nitrate (NH₄NO₃) have been used as a polymer host and an ion source, respectively.

2. Methods and procedures

2.1 Preparation of samples

Dextran powder (2 g) (Sigma-Aldrich) was poured in 50 ml of 1% acetic acid at room temperature for 40 min. After the dextran was completely dissolved, different concentrations of NH_4NO_3 (SYSTERM) were included in the solution of dextran. The solution was poured into Petri dish. The sample was left to dry at room temperature. The formed films were then placed in a desiccator. The compositions for the electrolytes are shown in table 1.

Table 1.	Designation	for the electrolytes.
----------	-------------	-----------------------

Dextran:NH ₄ NO ₃ composition (wt%)	Designation
100:0	SO
95:5	\$5
90:10	S10
85:15	S15
80:20	S20
75:25	S25
70:30	S30

2.2 Sample characterization

Spotlight 400 Perkin–Elmer spectrometer was used to conduct Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy with a resolution of 1 cm⁻¹ (450–4000 cm⁻¹). The surface of the electrolyte was observed *via* Hitachi SU8220 field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) with 10k× magnification. X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was conducted *via* a D5000 X-ray diffractometer (1.5406 Å). The 2 θ angle was varied from 5 to 80° (resolution = 0.1°). HIOKI 3532-50 LCR HiTESTER was employed to analyse electrical impedance spectroscopy measurements of the samples (50 Hz to 5 MHz). The sample was placed in between two electrodes (stainless steel) of a conductivity case. The bulk resistance (*R*) of the sample was gained from the Cole–Cole plots. The conductivity value was obtained from:

$$\sigma = \frac{x}{RA_{\rm s}} \tag{1}$$

where A_s stands for contact area between electrode and electrolyte and the thickness is given as x.

3. Results

3.1 FTIR analysis

Figure 1a depicts the spectra of dextran–NH₄NO₃ complexes for the region of hydroxyl band. The dextran's hydroxyl peak is centred at 3310 cm⁻¹ which is similar to the work reported by other studies [12,18]. The hydroxyl band in dextran experienced some shift on the inclusion of 20 wt% NH₄NO₃ from 3310 to 3245 cm⁻¹. This phenomenon portrays the dative bond interaction between cations with the oxygen atoms of dextran [19]. Two peaks appear when more than 20 wt% NH₄NO₃ is doped to the polymer matrix. These two peaks represent the asymmetry (v_{as} (NH₄⁺)) and symmetry vibration (v_s (NH₄⁺)) of NH₄⁺ which are positioned at 3224 and 3060 cm⁻¹, respectively, in the spectrum of NH₄NO₃. Kadir *et al* [20] stated that in the spectrum of chitosan–NH₄NO₃



Figure 1. (a) FTIR spectra for (i) pure dextran (S0), (ii) S5, (iii) S15, (iv) S20, (v) S25, (vi) S30 and (vii) NH₄NO₃ in the 3000–3600 cm⁻¹ spectra region. (b) FTIR spectra for (i) pure dextran (S0), (ii) S5, (iii) S10, (iv) S15, (v) S20, (vi) S25 and (vii) S30 in the 1130–1190 cm⁻¹ spectra region.



Figure 2. Room temperature conductivity vs. salt content.

the $v_{as}(NH_4^+)$ and $v_s(NH_4^+)$ modes can be noticed at 3255 and 3083 cm⁻¹ respectively. According to the authors, the symmetry of NH_4^+ is reduced which in turn reflects interaction between the polymer and NH_4^+ . As can be noticed in figure 2i, the peak at 1154 cm⁻¹ is assigned as a glycosidic linkage (C–O–C) in dextran. Azmeera *et al* [21] stated that dextran's glycosidic linkage peak is located around 1157 cm⁻¹. As 5 wt% NH₄NO₃ is added, the C–O–C peak shifts to 1152 cm⁻¹ and is further moved to a lesser wavenumber as 20 wt% NH₄NO₃ is added. This outcome signifies that NH₄⁺ interacted with the oxygen atom of the glycosidic linkage. When the salt concentration exceeds 20 wt%, the peak of C–O–C changes to a higher wavenumber which indicates ion aggregate formation. High ion aggregations lead to the decrement in charge carrier's concentration which reduces the ionic conductivity [22,23].

3.2 Conductivity analysis at room temperature

Figure 2 displays the room-temperature conductivity against NH₄NO₃ content. Pure dextran film (S0) possesses a conductivity of $(8.24 \pm 3.13) \times 10^{-11}$ S cm⁻¹. The optimum conductivity of $(2.11 \pm 1.43) \times 10^{-5}$ S cm⁻¹ is achieved on addition of 20 wt% NH₄NO₃. On addition of 5–20 wt% NH₄NO₃, the conductivity increases due to charge carrier enhancement and the polymer segment's movement is promoted [24]. Beyond 20 wt% NH₄NO₃, the number of charge carriers decrease and thus lessen the conductivity value. The decline in conductivity is the consequence of ion triplets, ion pairs and ion aggregation development which reduces the number density and mobility of charge carriers [25].

3.3 Conductivity analysis at various temperatures

The dependence of temperature on conductivity for S20 electrolyte is shown in figure 3. The conductivity is elevated with elevating temperature. The linear relation between conductivity and temperature with $R^2 \sim 0.99$ signifies that the



Figure 3. Conductivity for S20 electrolyte at various temperatures.

S20 electrolyte obeys Arrhenius rule. Arrhenius rule states that the occurrence of ion conduction is due to ion hopping with activation energy, E_a [26]. The equation for Arrhenius theory is given as [27]:

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 \exp(-E_a/kT). \tag{2}$$

Here, σ_0 stands for factor of pre-exponential, k is the Boltzmann constant and absolute temperature is given as T. Other studies on ammonium salt-based electrolyte also reported Arrhenius behaviour such as methylcellulose-NH₄NO₃ [28], starch-NH₄NO₃ [29] and PVA-NH₄NO₃ [30]. The value of E_a for S20 can be extracted from the slope of the plot in figure 3. It is found that the E_a value is 0.30 eV.



Figure 4. The effect of salt content on (a) ε' and (b) ε'' at room temperature and different frequencies.

3.4 Dielectric analysis

The real ε' and imaginary ε'' components of the dielectric permittivity ε^* , which are known as dielectric constant and dielectric loss respectively, are obtained from [31]:

$$\varepsilon' = \frac{Z''}{\omega C_0((Z')^2 + (Z'')^2)}$$
(3)

$$\varepsilon'' = \frac{Z'}{\omega C_0((Z')^2 + (Z'')^2)}$$
(4)

Here, Z' stands for the real component of impedance, Z'' represents the imaginary component of impedance, ω represents the circular frequency and vacuum capacitance is given as C_0 . The variation of ε' and ε'' with NH₄NO₃ content is shown in



Figure 5. The effect of temperature on (a) ε' and (b) ε'' for S20 at different frequencies.

figure 4a and b, respectively. Both ε' and ε'' are reduced with the increase in frequency which can be initiated from the rapid polarization of charge carriers due to the presence of the electric field. As a result, ions stay in the bulk of the electrolyte resulting in the decrement in polarization thus reducing ε' and ε'' values. This phenomenon can be explained by non-Debye behaviour which will be discussed in loss tangent (tan δ) section [32]. It is observed that ε' and ε'' increase with the addition of NH₄NO₃. Incorporation of salt into the polymer matrix enhances charge carrier localization [33]. This result followed the pattern of conductivity. ε' and ε'' values for S20 increase with the elevating temperature as displayed in figure 5a and b, respectively. The ion dissociation process is enhanced at a high temperature which in turn increases the value of ε' and ε'' .

3.5 Loss tangent (tan δ) analysis

Figure 6 illustrates loss tangent (tan δ) *vs.* log frequencies at 298 K. The tan δ and relaxation time (t_r) can be expressed as:

$$\tan \delta = \frac{\varepsilon_{\rm i}}{\varepsilon_{\rm r}} \tag{5}$$

$$t_{\rm r} = \frac{1}{\omega_{\rm max}}.$$
 (6)

Here, ω_{max} stands for relaxation peak's circular frequency. The tan δ 's peak shifts to a higher frequency value indicating that the relaxation time is reduced. The t_r was calculated and can be shown in table 2. S20 possesses the lowest t_r value of 8.38×10^{-7} s. This is due to faster movement of ions that follows the orientation of the electric field [34]. The movement of the peak towards a high-frequency region proposes faster relaxation time as reported by Pradhan *et al* [35] and this is comparable with the outcome in this study.



Figure 6. The effect of salt content on tan δ at room temperature with different frequencies.

Table 2. Relaxation time for selected salt electrolytes.

Electrolyte	$t_{\rm r}$ (s)	
S5	1.06×10^{-3}	
S10	7.96×10^{-3}	
S15	1.45×10^{-6}	
S20	8.38×10^{-7}	
S30	2.70×10^{-7}	



Figure 7. The effect of (a) salt content at room temperature and (b) temperature at various frequencies on M'.

3.6 Electrical modulus analysis

The real part (M') and imaginary part (M'') of electrical modulus was obtained using the following

equations:

$$M' = \frac{\varepsilon'}{\left((\varepsilon')^2 + (\varepsilon'')^2\right)} \tag{7}$$

$$M'' = \frac{\varepsilon''}{\left((\varepsilon')^2 + (\varepsilon'')^2\right)}.$$
(8)

The frequency dependence of M' at 298 K is shown in figure 7a. M' value is approaching zero at low frequency region and increases towards higher frequency region. This is attributed to the electrode polarization at low frequency region [36]. This further confirms the non-Debye theory. S20 electrolyte has been employed to analyse the frequency dependence of M' at different temperatures from 298 to



Figure 8. The effect of (a) salt content at room temperature and (b) temperature at various frequencies on M''.

353 K, which can be observed in figure 7b. As the temperature is increased, the amount of free ions also increase which in turn decreases the value of M' [37,38]. The frequency dependence of M'' at 298 K is displayed in figure 8a. It can be noticed that higher conducting electrolyte's peak is situated at a higher frequency region. The peaks in M'' plot indicate that the electrolytes are ionic conductors [39]. Furthermore, the M'' plot is divided by a maximum peak into two regions which include a low frequency and a high-frequency region. The low and high-frequency regions represent the process of conduction and relaxation, respectively [40]. Figure 8b illustrates M'' vs. log frequencies for S20 electrolyte at different temperatures from 298 to 353 K. It can be noticed that M''value reduces as the temperature increases. As reported by Aziz et al [41], at a higher temperature, polymer segmental motion and the mobility of free ions are enhanced.

3.7 FESEM analysis

Figure 9 displays the surface of the electrolytes. In figure 9a, pure dextran (S0) possesses a homogeneous and smooth surface without any porous structure. This result is comparable

with other study [42]. The addition of 10 wt% NH₄NO₃ changes the morphology to a porous structure with several particles on the electrolyte surface. Porous structure assists in diffusivity of free ions and ionic conductivity enhancement [43]. The particles play a role as channels for conduction of ions through the electrolyte [44]. More particles and pores are observed as 20 wt% of NH₄NO₃ is added. By referring to Xi *et al* [45], the increase in porosity aids in increment of the conductivity. As observed in figure 9e, as more salt is added, more particles protrude out of the surface. This indicates that the polymer matrix is unable to accommodate excess salt which in turn leads to salt recrystallization. As recrystallization occurs, the amount of free ions decreases which results in decrement of the conductivity [45,46].

3.8 XRD analysis

XRD patterns of pure NH₄NO₃ and selected electrolytes can be observed in figure 10a and b, respectively. XRD pattern for pure NH₄NO₃ in this study is comparable to other study [47]. XRD pattern for pure dextran film (S0) consists of obvious crystalline peaks at around $2\theta = 16.5$ and 22.4° .



Figure 9. Surface of (a) pure dextran (S0), (b) S10, (c) S20, (d) S25 and (e) S30.



Figure 10. XRD pattern of (a) pure NH_4NO_3 and (b) XRD patterns for (i) S0, (ii) S5, (iii) S10, (iv) S20, (v) S25 and (vi) S30.

This outcome is comparable to other study [48]. These peaks become less intense and sharp as 10 wt% of NH₄NO₃ is added. When 20 wt% of NH₄NO₃ is added, the crystalline peak of pure dextran becomes broader and less intense. In addition, the absence of NH₄NO₃ crystalline peaks indicates that the salt is dissolved in the polymer matrix [49]. As the concentration of NH₄NO₃ exceeds 20 wt%, sharp crystalline peaks appear at $2\theta = 22.5$, 29, 32.8 and 39.7°, respectively. These peaks indicate that the salt has been recrystallized [50]. This result is in agreement with FESEM analysis where a solid crystal can be observed as more than 20 wt% of NH₄NO₃ is included. This phenomenon reduces the ionic number density which decreases the conductivity [51].

4. Conclusion

The solution cast method has been successfully used to prepare dextran doped with NH₄NO₃ films. The interaction among the materials in the film has been confirmed by the shifting of peaks in FTIR outcomes. The conductivity of pure dextran film at room temperature is found to be $(8.24 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-11}$ S cm⁻¹ and the inclusion of 20 wt% has improved the conductivity up to $\sim 10^{-5}$ S cm⁻¹. The pattern of conductivity is strengthened by the outcomes of dielectric study and the electrolytes followed non-Debye behaviour. The addition of NH₄NO₃ into the pure dextran film has transformed the surface of the electrolytes to porous structure. The results from FESEM and conductivity studies are strengthened by XRD analysis.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the University of Malaya for the Grants FP009-2015A, RP010B-13AFR and BKS005-2018 awarded.

References

- [1] Chai M N and Isa M I N 2016 Sci. Rep. 6 1
- [2] Shukur M F, Ithnin R and Kadir M F Z 2014 Ionics 7 977
- [3] Nur U T and Nurul H I 2014 J. Membr. Sci. 468 149
- [4] Ramlli M A and Isa M I N 2016 J. Phys. Chem. B 120 11567
- [5] Zhu Y S, Xiao S Y, Li M X, Chang Z, Wang F X, Gao J et al 2015 J. Power Sources 288 368
- [6] Shanti N, Azlin S, Ahmada A H, Ramesh S, Ramesh K and Othmana N 2015 *J. Teknologi* **75** 1
- [7] Abu Bakar N Y, Muhamaruesa N H, Bashirah Aniskari N A and Mohd Isa M I N 2015 Am. J. Appl. Sci. 12 40
- [8] Mohammad H K, Ramesh S and Ramesh K 2015 Sci. Rep. 5 1
- [9] Song A, Huang Y, Zhong X, Cao H, Liu B, Lin Y et al 2017 Electrochim. Acta 245 981
- [10] Gong S-D, Huang Y, Cao H-J, Lin Y-H, Li Y, Tang S-H et al 2016 J. Power Sources **307** 624
- [11] Hamsan MH, Shukur MF and Kadir MFZ 2017 Ionics 23 1137

- [12] Maria D, Madalina A, Marian V, Catalin V and Viorica M 2012 Solid State Phenom. 188 102
- [13] Telegeev G, Kutsevol N, Chumachenko V, Naumenko A, Telegeeva P, Filipchenko S et al 2017 Int. J. Polym. Sci. 2017 1
- [14] Osman Z, Mohd Ghazali M I, Othman L and Md Isa K B 2012 *Results in Phys.* 2 1
- [15] Sudhakar Y N and Selvakumar M 2012 Electrochim. Acta 78 398
- [16] Kang J, Li W, Wang X, Lin Y, Li X, Xiao X et al 2004 J. Appl. Electrochem. 34 301
- [17] Sikkanthar S, Karthikeyann S, Selvasekarapandian S, Pandi D V, Nithya S et al 2014 J. Solid State Electrochem. 4 987
- [18] Mohanasrinivasan V, Ghosa T, Thaslim J B, Zeba H J, Selvarajan E, Suganthi V et al 2014 Res. J. Pharm. Tech. 7 8
- [19] Shukur MF 2015 PhD Thesis (Malaysia, University of Malaya)
- [20] Kadir M F Z, Aspanut Z, Majid S R and Arof A K 2011 Spectrochim. Acta Part A 78 1068
- [21] Azmeera V, Adhikary P and Krishnamoorthi S 2012 Int. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 2012 1
- [22] Hafiza M N and Isa M I N 2017 Carbohydr. Polym. 165 123
- [23] Monisha S, Mathavan T, Selvasekarapandian S, Benial A, Aristatil G, Mani N et al 2017 Carbohydr. Polym. 157 38
- [24] Kadir M F Z, Salleh N S, Hamsan M H, Aspanut N A and Shukur M F 2018 *Ionics* 24 1651
- [25] Misenan M S M, Isa M I N and Khiar A S A 2018 Mater. Res. Express 2053 1
- [26] Hamsan M H, Shukur M F and Kadir M F Z 2017 *Ionics* 23 3429
- [27] Rasali N M J and Samsudin A S 2018 Ionics 24 1639
- [28] Shuhaimi N E A, Majid S R and Arof A K 2009 Mater. Res. Innovations 13 239
- [29] Khiar A S and Arof A K 2010 Ionics 16 123
- [30] Selvasekarapandian S, Hema M, Kawamura J, Kamishima O and Baskaran R 2010 J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 79 163
- [31] Shahenoor Basha S K, Sunita Sundari G, Vijay Kumar K and Rao M C 2017 J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym. 27 455
- [32] Das S and Ghosh A 2015 AIP Adv. 5 1
- [33] Chandra A 2013 Indian J. Pure Appl. Phys. 51 788
- [34] Deraman S K, Subban R H Y and Mohamed N S 2017 J. Appl. Environ. Biol. Sci. 7 152
- [35] Pradhan D K, Choudhary R N P and Samantaray B K 2009 Mater. Chem. Phys. 115 557
- [36] Gurusiddappa J, Madhuri W, Suvarna R P and Dasan K P 2016 Indian J. Adv. Chem. Sci. 4 14
- [37] Ramesh S and Arof A K 2001 Mater. Sci. Eng. B 85 11
- [38] Wang W and Alexandridis P 2016 Polymer 8 387
- [39] Rozali M L H and Isa M I N 2014 Int. J. Mater. Sci. 4 59
- [40] Kobayashi T, Noguchi Y and Miyayama M 2005 Appl. Phys. Lett. 86 01290
- [41] Aziz S B, Abidin Z H Z and Arof A K 2010 XPRESS Polym. Lett. 4 300
- [42] Cascone M G, Polacco G, Lazzeri L and Barbani N 1997 J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 66 2089
- [43] Ahmad A L, Farooqui U R and Hamid N A 2018 Electrochim. Acta 283 842
- [44] Bhad S N and Sangawar V S 2013 Int. J. Sci. Eng. Res. 4 1719
- [45] Xi J, Qiu X, Li J, Tang X, Zhu W and Chen L 2006 J. Power Sources 157 501
- [46] Yusof Y M, Shukur M F, Illias H A and Kadir M F Z 2014 Phys. Scr. 89 035701

- [47] Kento S, Hiroki M and Atsumi M 2017 J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 127 851
- [48] Kadir M F Z and Hamsan M H 2018 *Ionics* 24 2379
- [49] Noor S A M, Ahmad A, Rahman M Y A and Talib I A 2010 Nat. Sci. 2 190
- [50] Amran N N A, Manan N S A and Kadir M F Z 2016 Ionics 22 1647
- [51] Danielle M, Yukyung J, Alexandra L H, Michael A W, Geoffrey W C and Nitash B 2016 Solid State Ion. 289 118