Synthesis, characterization and comparison of polythiophene–carbon nanocomposite materials as Pt electrocatalyst supports for fuel cell applications

MOSTAFA NASROLLAHZADEH^{1,2}, MOHSEN JAHANSHAH², MARYAM YALDAGARD^{3,*} and MEHDI SALEHI¹

¹Department of Chemistry, Semnan University, Semnan 35131-19111, Iran

²Nanotechnology Research Institute, School of Chemical Engineering, Babol University of Technology, Babol 47148-71167, Iran

³Department of Chemical Engineering, Urmia University, Urmia, Iran

*Author for correspondence (m.yaldagard@urmia.ac.ir)

MS received 2 February 2017; accepted 23 October 2017; published online 25 May 2018

Abstract. A novel polymer–carbon (PTh–C) nanocomposites containing different percentages of polythiophene (10, 20 and 50% (w/w)) and carbon (Vulcan XC-72) was prepared by a facile solution dispersion method and used to support platinum nanoparticles. The effect of using different percentages of polythiophene in nanocomposites and subsequently prepared electrocatalysts was investigated. The resultant electrocatalysts were extensively characterized by physical (X-ray diffraction (XRD) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM)) and electrochemical (cyclic voltammetry (CV)) techniques. The TEM results showed that the fine Pt nanoparticles prepared by ethylene glycol (EG) method were distributed on the surface of the 50% PTh–C nanocomposites successfully. From the XRD patterns, the average size of dispersed Pt nanoparticles with the face-centered cubic (fcc) structure on 50% PTh–C, 20% PTh–C, 10% PTh–C and carbon were about 4.9, 5.2, 5.4 and 6.1 nm, respectively. The conductivity of PTh–C with different percentages of pure PTh was compared with the conductivity of the corresponding support of pure PTh. It is observed that the conductivity of 50% PTh–C nanocomposites is about 600 times higher than that of pure PTh. Finally, CV measurements of hydrogen and methanol oxidations indicated that Pt/50% PTh–C had a higher electrochemical surface area and higher catalytic activity for methanol oxidation reaction compared to other electrocatalysts. These measurements showed that the Pt/50% PTh–C electrocatalyst by the value of 3.85 had higher I_f/I_b ratio with respect to Pt/10% PTh–C and Pt/20% PTh–C by the values of 2.66 and 2.0, respectively.

Keywords. Polythiophene–carbon nanocomposites; solution dispersion method; PEM fuel cell; electrocatalysis.

1. Introduction

Fuel cells have emerged as promising devices to address future energy needs for point-of-use applications including transport, stationary and portable applications due to their high power density and high energy conversion efficiencies with potentially less release of environmental pollutants, fuel options and fuel delivery options [1–4]. In particular, proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs), including direct methanol fuel cells (DMFCs), are attractive candidates for commercial applications due to their relatively low-temperature operation (60–100°C) and the quick start-up [5–7]. But they still face several key issues preventing the wide commercialization of PEM fuel cells such as their high cost and poor durability. Among the main components of a PEMFC, price of the electrocatalysts dominates the total fuel cell cost and play a critical role in durability of PEMFC

materials [2,8,9]. Expensive Pt-based noble metal catalysts are the most popular catalysts for use in low-temperature fuel cells, particularly, PEMFC and DMFC, in many studies and commercial applications due to their low overpotential and high catalytic activity for fuel cell reactions, chemical stability in the harsh acidic environment, high exchange current density and superior work function [10,11]. Electrocatalysis can be defined as the heterogeneous catalysis of electrochemical reactions by an electrode material. Catalysis is a surface phenomenon, therefore, catalysts are generally dispersed on the surface of somewhat larger particles that act as a supporter to maximize the exposed surface areas of the active components [12,13]. To reduce the cost and improve the performance of a fuel cell, there are several strategies. One popular strategy is to develop suitable supports to achieve high catalyst dispersion, utilization, activity and stability [14–16]. A suitable catalyst support should have several important properties,

Electronic supplementary material: The online version of this article (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12034-018-1599-x>) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

including high electrical conductivity, large surface area, suitable porosity and high stability in acid media under fuel cell operational conditions. This way the platinum (Pt) metal catalysts are dispersed on high surface area supports, so that a very high portion of the surface area will be in contact with the reactant, therefore, the Pt loading amount can be reduced. Additionally, the support materials can also interplay with Pt nanoparticles and can affect the catalytic activity and durability [13,17–21]. Among the different catalyst supports like carbons, oxides, alumina and silica, carbon materials, in particular, Vulcan XC-72 carbon blacks were the most widely used catalyst support due to their superior properties such as easy availability, low-cost, large surface area, high electrical conductivity, chemical inertness and pore structure. However, there are a few disadvantages regarding the use of carbon blacks as fuel cell catalyst support such as their low resistance to corrosion which causes performance losses in the fuel cell, low gas permeability and proton conductivity leading to low catalyst utilization and entity of many micropores results in a low accessible surface area for the deposition of metal particles [22–25]. On the other hand, conductive polymers (CPs) such as polyaniline (PANI), polypyrrole (PPy), polythiophene (PTh) and their derivatives such as carbon-substitute supports for fuel cell catalysts have received a considerable attention from researchers due to their suitable features like good electronic and proton conductivity, high surface area, suitable porosity, high stability, nontoxic effects and good resistance to corrosion in fuel cell operating conditions [26,27]. Right around countless engaging conducting polymers, polythiophene has been quite compelling because of its wide range of electrochemical activity, higher electrical conductivity, improved mechanical properties, elevated charge transporter portability and different suitable headlines. Polythiophene can be viewed as a conjugated chain consisting of sp^2p_x -carbon atoms which have an analogous structure to cis-polyacetylenes and are stabilized by sulfur atoms. The relatively high polarizability of the sulfur atoms in the thiophene backbone will also influence the properties of a polythiophene. The lone pair of electrons on the polarized sulfur atom of thiophene allows them to readily bind to heavy metal ions. Thus, sulfur atoms would be the main sites for heavy metal ions [28–31]. However, on the basis of the histogram displaying the number of papers reporting PANi, PPy and PTh in ref. [27], few reports were studied on the usage of PTh as a catalyst support, whereas most of the reports belong to its derivatives such as poly(3-methylthiophene) (PMT) and poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT) [32–34]. In the vast field of materials science, recently the studies on nanocomposites have drawn great attention because the properties of composite materials can display synergistic improvements with respect to the individual components [17,35,36]. Although the presence of sulfur atoms in the polythiophene chains imparts high-affinity towards heavy metal ions, they may cause Pt particle aggregation [37]. So, in the present study, pure polythiophene has not been used as a Pt electrocatalyst support and the composition of nanocomposites varied

10–50% PTh by weight. A motivation behind this study was to synthesize PTh–C nanocomposites as electrocatalyst supports which have higher catalytic activity towards hydrogen and methanol oxidation. Hence, in this study, we prepared a series of polythiophene-carbon nanocomposites with different PTh–carbon weight ratio through a facile solution dispersion method. Furthermore, dispersed Pt nanoparticles on resulting supports were prepared by an ethylene glycol (EG) reduction method. The prepared supporting materials were characterized by Fourier transform infrared (FTIR), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), atomic force microscopy (AFM), X-ray diffraction (XRD) and conductivity measurement. Also, the resulting electrocatalysts were investigated by physical (XRD and transmission electron microscopy (TEM)) and electrochemical (cyclic voltammetry (CV)) measurements.

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials

Materials used in this work were thiophene, ammonium persulfate (APS), triethanolamine (TEA), sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), electrocatalyst precursor salts $H_2PtCl_6 \cdot 6H_2O$, methanol, ethanol, *n*-methyl-3-phenylpiperazine (NMP), dimethylformamide (DMF), acetone, tetrahydrofuran (THF), chloroform ($CHCl_3$), ethyl acetate, hexane and any other organic solvents from Merck company. All reagents were used without further purification and used as received. Vulcan XC-72 carbon powder from fuel cell earth was heat-treated at 600°C in air. Deionized (DI) water was employed throughout this work. Thiophene monomer was freshly purified by a simple distillation process for subsequent use.

2.2 Synthesis of PTh–C nanocomposites

The synthesis of PTh–C nanocomposites involves the following steps: At the first step, nanostructured PTh was prepared by chemical oxidative polymerization. 1.296 g SDS and 9.37 ml TEA were mixed with 30 ml of deionized water in a reaction vessel containing a magnetic mixer. These reactant mixtures were dispersed and sonicated using an ultrasonic processor for 1 h until the initial turbid solution became clear. Simultaneously, 8.26 g APS was dissolved in 20 ml deionized water and was added into the clear mixed solution after sonication (the molar ratio of APS to thiophene monomer was 1.14). The preliminary polymerization process was identified by the colour change of the reaction mixture. The polymerization was carried out for 24 h at 70°C. Consequently, the resulting dark brown precipitate was collected by centrifugation and to separate the oligomers and impurities, product was washed several times with deionized water and methanol and dried by freeze dryer for 24 h. At the second step, three nanocomposites of polythiophene–carbon were prepared by a facile solution dispersion method containing 10, 20 and 50 wt% PTh. A calculated amount of freshly prepared PTh

and carbon were added into 10 ml DMF. After stirring for 15 min, the resulting dark solution was refluxed at 140°C for 2 h. At the same time, a mixture of ice and deionized water was prepared. While the reflux process finished, the resulting solution was poured into the beaker containing ice water. Thereafter, the mixture was heated at 100°C until the complete evaporation of the solvent. The resulting dark powder was PTh–C nanocomposites.

2.3 Electrocatalyst preparation

The electrocatalyst was prepared by EG (ethylene glycol) method in which ethylene glycol was selected as both the solvent and reducing agent. For the catalyst preparation, 25 ml ethylene glycol containing a calculated amount of $\text{H}_2\text{PtCl}_6 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.025 g) was stirred for 30 min. 0.1 M NaOH was added to adjust the pH of the solution to ~ 13 , and then, the required amount of freshly prepared supports (0.04 g) was added to the solution under magnetic stirring for 1 h (followed by stirring for 1 h). Thereafter, the resulting suspension was refluxed at 160°C for 3 h to ensure that Pt was completely reduced from its metal salt. The solution was cooled down to room temperature and magnetically stirred for 12 h. Subsequently, the pH value of the solution was adjusted to 3 by 0.1 M H_2SO_4 , and stirring was continued for 24 h. Next, the resulting black solid phase was filtered and washed copiously with deionized water. Finally, the product was dried in an oven at 70°C and catalyst with 20% Pt loading was obtained.

2.4 Preparation of electrodes

First of all, the glassy carbon (GC) electrode ($d = 2$ mm) was polished with a 1.0 μm , followed by a 0.3 and 0.05 μm alumina slurry and rinsed with deionized water to give it a mirrored finish. Catalyst inks containing 3.0 mg of prepared electrocatalyst, 10 μl of a Nafion solution (5 wt%, fuel cell earth), 1.5 ml isopropanol and deionized water were prepared using an ultrasonic bath for 1 h to obtain homogeneous dispersions. The electrode modified by the catalyst was fabricated by casting about 5 μl of this catalyst ink (loading: 0.01 mg Pt cm^{-2}) onto the whole surface of the GC electrode and was dried at room temperature.

2.5 Physical characterization

Physical–chemical and electrochemical characterizations were carried out on the supporting materials and resultant electrocatalysts. The samples' chemical structures were investigated by FTIR spectroscopy. Infrared spectra were recorded in the range of 400–4000 cm^{-1} with the KBr pellet technique (Shimadzu 4100 spectrometer). The crystal structure of the samples was analysed using powder XRD (Bruker D8-advanced) with $\text{CuK}\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.541874$ Å) operating at 40 kV and 30 mA. The 2θ angles were scanned from 5 to 90° at 5° min^{-1} . The mean platinum particle size was estimated from Scherrer's equation on the (1 1 1) peak.

SEMs were carried out on a KYKY-EM 3200 microscope with an operating voltage of 26 kV. TEM was performed with a PHILIPS EM 208 microscope operating at 80 kV. To determine the conductivity of the samples, 4-point probe measuring system was performed.

2.6 Electrochemical measurements

To determine the activity and effectiveness of resultant electrocatalysts, electrochemical measurements are necessary. A conventional three-electrode electrochemical cell on a potentiostat/galvanostat (IVIUMSTAT.XR) set was employed to evaluate the resultant electrocatalysts by CV technique. The catalyst-modified electrode was used as working electrode. A Pt wire was served as the counter electrode and an Ag/AgCl (saturated in KCl) was used as the reference electrode. The electrochemical surface area (ESA) of the resultant electrocatalysts was determined by CV measurements carried out in 0.5 M H_2SO_4 aqueous solution as electrolyte. The scan range was from -0.24 to 1.2 V in reference to Ag/AgCl and the scan rate was 50 mV s^{-1} . The ESA was determined by integrating the hydrogen adsorption/desorption peaks. CV measurements of methanol oxidation were performed in 0.5 M H_2SO_4 and 2 M CH_3OH solution with a scan rate of 50 mV s^{-1} between -0.1 and 1.0 V in reference to Ag/AgCl. High purity N_2 was bubbled before and during the measurements to deaerate the electrolytes.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Morphology and structure of obtained products

The chemical structures of carbon (C), pure polythiophene (PTh) and PTh–C nanocomposites were determined by FTIR spectroscopy (figure 1), which has furnished significant information about the formation of nanostructured PTh and nanocomposites. The FTIR transmission spectrum of the pure PTh exhibited characteristic vibration at 2916.16 cm^{-1} for C–H stretching vibration band. The bands at 1674.09 and 1404.08 cm^{-1} corresponded to C=C asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations of thiophene ring, respectively. The vibration bands observed at 1211.23 and 1041.48 cm^{-1} are due to C–H bending and C–H in-plane deformation. Furthermore, the characteristic bands of PTh are seen at 763 cm^{-1} (C–S bending) and 694 cm^{-1} (C–S–C ring deformation stretching). The band at 3402.20 cm^{-1} originated from O–H stretching of water in KBr. Results of FTIR studies clearly indicated polymerization of the monomer. The spectra of the nanocomposites exhibit most of the characteristic peaks of the pure PTh at the same position, without any new peaks which can be justified considering the weak interaction of PTh with carbon. As the amount of PTh in nanocomposites decreases, the intensity of characteristic peaks decreases, reaching its lowest value in 10% PTh–C

Figure 1. FTIR spectrum of carbon (C-Vulcan), pure polythiophene (PTh) and PTh-C nanocomposites.

nanocomposites. This result shows that polymer does not have a significant effect on the 10% PTh-C nanocomposites.

SEM was performed to investigate the dimensions and the morphology of obtained products. The SEM micrographs of pure PTh and 50% PTh-C nanocomposites are shown in figure 2. Both micrographs exhibit a homogeneous colour. SEM micrograph of pure PTh shows an interesting fibre-like-structure with a diameter in the range of 85–100 nm, while micrographs of 50% PTh-C nanocomposites at magnifications of 20,000 and 30,000 clearly indicate that the fibre-like-structures are surrounded by agglomerated grain structures. The SEM analysis revealed the interaction of carbon particles with polymer and indicated carbon black (Vulcan XC-72) compound formation with PTh via solution dispersion method.

3.2 Electrical conductivity of the pure PTh and 50% PTh-C nanocomposites supports

The electrical conductivity of the pure PTh and 50% PTh-C nanocomposites measured by the four-point probe technique showed that the conductivity of pure PTh is about $3.39 \times 10^{-6} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$, while the conductivity of 50% PTh-C nanocomposites is about $19.79 \times 10^{-4} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$. It is observed that the conductivity of PTh-C nanocomposites is about 600 times higher than that of pure PTh. This is expected since carbon is a common polymer additive used for enhancing physical properties, such as conductivity [38]. This result confirms that the carbon modified the electrical properties of PTh.

3.3 PTh dispersibility test

Conductive polymers are generally intractable and their solubility in common solvents is poor [39,40]. For this purpose, known amounts of pure PTh (5 mg) were added into beakers and then, various organic solvents were introduced. Digital photos were taken after 24 h (see supplementary information). The dispersion state showed that the solubility of prepared PTh in the polar solvents is better than in the

non-polar solvents. Among all the nine solvents tested, prepared PTh showed the highest dispersibility in NMP and DMF.

3.4 XRD analysis and TEM imaging

The XRD patterns of the resultant electrocatalysts are shown in figure 3. The diffraction peaks at about 39.7° , 46.2° , 67.8° , 81.2° and 85.7° can be ascribed to the (111), (200), (220), (311) and (222) crystal planes of face-centered cubic (fcc) platinum. The average Pt particle sizes for the five electrocatalysts can be estimated from the Pt(111) peak using the Debye–Scherrer equation [41]:

$$L = \frac{0.9\lambda\text{CuK}\alpha}{\beta_{2\theta} \times \cos \theta_{\max}} \quad (1)$$

In the equation, L is the average particle size, λ the wavelength of the incident X-ray ($\text{CuK}\alpha = 1.5406 \text{ \AA}$), $\theta_{\max}$ the angle of the maximum peak and $\beta_{2\theta}$ is the half width at peak maximum (FWHM). The average size of dispersed Pt nanoparticles on 50% PTh-C, 20% PTh-C, 10% PTh-C and carbon is calculated about 4.9, 5.2, 5.4 and 6.1 nm, respectively. Several studies have indicated that the Pt particles with the smaller size increase the activity of the catalyst. This result might be due to the higher specific surface area of the catalyst; however, the Pt particles with too small size can decrease the specific surface area of the catalyst, because they are unstable and easily oxidized by different oxidizing agents. These results confirm that there is an optimal size range for Pt particles with high catalytic activity.

To confirm the particle size obtained by XRD, Pt/50% PTh-C were observed by TEM. TEM images and the corresponding histogram of Pt nanoparticle diameter of Pt/50% PTh-C are reported in figure 4. It was clearly observed that the well-dispersed platinum nanoparticles on the surface of the 50% PTh-C nanocomposites with size ranging from 4.7 to 6.3 nm were prepared by EG method successfully, which is in good accordance with XRD data.

3.5 Electrochemical analysis

3.5a Electrochemical activity of electrocatalysts: Figure 5 shows the comparison of cyclic voltammograms of Pt/C, Pt/10% PTh-C, Pt/20% PTh-C and Pt/50% PTh-C electrocatalysts in nitrogen-saturated $0.5 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ measured at a scan rate of 50 mV s^{-1} . Multiple hydrogen and oxygen adsorption/desorption peaks with different intensities and double-layer region can be clearly observed for all the electrocatalysts. As shown in figure 5, the Pt/50% PTh-C electrocatalyst had higher current as compared with that in other three electrocatalysts, due to the intriguing properties of 50% PTh-C as a support material such as larger surface area, higher dispersion of the platinum nanoparticles and higher electron conductivity.

Figure 2. SEM micrographs of (a) nanostructured polythiophene, (b and c) 50% PTh-C nanocomposite at magnifications of 20,000 and 30,000.

Figure 3. XRD patterns of dispersed Pt nanoparticles on carbon (C), pure polythiophene (PTh) and PTh-C nanocomposite.

The ESA of Pt catalyst on the modified electrode can be estimated from the area under the hydrogen adsorption peak during the electroadsorption and electrodesorption of hydrogen on Pt sites according to the following equation [42]:

$$ESA = \frac{Q_H}{[Pt] \times C}, \quad (2)$$

where Q_H is the amounts of charge exchanged during hydrogen adsorption and desorption (mC cm^{-2}), $[Pt]$ the loading of Pt (mg cm^{-2}) on the studied electrode and C the required

coulombic charge to oxidize a monolayer of hydrogen on Pt and its value is 0.21 mC cm^{-2} . The ESA results are summarized in table 1. Results show that ESA of Pt/50% PTh-C electrocatalyst ($98 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}\text{Pt}$) was larger than that of other three electrocatalysts. This result is believed to be due to the unique surface properties of the 50% PTh-C nanocomposites and higher dispersion and optimum size of Pt nanoparticles of the Pt/50% PTh-C.

3.5b Electrocatalytic performance of electrocatalysts for MOR: Figure 6 shows the electrocatalytic activity of

Figure 4. (Top) TEM images of dispersed Pt nanoparticles on 50% PTh-C nanocomposite at different magnifications and (down) corresponding histogram of Pt nanoparticle diameter of Pt/ 50% PTh-C.

Figure 5. Cyclic voltamograms of Pt/C, Pt/ PTh-C nanocomposite, and Pt/PTh in nitrogen saturated 0.5M H₂SO₄ measured at a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹.

Pt/10% PTh-C, Pt/20% PTh-C and Pt/50% PTh-C electrocatalysts towards methanol oxidation evaluated by CV in 2 M CH₃OH + 0.5 M H₂SO₄ measured at a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹ between -0.1 and 1.0 V. As shown in the CV curves, there are two oxidation peaks belonging to methanol

Table 1. Electrochemical surface area (ESA) of resultant electrocatalysts.

Sample	ESA (m ² g ⁻¹ pt)
Pt/50% PTh-C	98
Pt/20% PTh-C	82
Pt/10% PTh-C	56
Pt/C	52

oxidation, one at about 0.65 V in the forward scan is attributed to the methanol electrooxidation on Pt and the other at about 0.45 V in the backward scan is ascribed to the additional oxidation of the adsorbed carbonaceous species to CO₂. The ratio of forward oxidation peak current (I_f) to the backward oxidation peak current (I_b) is used to indicate the tolerance of Pt catalysts towards the poisonous species at the electrode surface. The values of I_f , I_b and the current peak ratio of I_f to I_b (I_f/I_b) are listed in table 2. The results showed that the Pt/50% PTh-C electrocatalyst had higher I_f/I_b ratio than that of the Pt/10% PTh-C and Pt/20% PTh-C. Thus, Pt/50% PTh-C is presented as an ideal electrocatalyst support material with promising hydrogen and MOR catalytic activity.

Figure 6. Cyclic voltammograms of Pt/10% PTh-C, Pt/20% PTh-C and Pt/50% PTh-C in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ + 1 M CH₃OH solution measured at a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹.

Table 2. The values of I_f , I_b and I_f/I_b ratio in cyclic voltammetry measurements of methanol oxidation for Pt/10% PTh-C, Pt/20% PTh-C and Pt/50% PTh-C electrocatalysts.

Sample	I_f (mA cm ⁻²)	I_b (mA cm ⁻²)	I_f/I_b ratio
Pt/50% PTh-C	0.027	0.007	3.85
Pt/20% PTh-C	0.008	0.003	2.66
Pt/10% PTh-C	0.113	0.054	2.09

4. Conclusions

In summary, we have demonstrated the successful synthesis of PTh-C nanocomposites with different weight percentages of PTh by a facile and efficient solution dispersion method. The results of FTIR spectroscopy, SEM, AFM, XRD and conductivity measurement clearly proved formation of PTh-C nanocomposite and indicated that the conductivity of PTh-C nanocomposites is about 600 times higher than that of pure PTh, which confirmed that the carbon successfully modified the electrical properties of PTh. Additionally, Pt/C, Pt/PTh-C nanocomposites and Pt/PTh electrocatalysts were prepared by EG reduction method. The resultant electrocatalysts were extensively characterized both by physical (XRD and TEM) and electrochemical (CV) techniques. XRD patterns indicated that the prepared electrocatalysts were present in the face-centered cubic (fcc) structure and the average size of dispersed Pt nanoparticles on 50% PTh-C, 20% PTh-C, 10% PTh-C and carbon is calculated about 4.9, 5.2, 5.4 and 6.1 nm, respectively. The TEM observations for Pt/50% PTh-C is consistent with the XRD results and indicated that the Pt nanoparticles were deposited on the supports successfully. The electrochemical characterization results revealed that Pt/50% PTh-C modified electrode displayed a higher ESA and higher catalytic activity for MOR when compared with the other electrocatalysts. It seems that these results are

due to the intriguing characteristics of 50% PTh-C nanocomposites such as larger surface area, higher dispersion, the optimum size of the platinum nanoparticles and higher electron conductivity. According to these findings, Pt/50% PTh-C is presented as an ideal electrocatalyst support material with promising hydrogen and MOR catalytic activity.

Acknowledgements

We wish to express our sincere gratitude to the Nanotechnology Research Institute of Babol University for its scientific and financial support.

Supplementary Information The supporting information include the photographs of the dispersions of obtained polythiophene in various solvents.

References

- [1] Carrette L, Friedrich K A and Stimming U 2000 *Chem. Phys. Chem.* **1** 162
- [2] Wang Y, Chen K S, Mishler J, Cho S C and Adroher X C 2011 *Appl. Energy* **88** 981
- [3] Ellis M W, Von Spakovsky M R and Nelson D J 2001 *Proc. IEEE* **89** 1808
- [4] Chen Z, Xu L, Li W, Waje M and Yan Y 2006 *Nanotechnology* **17** 5254
- [5] Smitha B, Sridhar S and Khan A 2005 *J. Membr. Sci.* **259** 10
- [6] Prater K B 1996 *J. Power Sources* **61** 105
- [7] Wang S, Jiang S P, White T, Guo J and Wang X 2009 *J. Phys. Chem. C* **113** 18935
- [8] Shao Y, Zhang S, Wang C, Nie Z, Liu J, Wang Y *et al* 2010 *J. Power Sources* **195** 4600
- [9] Chung C G, Kim L, Sung Y W, Lee J and Chung J S 2009 *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **34** 8974
- [10] Yaldagard M, Jahanshahi M and Seghatoleslami N 2013 *World J. Nano Sci. Eng.* **3** 121
- [11] Sharma S and Pollet B G 2012 *J. Power Sources* **208** 96
- [12] Beden B, Léger J-M and Lamy C 1992 in: J O M Bockris, B E Conway and R E White (eds) *Modern aspects of electrochemistry* (New York: Plenum Press) p 97
- [13] Wang Y-J, Wilkinson D P and Zhang J 2011 *Chem. Rev.* **111** 7625
- [14] Sharma S and Pollet B G 2012 *J. Power Sources* **208** 96
- [15] Wang J, Yin G-P, Zhang J, Wang Z and Gao Y 2007 *Electrochim. Acta* **52** 7042
- [16] Adhikari A, Radhakrishnan S and Patil R 2009 *Synth. Met.* **159** 1682
- [17] Antolini E 2010 *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* **100** 413
- [18] Unni S M, Dhavale V M, Pillai V K and Kurungot S 2010 *J. Phys. Chem. C* **114** 14654
- [19] Vedrine J C, Dufaux M, Naccache C and Imelik B 1978 *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1: Phys. Chem. Condens. Phases* **74** 440
- [20] Biloul A, Coowar F, Contamin O, Scarbeck G, Savy M, Van den Ham D *et al* 1990 *J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfac. Electrochem.* **289** 189

- [21] Zhou J, Zhou X, Sun X, Li R, Murphy M, Ding Z *et al* 2007 *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **437** 229
- [22] Dicks A L 2006 *J. Power Sources* **156** 128
- [23] Antolini E 2009 *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* **88** 1
- [24] Maillard F, Simonov P A and Savinova E R 2009 in: P Serp and J Figueiredo (eds) *Carbon materials for catalysis* (New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.) chapter 12 p 429
- [25] Hezarjaribi M, Jahanshahi M, Rahimpour A and Yaldagard M 2014 *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **295** 144
- [26] Wallace G G, Teasdale P R, Spinks G M and Kane-Maguire L A 2008 *Conductive electroactive polymers: intelligent polymer systems*, third edn (New York: CRC Press)
- [27] Antolini E and Gonzalez E 2009 *Appl. Catal. A: General* **365** 1
- [28] Roncali J 1992 *Chem. Rev.* **92** 711
- [29] Kattimani J, Sankarappa T, Praveenkumar K, Ashwajeet J and Ramanna R 2014 *Int. J. Adv. Res. Phys. Sci.* **1** 17
- [30] Lee J M, Lee S J, Jung Y J and Kim J H 2008 *Curr. Appl. Phys.* **8** 659
- [31] Sulub R, Martinez-Millan W and Smit M A 2009 *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.* **4** 1015
- [32] Schopf G and Koßmehl G 1997 *Adv. Polym. Sci.* **129** 3
- [33] Cao Y, Wang P and Qian R 1985 *Die Makromolekulare Chemie* **186** 1093
- [34] Tsakova V 2008 *J. Solid State Electrochem.* **12** 1421
- [35] Gomez-Romero P 2001 *Adv. Mat.* **13** 163
- [36] Fischer H 2003 *Mat. Sci. Eng: C* **23** 763
- [37] Roy S, Christensen P, Hamnett A, Thomas K and Trapp V 1996 *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **143** 3073
- [38] Takada T, Nakahara M, Kumagai H and Sanada Y 1996 *Carbon* **34** 1087
- [39] Kumar D and Sharma R 1998 *Eur. Polym. J.* **34** 1053
- [40] Gangopadhyay R and De A 2000 *Chem. Mat.* **12** 608
- [41] Lin R, Cao C, Zhang H, Huang H and Ma J 2012 *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **37** 4648
- [42] Koponen U, Kumpulainen H, Bergelin M, Keskinen J, Peltonen T, Valkiainen M *et al* 2003 *J. Power Sources* **118** 325