Ex situ synthesis of G/α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite and its catalytic effect on the thermal decomposition of ammonium perchlorate
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Abstract. α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles were prepared by a facile hydrothermal method using ferric chloride hexahydrate (FeCl$_3$·6H$_2$O) as a precursor. Graphene oxide (GO) was synthesized using a modified Hummers method and graphene nanosheets (G) were successfully obtained by thermal reduction of GO. G/α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite was prepared using ex situ synthesis in the presence of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles and GO solution. The characterization of the as-prepared materials was performed using X-ray diffraction analyses and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy; their morphology was investigated by scanning electron microscopy and transmission electron microscopy; the specific surface area (S$_{BET}$) was determined by nitrogen adsorption; their catalytic activity on the thermal decomposition of ammonium perchlorate (AP) was investigated by differential thermal analysis (DTA). The results of DTA indicated that the obtained nanomaterials contribute in ameliorating the thermal decomposition of AP; specifically, the high decomposition temperature of AP decreases from 432 to 380°C. A significant decrease in the activation energy was also achieved in the presence of these nanomaterials, and the mixture of ammonium perchlorate with G/α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ showed the lowest value (from 129 to 80.33 kJ mol$^{-1}$).
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1. Introduction

In nature, iron oxide exists in three types: magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$), maghemite (γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$) and hematite (α-Fe$_2$O$_3$). The latter is the oldest known type of iron oxide; it was used in many applications, especially as a catalyst, due to its abundance, low cost, non-toxicity and high stability. Many methods have been used before to prepare hematite (α-Fe$_2$O$_3$) nanoparticles; one of the most used methods is the hydrothermal synthesis because of its easy manipulation, scalable production and precise control of size [1].

Graphene (G) is one of the newest materials that made a great noise in different fields; this material is one of the allotropic forms of carbon with a two-dimensional structure and unique properties such as large surface area, super-electronic conductivity and excellent mechanical properties [2]. It can be obtained using different methods and the easiest one is the thermal reduction of exfoliated graphene oxide (GO) [3,4].

Ammonium perchlorate (AP) is a white crystalline substance with a specific gravity of 1.88 g cm$^{-3}$; it is the most used oxidant that constitutes more than 65% of composite propellants formulation [5]. Many studies show that the ballistics properties and the performance of composite propellants depend strongly on the characteristics of thermal decomposition of their oxidizers [6–8]. The thermal decomposition of AP is powerfully sensitive to additives, and particularly nanoadditives because of their small size and high surface area [9,10]. A lot of studies show that transition metal oxides nanoparticles can decrease the decomposition temperature of AP and therefore improve the ballistic properties of composite propellants [11–14]. However, due to the small size of these nanoadditives, they are likely to aggregate and expose fewer active sites. For this reason graphene/α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite is used here as a new additive in order to decrease the aggregation of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles and improve their catalytic activity on the thermal decomposition of AP.

The present work gives a strong reason for the application of G/α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite in the AP-based propellant as a catalyst to improve the thermal decomposition of AP and therefore improve the ballistic properties of the composite propellant. In this paper we report on a facile synthesis of
graphene, α-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles and G/α-Fe₂O₃ nanocomposite by a simple hydrothermal method, and their catalytic activity on the thermal decomposition of AP is investigated by TG–differential thermal analysis (DTA) analyses.

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials

Graphite powder was obtained from Qingdao Furunda Graphite Co., Ltd. H₂SO₄ was purchased from Luoyang Chemical Reagents Limited Company. KMnO₄ was obtained from a Heilongjiang Acheng province chemical reagent factory. HCl was provided from Shanghai Institute of Fine Chemical Technology. H₂O₂ was obtained from Tianli Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. NaNO₃ was purchased from Tianjin Bodi Chemical Industry Co., Ltd. Urea was provided by Tianjin Zhiliyuan Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. Ferric chloride, FeCl₃·6H₂O, was obtained from Tianli Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. Glycine was obtained from Tianjin Guanfu Fine Chemical Research Institute and AP was purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. All the reagents were of analytically pure grade (AR) and they were used without further purification.

2.2 Preparation of α-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles

α-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles were prepared by a facile hydrothermal method in the presence of ferric chloride hexahydrate (FeCl₃·6H₂O) as the precursor. Initially, 3.47 g of FeCl₃·6H₂O was mixed with 100 ml of deionized water. The mixture was stirred until the FeCl₃·6H₂O was completely dissolved; later, 1.55 g of urea and 0.96 g of glycine were added to the mixture with stirring for 1 h. The mixture was sealed into a Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave and maintained at 180°C for 24 h, and then cooled to room temperature naturally. The resulting product was collected by centrifugation, washed several times with deionized water and ethanol and then dried at 60°C.

2.3 Preparation of GO

GO was synthesized from natural graphite by a modified Hummers method [15]. Graphite powder (1 g) and sodium nitrate (0.5 g) were mixed with 23 ml of concentrated sulphuric acid and stirred for 30 min in an ice bath; 3 g of potassium permanganate was then added gradually and stirred for 15 min. After that the mixture was transferred to a water bath and stirred for 30 min at 35°C. Next, 46 ml of deionized water was slowly added, and the temperature quickly rose from 35 to 98°C; the mixture was then maintained at this temperature for 30 min; 140 ml of deionized water and 5 ml of hydrogen peroxide were then added to the mixture and stirred for 2 h. At this stage the colour of the mixture changed from dark brown to brilliant yellow. Finally the mixture was separated by centrifugation, washed repeatedly with 5% HCl solution followed by deionized water until the mixture was neutral and then dried in vacuum.

2.4 Preparation of G/α-Fe₂O₃ nanocomposite

G/α-Fe₂O₃ nanocomposite was prepared by ex situ synthesis, which is made by dispersing the as-prepared α-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles directly into the GO solution; the percentage of GO and α-Fe₂O₃ in the composite was 75 and 25 wt%, respectively. The procedure was as follows: 0.12 g of GO was dissolved in 50 ml of deionized water and stirred overnight. 0.015 g of urea and 0.04 g of the as-prepared α-Fe₂O₃ were then added to the previous solution and stirred for 3 h; after this the mixture was transferred to a stainless-steel autoclave for the hydrothermal treatment at 120°C for 24 h. The nanocomposite was obtained after centrifugation, washed with deionized water and ethanol several times and then dried at 60°C. Graphene is obtained using the same method but in the absence of α-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles.
2.5 Materials characterization

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) analyses of the samples were carried out with a Rigaku TTR-III equipped with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 0.15406 nm). The morphologies of the samples were investigated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using a JEOL Model JSM-6480 and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) using a JEM-2100 Model. The composition of the products was characterized by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR spectra; Perkin Elmer Spectrum 100) in the range of 500−4000 cm⁻¹, the specific surface area (S_BET) of the nanomaterials was determined by nitrogen adsorption using Tri Star II 3020 surface Analyzer. The catalytic effect of the as-prepared additives on the thermal decomposition of AP was detected by TG–DTA at a heating rate of 10°C min⁻¹ in a static N₂ atmosphere over the range of 50–500°C.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Characterization of samples

Phase identification of the product was performed using powder XRD. Figure 1 shows the XRD patterns of the as-prepared GO and graphene; the characteristic (0 0 2) diffraction peak of GO appears at about 11°. After thermal reduction of GO, the XRD pattern of graphene shows a broad diffraction peak at about 25° and the disappearance of the diffraction peak at 11°. These results reveal that the GO is successfully reduced to graphene [16].

The XRD pattern of the as-prepared α-Fe₂O₃ (figure 2a) shows that the diffraction peaks of α-Fe₂O₃ appear at 24.2°, 33.2°, 35.6°, 40.9°, 49.5°, 54.1°, 57.6°, 62.4°, 64.0°, 71.9°, 75.4°, 85° and 88.5°, which correspond well with the crystal planes of (0 1 2), (1 0 4), (1 1 3), (0 2 4), (1 1 6), (0 1 8), (2 1 4), (3 0 0), (1 0 10), (2 2 0), (1 3 4) and (2 2 6), respectively, for the hematite (JCPDS No. 33-0664). All the diffraction peaks are indexed as a rhombohedral crystalline phase (space group R-3c) of α-Fe₂O₃ with calculated lattice parameters a = 5.036 Å and c = 13.749 Å. No peaks of any other phases are detected from the XRD pattern, indicating that the as-prepared α-Fe₂O₃ particles are of high purity.

The XRD pattern of G/α-Fe₂O₃ nanocomposite (figure 2b) shows similar diffraction peaks as those of α-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles; these diffraction peaks appear with good intensity compared with those of the pure α-Fe₂O₃. These results show that the hydrothermal treatment not only did not affect the crystalline phase of the hematite (α-Fe₂O₃) but also ameliorated its crystallinity. No apparent diffraction peaks of GO can
be detected in this XRD pattern; however, a broad diffraction peak of graphene was observed at about 26° and coincided with one of the diffraction peaks of α-Fe₂O₃, which shows that the GO was successfully reduced to graphene during the hydrothermal treatment.

In addition, to confirm the identification and the composition of the as-prepared materials, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) investigations of GO, α-Fe₂O₃ and G/α-Fe₂O₃ give corresponding spectra as shown in figure 3a and b. The FTIR spectrum of pure GO (figure 3a) shows a strong and broad absorption at 3400 cm⁻¹ due to the O–H stretching vibration of the adsorbed water molecules. The C=O stretching of COOH groups is observed at 1722 cm⁻¹. The stretching of the sp²-hybridized C=C bond appears at 1625 cm⁻¹. The three peaks at 1356, 1217 and 1080 cm⁻¹ are attributed to the stretching vibrations of carboxyl C=O, epoxy C–O and alkoxy C–O, respectively [17,18]. The absorption peak at 2800 and 2900 cm⁻¹ represents the anti-symmetric stretching vibration of CH₂ and the stretching vibration of C–H, respectively [19].

The FTIR spectra of α-Fe₂O₃ and G/α-Fe₂O₃ nanocomposite show one similar absorption band at 550 cm⁻¹ (figure 3b), which is due to the Fe–O vibrations [20]. A broad absorption band at 3400 cm⁻¹ is also observed in the FTIR spectrum of G/α-Fe₂O₃ nanocomposite and it is attributed to the O–H stretching vibration of the adsorbed water [21].

Figure 5. (a) SEM and (b) TEM images of α-Fe₂O₃, SEM images of (c) GO and (d) graphene, and (e) SEM and (f) TEM images of G/α-Fe₂O₃.
The obtained G/Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite was also characterized by Raman spectroscopy and EDS. Figure 4a shows the Raman spectrum of this nanocomposite; two remarkable bands at 1343 and 1585 cm$^{-1}$ are observed in this spectrum, which correspond to the D and the G band of graphene, respectively. The D band is attributed to the disorder and structural defects of graphene; however, the G band is related to the vibration of sp$^2$-bonded carbon [22]. Figure 4b shows the EDS spectrum of G/α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposites and the percentages of its elements; the spectra show clearly the presence of three elements, which are iron (Fe), oxygen (O) and carbon (C).

The SEM and TEM images of the as-prepared α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (figure 5a and b) reveal that the particles are spherical in shape with an average particle size of 150 nm. The SEM image of GO (figure 5c) shows smooth sheets with folded shapes; however, figure 5d depicts well-exfoliated graphene sheets, which have crumpled thin voile-like structures with folds. For the as-prepared G/α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite the SEM image (figure 5e) shows that α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles appear on both
sides of the graphene nanosheets; some of them are located above the graphene nanosheets, while others lie on the back of the nanosheets. However, the TEM images (figure 5f) show that $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles appear as small agglomerations on the graphene nanosheets.

The adsorption and desorption isotherms of nitrogen at $-196^\circ$C obtained for $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles and G/$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite are presented in figure 6. The isotherms are identified as type IV. The BET surface area is found to be 7.543 cm$^2$ g$^{-1}$ for $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles and 9.353 cm$^2$ g$^{-1}$ for G/$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite.

3.2 Thermal decomposition of AP in the presence of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$, graphene and G/$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite

The catalytic effect of the as-prepared additives on the thermal decomposition of AP was detected by TG–DTA at a heating rate of 10$^\circ$C min$^{-1}$ in a static N$_2$ atmosphere over the range of 50–500$^\circ$C. The SEM was also used to observe the morphology of the mixtures at room temperature. These mixtures were prepared as follows: 0.03 g of each additive was ground with 1.6 g of AP in the presence of ethanol solution in an agate mortar, and then dried in vacuum at room temperature. The SEM images of the pure AP and the three mixtures (AP + G, AP + $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and AP + G/$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$) are shown in figure 7.

The catalytic effect of the as-prepared additives on the thermal decomposition of AP was detected by TG–DTA at a heating rate of 10$^\circ$C min$^{-1}$ in a static N$_2$ atmosphere over the range of 50–500$^\circ$C. The SEM was also used to observe the morphology of the mixtures at room temperature. These mixtures were prepared as follows: 0.03 g of each additive was ground with 1.6 g of AP in the presence of ethanol solution in an agate mortar, and then dried in vacuum at room temperature. The SEM images of the pure AP and the three mixtures (AP + G, AP + $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and AP + G/$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$) are shown in figure 7.

The SEM image of pure AP shows that it appears as connected clusters with a smooth surface; the presence of graphene nanosheets on the surface of AP is also observed for the mixture of AP + G; however, for the mixture of AP + $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ the SEM image shows different agglomerations of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles on the surface of AP. In the case of AP + G/$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ these agglomerations disappear and well-distributed $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles are observed on the surface of AP.

The TG curve of pure AP (figure 8) shows that the mass loss starts at 320$^\circ$C and is completed at 432$^\circ$C. However, the DTA curve reveals that the thermal decomposition of AP takes place in three stages.

The first stage is the transition from orthorhombic to cubic form; this transition is characterized by an endothermic peak at 250$^\circ$C. The second stage is due to the partial decomposition of AP where an exothermic peak appears at 320$^\circ$C; it is also called as the low-temperature decomposition (LTD) step, which is a solid–gas multiphase reaction. The third stage is characterized by another exothermic peak at 432$^\circ$C that indicates the complete decomposition of AP, which is also called as the high-temperature decomposition (HTD) step [23].

Figure 9 shows the TG curves of the mixtures of AP with the as-prepared nanoadditives. For all the curves the mass loss starts at $T$ around 320$^\circ$C. However, it finishes at different temperatures and they are all lower than that of pure AP (432$^\circ$C).

The DTA curves of the mixtures of AP with the nanoadditives (figure 10) show that for all the mixtures there is no change in the transition phase of AP. However, the only change appears in the HTD step. Considering that the thermal decomposition of AP follows the electron transfer process.

Figure 8. TG–DTA curves of pure AP.

Figure 9. TG curves of AP, AP + G, AP + $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and AP + G/$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$.

Figure 10. DTA curves of AP, AP + G, AP + $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and AP + G/$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$. 
[24], the reaction takes place when electrons are transferred from anions to cations. A diminution of the high temperature of decomposition of AP by 32°C was achieved in the presence of graphene (figure 10), due to its high electron mobility. However, in the presence of \( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanoparticles the HTD of AP decreases from 430 to 397°C. In the case of the mixture of AP + G/\( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), the results of DTA show that G/\( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanocomposite gives even better results with a reduction in the HTD of AP from 430 to 380°C.

3.3 Kinetics studies

The activation energy of the thermal decomposition of AP with the as-prepared nanoadditives is determined using the method of Coats–Redfern based on the following equation for \( n = 1 \) [25]:

\[
\log \left[ \frac{-\ln (1 - \alpha)}{T^2} \right] = \log \frac{A R}{\beta E} - \frac{E}{2.303 R T},
\]

where \( \alpha \) is the extent of conversion, \( T \) is the temperature, \( A \) is the pre-exponential factor, \( R \) the universal gas constant, \( E \) the activation energy and \( \beta \) the heating rate. The value of the activation energy will be obtained from the slope of the straight line obtained by the graphic representation of \( \log \left[ -\ln (1 - \alpha)/T^2 \right] \) vs. \( 1/T \) as shown in figure 11.

Table 1 presents the values of the HTD peaks, the correlation coefficient and the activation energy of pure AP and the three mixtures of AP with additives. The table shows that each decrease in the peak value of HTD corresponds also to a decrease in the value of the activation energy and the lowest one (80.33 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) is attributed to the mixture of AP + G/\( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\).

Although graphene has high electron mobility, its catalytic activity is much lower than that of \( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanoparticles. These results show that the catalytic activity of additives does not depend only on their electron mobility but it is also

---

**Figure 11.** Coats–Redfern plot of AP, AP + G, AP + \( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) and AP + G/\( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\).
related to their electron configuration. Due to their small size, \( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanoparticles present a lot of active sites on their surface; these active sites are the place where the catalytic process takes place. The catalytic process of \( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanoparticles is a process of complex formation between the metal cation Fe\(^{3+}\) and the perchlorate anion; these cations are electrically unsaturated and tend to attract electrons from the oxygen atoms in the perchlorate anion; this interaction can weaken the oxygen–chlorine bond in the perchlorate anion and therefore accelerate its thermal decomposition. The presence of the two additives together in the form of \( \mathrm{G/\alpha-Fe}_2\mathrm{O}_3 \) nanocomposite gives better result than that of \( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanoparticles due to the presence of graphene, which plays two roles: first by its high electron mobility and second by decreasing the agglomeration of \( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanoparticles and therefore increasing the number of active sites in the nanocomposite.

4. Conclusions

\( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), graphene and \( \mathrm{G/\alpha-Fe}_2\mathrm{O}_3 \) nanocomposite are successfully obtained by a simple hydrothermal method and show an intensive catalytic effect on the thermal decomposition of AP. The results of DTA indicate that the presence of the two additives together in the form of \( \mathrm{G/\alpha-Fe}_2\mathrm{O}_3 \) nanocomposite gives an improved performance accompanied by a diminution of HTD peak value and the activation energy by 50\(^\circ\)C and 48.67 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), respectively.
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