Structural and spectral properties of 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile dye sensitizer for solar cell applications

P M ANBARASAN*, K VASUDEVAN, P SENTHIL KUMAR, A PRAKASAM, M GEETHA and K LALITHAMBIGAI

Department of Physics, Periyar University, Salem 636 011, India

MS received 22 December 2010; revised 22 February 2011

Abstract. The geometries, electronic structures, polarizabilities and hyperpolarizabilities of organic dye sensitizer 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile was studied based on *ab initio* HF and density functional theory (DFT) using the hybrid functional B3LYP. Ultraviolet–visible (UV–Vis) spectrum was investigated by time dependent DFT (TD-DFT). Features of the electronic absorption spectrum in the visible and near-UV regions were assigned based on TD-DFT calculations. The absorption bands were assigned to $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions. Calculated results suggest that the three excited states with the lowest excited energies in 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile was due to photo-induced electron transfer processes. The interfacial electron transfer between semiconductor TiO_2 electrode and dye sensitizer 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile was due to an electron injection process from excited dye to the semiconductor's conduction band. The role of phenoxy group in 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile in geometries, electronic structures and spectral properties were analysed.

Keywords. Electronic structure; absorption spectrum; dye sensitizer; density functional theory; vibrational spectra.

1. Introduction

The new technologies for direct solar energy conversion have gained more attention in the last few years. In particular, Dye sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) are promising in terms of efficiency and low cost (Regan and Gratzel 1991; Gratzel 2001; Park and Kim 2008). The foremost feature of DSSC is that it consists of a wide bandgap nanocrystalline film grafted with a quasi-monolayer of dye molecules and submerged in a redox electrolyte. This elegant architecture can synchronously address two critical issues of employing organic materials for the photovoltaic applications: (i) efficient charge generation from the Frenkel excitons; (ii) long-lived electron-hole separation up to the millisecond time domain. The latter attribute can often confer an almost quantitative charge collection for several micrometer-thick active layers, even if the electron mobilities in nanostructured semiconducting films are significantly lower than those in the bulk crystalline materials. Benefitted from systematic device engineering and continuous material innovation, a state of the art DSSC with a ruthenium sensitizer has achieved a validated efficiency of 11.1% (Chiba *et al* 2006) measured under the air mass 1.5 global (AM1.5G) conditions. In view of the limited ruthenium resource and the heavy-metal toxicity, metal-free organic dyes have received surging research interest in recent years (Hara *et al* 2003a, b; Campbell *et al* 2004; Horiuchi *et al* 2004; Kitamura *et al* 2004; Thomas *et al* 2005; Hagberg *et*

al 2006; Li *et al* 2006; Kim *et al* 2006; Koumura *et al* 2006; Edvinsson *et al* 2007; Wang *et al* 2007, 2008; Shi *et al* 2008; Zhou *et al* 2008; Lin *et al* 2009; Xu *et al* 2009; Zhang *et al* 2009a, b, c. Because of their high molar absorption coefficient, relatively simple synthesis procedure, various structures and lower cost in contrast to a ruthenium dye and the flexibility in molecular tailoring of an organic sensitizer provides a large area to explore (Liang *et al* 2007; Zhang *et al* 2007; Xu *et al* 2008). Meanwhile, recently a rapid progress of organic dyes has been witnessed reaching close to 10.0% efficiencies in combination with a volatile acetonitrile-based electrolyte (Ito *et al* 2008). Phthalonitrile is an important class of high-performance dyes, which are easily processable, and display good mechanical properties, outstanding thermal and thermal-oxidative stability. Phthalonitrile dyes were used for aerospace, marine and electronic packaging applications. Thermal treatment of phthalonitrile derivatives was carried out at elevated temperatures (generally high up to 350°C) for an extended period of time. In this paper, the performance of 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile metal free dye that was used in DSSC is analysed.

2. Experimental

The compound, 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile, was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Company, USA, with a purity of greater than 99% and it was used as such without further purification. The FT-Raman spectrum of 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile was recorded using 1064 nm line of

* Author for correspondence (anbarasanpm@gmail.com)

Nd:YAG laser as excitation wavelength in the region 50–3500 cm^{-1} on a Brucker model IFS 66 V spectrophotometer. The FT-IR spectrum of this compound was recorded in the region 400–4000 cm^{-1} on IFS 66 V spectrophotometer using KBr pellet technique. The spectrum was recorded at room temperature, with a scanning speed of 30 $\text{cm}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$ and a spectral resolution of 2 cm^{-1} .

3. Computational methods

The computations of the geometries, electronic structures, polarizabilities and hyperpolarizabilities, as well as electronic absorption spectrum for dye sensitizer 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile was done using *ab initio* HF and DFT with Gaussian03 package (Frisch *et al* 2003). The DFT was treated according to Becke's three parameter gradient-corrected exchange potential and the Lee–Yang–Parr gradient-corrected correlation potential (B3LYP) (Lee *et al* 1988; Miehlich *et al* 1989; Becke 1993), and all calculations were performed without any symmetry constraints by using polarized split-valence 6–311G (d,p) basis sets. The electronic absorption spectrum requires calculation of the allowed excitations and oscillator strengths. These calculations were done using TD-DFT with the same basis sets and exchange-correlation functional in vacuum and solution, and the non-equilibrium version of the polarizable continuum model (PCM) (Barone and Cossi 1998; Cossi *et al* 2003) was adopted for calculating the solvent effects.

4. Results and discussion

4.1 Geometric structure

The optimized geometry of the 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile is shown in figure 1, and the bond lengths, bond angles and dihedral angles are listed in table 1. Since the crystal structure of the exact title compound is not available until now, the

Figure 1. Optimized geometrical structure of dye 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile.

Table 1. Bond lengths (in Å), bond angles (in degree) and dihedral angles (in degree) of the dye 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile.

Parameters	HF/6–311G (d,p)	B3LYP/6–311G (d,p)
Bond length (Å)		
O1–C4	1.3447	1.3615
O1–C5	1.377	1.3975
N2–C16	1.1293	1.1545
N3–C17	1.1299	1.1551
C4–C6	1.3847	1.3946
C4–C7	1.3906	1.4003
C5–C11	1.3805	1.3902
C5–C12	1.3805	1.3902
C6–C8	1.3878	1.3987
C6–H18	1.0711	1.0807
C7–C10	1.3742	1.3826
C7–H19	1.0733	1.0825
C8–C9	1.3906	1.4104
C8–C16	1.4432	1.4307
C9–C10	1.3916	1.4031
C9–C17	1.4391	1.4270
C10–H20	1.0736	1.0825
C11–C13	1.3849	1.3934
C11–H21	1.0746	1.0833
C12–C14	1.3849	1.3934
C12–H22	1.0746	1.0833
C13–C15	1.385	1.3938
C13–H23	1.0749	1.0837
C14–C15	1.385	1.3938
C14–H24	1.0749	1.0837
C15–H25	1.0747	1.0835
Bond angle (°)		
C4–O1–C5	121.2095	120.0163
O1–C4–C6	124.1427	123.9884
O1–C4–C7	115.7752	115.8781
C6–C4–C7	120.0822	120.1335
O1–C5–C11	119.2758	119.1878
O1–C5–C12	119.2714	119.1795
C11–C5–C12	121.394	121.5417
C4–C6–C8	119.4028	119.699
C4–C6–H18	121.1244	120.8084
C8–C6–H18	119.4728	119.4926
C4–C7–C10	120.1108	120.0935
C4–C7–H19	118.7329	118.6707
C10–C7–H19	121.1564	121.2358
C6–C8–C9	120.9184	120.4914
C6–C8–C16	118.0395	118.5478
C9–C8–C16	121.0421	120.9609
C8–C9–C10	118.792	118.6867
C8–C9–C17	121.797	121.5693
C10–C9–C17	119.4109	119.744
C7–C10–C9	120.6938	120.8959
C7–C10–H20	120.0314	120.0719
C9–C10–H20	119.2748	119.0323
C5–C11–C13	119.0767	118.9707

optimized structure can only be compared with other similar systems for which the crystal structures were solved. From the theoretical values we can find that most of the optimized

Table 1. (contd.)

Parameters	HF/6-311G (d,p)	B3LYP/6-311G (d,p)
Bond angle (°)		
C5-C11-H21	119.6654	119.6143
C13-C11-H21	121.256	121.412
C5-C12-C14	119.0774	118.9721
C5-C12-H22	119.663	119.6124
C14-C12-H22	121.2577	121.4126
C11-C13-C15	120.3044	120.2689
C11-C13-H23	119.5794	119.5803
C15-C13-H23	120.1159	120.1506
C12-C14-C15	120.3038	120.2674
C12-C14-H24	119.5799	119.5797
C15-C14-H24	120.1161	120.1527
C13-C15-C14	119.8417	119.978
C13-C15-H25	120.0784	120.0089
C14-C15-H25	120.0791	120.0121
Dihedral angle (°)		
C5-O1-C4-C6	-0.0362	0.0133
C5-O1-C4-C7	179.9662	-179.9876
C4-O1-C5-C11	91.3854	91.6632
C4-O1-C5-C12	-91.3633	-91.7513
O1-C4-C6-C8	-179.9947	-180.0011
O1-C4-C6-H18	0.0047	-0.0038
C7-C4-C6-C8	0.0028	-0.0002
C7-C4-C6-H18	-179.9978	179.9971
O1-C4-C7-C10	179.9955	180.003
O1-C4-C7-H19	-0.0035	0.0037
C6-C4-C7-C10	-0.0022	0.0022
C6-C4-C7-H19	179.9988	-179.9972
O1-C5-C11-C13	177.6333	176.7791
O1-C5-C11-H21	-1.8763	-2.6059
C12-C5-C11-C13	0.4423	0.2773
C12-C5-C11-H21	-179.0674	-179.1078
O1-C5-C12-C14	-177.6334	-176.7794
O1-C5-C12-H22	1.8769	2.6065
C11-C5-C12-C14	-0.4423	-0.2773
C11-C5-C12-H22	179.0681	179.1087
C4-C6-C8-C9	-0.0016	-0.0032
C4-C6-C8-C16	179.9958	179.9935
H18-C6-C8-C9	179.999	179.9995
H18-C6-C8-C16	-0.0036	-0.0037
C4-C7-C10-C9	0.0004	-0.0008
C4-C7-C10-H20	180.0001	179.9993
H19-C7-C10-C9	-180.0007	179.9985
H19-C7-C10-H20	-0.001	-0.0014
C6-C8-C9-C10	-0.0002	0.0045
C6-C8-C9-C17	179.9976	180.002
C16-C8-C9-C10	-179.9975	-179.9921
C16-C8-C9-C17	0.0003	0.0053
C8-C9-C10-C7	0.0009	-0.0025
C8-C9-C10-H20	-179.9989	179.9974
C17-C9-C10-C7	-179.997	-180.0
C17-C9-C10-H20	0.0033	-0.0001

bond lengths, bond angles and dihedral angles. The optimized bond lengths of C8-C16 and C9-C17 is 1.4307 and 1.4270 Å, respectively at B3LYP/6-311G (d,p) and also well matched with HF/6-311G (d,p).

Table 1. (contd.)

Parameters	HF/6-311G (d,p)	B3LYP/6-311G (d,p)
Dihedral angle (°)		
C5-C11-C13-C15	-0.0956	-0.001
C5-C11-C13-H23	-179.9084	-179.8365
H21-C11-C13-C15	179.4059	179.3726
H21-C11-C13-H23	-0.4069	-0.463
C5-C12-C14-C15	0.0956	0.0011
C5-C12-C14-H24	179.9082	179.8367
H22-C12-C14-C15	-179.4067	-179.3734
H22-C12-C14-H24	0.406	0.4622
C11-C13-C15-C14	-0.2411	-0.2687
C11-C13-C15-H25	-179.9366	-179.9195
H23-C13-C15-C14	179.5707	179.5659
H23-C13-C15-H25	-0.1248	-0.0849
C12-C14-C15-C13	0.2412	0.2686
C12-C14-C15-H25	179.9367	179.9194
H24-C14-C15-C13	-179.5705	-179.566
H24-C14-C15-H25	0.125	0.0848

4.2 Electronic structures and charges

Natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis was performed in order to analyse the charge populations of the dye 4-phenoxypthalonitrile. Charge distributions in C, N and H atoms were observed because of the different electronegativity, the electrons transferred from C atoms to C, N atoms, C atoms to H. The natural charges of different groups are the sum of every atomic natural charge in the group. These data indicate that the cyanine and amide groups are acceptors, while the acetic groups are donors, and the charges were transferred through chemical bonds. The frontier molecular orbitals (MO) energies and corresponding density of state of the dye 4-phenoxypthalonitrile

Figure 2. Frontier molecular orbital energies and corresponding density of state (DOS) spectrum of the dye 4-phenoxypthalonitrile.

is shown in figure 2. The HOMO–LUMO gap of the dye 4-phenoxyphtalonitrile in vacuum is 4.5952 eV.

While the calculated HOMO and LUMO energies of the bare $\text{Ti}_{38}\text{O}_{76}$ cluster as a model for nanocrystalline are -6.55 and -2.77 eV, respectively resulting in a HOMO–LUMO gap of 3.78 eV, the lowest transition is reduced to 3.20 eV according to TD-DFT, and this value is slightly smaller than typical bandgap of TiO_2 nanoparticles with nm size (Nazeeruddin *et al* 2005). Furthermore, the HOMO, LUMO and HOMO–LUMO gap of $(\text{TiO}_2)_{60}$ cluster is -7.52 , -2.97 and 4.55 eV (B3LYP/VDZ), respectively (Lundqvist *et al* 2006). Taking into account the cluster size effects and the calculated HOMO, LUMO, HOMO–LUMO gap of the dye 4-phenoxyphtalonitrile, $\text{Ti}_{38}\text{O}_{76}$ and $(\text{TiO}_2)_{60}$ clusters, we can find that the HOMO energies of these dyes fall within the TiO_2 gap.

The above data also reveal the interfacial electron transfer between semiconductor TiO_2 electrode and the dye sensitizer. 4-Phenoxyphtalonitrile is the electron injection process from excited dye to the semiconductor conduction band. This is a kind of typical interfacial electron transfer reaction (Waston and Meyer 2005).

4.3 Polarizability and hyperpolarizability

Polarizabilities and hyperpolarizabilities characterize the response of a system in an applied electric field (Datta and Pati 2003, 2004, 2005a, b, 2006; Zhang *et al* 2004; Datta *et al* 2005, 2006; Datta 2009). They determine not only the strength of molecular interactions (long-range intermolecular induction, dispersion forces, etc) as well as the cross sections of different scattering and collision processes, but also the nonlinear optical properties (NLO) of the system (Christiansen *et al* 1999; Sun *et al* 2003). It was found that the dye sensitizer hemicyanine system with high NLO property, usually possesses high photoelectric conversion performance (Wang *et al* 2000). In order to investigate the relationships among photocurrent generation, molecular structures and NLO, the polarizabilities and hyperpolarizabilities of 4-phenoxyphtalonitrile was calculated.

The polarizabilities and hyperpolarizabilities were computed via finite field (FF) method, sum-over state (SOS) method based on TD-DFT and coupled-perturbed HF (CPHF) method. However, the use of FF, SOS and CPHF methods with large-sized basis sets for 4-phenoxyphtalonitrile is too expensive. Here, the polarizability and the first hyperpolarizabilities are computed as a numerical derivative of the dipole moment using B3LYP/6–

31G (d,p). The definitions (Christiansen *et al* 1999; Sun *et al* 2003) for the isotropic polarizability is

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{3} (\alpha_{XX} + \alpha_{YY} + \alpha_{ZZ}).$$

The polarizability anisotropy invariant is

$$\Delta\alpha = \left[\frac{(\alpha_{XX} - \alpha_{YY})^2 + (\alpha_{YY} - \alpha_{ZZ})^2 + (\alpha_{ZZ} - \alpha_{XX})^2}{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and the average hyperpolarizability is

$$\beta_0 = \frac{1}{5} (\beta_{iiz} + \beta_{izi} + \beta_{zii}),$$

where α_{XX} , α_{YY} and α_{ZZ} are tensor components of polarizability; β_{iiz} , β_{izi} and β_{zii} (i from X to Z) are tensor components of hyperpolarizability.

Tables 2 and 3 list the values of the polarizabilities and hyperpolarizabilities of the dye 4-phenoxyphtalonitrile. In addition to the individual tensor components of the polarizabilities and the first hyperpolarizabilities, the isotropic polarizability, polarizability anisotropy invariant and hyperpolarizability are also calculated. The calculated isotropic polarizability of 4-phenoxyphtalonitrile is -53.6627 a.u. However, the calculated isotropic polarizability of JK16, JK17, dye 1, dye 2, D5, DST and DSS is 759.9, 1015.5, 694.7, 785.7, 510.6, 611.2 and 802.9 a.u., respectively (Seidl *et al* 1996; Zhang *et al* 2009a, b, c). The above data indicate that the donor-conjugate p bridge-acceptor (D-p-A) chain-like dyes have stronger response for external electric field. Whereas, for dye sensitizers D5, DST, DSS, JK16, JK17, dye 1 and dye 2, on the basis of the published photo-to-current conversion efficiencies, the similarity and the difference of geometries, and the calculated isotropic polarizabilities, it is found that longer the length of the conjugate bridge in similar dyes, the larger the polarizability of the dye molecule, and the lower the photo-to-current conversion efficiency. This may be due to the fact that the longer conjugate-p-bridge enlarged the delocalization of electrons, thus it enhanced the response of the external field, but the enlarged delocalization may be not favourable to generate charge separated state effectively. So it induces the lower photo-to-current conversion efficiency.

4.4 IR and Raman frequencies

Figures 3 and 4 show the observed and calculated IR and Raman spectra of 4-phenoxyphtalonitrile, respectively.

Table 2. Polarizability (α) of the dye 4-phenoxyphtalonitrile (in a.u.).

α_{xx}	α_{xy}	α_{yy}	α_{xz}	α_{yz}	α_{zz}	α	$\Delta\alpha$
-117.2284	19.5787	-104.4547	0.7541	0.0585	-91.5667	-53.6627	171.8929

Table 3. Hyperpolarizability (β) of the dye 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile (in a.u.).

β_{xxx}	β_{xyx}	β_{xyy}	β_{yyy}	β_{xxz}	β_{xyz}	β_{yyz}	β_{xzz}	β_{yzz}	β_{zzz}	β_{ii}
234.1205	-17.4479	19.7551	-68.7671	5.7017	0.3518	0.9628	23.1031	0.5898	2.1785	5.3058

Comparison of the observed (FT-IR and FT-Raman) and calculated vibrational frequencies of 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile is shown in table 4. Comparison of the frequencies calculated by *ab initio* HF and B3LYP with experimental values reveals the overestimation of the calculated vibrational modes due to the neglect of anharmonicity in real system. Inclusion of electron correlation in density functional theory to a certain extent makes the frequency values smaller in comparison with experimental values. Anyway notwithstanding the level of calculations, it is customary to scale down the calculated harmonic frequencies in order to improve the agreement with the experiment. In our study we have followed two different scaling factors B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) and HF/6-311G(d,p). The 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile molecule gives rise

to thirty C-C ring deformations at 15, 33, 92, 143, 251, 303, 395, 404, 423, 431, 455, 497, 555, 559, 607, 629, 641, 649, 708, 714, 741, 746, 796, 844, 973, 981, 1019, 1094, 1107 and 1176 cm^{-1} , two C-C-C inplane bending vibrations at 55 and 118 cm^{-1} , eleven C-C-N inplane bending vibrations at 159, 242, 303, 455, 497, 559, 607, 649, 708, 714 and 741 cm^{-1} , twenty four CH wagging at 854, 897, 930, 1042, 1094, 1107, 1176, 1182, 1187, 1219, 1225, 1268, 1302, 1322, 1344, 1441, 1485, 1518, 1524, 1595, 1627, 1636 and 1644 cm^{-1} , seven C=C stretching vibrations at 741, 973, 1187, 1219, 1225, 1268 and 1302 cm^{-1} , two CH out-of-plane bending vibrations at 796 and 844 cm^{-1} , one CO stretching vibration at 850 cm^{-1} , one C-H torsion at 1006 cm^{-1} , three C-C-N stretching vibrations at 1219,

Figure 3. Observed and calculated FT-IR spectra of 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile. **Figure 4.** Observed and calculated FT-Raman spectra of 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile.

Table 4. Comparison of the observed (FT-IR and FT-Raman) and calculated vibrational frequencies of 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile.

Vibrational mode no.	Species	Experimental wavenumber (cm ⁻¹)		Scaled wavenumber (cm ⁻¹)		IR intensity	Raman active	Assignments	PED (%)
		FT-IR	FT-Raman	HF/6-311G (d,p)	B3LYP/6-311G (d,p)				
1	A'	-	-	20	15	0.0527	8.6137	δ C-C	δ C-C (95)
2	A''	-	-	38	33	0.3219	7.9442	δ C-C	δ C-C (92)
3	A''	-	58	62	55	1.2987	6.1661	β C-C-C	β C-C-C (92)
4	A'	-	-	102	92	3.8911	1.6162	δ C-C	δ C-C (76)
5	A'	-	121	131	118	1.2098	5.4483	β C-C-N + β C-C-C	β C-C-N (71) + β C-C-C (23)
6	A'	-	-	157	143	2.5693	0.7075	δ C-C	δ C-C (82)
7	A'	-	-	174	159	4.9634	0.8009	β C-C-N	β C-C-N (89)
8	A'	-	254	265	242	0.6296	4.2061	β C-C-N	β C-C-N (90)
9	A'	-	-	276	251	0.9372	0.1812	δ C-C	δ C-C (93)
10	A''	-	312	327	303	1.8073	5.5941	β C-C-N + δ C-C	β C-C-N (55) + δ C-C (40)
11	A'	-	-	433	395	1.1493	1.742	δ C-C	δ C-C (91)
12	A'	-	-	438	404	2.8164	0.1628	δ C-C	δ C-C (69)
13	A'	-	-	461	423	0.0545	0.0403	δ C-C	δ C-C (92)
14	A'	-	-	463	431	0.6813	2.2194	δ C-C	δ C-C (96)
15	A''	442	462	489	455	2.1568	6.6482	β C-C-N + δ C-C	β C-C-N (68) + δ C-C (11)
16	A'	-	-	505	459	0.0873	2.2618	ω C-H	β C-H (85)
17	A'	488	510	541	497	10.2406	7.168	β C-C-N + δ C-C	β C-C-N (62) + δ C-C (30)
18	A'	560	562	609	555	13.8943	4.514	δ C-C	δ C-C (94)
19	A'	-	-	610	559	1.1451	0.9493	β C-C-N + δ C-C	β C-C-N (58) + δ C-C (23)
20	A''	-	-	657	607	1.6756	0.3368	β C-C-N + δ C-C	β C-C-N (71) + δ C-C (28)
21	A''	-	641	673	629	0.002	4.8499	δ C-C	δ C-C (98)
22	A'	-	-	698	641	0.3815	1.4456	δ C-C	δ C-C (99)
23	A'	-	-	705	649	0.455	1.1988	β C-C-N + δ C-C	β C-C-N (64) + δ C-C (32)
24	A''	712	-	762	708	21.3326	2.3275	β C-C-N + δ C-C	β C-C-N (51) + δ C-C (30)
25	A'	719	730	774	714	17.3785	5.5724	β C-C-N + δ C-C	β C-C-N (67) + δ C-C (22)
26	A'	-	762	790	741	1.4776	21.477	β C-C-N + δ C-C + ν C-C	β C-C-N (39) + δ C-C (24) + ν C-C (18)
27	A''	-	-	811	746	0.1165	1.2666	δ C-C	δ C-C (90)
28	A''	822	-	872	796	40.1542	0.4884	δ C-C + γ C-H	δ C-C (78) + γ C-H (14)
29	A'	-	-	917	844	0.5084	0.7405	δ C-C + γ C-H	δ C-C (57) + γ C-H (34)
30	A'	862	897	940	850	21.1959	6.6246	ν C-O + δ C-C	ν C-O (44) + δ C-C (37)
31	A'	868	-	947	854	30.6316	0.6166	ω C-H	ω C-H (93)
32	A'	907	-	996	897	13.6688	0.3449	ω C-H	ω C-H (79)
33	A''	-	-	1026	930	2.8798	1.3863	ω C-H	ω C-H (93)
34	A''	981	1009	1048	973	34.4911	13.1813	δ C-C + ν C-C	δ C-C (60) + ν C-C (23)
35	A'	-	-	1085	981	0.0609	0.3865	δ C-C	δ C-C (97)

Table 4. (contd.)

Vibrational mode no.	Species	Experimental wavenumber (cm ⁻¹)		Scaled wavenumber (cm ⁻¹)		IR intensity	Raman active	Assignments	PED (%)
		FT-IR	FT-Raman	HF/6-311G (d,p)	B3LYP/6-311G (d,p)				
36	A'	–	–	1094	981	0.3874	0.2244	δ C–C	δ C–C (92)
37	A'	–	–	1099	1006	0.1043	0.2318	τ C–H	τ C–H (74)
38	A'	1031	1034	1114	1019	5.1463	44.2792	δ C–C	δ C–C (76)
39	A''	1083	1090	1118	1042	7.2814	8.3418	ω C–H	ω C–H (79)
40	A'	1138	–	1158	1094	7.2715	0.6445	δ C–C + ω C–H	δ C–C (48) + ω C–H (33)
41	A'	1154	1135	1181	1107	24.9128	7.8802	δ C–C + ω C–H	δ C–C (53) + ω C–H (32)
42	A'	–	1189	1197	1176	1.8497	5.3871	δ C–C + ω C–H	δ C–C (81) + ω C–H (11)
43	A'	–	–	1233	1182	0.0129	3.0483	ω C–H	ω C–H (95)
44	A'	1205	1196	1269	1187	14.7874	5.3886	ν C–C + ω C–H	ν C–C (66) + ω C–H (28)
45	A''	1241	1246	1281	1219	39.2499	9.1472	ν C–C + ω C–H + ν C–C–N	ν C–C (32) + ω C–H (25) + ν C–C–N (17)
46	A''	1236	1270	1313	1225	99.6011	91.2145	ν C–C + ω C–H + ν C–C–N	ν C–C (30) + ω C–H (49) + ν C–C–N (10)
47	A''	1279	1284	1319	1268	206.7155	22.6077	ν C–C + ω C–H + ν C–C–N	ν C–C (47) + ω C–H (23) + ν C–C–N (13)
48	A'	1321	–	1336	1302	100.1794	3.9482	ν C–C + ω C–H	ν C–C (56) + ω C–H (34)
49	A'	–	–	1381	1322	0.6739	0.3231	ω C–H	ω C–H (99)
50	A''	1380	1364	1436	1340	194.3511	31.3918	ν _{asy} C=C	ν _{asy} C=C (98)
51	A'	–	–	1446	1344	0.118	1.3066	ω C–H	ω C–H (80)
52	A'	1465	1498	1558	1441	8.3658	6.4652	ν _{sym} C=C + ω C–H	ν _{sym} C=C (58) + ω C–H (33)
53	A'	–	–	1602	1485	3.442	1.6099	ω C–H	ω C–H (92)
54	A'	1544	1534	1651	1518	225.1006	5.7146	ν _{sym} C–C–N + ω C–H	ν _{sym} C–C–N (64) + ω C–H (32)
55	A'	1562	1556	1661	1524	48.5167	18.0788	ν _{asy} C=C + ω C–H	ν _{asy} C=C (45) + ω C–H (39)
56	A'	1608	1615	1751	1595	14.841	21.4376	ν _{asy} C=C + ω C–H	ν _{asy} C=C (55) + ω C–H (34)
57	A''	1635	1633	1775	1627	187.091	76.9474	ν _{asy} C=C + ω C–H	ν _{asy} C=C (47) + ω C–H (24)
58	A'	1641	1645	1779	1636	6.5995	7.8949	ω C–H	ω C–H (99)
59	A''	1658	1657	1795	1644	43.493	135.2223	ν _{asy} C=C + ω C–H	ν _{asy} C–C (79) + ω C–H (12)
60	A'	2374	2378	2592	2339	29.1267	655.5432	ν _{sym} C–C–N	ν _{sym} C–C–N (99)
61	A'	2398	2391	2599	2345	6.5566	248.8301	ν _{asy} C–C–N	ν _{asy} C–C–N (97)
62	A'	3211	3207	3327	3172	0.5786	36.796	ν _{sym} C–H	ν _{sym} C–H (93)
63	A'	3219	3216	3337	3180	5.1797	135.9358	ν _{asy} C–H	ν _{asy} C–H (87)
64	A'	3228	3224	3347	3189	15.9532	73.5763	ν _{asy} C–H	ν _{asy} C–H (96)
65	A'	3238	3235	3355	3196	7.2487	4.4056	ν _{asy} C–H	ν _{asy} C–H (95)
66	A'	3240	3241	3357	3197	1.0827	60.5525	ν _{sym} C–H	ν _{sym} C–H (94)
67	A''	3247	3265	3360	3200	3.5042	339.5598	ν _{sym} C–H	ν _{sym} C–H (94)
68	A'	3259	3289	3373	3209	0.4441	178.3693	ν _{sym} C–H	ν _{sym} C–H (99)
69	A'	3271	3314	3389	3221	0.9304	63.5042	ν C–H	ν C–H (91)

ν, stretching; ν_{sym}, symmetric stretching; ν_{asy}, asymmetric stretching; β, in-plane bending; γ, out-of-plane bending; ω, wagging; τ, torsion and δ, ring deformation.

1225 and 1268 cm^{-1} , five C=C asymmetric stretching vibrations at 1340, 1524, 1595, 1627 and 1644 cm^{-1} , one C=C symmetric stretching vibration at 1441 cm^{-1} , two C–C–N symmetric stretching vibrations at 1518 and 2339 cm^{-1} , one C–C–N asymmetric stretching vibration at 2345 cm^{-1} , four C–H symmetric stretching vibrations at 3172, 3196, 3200 and 3209 cm^{-1} , three C–H asymmetric stretching vibrations at 3180, 3189 and 3196 cm^{-1} and one C–H stretching vibration at 3221 cm^{-1} were assigned to B3LYP/6–311G (d,p) scaling method. The next stronger IR absorption is attributed to vibrational mode 47 near about 1268 cm^{-1} , corresponding to the stretching mode of C=C bonds and stretching of C–C–N bond. In the Raman spectrum, however, the strongest activity mode is the vibrational mode 60 near about 2339 cm^{-1} , which is corresponding to stretching mode of C–N triple bond. The same vibrations are computed by HF/6–311G (d,p) and also shows good agreement with experimental data.

4.5 Electronic absorption spectra and sensitized mechanism

In order to understand the electronic transitions of 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile, TD-DFT calculations on electronic absorption spectra in vacuum and solvent were performed, and the results are shown in figure 5. It is observed that, for 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile, the absorption in the visible region is much weaker than that in the UV region. The calculated results have a red-shift. The results of TD-DFT have an appreciable red-shift, and the degree of red-shift in solvent is more significant than that in vacuum. The discrepancy between vacuum and solvent effects in TD-DFT calculations may result from two aspects. The first aspect is smaller gap of materials which induces smaller excited energies. The other is solvent effects. Experimental measurements of

electronic absorptions are usually performed in solution. Solvent, especially polar solvent, could affect the geometry and electronic structure as well as the properties of the molecules through the long-range interaction between solute molecule and solvent molecule. For these reasons it is more difficult to make the TD-DFT calculation which is consistent quantitatively. Though the discrepancy exists, the TD-DFT calculations are capable of describing the spectral features of 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile because of the agreement of line shape and relative strength as compared with the vacuum and solvent.

The HOMO–LUMO gap of 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile in acetonitrile at B3LYP/6–31G (d,p) theory level is smaller than that in vacuum. This fact indicates that the solvent effects stabilize the frontier orbitals of 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile. So it induces the smaller intensities and red-shift of the absorption as compared with that in vacuum.

In order to obtain the microscopic information about the electronic transitions, the corresponding MO properties are checked. The absorption in visible and near-UV region is the most important region for photo-to-current conversion, so only the 20 lowest singlet/singlet transitions of the absorption band in visible and near-UV region for 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile is listed in table 5. The data of table 5 and figure 6 are based on the B3LYP/6–311G (d,p) results with solvent effects involved.

This indicates that the transitions are photoinduced charge-transfer processes, thus the excitations generate charge separated states, which should favour the electron injection from the excited dye to semiconductor surface.

The solar energy to electricity conversion efficiency (η) under AM 1.5 white-light irradiation can be obtained from the following formula:

$$\eta (\%) = \frac{J_{sc} [mA\ cm^{-2}] V_{oc} [V] ff}{I_0 [mW\ cm^{-2}]} \times 100,$$

where I_0 is the photon flux, J_{sc} the short-circuit photocurrent density and V_{oc} the open-circuit photovoltage and ff represents the fill factor (Hara *et al* 2003a, b). At present, the J_{sc} , the V_{oc} and the ff are only obtained by the experiment, the relationship among these quantities and the electronic structure of dye is still unknown. The analytical relationship between V_{oc} and E_{LUMO} may exist. According to the sensitized mechanism (electron injected from the excited dyes to the semiconductor conduction band) and single-electron and single-state approximation, there is an energy relationship:

$$eV_{oc} = E_{LUMO} - E_{CB},$$

where E_{CB} is the energy of the semiconductor's conduction band edge. So the V_{oc} may be obtained applying the following formula:

$$V_{oc} = \frac{(E_{LUMO} - E_{CB})}{e}.$$

Figure 5. Calculated electronic absorption spectra of dye 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile.

Table 5. Computed excitation energies, electronic transition configurations and oscillator strengths (f) for the optical transitions of the absorption bands in visible and near-UV region for the dye 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile in acetonitrile.

State	Configurations composition (corresponding transition orbitals)		Excitation energy (eV/nm)	Oscillator strength (f)
1	0.68365 (57 $\rightarrow$ 58)		3.8065/325.72	0.1567
2	0.10446 (54 $\rightarrow$ 58)	0.50006 (56 $\rightarrow$ 58)	4.3461/285.27	0.0020
	-0.47544 (57 $\rightarrow$ 59)			
3	0.49115 (56 $\rightarrow$ 58)	0.48174 (57 $\rightarrow$ 59)	4.4186/280.59	0.0569
4	-0.31756 (54 $\rightarrow$ 58)	-0.16895 (54 $\rightarrow$ 59)	4.7770/259.54	0.0622
	0.52316 (55 $\rightarrow$ 58)	-0.25930 (55 $\rightarrow$ 59)		
5	-0.21618 (54 $\rightarrow$ 58)	-0.22367 (55 $\rightarrow$ 58)	5.0105/247.45	0.0228
	-0.12427 (55 $\rightarrow$ 59)	0.59152 (56 $\rightarrow$ 59)		
	-0.10712 (57 $\rightarrow$ 60)			
6	0.35676 (54 $\rightarrow$ 58)	0.30876 (55 $\rightarrow$ 58)	5.0881/243.68	0.1538
	0.33179 (55 $\rightarrow$ 59)	0.34177 (56 $\rightarrow$ 59)		
7	0.14920 (56 $\rightarrow$ 59)	-0.11342 (56 $\rightarrow$ 60)	5.2940/234.20	0.0191
	0.34027 (56 $\rightarrow$ 61)	0.57540 (57 $\rightarrow$ 60)		
	0.11049 (57 $\rightarrow$ 61)			
8	-0.28713 (54 $\rightarrow$ 58)	0.39265 (55 $\rightarrow$ 59)	5.6653/218.85	0.5443
	-0.11101 (56 $\rightarrow$ 60)	-0.10158 (57 $\rightarrow$ 60)		
	0.40077 (57 $\rightarrow$ 61)			
9	0.20717 (54 $\rightarrow$ 58)	-0.29384 (55 $\rightarrow$ 59)	5.9108/209.76	0.2561
	-0.26362 (56 $\rightarrow$ 60)	-0.13067 (57 $\rightarrow$ 60)		
	0.41253 (57 $\rightarrow$ 61)	0.16809 (57 $\rightarrow$ 62)		
10	0.10411 (53 $\rightarrow$ 58)	0.49855 (54 $\rightarrow$ 59)	6.0547/204.77	0.2187
	-0.14070 (55 $\rightarrow$ 60)	-0.10846 (56 $\rightarrow$ 61)		
	-0.32288 (57 $\rightarrow$ 62)			
11	0.25758 (54 $\rightarrow$ 59)	-0.17304 (55 $\rightarrow$ 62)	6.0736/204.14	0.1478
	0.16768 (56 $\rightarrow$ 60)	0.54611 (57 $\rightarrow$ 62)		
12	-0.15741 (52 $\rightarrow$ 58)	0.55285 (53 $\rightarrow$ 58)	6.2321/198.95	0.0436
	-0.19808 (54 $\rightarrow$ 59)	-0.21054 (55 $\rightarrow$ 60)		
	-0.10730 (56 $\rightarrow$ 61)			
13	0.10812 (49 $\rightarrow$ 58)	0.22684 (51 $\rightarrow$ 58)	6.2799/197.43	0.0215
	-0.25252 (52 $\rightarrow$ 58)	0.18261 (53 $\rightarrow$ 58)		
	0.13790 (54 $\rightarrow$ 59)	0.43416 (55 $\rightarrow$ 60)		
	0.20780 (56 $\rightarrow$ 61)	-0.13786 (57 $\rightarrow$ 60)		
	-0.12270 (57 $\rightarrow$ 61)			
14	0.21900 (49 $\rightarrow$ 58)	0.35392 (51 $\rightarrow$ 58)	6.3114/196.44	0.0134
	-0.33622 (52 $\rightarrow$ 58)	-0.32290 (53 $\rightarrow$ 58)		
	-0.20452 (55 $\rightarrow$ 60)	-0.10009 (56 $\rightarrow$ 61)		
15	0.55827 (49 $\rightarrow$ 58)	0.18047 (50 $\rightarrow$ 58)	6.3578/195.01	0.0001
	-0.32012 (51 $\rightarrow$ 58)	-0.10898 (51 $\rightarrow$ 59)		
	-0.11930 (55 $\rightarrow$ 60)	0.37922 (55 $\rightarrow$ 61)	6.4560/192.05	0.0527
16	-0.11335 (55 $\rightarrow$ 62)	0.10334 (55 $\rightarrow$ 63)		
	-0.34160 (56 $\rightarrow$ 60)	-0.16842 (56 $\rightarrow$ 61)		
	-0.33806 (57 $\rightarrow$ 63)			
17	0.31846 (55 $\rightarrow$ 61)	0.18001 (55 $\rightarrow$ 62)	6.4953/190.88	0.0265
	-0.14658 (55 $\rightarrow$ 63)	-0.15738 (56 $\rightarrow$ 60)		
	0.14035 (57 $\rightarrow$ 62)	0.49710 (57 $\rightarrow$ 63)		

It induces that the higher the E_{LUMO} , the larger the V_{oc} . The results of organic dye sensitizer JK16 and JK17 (Zhang *et al* 2009a, b, c), D-ST and D-SS also proved the tendency (Zhang *et al* 2009a) (JK16 : LUMO = -2.73 eV, V_{oc} = 0.74 V; JK17 : LUMO = -2.87 eV, V_{oc} = 0.67 V;

D-SS : LUMO = -2.91 eV, V_{oc} = 0.70 V; D-ST : LUMO = -2.83 eV, V_{oc} = 0.73 V). Certainly, this formula expects further test by experiment and theoretical calculation. The J_{sc} is determined by two processes, one is the rate of electron injection from the excited dyes to the

Table 5. (contd.)

State	Configurations composition (corresponding transition orbitals)		Excitation energy (eV/nm)	Oscillator strength (<i>f</i>)
18	−0.15119 (52 → 58) 0.34270 (54 → 62) 0.43677 (55 → 62) −0.19421 (57 → 63)	0.14823 (54→61) 0.12730 (54 → 63) 0.13613 (55 → 63)	6.6793/185.63	0.0099
19	−0.11226 (46 → 58) 0.24378 (49 → 58) 0.32911 (51 → 58) 0.10786 (56 → 62)	−0.13036 (48→58) −0.16625 (50 → 58) 0.44728 (52 → 58)	6.7321/184.17	0.0047
20	−0.11805 (54 → 60) −0.20126 (55 → 62) 0.59139 (56 → 62) 0.14179 (57 → 63)	−0.11034 (55→60) 0.13316 (56 → 61) −0.10436 (57 → 62)	6.7673/183.21	0.0138

conduction band of semiconductor, and the other is the rate of redox between the excited dyes and electrolyte. Electrolyte effect on the redox processes is very complex, and it is not taken into account in the present calculations. This indicates that most of excited states of 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile have larger absorption coefficient, and then with shorter lifetime for the excited states. Hence it results in the higher electron injection rate which leads to the larger J_{sc} of 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile. On the basis of above analysis, it is clear that the 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile has better performance in DSSC.

5. Conclusions

The geometries, electronic structures, polarizabilities and hyperpolarizabilities of dye 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile were studied by using *ab initio* HF and density functional theory with hybrid functional B3LYP, and the UV–Vis spectra were investigated by using TD-DFT methods. The NBO results suggest that 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile is a (D-p-A) system. The calculated isotropic polarizability of 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile is −53.6627 a.u. The calculated polarizability anisotropy invariant of 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile is 171.8929 a.u. The hyperpolarizability of 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile is 5.3058 (in a.u). The strongest IR absorption for 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile corresponds to the vibrational mode 54 near about 1518 cm^{-1} , which is corresponding to stretching mode of C=C bonds. In the Raman spectrum, the strongest activity mode is the vibrational mode 60 near about 2339 cm^{-1} , which is corresponding to stretching mode of C≡N bond. The electronic absorption spectral features in visible and near-UV region were assigned based on the qualitative agreement to TD-DFT calculations. The absorptions were all ascribed to $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition. The three excited states with the lowest excited energies of 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile is photoinduced electron transfer processes that contributes sensitization of photo-to-current conversion processes. The interfacial electron transfer between semiconductor TiO₂ electrode and dye sensitizer 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile is electron injection process from excited dye as donor to the semiconductor conduction band. Based on the analysis of geometries, electronic structures and spectrum properties of 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile, the role of cyanine in phthalonitrile is as follows: it enlarged the distance between electron donor group and semiconductor surface and decreased the timescale of the electron injection rate, resulted in giving lower conversion efficiency. This indicates that the choice of the appropriate conjugate bridge in dye sensitizer is very important to improve the performance of DSSC.

Figure 6. Isodensity plots (isodensity contour = 0.02 a.u.) of the frontier orbitals of 4-phenoxyphthalonitrile.

Acknowledgement

This work was partly financially supported by University Grants Commission, Govt. of India, New Delhi, within the Major Research Project scheme under the approval-cum-sanction No. F.No.34-5\2008(SR) & 34-1/TN/08.

References

- Barone V and Cossi M 1998 *J. Phys. Chem.* **A102** 1995
 Becke A D 1993 *J. Chem. Phys.* **98** 5648
 Campbell W M, Burrell A K, Officer D L and Jolley K W 2004 *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **248** 1363
 Chiba Y, Islam A, Watanabe Y, Komiya R, Koide N and Han L 2006 *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **45** L638
 Christiansen O, Gauss J and Stanton J F 1999 *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **305** 147
 Cossi M, Rega N, Scalmani G and Barone V 2003 *J. Comput. Chem.* **24** 669
 Datta A 2009 *J. Phys. Chem.* **C113** 3339
 Datta A and Pati S K 2003 *J. Chem. Phys.* **118** 8420
 Datta A and Pati S K 2004 *J. Phys. Chem.* **A108** 320
 Datta A and Pati S K 2005a *Chem. Eur. J.* **11** 4961
 Datta A and Pati S K 2005b *J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem)* **756** 97
 Datta A and Pati S K 2006 *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **35** 1305
 Datta A, Pati S K, Davis D and Sreekumar K 2005 *J. Phys. Chem.* **A109** 4112
 Datta A, Terenziani F and Painelli A 2006 *Chem. Phys. Chem.* **7** 2168
 Edvinsson T *et al* 2007 *J. Phys. Chem.* **C111** 15137
 Frisch M J *et al* 2003 *Gaussian 03* (Pittsburgh, PA: Gaussian, Inc.)
 Gratzel M 2001 *Nature* **414** 338
 Hagberg D P, Edvinsson T, Marinado T, Boschloo G, Hagfeldt A and Sun L 2006 *Chem. Commun.* 2245
 Hara K, Kurashige M, Dan-oh Y, Kasada C, Shinpo A, Suga S, Sayama K and Arakawa H 2003a *New J. Chem.* **27** 783
 Hara K *et al* 2003b *J. Phys. Chem.* **B107** 597
 Horiuchi T, Miura H, Sumioka K and Uchida S 2004 *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **126** 12218
 Ito S *et al* 2008 *Chem. Commun.* 5194
 Kim S *et al* 2006 *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **128** 16701
 Kitamura T, Ikeda M, Shigaki K, Inoue T, Anderson N A, Ai X, Lian T and Yanagida S 2004 *Chem. Mater.* **16** 1806
 Koumura N, Wang Z S, Mori S, Miyashita M, Suzuki E and Hara K 2006 *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **128** 14256
 Lee C, Yang W and Parr R G 1988 *Phys. Rev.* **B37** 785
 Li S L, Jiang K J, Shao K F and Yang L M 2006 *Chem. Commun.* 2792
 Liang M, Xu W, Cai F, Chen P, Peng B, Chen J and Li Z 2007 *J. Phys. Chem.* **C111** 44652
 Lin J T, Chen P C, Yen Y S, Hsu Y C, Chou H H and Yeh M C P 2009 *Org. Lett.* **11** 97
 Lundqvist M J, Nilsing M, Persson P and Lunell S 2006 *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **106** 3214
 Miehlisch B, Savin A, Stoll H and Preuss H 1989 *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **157** 200
 Nazeeruddin M K *et al* 2005 *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **127** 16835
 Park N G and Kim K 2008 *Phys. Status Solidi* **A205** 1895
 Regan B O and Gratzel M 1991 *Nature* **353** 737
 Seidl A, Gorling A, Vogl P, Majewski J A and Levy M 1996 *Phys. Rev.* **B53** 3764
 Shi D, Pootrakuchote N, Yi Z, Xu M, Zakeeruddin S M, Gratzel M and Wang P 2008 *J. Phys. Chem.* **C112** 17478
 Sun Y, Chen X, Sun L, Guo X and Lu W 2003 *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **381** 397
 Thomas K R J, Lin J T, Hsu Y C and Ho K C 2005 *Chem. Commun.* 4098
 Wang M *et al* 2008 *Adv. Mater.* **20** 4460
 Wang Z S, Huang Y Y, Huang C H, Zheng J, Cheng H M and Tian S J 2000 *Synth. Met.* **14** 201
 Wang Z S, Cui Y, Hara K, Dan-oh Y, Kasada C and Shinpo A 2007 *Adv. Mater.* **19** 1138
 Waston D F and Meyer G J 2005 *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **56** 119
 Xu M *et al* 2009 *J. Phys. Chem.* **C113** 2966
 Xu W, Peng B, Chen J, Liang M and Cai F 2008 *J. Phys. Chem.* **C112** 874
 Zhang C R, Chen H S and Wang G H 2004 *Chem. Res. Chin. U.* **20** 640
 Zhang X H, Li C, Wang W B, Cheng X X, Wang X S and Zhang B W 2007 *J. Mater. Chem.* **17** 642
 Zhang C R, Liu Z J, Chen Y H, Chen H S, Wu Y Z and Yuan L H 2009a *J. Mol. Struct. (THEOCHEM)* **899** 86
 Zhang C R, Wu Y Z, Chen Y H and Chen H S 2009b *Acta Phys. Chim. Sin.* **25** 53
 Zhang G, Bai Y, Li R, Shi D, Wenger S, Zakeeruddin S M, Gratzel M and Wang P 2009c *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2** 92
 Zhou G, Pschirer N, Schoneboom J C, Eickemeyer F, Baumgarten M and Mullen K 2008 *Chem. Mater.* **20** 1808