Crystallization and microstructural behaviour of strontium titanate borosilicate glass ceramics with Bi$_2$O$_3$ addition
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Abstract. Glasses in the system (65 - x) [SrO·TiO$_2$] -(35) [2SiO$_2$·B$_2$O$_3$] -(x)[Bi$_2$O$_3$] where x = 1, 5, 10 (wt%) prepared by melting in alumina crucible (1375–1575 K), were subjected to different heat treatment schedules followed by DTA studies. Crystallization study showed the formation of Sr$_2$B$_2$O$_5$ as major phase at low temperature (~950°C) heat treatment. At high temperatures, TiO$_2$ and SrTiO$_3$ with or without Sr$_2$B$_2$O$_5$ crystallize out depending on heat treatment. In this paper, the influence of variation in composition, thermal treatment on the nature of crystallizing phases as well as on the resulting microstructures are investigated through XRD, IR and SEM. Uniform crystallization was achieved by suitable addition of Bi$_2$O$_3$ and proper heat treatment.
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1. Introduction

Glass ceramic process is an efficient way of producing an uniform, pore-free and fine grained microstructure which is highly desirable in ferroelectric materials (McMillan 1979; Parkash et al 1986). Extensive studies have been reported on the crystallization and dielectric behaviour of ferroelectric glass ceramics, specifically BaTiO$_3$ (Herczog 1964; Kokubo et al 1968), PbTiO$_3$ and NaNbO$_3$ (Martin 1965; Grossman and Isard 1969; Kokubo and Tashiro 1973/74). These studies had shown that both the parent glass composition and heat treatment schedule determine the crystalline phase constitution, microstructure and hence the dielectric properties of respective glass ceramic materials.

Glass ceramics based on SrTiO$_3$ have found applications in cryogenic capacitive temperature sensors (Lawless 1972). These glass ceramics may also be suitable for the applications where thermal stability of dielectric behaviour is desired (Swartz et al 1983). Studies on strontium titanate aluminosilicate glass ceramics had been reported by many authors for their crystallization, dielectric and micro-structural behaviour (Swartz et al 1988a, b). They found that the purity of raw materials influences the crystallization phenomena drastically. Compositions with reagent grade chemicals reveal the formation of SrTiO$_3$ phase at low crystallization temperature whereas fresnoite (Sr$_2$TiSi$_2$O$_8$) was the primary crystalline phase using high purity chemicals. Moreover, the crystallization behaviour was
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further complicated by the presence of several other unidentified phases. Nucleation treatment also affects the crystallization and dielectric behaviour of resulting glass ceramics.

Recently, investigations into the crystallization, microstructural and dielectric behaviour of strontium titanate borosilicate glass ceramics showed that the resulting glass ceramics mainly consisted of \( \text{Sr}_2\text{B}_2\text{O}_5 \), \( \text{TiO}_2 \), \( \text{Sr}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7 \) and some other unidentified phases (Thakur et al 1995a). Suitable addition of alkali oxide and proper heat treatment schedule resulted in the precipitation of \( \text{SrTiO}_3 \) as a major phase (Thakur et al 1995b).

The addition of alkali oxide (\( \text{K}_2\text{O} \)) and transition metal oxide \( \text{CoO} \) has been found to influence the crystallization behaviour of strontium titanate borosilicate glass ceramics (Thakur et al 1995b, 1996). The effect of addition of \( \text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3 \) on the crystallization and resulting microstructure of \((\text{SrO} \cdot \text{TiO}_2) - (2\text{SiO}_2 \cdot \text{B}_2\text{O}_3)\) glass has been investigated and the results of these investigations are reported in this paper.

## 2. Experimental

Batches of 25g of the appropriate mixture of \( \text{SrCO}_3 \), \( \text{TiO}_2 \), \( \text{SiO}_2 \), \( \text{H}_3\text{BO}_3 \) and \( \text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3 \) were taken in a high grade alumina crucible. Chemicals used were of purity better than 99%. The composition of different glasses are given in table 1. Batches were melted in a globar furnace at 1375–1575 K for 1h. The melt was intermittently stirred, poured into an aluminium mould and pressed quickly by another plate to form a slab of uniform thickness. Glass specimens were annealed for 3 h at 875 K and furnace cooled to room temperature. Annealed samples were examined for their amorphosity by X-ray diffraction.

Infrared spectra for powdered specimens in the form of KBr pellet were recorded in 400–3000 cm\(^{-1}\) range with a Beckman IR 4200 spectrophotometer. Differential thermal analysis was conducted on Perkin-Elmer DTA 1700 at a heating rate of 15 K/min. The glasses were subjected to different heat treatment schedules in the temperature range 1075–1275 K to form glass ceramic samples. The crystalline phases in the glass ceramic samples were identified by X-ray diffraction using a Rich-Seifert ID 3000 diffractometer using Cu-K\(\alpha\) radiation. The information regarding size and morphology of crystals in the glassy matrix was obtained by scanning electron microscopy (Philips, Model PSEM 500). Samples for SEM were prepared by polishing using fine \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) powder, and etching for 30 sec with an aqueous solution of 1% HCl and 0-1% HF. A layer of Au–Pd was then evaporated onto the sample surface to prevent charge build up.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glass No.</th>
<th>((\text{SrO} \cdot \text{TiO}_2))</th>
<th>((2\text{SiO}_2 \cdot \text{B}_2\text{O}_3))</th>
<th>(\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3)</th>
<th>(T_1)</th>
<th>(T_{11})</th>
<th>(T_{12})</th>
<th>(T_{13})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B10</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Results and discussion

3.1 DTA study

Figure 1 shows the DTA patterns for glasses B1, B5 and B10. Glass transition temperatures obtained for these glasses were around 675°C. Crystallization temperatures range from 750 to 1000°C for these glasses. It is evident from figure 1 that there are three exothermic peaks representing crystallization of different phases. It is observed that the difference between exothermic peaks increases with the concentration of Bi₂O₃ in base glass. The DTA peaks for different glasses are listed in table 1.
The high temperature crystallization peak near 1000°C shifts slightly to higher temperature while the lower two crystallization peaks $T_{c1}$ and $T_{c2}$ shift to lower temperature with increasing concentration of Bi$_2$O$_3$. The shift in the first crystallization peak $T_{c1}$ is large resulting in increased separation with second crystallization peak $T_{c2}$. A large drop in DTA curve for glass B10 after 730°C (figure 1c) may be attributed to partial softening of glass which in turn helps in the crystallization of SrTiO$_3$ phase in glass ceramics at higher temperatures as discussed in later section.

Figure 2. Infrared spectra of glasses B1, B5 and B10.
3.2 IR study

In binary bismuth borate glasses containing up to 45 mol% Bi$_2$O$_3$ (Bishay and Maghrabi 1969), bismuth oxide appears to affect the structure in three different ways: (i) it gives part of its oxygen to the boron to create four-coordinated sites, (ii) it precipitates in the structure by forming BiO$_3$ group of the C$_3v$ type and (iii) it introduces some non-bridging oxygens in the structure.

In the present glasses (figure 2), the strong absorption band at about 1380 cm$^{-1}$ is attributed to the B–O–B linkage (Anderson et al 1955; Krogh-Moe 1965) whereas the weaker bands at $\approx$ 720 cm$^{-1}$ and $\approx$ 500 cm$^{-1}$ arise due to bending and rocking motion of the B–O–B linkages within the glassy network. The presence of an intense band at $\approx$ 950 cm$^{-1}$ in the IR spectrum may be assigned to the nonbridging oxygen–Si stretch (Simon 1960) and the weaker band at $\approx$ 460 cm$^{-1}$ is generally associated with bond bending vibration of Si–O–Si. The absorption peak in the region 1360 cm$^{-1}$ shifts to lower frequency for glass B5 whereas for glass B10 it is being shifted to higher frequency. This may be ascribed to the transition from BO$_3$ molecular structure to BO$_4$ molecules. There is no major change observed in other absorption peaks within experimental accuracy ($\pm$ 5 cm$^{-1}$).

From IR study it may be inferred that when the content of Bi$_2$O$_3$ in base glass is increased to 5 wt%, it introduces some non-bridging oxygens in the structure. Further addition of bismuth oxide (10 wt%) may possibly render a part of its oxygen to boron creating four coordinated sites.

3.3 Crystallization behaviour

Different heat treatment schedules for the preparation of various glass ceramic samples are given in table 2. Major crystallizing phases in glass ceramic samples are also listed in table 2. The XRD pattern of glass ceramic B1 heat treated at lower crystallization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glass No.</th>
<th>Glass ceramic No.</th>
<th>Heating rate (°C/m)</th>
<th>Heating time (h)</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Crystallizing phases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>B1A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>Sr$_2$B$_2$O$_5$, Sr$_3$Ti$_2$O$_7$, TiO$_2$ (rutile)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B1B</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>Sr$_2$B$_2$O$_5$, Sr$_3$Ti$_2$O$_7$, TiO$_2$ (rutile)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B1C</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>Sr$_2$B$_2$O$_5$, Sr$_3$Ti$_2$O$_7$, TiO$_2$ (rutile)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B1D</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>Sr$_2$B$_2$O$_5$, TiO$_2$ (rutile), Sr$_3$Ti$_2$O$_7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B1E</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>Sr$_2$B$_2$O$_5$, TiO$_2$ (rutile), Sr$_3$Ti$_2$O$_7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B1F</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>Sr$_2$B$_2$O$_5$, Sr$_3$Ti$_2$O$_7$, TiO$_2$ (rutile)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B1G</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Sr$_2$B$_2$O$_5$, TiO$_2$ (rutile), Sr$_3$Ti$_2$O$_7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B1H</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>TiO$_2$ (rutile), SrTiO$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5</td>
<td>B5A</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>Sr$_2$B$_2$O$_5$, TiO$_2$ (rutile), Sr$_3$Ti$_2$O$_7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B5B</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>TiO$_2$ (rutile), Sr$_2$B$_2$O$_5$, Sr$_3$Ti$_2$O$_7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B5C</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>TiO$_2$ (rutile), Sr$_2$B$_2$O$_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B5D</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>TiO$_2$ (rutile), Sr$_3$Ti$_2$O$_7$, Sr$_2$B$_2$O$_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B10</td>
<td>B10A</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>Sr$_2$B$_2$O$_5$, Sr$_3$Ti$_2$O$_7$, TiO$_2$ (rutile)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B10B</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>Sr$_2$B$_2$O$_5$, Sr$_3$Ti$_2$O$_7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B10C</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>Sr$_2$B$_2$O$_5$, Sr$_3$Ti$_2$O$_7$, TiO$_2$ (rutile)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B10D*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>SrTiO$_3$, TiO$_2$ (rutile)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This glass sample was heat treated at 950°C for 6 h prior to the tabulated schedule.
temperature ($\leq 900^\circ$C) with no holding time reveals traces of strontium borate phase and some form of strontium borosilicate phase. Longer duration of crystallization at the same temperature distinctly shows the precipitation of $\text{Sr}_2\text{B}_2\text{O}_5$, $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$ and $\text{TiO}_2$ (rutile) phases as shown in figure 3. It is clear that the longer duration of heat treatment at lower temperature results in the precipitation of rutile phase whereas in case of base glass, higher temperature treatment results in the crystallization of rutile phase (Thakur et al 1995a). At higher crystallization temperature ($\approx 950^\circ$C), there is no major change observed in the crystalline phase constitution (figure 3b). Only the intensity of corresponding peaks has been increased, which shows major crystallization of constituting phases. In most of the cases, a major crystalline phase is strontium borate followed by $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$ and rutile phases, besides traces of unidentified phases. Glass B1 when heated slowly (2°C/min) up to 1000°C and held for 6 h exhibits the precipitation of $\text{Sr}_2\text{B}_2\text{O}_5$, $\text{TiO}_2$ and $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$ phases. In one treatment the glass B1 was directly inserted into the furnace at 1000°C for 6 h (figure 3c). X-ray diffraction study of the resulting glass ceramic samples reveals the formation of rutile and $\text{SrTiO}_3$ phases. It can be said that when glass B1 is crystallized with slow heating rate, SrO present in glass is being used up in the precipitation of $\text{Sr}_2\text{B}_2\text{O}_5$ and $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$ phases. At higher temperatures, proper amount of SrO is not available for the precipitation of $\text{SrTiO}_3$. But when glass B1 is being crystallized by inserting the glass specimen directly into the furnace at higher temperature, $\text{SrTiO}_3$ precipitated out because of the sufficient amount of SrO is available to react with $\text{TiO}_2$ in test glass samples.

Figure 4 depicts the XRD patterns of glass B5 crystallized at different temperatures. When glass B5 is crystallized at 900°C for 3 h (figure 4a), $\text{Sr}_2\text{B}_2\text{O}_5$ does precipitate in a major amount followed by rutile and $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$. Heat treatment at 950°C (figure 4b) assists in the crystallization of rutile phase which appears as a primary constituent. Higher crystallization temperature (1000°C) for this glass B5 (figure 4c) results in the precipitation of rutile and strontium borate phases.
Heat treatment of B10 glass at 900°C for 3h as shown in figure 5a results in the constitution of glass ceramics containing Sr$_2$B$_2$O$_5$, Sr$_3$Ti$_2$O$_7$ and rutile phases. Further increment in crystallization temperature (950°C) leads to the disappearance of
rutile phase (figure 5b) which might be used up in the formation of more \( \text{Sr}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7 \) phase. If the glass sample is directly inserted into the furnace at 950°C, it seems that there was no time available for the consumption of whole rutile phase in the formation of \( \text{Sr}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7 \). Thereby rutile phase again appears for this heat treatment schedule. When the crystallization temperature of glass ceramic (obtained by the heat treatment at 950°C for 6h) was raised to 1000°C for 3h (figure 5c), the resultant glass ceramic consisted of strontium titanate phase followed by rutile in a meagre amount. It seems that in presence of higher concentration of \( \text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3 \) there is some structural change in the glass having 4-fold coordinated boron (Bishay and Maghrabi 1969). The presence of such structural change helps in a reaction or redissolution of earlier precipitated \( \text{Sr}_2\text{B}_2\text{O}_5 \) and \( \text{Sr}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7 \) phases to give \( \text{SrTiO}_3 \) phase. Similar results have been observed for the strontium titanate borosilicate glass with \( \text{K}_2\text{O} \) addition (Thakur et al 1995b). The reactions may proceed as follows:

\[
2\text{Sr}_2\text{B}_2\text{O}_5 + 3\text{TiO}_2 \text{(glass)} \rightarrow \text{SrTiO}_3 + \text{Sr}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7 + 2\text{B}_2\text{O}_3, \tag{1}
\]

\[
\text{Sr}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7 + \text{TiO}_2 \text{(glass)} \rightarrow 3\text{SrTiO}_3. \tag{2}
\]

In the absence of such structural changes no reaction takes place between earlier formed \( \text{Sr}_2\text{B}_2\text{O}_5 \) and \( \text{Sr}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7 \) phases and no \( \text{SrTiO}_3 \) phase precipitates out.

### 3.4 Microstructural behaviour

Scanning electron micrograph for some selected glass ceramic samples are presented in figure 6. Addition of \( \text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3 \) in base glass results in the precipitation of strontium borate as a major phase in resulting glass ceramic samples. Crystallites of acicular and needle-type represent the \( \text{Sr}_2\text{B}_2\text{O}_5 \) and rutile phase respectively in all the shown micrographs. Glass sample B1 (figure 6a) subjected to heat treatment at 800°C for 6h with slow heating rate (2°C/min) reveals the initial growth of different phases viz. \( \text{Sr}_2\text{B}_2\text{O}_5 \), \( \text{Sr}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7 \) and \( \text{TiO}_2 \) (rutile) etc. XRD pattern for this glass ceramic sample is more complex because of the small volume fraction of crystalline phases in glassy matrix. Particle size falls in the submicron range with uniform distribution of crystallites. Higher crystallization temperature (\( \geq 900°C \)) for glass B1 (figure 6b) gives acicular type crystallites of \( \text{Sr}_2\text{B}_2\text{O}_5 \) phase. This micrograph reveals the growth of secondary crystalline phases from the surface of primary crystals with well defined angles. During growth, bismuth ions may remain at glass/crystal interface leading to some nucleating centres and promoting growth from the surface of primary crystals. Figure 6c depicts a micrograph for glass ceramic sample no. B1H which shows the precipitation of \( \text{TiO}_2 \) (rutile) and \( \text{SrTiO}_3 \) phases. Spherical and fibrous crystallites were observed which fall in micron and submicron range respectively. With the increase in \( \text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3 \) content to 5 wt% microstructure results into fine grains of submicron size (figure 6d,e) indicating very large nucleating rate and hence minimal growth of crystallites. It might be possible that in the composition range of B5 there are large number of \( \text{BiO}_3 \) groups of \( \text{C}_3v \) type acting as nucleating agents. Glass B10 heat treated at 900°C shows (figure 6f) enhanced growth and well developed secondary phases. Micrograph shows larger grain size of uniformly distributed \( \text{Sr}_2\text{B}_2\text{O}_5 \) phase. At 950°C crystallization temperature, borate phase becomes continuous and other secondary phases viz. rutile, \( \text{Sr}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7 \) dispersed filling the gap between borate grains. It seems
Figure 6. Scanning electron micrographs of glass ceramic sample nos. (a) B1A, (b) B1C, (c) B1H, (d) B5A, (e) B5D, (f) B10A and (g) B10D.
that the recovery of crystalline phases is almost complete for this glass composition. Figure 6 g shows the micrograph for glass ceramic sample no. B10D which demonstrates the presence of two phases viz. SrTiO$_3$ and TiO$_2$.

4. Conclusions

(I) From the present investigation and earlier work, it was difficult to crystallize SrTiO$_3$ phase in resultant glass ceramics due to the competition of SrO among different crystalline phases. But, by direct insertion of glass specimen (glass B1) at higher crystallization temperature ($\approx 1000^\circ$C), it could be possible to precipitate out SrTiO$_3$ because sufficient amount of SrO is available to react with TiO$_2$ in test glass samples.

(II) Strontium titanate phase also appears in case of glass ceramic sample no. B10D by choosing proper heat treatment schedule. It seems that at higher Bi$_2$O$_3$ content (10 wt%) in base glass, some structural change occurs in the glass having 4-fold coordinated boron which results in redissolution of earlier formed phases, such as Sr$_2$B$_2$O$_5$ and Sr$_3$Ti$_2$O$_7$ to give SrTiO$_3$.

(III) For most of the heat treatments, Sr$_2$B$_2$O$_5$ appears as primary crystalline phase followed by TiO$_2$, Sr$_3$Ti$_2$O$_7$, and some unidentified phases. The crystallization study for glass B5 supports the formation of TiO$_2$ (rutile) phase while glasses B1 and B10 assists the Sr$_2$B$_2$O$_5$ phase to form.

(IV) Glass ceramics derived from glass B5 result in fine grains of submicron size which shows higher nucleation rate and minimal crystal growth.
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