# Tin oxide as a transparent electrode material for light-emitting diodes fabricated with poly (*p*-phenylene vinylene)

# I A HÜMMELGEN\*, Y P YADAVA, L S ROMAN, A C ARIAS, M R FERNANDES<sup>†</sup> and F C NART<sup>†</sup>

Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal do Paraná, Cx. Postal 19081, 81531-990 Curitiba PR, Brasil

<sup>†</sup>Instituto de Química de São Carlos, Universidade de São Paulo, Cx. Postal 780, 13560–970 São Carlos SP, Brasil

**Abstract.** We have fabricated light emitting diodes (LED) with poly (*p*-phenylene vinylene) (PPV) as the emissive layer and tin oxide (TO) as the transparent conducting positive electrode and aluminium as the negative electrode. The fabrication conditions are optimized for visible light emission in these TO/PPV/Al LEDs. The threshold voltage for substantial charge injection for visible light emission in these LEDs lies below 10 V. The device fabrication and electrical characterization of TO/PPV/Al LEDs are discussed in this communication.

**Keywords.** Light emitting diodes; conjugated polymer; poly (*p*-phenylene vinylene); tin oxide; aluminium; electrical characteristics.

## 1. Introduction

The recent discovery of visible light emission from conjugated polymer diodes has stimulated great research interest in these polymer materials in applications as large area thin film light emitting devices (LED) (Burroughes et al 1990; Braun and Heeger 1991; Grem et al 1992; Baigent et al 1994). Among the conjugated polymer systems poly (p-phenylene vinylene) (PPV) and its derivatives are of particular importance due to their promising prospects for LEDs and since the first report of LED operation in PPV (Burroughes et al 1990), much effort has been devoted to the fabrication and characterization of ITO/PPV/metal (Al, Mg, Ca etc.), (ITO--indium tin oxide) LED configuration using ITO as transparent positive electrode (Brown et al 1992; Karg et al 1993; Mark et al 1993; Parker 1994). To the best of our knowledge, we, for the first time, have fabricated PPV LEDs with TO/PPV/Al (TO--tin oxide) configuration using TO as the transparent positive electrode and optimized the fabrication conditions for visible light emission in these devices. The threshold for substantial charge injection for LED operation in TO/PPV/Al LEDs lies below 10V at room temperature, comparable to those reported in ITO/PPV/Al LEDs at this temperature. In this communication, we describe the device fabrication and present the results of electrical characterization of TO/PPV/Al LEDs.

# 2. Experimental

The PPV films used in the present investigation were prepared via the sulphonium polyelectrolyte precursor route (Bradley 1987). The precursor was spin coated onto TO/glass substrates and subsequent thermal conversion to PPV (Antomaidis *et al* 

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author



Figure 1. (A) Device structure of the TO/PPV/AI light emitting diode and (B) schematic structure of PPV, TO and AI layers of TO/PPV/AI light emitting diodes.

1994) was carried out by annealing the precursor films at  $250^{\circ}$ C for 2h in argon atmosphere. The resulting PPV films had uniform surfaces with thickness of about 270 nm.

The structure for LED operation was fabricated with PPV films formed on TO bottom transparent electrodes deposited on chemically cleaned glass substrates. The TO film deposition on glass substrates was done by chemical vapour deposition (CVD) using tin chloride (SnCl<sub>2</sub>2H<sub>2</sub>O) in two steps. Initially, TO films were deposited at a substrate temperature of  $560^{\circ}$ C and furnace cooled to room temperature, yielding the sheet resistance of 54 ohms. In the next step, we again deposited TO film over the previous TO film at a substrate temperature of 400°C and furnace cooled to room temperature. The final sheet resistance of the TO films was 1.2 k ohms. The details of the TO film preparation and characterization is given in our earlier publication (Roman et al 1995). The optical transmittance of the double layer TO electrode was measured by a UV-visible spectrometer (Hewlett Packered model 8452) and the optical transmittance of this double layer TO electrode is greater than 91 percent in the visible region. The two-step TO deposition was necessary to produce visible light emission in TO/PPV/Al LEDs. Al metal contacts were deposited on top of the PPV films by vacuum evaporation at a pressure of  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  Torr, yielding active areas of 9.6 mm<sup>2</sup>. The electrical connections to the TO and Al electrodes were made using fine gold wires and conducting carbon paste. The device structure of TO/PPV/Al LEDs and the systematic layered structure of TO, PPV and Al layers are shown in figure 1.

The current-voltage characteristics of the TO/PPV/Al LEDs were studied at room temperature, using a Keithley 196 System DMM, a Tektronix DM2516G programmable multimeter and a Leybold DC power supply. Figure 2 shows the current-voltage



Figure 2. (A, B). Current-voltage characteristics for two typical TO/PPV/Al light emitting diodes. The thickness of PPV layer is 270 nm and the active area of the device is  $9.6 \text{ mm}^2$ .

## 426 I A Hümmelgen et al

characteristics of two typical TO/PPV/Al LEDs having PPV films of thickness 270 nm and active area of  $9.6 \text{ mm}^2$ . The threshold for substantial charge injection for visible light emission in these devices lies below 10 V at room temperature and in forward bias visible light is emitted above 5 V. In these LEDs the TO contacts consist of two layers. The first one deposited onto the glass substrate at 560°C presents low electrical resistance (sheet resistance 54 ohms) in comparison to the second layer which was deposited at 400°C (final sheet resistance 1.2k ohms). The first TO layer permits one to obtain an approximately homogenous distribution of the electrical field in the PPV between TO and Al electrodes. The second TO layer has been deposited to improve the light emission characteristics of the LED. We observed that TO/PPV/Al LEDs fabricated without this second TO layer were not able to produce visible light emission.

#### 3. Results and discussion

We tentatively interpret these results as follows. Considering the rigid band model, the best device performance will occur when the polymer and the electrode materials are matched such that the work function of the anode equals the ionization potential of the polymer and the work function of the cathode equals the electron affinity of the polymer (Parker 1994) i.e. for an ideal device the electrodes are matched to the LUMO and HOMO of the polymer. In non-ideal devices the light emission efficiency is controlled by field emission of both carrier types through interface barriers caused by the band offset between polymer and electrodes (Marks et al 1993; Parker 1994). The problem in this case consists in the control of charge injection and transport to ensure that the currents of electrons and holes injected at opposite electrodes are balanced, so that there is not a preponderence of one charge type that carries current from an electrode to the other without encountering the opposite charge carriers within the bulk of the polymer (Baigent et al 1994). A strategy to solve this problem is to match the barriers for electron and hole injection by selection of the work function of the electrodes. This procedure is commonly adopted, but is restricted to the change of electron injection contact, whereas ITO contact remains untouched. In the present work, we choose the other way. The electron injection contact i.e. Al has been retained whereas for positive electrode we used, double layer of TO contact. In our opinion the presence of the highly resistive (surface resistance = 1.2 k ohms) second TO layer (discussed earlier) deposited at 400°C enables to realign the relative positions of the electrode Fermi energy levels and the polymer bands (Parker and Kim 1994) thereby allowing tunnelling of the charge carriers into both HOMO and LUMO of the PPV and consequently the light emission characteristics of TO/PPV/Al LEDs.

Theoretical calculations locate the HOMO and LUMO levels of PPV at 505 eV and 2.73 eV relatively to vacuum level, respectively (Bredas and Heeger 1994). Considering that the potential barrier for electron injection from Al to PPV is expected to be around 0.2 eV (Marks *et al* 1993), it is reasonable to consider that the double layer TO electrode has its Fermi energy level at the TO/PPV interface located nearer to the value 4.85 eV than in the case of single deposited TO layer and that this realignment improves the LED characteristics i.e. the introduction of the 400°C deposited TO layer operates so as to produce barrier height for electrons and holes that are approximately at the same magnitude.

The threshold voltage for substantial light emission depends, under the scope of the rigid band model, on the thickness of the PPV layer and the barrier height for charge carrier injection. The height of both barriers limits the light emission and consequently the device efficiency. In devices which present different barrier heights for electron and hole injection, the major part of the charge current contributes only to the Joule heat generation that has bad consequences on device durability and stability.

The observations discussed in this paper open new perspectives, which are associated with the control of the band offsets of both positive (via different processing techniques) and negative electrodes and the use of chemically stable metals as electron injection contacts in conjugated polymer based LEDs. The detailed investigation on TO/PPV interface and charge transport characteristics are underway and will be reported in due course.

#### 4. Conclusions

In summary, we have fabricated PPV conjugated polymer based LEDs using tin oxide as transparent positive electrode, for the first time, and studied the electrical characteristics of TO/PPV/Al devices. It is found that the threshold voltage for visible light emission in these LEDs lies below 10 V, comparable to those of ITO/PPV/Al LEDs widely reported in literature. As the production of transparent TO thin film electrodes is relatively simpler and much economical than that of ITO electrodes, this opens new perspectives associated with electrodes to control the band offsets and balanced charge injection for visible light emission in conjugated polymer based light emitting diodes.

#### Acknowledgements

This research work is performed under a PADCT Proc. 62.0074/94 project. Financial support to authors (HIA and YYP) from CNPq and (RLS) from CAPES is gratefully acknowledged.

#### References

Antomaidis H, Hsiech B R, Abkowitz M A, Jenekhe S A and Stolka M 1994 Synth. Met. 62 263

- Baigent D R, Greenham N C, Gruner J, Marks R N, Friend R H, Moratti S C and Holmes A B 1994 Synth. Met. 67 3 and references therein
- Bradley D D C 1987 J. Phys. D. 20 1383
- Braun D and Heeger A J 1991 Appl. Phys. Lett. 58 1982
- Bredas J L and Heeger A J 1994 Chem. Phys. Lett. 217 507
- Brown A R, Bradley D D C, Burroughes J H, Friend R H, Greenham N C, Burns P L, Holmes A B and Kraft A 1992 Appl. Phys. Lett. 61 2793
- Burroughes J H, Bradley D D C, Brown A R, Marks R N, Mackay K, Friend R H, Burns P L and Holmes A B 1990 Nature 347 539
- Grem G, Leditzky G, Ullrich B and Leising G 1992 Adv. Mater. 4 36
- Karg S, Riess W, Dyakonov V and Schwoerer M 1993 Synth. Met. 54 427
- Marks R N, Bradley D D C, Jackson R W, Burn P L and Holmes A B 1993 Synth. Met. 55-57 4128
- Parker I D 1994 J. Appl. Phys. 75 1656
- Parker I D and Kim H H 1994 Appl. Phys. Lett. 64 1774
- Roman L S, Yadava Y P, Denicoló G, Arias A C and Hümmelgen I A 1995 J. Mater. Sci. Materials in Electronics (in press)