Toxicity of certain pesticides found in the habitat to the larvivorous fishes *Aplocheilus lineatus* (Cuv. & Val.) and *Macropodus cupanus* (Cuv. & Val.)

SHEILA SUSAN JACOB, N BALAKRISHNAN NAIR and
N K BALASUBRAMANIAN

Department of Aquatic Biology and Fisheries, University of Kerala,
Trivandrum 695 007, India

Abstract. Bioassay studies reveal the toxicity levels of pesticides utilised in the area to the larvivorous fishes *Aplocheilus lineatus* and *Macropodus cupanus*. The resistance of both fishes decreases with increase in period of exposure to the pesticides. Comparing the major groups of synthetic organic pesticides, the chlorinated hydrocarbons, here exemplified by DDT, are more toxic to the fishes than ekalux and malathion, the organophosphates experimented with. The carbamate sevin is the least toxic. Nevertheless, all the pesticides are 'toxic' to 'very toxic' as defined by the Joint ICMO/FAO/UNESCO/WHO group of experts, having an acute lethal threshold of below 1 to 100 mg/l. *M. cupanus* is the more resistant of the two fishes, probably on account of its obligate air-breathing nature, and thus its tendency to absorb less toxicant across the gills. Contrasting the susceptibility of mosquito larvae and the fishes studied to the pesticides investigated, the closeness of the LC_{50} values obtained in *A. lineatus* to that recorded in certain species of mosquito larvae indicates that while *M. cupanus* could be employed in conjunction with pesticides for anti-larval work, *A. lineatus* should not be so utilised.

Keywords. Pesticides ; toxicity ; larvivorous fish ; *Aplocheilus lineatus* ; *Macropodus cupanus*.

1. Introduction

Larvivorous fishes such as *Gambusia effinis* and *Poecilia reticulata*, the primary biological control agents of mosquito larvae, have been extensively employed in certain regions in mosquito abatement programmes (Mallars and Fowler 1970; Bay and Self 1972). However, indiscriminate releases of these exotics into the aquatic environment has resulted in the alteration/eradication of valuable faunal components of the ecosystem (Myers 1965; Bay 1973; Menon 1977). This has renewed interest in the biocontrol potential of indigenous larvivorous fishes such as *Aplocheilus lineatus* (Cuv. & Val.) and *Macropodus cupanus* (Cuv. & Val.). An essential aspect of such assessments is information on the danger levels to the fishes of pesticide contaminants found in the aquatic ecosystem. This problem has assumed importance owing to the widespread and indiscriminate permeation

P.(B)—14

of pesticides in the aquatic environment (Muirhead-Thomson 1971; Edwards 1977) and the consequent risks to larvivorous fish populations. Such data are not available, leading to this study.

2. Materials and methods

In the present investigation, pesticides were chosen from each of the major groups of synthetic pesticide utiliseds in agricultural operations in the area—i.e., DDT (25 EC; manufactured by Bangalore Pesticides Limited) from the chlorinated hydrocarbons, malathion (50 EC; manufactured by Bangalore Pesticides Limited) and ekalux (25 EC; manufactured by Sandoz India Limited) from the organo-phosphates, and sevin (50% WP; manufactured by Union Carbide) from the carbamates and bioassay tests were conducted.

Healthy medium sized *A. lineatus* (mean standard length 25-40 mm) and *M. cupanus* (mean standard length 20-28 mm) collected from streams and water bodies in the Trivandrum (Kerala, South India) area were acclimated to laboratory conditions in well water at a temperature of 28 ± 2° C, pH of 7·1 and O_2 at near air saturation. The static test method (Doudoroff *et al* 1951) was used to directly estimate the toxicity levels, with certain modifications to guard against a depletion/alteration in the toxic material, as suggested by Muirhead-Thomson (1971) and Sprague (1973). Stock solutions of the different pesticides were diluted to the required parts by weight of active ingredient (= mg/l) by standard methods (Busvine 1977). However, since the water volume/weight of fish ratios utilised for bioassay tests vary greatly (Rita and Nair 1978), here, on the basis of preliminary trials, 1·8 gm/l solution and 1 gm/l solution were chosen as an adequate weight/volume ratio in *A. lineatus* and *M. cupanus*, respectively. Bioassays were carried out in 5 logarithmic concentrations. The period of exposure for each bioassay was 48 hr as subsequently the mortality curve flattened; neither the experimental nor control specimens were fed during this period. The lethal concentration 50 (LC_{50}) for 24 and 48 hr were calculated for each pesticide by the probit analysis method. The behavioural responses exhibited by the fishes during the exposure period were also recorded.

3. Results and discussion

A comparative statement of the results of the probit analysis, specifically regression equations and the LC_{50} values including the upper and lower limits (ULC_{50} and LLC_{50}) has been tabulated for both the 24 and 48 hr period of exposure in the case of each pesticide in tables 1 and 2.

Considering the physical reactions of the fish to the toxic solutions, in all cases undulation (mild to pronounced) of the body, increased oscillation of the pectoral, pelvic, anal and caudal fins, rapid and irregular movements of the opercular folds, loss of equilibrium (ranging from partial to complete) and excitation (mild to pronounced) were noted. At extremely toxic concentrations, the external body surface showed 'burnt' patches.

The lowering in the 48 h LC_{50} values when compared with the 24 hr ones suggests the decreasing resistance of the fish with increase in experimental time, a finding supported by Cairns and Scheier (1964) and Rita and Nair (1978).

Table 1. Acute toxicity levels of selected pesticides in *A. lineatus*.

Pesticide	Period of exposure (hrs)	LC_{50} values (mg/l)	Regression equation
DDT	24	$0 \cdot 1489 \pm 0 \cdot 0212$	$\log y = 9 \cdot 5405 \cdot \log x \times 100 - 6 \cdot 1893$
	48	$0 \cdot 1228 \pm 0 \cdot 0182$	$\log y = 8 \cdot 0885 \cdot \log x \times 100 - 3 \cdot 8103$
Ekalux	24	$0 \cdot 1939 \pm 0 \cdot 0247$	$\log y = 10 \cdot 2105 \cdot \log x \times 100 - 8 \cdot 1467$
	48	$0 \cdot 1699 \pm 0 \cdot 0238$	$\log y = 9 \cdot 6205 \cdot \log x \times 100 - 6 \cdot 8348$
Malathion	24	$1 \cdot 1500 \pm 0 \cdot 3050$	$\log y = 5 \cdot 0873 \cdot \log x \times 10 - 0 \cdot 3972$
	48	$0 \cdot 9750 \pm 0 \cdot 2130$	$\log y = 6 \cdot 1911 \cdot \log x \times 10 - 1 \cdot 1228$
Sevin	24	$4 \cdot 2070 \pm 0 \cdot 3750$	$\log y = 14 \cdot 3413 \log \cdot \quad - 3 \cdot 9490$
	48	$3 \cdot 7470 \pm 0 \cdot 3100$	$\log y = 14 \cdot 6842 \log \cdot \quad - 3 \cdot 4242$

Table 2. Acute toxicity levels of selected pesticides in *M. cupanus*.

Pesticide	Period of exposure (hrs)	$I C_{50}$ values (mg/l)	Regression equation
DDT	24	$2 \cdot 813 \pm 0 \cdot 453$	$\log y = 8 \cdot 6338 \cdot \log x + 1 \cdot 1219$
	48	$2 \cdot 277 \pm 0 \cdot 310$	$\log y = 9 \cdot 8746 \cdot \log x + 1 \cdot 4720$
Ekalux	24	$3 \cdot 659 \pm 0 \cdot 434$	$\log y = 11 \cdot 454 \cdot \log x - 1 \cdot 4533$
	48	$3 \cdot 453 \pm 0 \cdot 584$	$\log y = 7 \cdot 7358 \cdot \log x + 0 \cdot 8363$
Malathion	24	$4 \cdot 962 \pm 0 \cdot 479$	$\log y = 13 \cdot 2989 \cdot \log x - 4 \cdot 2607$
	48	$4 \cdot 594 \pm 0 \cdot 557$	$\log y = 10 \cdot 5503 \cdot \log x - 1 \cdot 9859$
Sevin	24	$14 \cdot 730 \pm 0 \cdot 590$	$\log y = 35 \cdot 2288 \cdot \log x - 36 \cdot 1552$
	48	$13 \cdot 910 \pm 0 \cdot 380$	$\log y = 44 \cdot 0285 \cdot \log x - 45 \cdot 3320$

The higher LC_{50} values in *M. cupanus* denote its greater resistance than *A. lineatus*. This may be because the principal route of entry of pesticides for non-feeding fish is through the gills (Johnson 1968) ; *M. cupanus*, being an obligate air-breather, naturally tends to absorb less toxicant across the gills. Comparing the main groups of synthetic organic pesticides, the results of the present study where DDT (a chlorinated hydrocarbon) is more toxic to the fish than ekalux, malathion (organophosphates) and sevin (a carbamate), are in agreement with the findings of Johnson (1968) and Rita and Nair (1978). However, all pesticides tested are ' toxic ' to ' very toxic ' as defined by the Joint ICMO/FAO/UNESCO/ WHO group of experts (1964) since they have an acute lethal threshold of below 1 to 100 mg/l. A comparison of the acute toxicity levels of the pesticides in various species of fishes, given in table 3, reveals that wide variations in the

Table 3. Comparison of some acute toxicity levels of the pesticides investigated in different species of fishes.

Pesticide	Species investigated	Period of exposure (hrs)	LC$_{50}$ (mg/l) (ppm)	Reference
DDT	*Lepomis macrochirus*	96	0·016	Edwards (1977)
	Salmo gairdneri	96	0·018	Edwards (1977)
	Salvelinus fontinalis	36	0·0323	Hatch (1957)
	Carassius auratus	72	0·1	Odum and Summerford (1946)
	Carassius auratus	96	0·027	Henderson *et al* (1959)
	Aplocheilus lineatus	24	0·1489	Present investigation
	Aplocheilus lineatus	48	0·1228	Present investigation
	Gambusia affinis	24	0·5	Mayhew (1955)
	Gambusia affinis	36	0·32	Hatch (1957)
	Gambusia affinis	72	0·01	Odum and Summerford (1946)
	Macropodus cupanus	24	2·813	Present investigation
	Macropodus cupanus	48	2·277	Present investigation
Malathion	*Puntius ticto*	24	0·0135	Bhatia (1971)
	Puntius ticto	48	0·011	Bhatia (1971)
	Puntius ticto	72	0·011	Bhatia (1971)
	Puntius ticto	96	0·0074	Bhatia (1971)
	Salmo gairdneri	96	0·1	Edwards (1977)
	Lepomis macrochirus	96	0·12	Edwards (1977)
	Aplocheilus blochii	48	1·3	VCRC Annual Report (1979)
	Aplocheilus lineatus	24	1·15	Present investigation
	Aplocheilus lineatus	48	0·975	Present investigation
	Cyprinus carpio	96	4·5	Nishiuchi and Hoshimoto (1967)
	Macropodus cupanus	24	4·962	Present investigation
	Macropodus cupanus	48	4·594	Present investigation
	Labeo rohita	24	7·15	Arora *et al* (1971)
	Labeo rohita	96	5·05	Arora *et al* (1971)
	Pimephales promelas	24	25	Tarzwell (1958)
	Pimephales promelas	96	12·5	Henderson *et al* (1959)
	Pimephales promelas	96	22	Tarzwell (1958)
	Lepidocephalus thermalis	24	22·69	Rita (1977)
	Lepidocephalus thermalis	48	20·61	Rita (1977)
Sevin	*Oncorhynchus kisutch*	96	0·7	Macek and McAllister (1970)
	Ameiurus melas	96	0·8	Macek and McAllister (1970)
	Fundulus similis	24	1·75	Butler (1963)
	Lepomis macrochirus	96	2·0	Henderson *et al* (1959)
	Lepomis macrochirus	96	3·4	Edwards (1977)
	Salmo gairdneri	96	3·5	Edwards (1977)
	Aplocheilus lineatus	24	4·207	Present investigation
	Aplocheilus lineatus	48	3·747	Present investigation
	Mugil curema	24	4·25	Butler (1963)
	Perca flavescens	96	5·6	Macek and McAllister (1970)
	Casterosteus aculeatus	24	6·7	Stewart *et al* (1967)
	Pimephales promelas	96	13	Henderson *et al* (1959)
	Macropodus cupanus	24	14·73	Present investigation
	Macropodus cupanus	48	13·91	Present investigation

pesticide concentrations that produce adverse effects have been recorded, depend-
ing on the species, environmental factors and even biological status and origin
of the test organism. It must however be mentioned that the LC_{50} values
obtained for *A. lineatus* exposed to malathion are comparable to those recorded
in the related *Aplocheilus blochii* (VCRC Annual Report 1979). Again, in the
case of specimens exposed to DDT, *M. cupanus* is even hardier than the 'resis-
tant' mosquito fish *G. affinis* (Johnson 1968). Again, *M. cupanus* is the most
resistant of all the species studied to sevin.

It may also be noted that Das and Rajagopalan (1976) working on the suscepti-
bility of mosquito larvae to insecticides found that in *Anopheles stephensi*, *Culex
fatigans*, *Anopheles culicifacies* and *Aedes aegypti*, the critical doses of malathion
required were 0·8, 0·064, 0·08 and 0·48 mg/l respectively. In the case of sevin
it was a uniform 4·0 mg/l. With DDT, the LC_{50} value was 0·2 mg/l for *A. ste-
phensi* and *C. culicifacies* while in the case of *Ae. aegypti* and *C. fatigans* it was
0·02 mg/l and 0·03 mg/l, respectively (VCRC Annual Report 1979). These
values are fairly close to those reported in *A. lineatus* in the present study.
Therefore, while *M. cupanus* could be utilised in conjunction with such insecti-
cides for anti-larval work, *A. lineatus* should not be so used under any circum-
stances.

It has thus been demonstrated that even 'safe' and often minute dosages of
pesticides are highly toxic to fish life, as may be seen from the LC_{50} values.
Therefore, studies of this nature are essential as they provide information on the
concentrations of environmental contaminants that cannot be tolerated by fish
populations and consequently aid not only in the effective control of mosquito
larvae by the fish but also in the protection of the aquatic environment.

Acknowledgements

References

Arora H C, Shrivastava S K and Seth A K 1971 Bioassay studies of some commercial organic
    insecticides—Parts I and II ; *Indian J. Environ. Health* **13** 226–233 ; 300–306
Bay E C 1973 Exotic fish introductions for mosquito control : possible and purported conse-
    quences ; *WHO/VBC/WP/73*
Bay E C and Self L S 1972 Observations on the guppy *Poecilia reticulata* Peters in *Culex
    pipiens fatigans* breeding sites in Bangkok, Rangoon and Taipei ; *Bull. World Health Org.*
    46 407–416
Bhatia H L 1971 Toxicity of some pesticides to *Puntius ticto* (Hamilton) ; *Sci. Cult.* **37** 548
Busvine J R 1977 *A critical review of the techniques for testing insecticides* (London : Common-
    wealth Institute of Entomology)
Butler P A 1963 Commercial fishery investigation ; *Pesticide-Wildlife Studies U.S. Fish. Wild.
    Serv. Cir.* **199** 5–28
Cairns J and Scheier A 1964 The effect upon the pumpkinseed sunfish *Lepomis gibbosus* (Linn.)
    of chronic exposure to lethal and sublethal concentrations of dieldrin ; *Notul. Nat.* p. 370
Das P K and Rajagopalan P K 1976 Susceptibility of larvae of *Culex fatigans* (Wiedmann),
    Anopheles stephensi (Liston) and *Aedes aeypti* (Linn.) to insecticides in Pondicherry ;
    Indian J. Med. Res. **70** 412–416

Doudoroff P, Anderson B G, Burdick G E, Galtsoff P S, Hart W B, Patrick R, Strong E R, Surber E W and Van Horn W M 1951 Bioassay methods for the evaluation of acute toxicity of industrial wastes to fish ; *Sewage Ind. Wastes* 23 130-139

Edwards C A 1977 Nature and origins of pollution of aquatic systems by pesticides ; In *Pesticides in aquatic environments* (ed.) M A Q Khan (New York : Plenum Press) pp. 11-36

Hatch R W 1957 Relative sensitivity of salmonids to DDT ; *Prog. Fish-Cult.* 19 89-91

Henderson C, Pickering Q H and Tarzwell C M 1959 Toxicity of organic phosphorous and chlorinated hydrocarbon insecticides to fish ; *Biol. Prob. Water Poll. Trans.* 1959 *Seminar Robt. A. Taft San. Eng. Center Tech. Rep. W.* 60-3 76-92

Johnson D W 1968 Pesticides and fish—a review of selected literature ; *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 97 398-424

Joint ICMO/FAO/UNESCO/WHO group of experts 1964 Abstract of the 1st report of the 1st session on the scientific aspects of marine pollution ; *Water Res.* 3 995-1005

*Macek K J and McAllister W A 1970 *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 99 20

Mallars J L and Fowler J R 1970 *Mosquito eating fishes in California* (California : Calif. Mosquito Control Ass. Inc.).

Mayhew J 1955 Toxicity of 7 different insecticides to rainbow trout, *Salmo gairdneri* Richardson ; *Proc. Iowa Acad. Sci.* 62 599-606

Menon A G K 1977 Fish and malaria control ; *Sci. Cult.* 43 110-114

Muirhead-Thomson R C 1971 *Pesticides and freshwater fauna* (New York : Academic Press)

Myers G S 1965 Gambusia, the fish destroyer ; *Trop. Fish. Hobbyist* 31-32 54-55

Nishiuchi Y and Hoshimoto Y 1967 Toxicity of pesticide ingredients of some freshwater organisms ; *Botyn. Kagaku* 32 5-11

Odum E P and Summerford W T 1946 Comparative toxicity of DDT and four analogs to goldfish, *Gambusia* and *Culex* larvae ; *Science* 104 480-482

Rita Kumari S D and Nair N B 1978 Toxicity of some insecticides to *Lepidocephalus thermalis* (Cuv. & Val.) ; *Proc. Indian Natl. Sci. Acad.* 44 122-132

Sprague J B 1973 The ABC's of pollutant bioassay using fish ; *Biological methods for the assessment of water quality ASTM, STP* 528, *American Society for Testing and Materials* pp. 6-30

Stewart N E, Milleran R E and Breese W P 1967 Acute toxicity of the insecticide sevin and its hydrolytic product 1-naphthol to some marine organisms ; *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 96 25-30

Tarzwell C M 1958 The toxicity of some organic insecticides to fishes ; *Proc. Conf. S.E. Ass. Game Fish Com.* 12 232-239

Vector Control Research Centre 1979 Annual Report (New Delhi : ICMR)

---

*Not refered to in the original