

Isotropy of quadratic forms

V. Suresh
University Of Hyderabad
Hyderabad

31 October 2008

$f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ — — polynomial

Polynomials

$f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ — — polynomial

For example $X_1^2 + X_2^2 + X_1X_2$

Polynomials

$f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ — — — polynomial

For example $X_1^2 + X_2^2 + X_1X_2$

We say that (a_1, \dots, a_n) is a **zero** of the polynomial f if $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$.

Polynomials

$f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ — — — polynomial

For example $X_1^2 + X_2^2 + X_1X_2$

We say that (a_1, \dots, a_n) is a **zero** of the polynomial f if $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$.

One of the main problems in Mathematics is to determine whether the given polynomial has a (non-trivial) zero or not.

Polynomials

$f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ — — polynomial

For example $X_1^2 + X_2^2 + X_1X_2$

We say that (a_1, \dots, a_n) is a **zero** of the polynomial f if $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$.

One of the main problems in Mathematics is to determine whether the given polynomial has a (non-trivial) zero or not.

For example, let us recall the Fermat's last theorem:

Polynomials

$f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ — — — polynomial

For example $X_1^2 + X_2^2 + X_1X_2$

We say that (a_1, \dots, a_n) is a **zero** of the polynomial f if $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$.

One of the main problems in Mathematics is to determine whether the given polynomial has a (non-trivial) zero or not.

For example, let us recall the Fermat's last theorem:

$$X^n + Y^n = Z^n \text{ (or } X^n + Y^n - Z^n)$$

We have : $3^2 + 4^2 = 5^2$.

We have : $3^2 + 4^2 = 5^2$.

i.e. the polynomial $X^2 + Y^2 - Z^2$ has a zero

We have : $3^2 + 4^2 = 5^2$.

i.e. the polynomial $X^2 + Y^2 - Z^2$ has a zero

$X = a, Y = 0, Z = a$ is a zero of $X^n + Y^n - Z^n$

We have : $3^2 + 4^2 = 5^2$.

i.e. the polynomial $X^2 + Y^2 - Z^2$ has a zero

$X = a, Y = 0, Z = a$ is a zero of $X^n + Y^n - Z^n$

We call this zero a *trivial zero*

We have : $3^2 + 4^2 = 5^2$.

i.e. the polynomial $X^2 + Y^2 - Z^2$ has a zero

$X = a, Y = 0, Z = a$ is a zero of $X^n + Y^n - Z^n$

We call this zero a *trivial zero*

The famous theorem of Fermat asserts that if $n \geq 3$, this polynomial has no non-trivial zero over integers.

We have : $3^2 + 4^2 = 5^2$.

i.e. the polynomial $X^2 + Y^2 - Z^2$ has a zero

$X = a, Y = 0, Z = a$ is a zero of $X^n + Y^n - Z^n$

We call this zero a *trivial zero*

The famous theorem of Fermat asserts that if $n \geq 3$, this polynomial has no non-trivial zero over integers.

i.e. if a, b, c are three integers such that $a^n + b^n = c^n$ and $n \geq 3$, then one of the a, b, c must be zero.

Examples

$2X - 3$ has only one zero : $X = \frac{3}{2}$

Examples

$2X - 3$ has only one zero : $X = \frac{3}{2}$

$2X + 3Y + 4Z$ has one trivial solution, e.g. $X = Y = Z = 0$.

Examples

$2X - 3$ has only one zero : $X = \frac{3}{2}$

$2X + 3Y + 4Z$ has one trivial solution, e.g. $X = Y = Z = 0$.

This also has many other solutions : $X = 2a, Y = 0, Z = -a$ is also a solution.

Examples

$2X - 3$ has only one zero : $X = \frac{3}{2}$

$2X + 3Y + 4Z$ has one trivial solution, e.g. $X = Y = Z = 0$.

This also has many other solutions : $X = 2a, Y = 0, Z = -a$ is also a solution.

Consider a general linear polynomial (of degree 1):

$$a_1X_1 + \cdots + a_nX_n.$$

Examples

$2X - 3$ has only one zero : $X = \frac{3}{2}$

$2X + 3Y + 4Z$ has one trivial solution, e.g. $X = Y = Z = 0$.

This also has many other solutions : $X = 2a, Y = 0, Z = -a$ is also a solution.

Consider a general linear polynomial (of degree 1):

$$a_1X_1 + \cdots + a_nX_n.$$

$X_1 = \cdots = X_n = 0$ is a zero of this polynomial, called *trivial zero*.

Examples

$2X - 3$ has only one zero : $X = \frac{3}{2}$

$2X + 3Y + 4Z$ has one trivial solution, e.g. $X = Y = Z = 0$.

This also has many other solutions : $X = 2a, Y = 0, Z = -a$ is also a solution.

Consider a general linear polynomial (of degree 1):

$$a_1X_1 + \cdots + a_nX_n.$$

$X_1 = \cdots = X_n = 0$ is a zero of this polynomial, called *trivial zero*.

It is easy to see that if $n \geq 2$, the polynomial $a_1X_1 + \cdots + a_nX_n$

Examples

$2X - 3$ has only one zero : $X = \frac{3}{2}$

$2X + 3Y + 4Z$ has one trivial solution, e.g. $X = Y = Z = 0$.

This also has many other solutions : $X = 2a, Y = 0, Z = -a$ is also a solution.

Consider a general linear polynomial (of degree 1):

$$a_1X_1 + \cdots + a_nX_n.$$

$X_1 = \cdots = X_n = 0$ is a zero of this polynomial, called *trivial zero*.

It is easy to see that if $n \geq 2$, the polynomial $a_1X_1 + \cdots + a_nX_n$

has a non-trivial zero.

Examples

$2X - 3$ has only one zero : $X = \frac{3}{2}$

$2X + 3Y + 4Z$ has one trivial solution, e.g. $X = Y = Z = 0$.

This also has many other solutions : $X = 2a, Y = 0, Z = -a$ is also a solution.

Consider a general linear polynomial (of degree 1):

$$a_1X_1 + \cdots + a_nX_n.$$

$X_1 = \cdots = X_n = 0$ is a zero of this polynomial, called *trivial zero*.

It is easy to see that if $n \geq 2$, the polynomial $a_1X_1 + \cdots + a_nX_n$

has a non-trivial zero.

Now we consider the next case, i.e. degree 2.

quadratic forms

Now we consider the next case, i.e. degree 2.

A homogeneous polynomial q of degree 2 is called a *quadratic form*

quadratic forms

Now we consider the next case, i.e. degree 2.

A homogeneous polynomial q of degree 2 is called a *quadratic form*

$$q(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ij} X_i X_j$$

quadratic forms

Now we consider the next case, i.e. degree 2.

A homogeneous polynomial q of degree 2 is called a *quadratic form*

$$q(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ij} X_i X_j$$

what are these a_{ij} ?

quadratic forms

Now we consider the next case, i.e. degree 2.

A homogeneous polynomial q of degree 2 is called a *quadratic form*

$$q(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ij} X_i X_j$$

what are these a_{ij} ?

they are in some field k

quadratic forms

Now we consider the next case, i.e. degree 2.

A homogeneous polynomial q of degree 2 is called a *quadratic form*

$$q(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ij} X_i X_j$$

what are these a_{ij} ?

they are in some field k

e.g. $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}_p$ or $\mathbb{C}(X)$.

quadratic forms

Now we consider the next case, i.e. degree 2.

A homogeneous polynomial q of degree 2 is called a *quadratic form*

$$q(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ij} X_i X_j$$

what are these a_{ij} ?

they are in some field k

e.g. $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}_p$ or $\mathbb{C}(X)$.

By a quadratic form q over k , we mean $\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ij} X_i X_j$

quadratic forms

Now we consider the next case, i.e. degree 2.

A homogeneous polynomial q of degree 2 is called a *quadratic form*

$$q(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ij} X_i X_j$$

what are these a_{ij} ?

they are in some field k

e.g. $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}_p$ or $\mathbb{C}(X)$.

By a quadratic form q over k , we mean $\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ij} X_i X_j$

with $a_{ij} \in k$

quadratic forms

Now we consider the next case, i.e. degree 2.

A homogeneous polynomial q of degree 2 is called a *quadratic form*

$$q(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ij} X_i X_j$$

what are these a_{ij} ?

they are in some field k

e.g. $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}_p$ or $\mathbb{C}(X)$.

By a quadratic form q over k , we mean $\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ij} X_i X_j$

with $a_{ij} \in k$

We assume that characteristic of k is not equal 2.

We assume that characteristic of k is not equal 2.

that means $2 \neq 0$ in k .

We assume that characteristic of k is not equal 2.

that means $2 \neq 0$ in k .

Then by a suitable linear change of variables, we can assume that

We assume that characteristic of k is not equal 2.

that means $2 \neq 0$ in k .

Then by a suitable linear change of variables, we can assume that

$$q = a_1 X_1^2 + \cdots + a_n X_n^2.$$

We assume that characteristic of k is not equal 2.

that means $2 \neq 0$ in k .

Then by a suitable linear change of variables, we can assume that

$$q = a_1 X_1^2 + \cdots + a_n X_n^2.$$

We assume that all a_i 's non-zero.

We assume that characteristic of k is not equal 2.

that means $2 \neq 0$ in k .

Then by a suitable linear change of variables, we can assume that

$$q = a_1 X_1^2 + \cdots + a_n X_n^2.$$

We assume that all a_i 's non-zero.

n is called the *dimension* of q

Historically, the study of quadratic forms was part of number theory; Minkowski, Siegel, Hasse, Eichler, Kneser and several other mathematicians created a rich arithmetic theory of quadratic forms.

Historically, the study of quadratic forms was part of number theory; Minkowski, Siegel, Hasse, Eichler, Kneser and several other mathematicians created a rich arithmetic theory of quadratic forms.

Witt, in the early 30's introduced a new direction in the study of quadratic forms — now termed as *algebraic theory of quadratic forms* — by introducing the Witt group of quadratic forms to study the totality of quadratic forms over a general field k .

Let $q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a quadratic form over a field k . Clearly $X_1 = \dots = X_n = 0$ is a zero of q .

Let $q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a quadratic form over a field k . Clearly $X_1 = \dots = X_n = 0$ is a zero of q .

We say that a quadratic form q is **isotropic** over k if q has a non-trivial zero.

Let $q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a quadratic form over a field k . Clearly $X_1 = \dots = X_n = 0$ is a zero of q .

We say that a quadratic form q is **isotropic** over k if q has a non-trivial zero.

i.e. there exists a non-zero vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in k^n$

Let $q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a quadratic form over a field k . Clearly $X_1 = \dots = X_n = 0$ is a zero of q .

We say that a quadratic form q is **isotropic** over k if q has a non-trivial zero.

i.e. there exists a non-zero vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in k^n$

such that $q(x) = 0$.

Let $q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a quadratic form over a field k . Clearly $X_1 = \dots = X_n = 0$ is a zero of q .

We say that a quadratic form q is **isotropic** over k if q has a non-trivial zero.

i.e. there exists a non-zero vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in k^n$

such that $q(x) = 0$.

If q is not isotropic, then it is called **anisotropic**

Let $q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a quadratic form over a field k . Clearly $X_1 = \dots = X_n = 0$ is a zero of q .

We say that a quadratic form q is **isotropic** over k if q has a non-trivial zero.

i.e. there exists a non-zero vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in k^n$

such that $q(x) = 0$.

If q is not isotropic, then it is called **anisotropic**

A typical isotropic quadratic form is the hyperbolic plane $X^2 - Y^2$.

Let $q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a quadratic form over a field k . Clearly $X_1 = \dots = X_n = 0$ is a zero of q .

We say that a quadratic form q is **isotropic** over k if q has a non-trivial zero.

i.e. there exists a non-zero vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in k^n$

such that $q(x) = 0$.

If q is not isotropic, then it is called **anisotropic**

A typical isotropic quadratic form is the hyperbolic plane $X^2 - Y^2$.

$(1, 1)$ is a zero of this quadratic form

Consider the field of real numbers \mathbb{R}

Consider the field of real numbers \mathbb{R}

consider the quadratic form $q(X, Y) = X^2 + Y^2$

Consider the field of real numbers \mathbb{R}

consider the quadratic form $q(X, Y) = X^2 + Y^2$

if $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ then $x^2 \geq 0$ and $y^2 \geq 0$

Consider the field of real numbers \mathbb{R}

consider the quadratic form $q(X, Y) = X^2 + Y^2$

if $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ then $x^2 \geq 0$ and $y^2 \geq 0$

$x^2 + y^2 = 0$ implies $x = 0$ and $y = 0$

Consider the field of real numbers \mathbb{R}

consider the quadratic form $q(X, Y) = X^2 + Y^2$

if $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ then $x^2 \geq 0$ and $y^2 \geq 0$

$x^2 + y^2 = 0$ implies $x = 0$ and $y = 0$

q is anisotropic

Consider the field of real numbers \mathbb{R}

consider the quadratic form $q(X, Y) = X^2 + Y^2$

if $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ then $x^2 \geq 0$ and $y^2 \geq 0$

$x^2 + y^2 = 0$ implies $x = 0$ and $y = 0$

q is anisotropic

More generally $X_1^2 + X_2^2 + \cdots + X_n^2$ is an anisotropic quadratic form over \mathbb{R}

\mathbb{C} - field of complex numbers

\mathbb{C} - field of complex numbers

$$q(X, Y) = X^2 + Y^2$$

\mathbb{C} - field of complex numbers

$$q(X, Y) = X^2 + Y^2$$

$$q(1, \sqrt{-1}) = 1 - 1 = 0 \quad (\sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{C})$$

\mathbb{C} - field of complex numbers

$$q(X, Y) = X^2 + Y^2$$

$$q(1, \sqrt{-1}) = 1 - 1 = 0 \quad (\sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{C})$$

q is isotropic

\mathbb{C} - field of complex numbers

$$q(X, Y) = X^2 + Y^2$$

$$q(1, \sqrt{-1}) = 1 - 1 = 0 \quad (\sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{C})$$

q is isotropic

$$q(X_1, \dots, X_n) = a_1 X_1^2 + a_2 X_2^2 + \dots + a_n X_n^2$$

\mathbb{C} - field of complex numbers

$$q(X, Y) = X^2 + Y^2$$

$$q(1, \sqrt{-1}) = 1 - 1 = 0 \quad (\sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{C})$$

q is isotropic

$$q(X_1, \dots, X_n) = a_1 X_1^2 + a_2 X_2^2 + \dots + a_n X_n^2$$

$$n \geq 2$$

\mathbb{C} - field of complex numbers

$$q(X, Y) = X^2 + Y^2$$

$$q(1, \sqrt{-1}) = 1 - 1 = 0 \quad (\sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{C})$$

q is isotropic

$$q(X_1, \dots, X_n) = a_1 X_1^2 + a_2 X_2^2 + \dots + a_n X_n^2$$

$$n \geq 2$$

$$q(1, \sqrt{-a_1/a_2}, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$$

\mathbb{C} - field of complex numbers

$$q(X, Y) = X^2 + Y^2$$

$$q(1, \sqrt{-1}) = 1 - 1 = 0 \quad (\sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{C})$$

q is isotropic

$$q(X_1, \dots, X_n) = a_1 X_1^2 + a_2 X_2^2 + \dots + a_n X_n^2$$

$$n \geq 2$$

$$q(1, \sqrt{-a_1/a_2}, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$$

q is isotropic over \mathbb{C}

\mathbb{F} – a finite field

\mathbb{F} – a finite field

For example $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number

\mathbb{F} – a finite field

For example $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number

$q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ – quadratic form over \mathbb{F}

\mathbb{F} – a finite field

For example $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number

$q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ – quadratic form over \mathbb{F}

Theorem. If $n \geq 3$, then q isotropic.

\mathbb{F} – a finite field

For example $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number

$q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ – quadratic form over \mathbb{F}

Theorem. If $n \geq 3$, then q isotropic.

Proof : Exercise (use the fact that $\mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*2}$ is a group of order 2.)

\mathbb{F} – a finite field

For example $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number

$q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ – quadratic form over \mathbb{F}

Theorem. If $n \geq 3$, then q isotropic.

Proof : Exercise (use the fact that $\mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*2}$ is a group of order 2.)

\mathbb{Q} – the field of rational numbers

\mathbb{F} – a finite field

For example $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, p a prime number

$q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ – quadratic form over \mathbb{F}

Theorem. If $n \geq 3$, then q isotropic.

Proof : Exercise (use the fact that $\mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*2}$ is a group of order 2.)

\mathbb{Q} – the field of rational numbers

$X_1^2 + X_2^2 + \dots + X_n^2$ is anisotropic over \mathbb{Q}

k – p -adic field (i.e. k/\mathbb{Q}_p a finite extension)

k – p -adic field (i.e. k/\mathbb{Q}_p a finite extension)

$q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ – a quadratic form over k

k – p -adic field (i.e. k/\mathbb{Q}_p a finite extension)

$q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ – a quadratic form over k

If $n \geq 5$, then q is isotropic.

k – p -adic field (i.e. k/\mathbb{Q}_p a finite extension)

$q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ – a quadratic form over k

If $n \geq 5$, then q is isotropic.

This follows from the case of finite fields and Hensel's Lemma.

k – p -adic field (i.e. k/\mathbb{Q}_p a finite extension)

$q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ – a quadratic form over k

If $n \geq 5$, then q is isotropic.

This follows from the case of finite fields and Hensel's Lemma.

k - totally imaginary field, e.g. $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$

k – p -adic field (i.e. k/\mathbb{Q}_p a finite extension)

$q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ – a quadratic form over k

If $n \geq 5$, then q is isotropic.

This follows from the case of finite fields and Hensel's Lemma.

k - totally imaginary field, e.g. $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$

$q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ – a quadratic form over k

k – p -adic field (i.e. k/\mathbb{Q}_p a finite extension)

$q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ – a quadratic form over k

If $n \geq 5$, then q is isotropic.

This follows from the case of finite fields and Hensel's Lemma.

k - totally imaginary field, e.g. $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$

$q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ – a quadratic form over k

The classical Hasse - Minkowski Theorem asserts that if $n \geq 5$, then q is isotropic

Kaplansky (1953) defined an invariant attached to a field k ,

Kaplansky (1953) defined an invariant attached to a field k , called the u -**invariant**, denoted by $u(k)$, as

Kaplansky (1953) defined an invariant attached to a field k , called the u -**invariant**, denoted by $u(k)$, as

$$u(k) = \sup \{ \dim(q) \mid q \text{ anisotropic quadratic form over } k \}$$

Kaplansky (1953) defined an invariant attached to a field k , called the u -**invariant**, denoted by $u(k)$, as

$$u(k) = \sup \{ \dim(q) \mid q \text{ anisotropic quadratic form over } k \}$$

Examples

Kaplansky (1953) defined an invariant attached to a field k , called the u -**invariant**, denoted by $u(k)$, as

$$u(k) = \sup \{ \dim(q) \mid q \text{ anisotropic quadratic form over } k \}$$

Examples

1) $u(\mathbb{C}) = 1$

Kaplansky (1953) defined an invariant attached to a field k , called the u -**invariant**, denoted by $u(k)$, as

$$u(k) = \sup \{ \dim(q) \mid q \text{ anisotropic quadratic form over } k \}$$

Examples

1) $u(\mathbb{C}) = 1$

2) $u(\mathbb{R}) = \infty$

Kaplansky (1953) defined an invariant attached to a field k , called the u -**invariant**, denoted by $u(k)$, as

$$u(k) = \sup \{ \dim(q) \mid q \text{ anisotropic quadratic form over } k \}$$

Examples

1) $u(\mathbb{C}) = 1$

2) $u(\mathbb{R}) = \infty$

3) \mathbb{F} - finite field $u(\mathbb{F}) = 2$

Kaplansky (1953) defined an invariant attached to a field k , called the u -**invariant**, denoted by $u(k)$, as

$$u(k) = \sup \{ \dim(q) \mid q \text{ anisotropic quadratic form over } k \}$$

Examples

1) $u(\mathbb{C}) = 1$

2) $u(\mathbb{R}) = \infty$

3) \mathbb{F} - finite field $u(\mathbb{F}) = 2$

4) k - p -adic field $u(k) = 4$

4) k - p -adic field $u(k) = 4$

5) k - totally imaginary number field,
 $u(k) = 4$

4) k - p -adic field $u(k) = 4$

5) k - totally imaginary number field,
 $u(k) = 4$

6) If $u(k) = n$, then $u(k((t))) = 2n$.

4) k - p -adic field $u(k) = 4$

5) k - totally imaginary number field,
 $u(k) = 4$

6) If $u(k) = n$, then $u(k((t))) = 2n$.

For a field k , let $k(T) = \left\{ \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \mid g(t) \neq 0 \right\}$

For a field k , let $k(T) = \left\{ \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \mid g(t) \neq 0 \right\}$

and define $k(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ by induction.

For a field k , let $k(T) = \left\{ \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \mid g(t) \neq 0 \right\}$

and define $k(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ by induction.

We know the following:

For a field k , let $k(T) = \left\{ \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \mid g(t) \neq 0 \right\}$

and define $k(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ by induction.

We know the following:

$$u(\mathbb{C}(X_1, \dots, X_i)) = 2^i$$

For a field k , let $k(T) = \left\{ \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \mid g(t) \neq 0 \right\}$

and define $k(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ by induction.

We know the following:

$$u(\mathbb{C}(X_1, \dots, X_i)) = 2^i$$

$$u(\mathbb{F}(X_1, \dots, X_i)) = 2^{i+1}$$

For a field k , let $k(T) = \left\{ \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \mid g(t) \neq 0 \right\}$

and define $k(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ by induction.

We know the following:

$$u(\mathbb{C}(X_1, \dots, X_i)) = 2^i$$

$$u(\mathbb{F}(X_1, \dots, X_i)) = 2^{i+1}$$

where \mathbb{F} is a finite field.

For a field k , let $k(T) = \left\{ \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \mid g(t) \neq 0 \right\}$

and define $k(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ by induction.

We know the following:

$$u(\mathbb{C}(X_1, \dots, X_i)) = 2^i$$

$$u(\mathbb{F}(X_1, \dots, X_i)) = 2^{i+1}$$

where \mathbb{F} is a finite field.

This led to the following natural question

This led to the following natural question

Does $u(k) < \infty$ imply $u(k(T)) < \infty$?

This led to the following natural question

Does $u(k) < \infty$ imply $u(k(T)) < \infty$?

This problem is open and seems to be very difficult for general fields.

This led to the following natural question

Does $u(k) < \infty$ imply $u(k(T)) < \infty$?

This problem is open and seems to be very difficult for general fields.

This remained open till late 90's even for the p -adic field \mathbb{Q}_p .

This led to the following natural question

Does $u(k) < \infty$ imply $u(k(T)) < \infty$?

This problem is open and seems to be very difficult for general fields.

This remained open till late 90's even for the p -adic field \mathbb{Q}_p .

We have the following

This led to the following natural question

Does $u(k) < \infty$ imply $u(k(T)) < \infty$?

This problem is open and seems to be very difficult for general fields.

This remained open till late 90's even for the p -adic field \mathbb{Q}_p .

We have the following

Conjecture : If k is a p -adic field, then $u(k(T)) = 8$.

This led to the following natural question

Does $u(k) < \infty$ imply $u(k(T)) < \infty$?

This problem is open and seems to be very difficult for general fields.

This remained open till late 90's even for the p -adic field \mathbb{Q}_p .

We have the following

Conjecture : If k is a p -adic field, then $u(k(T)) = 8$.

Since $u(k) = 4$, it is easy to see that $u(k(T)) \geq 8$

Till late 90's it was not even known whether $u(k(T))$ is finite.

Till late 90's it was not even known whether $u(k(T))$ is finite.

We have the following :

Till late 90's it was not even known whether $u(k(T))$ is finite.

We have the following :

Theorem(Parimala - Suresh)(1998): Let k be a p -adic field. If $p \neq 2$, then $u(k(X)) \leq 10$.

1999

2000

2001

2002

2003

2004

2005

2006

Theorem(Parimala - Suresh)(2007) : Let k be a p -adic field. If $p \neq 2$, then $u(k(X)) = 8$.

Theorem(Parimala - Suresh)(2007) : Let k be a p -adic field. If $p \neq 2$, then $u(k(X)) = 8$.

The proof of the above theorems uses many techniques from cohomology, arithmetic geometry and Brauer groups.